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An \textit{Mhc} Component to Kin Recognition and Mate Choice in Birds: Predictions, Progress, and Prospects

Bethanne Zelano and Scott V. Edwards*

Department of Zoology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

\textbf{Abstract:} The major histocompatibility complex (\textit{Mhc}) has been identified as a locus influencing disease resistance, mate choice, and kin recognition in mammals and fish. However, it is unclear whether the mechanisms by which \textit{Mhc} genes influence behavior in mammals are applicable to other nonmammalian vertebrates such as birds. We review the biology of \textit{Mhc} genes with particular reference to their relevance to avian mating and social systems. New genomics approaches recently have been applied to the \textit{Mhc} genes of chickens, quail, and several icons of avian behavioral ecology, including red-winged blackbirds (\textit{Agelaius phoeniceus}) and house finches (\textit{Carpodacus mexicanus}). The predominance of concerted evolution at avian \textit{Mhc} loci makes such methods attractive for providing access to this complicated multigene family. Although some biological processes influenced by \textit{Mhc} in mammals are physiologically implausible for birds, \textit{Mhc} could influence cues that form well-known bases for mate choice in birds by influencing the health and vigor of individuals. The tight associations of \textit{Mhc} variation and disease resistance in chickens raise hope that finding associations of \textit{Mhc} genes, disease, and mate choice in natural populations of birds will be as fruitful as in mammalian systems.
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The major histocompatibility complex (\textit{Mhc}) is a highly polymorphic set of genes encoding receptors for antigen presentation to T lymphocytes of the immune system (Janeway et al. 1999). \textit{Mhc} molecules bind short amino acid fragments derived from both self proteins and foreign antigens broken down within cells and display the fragments on the cell surface. T cells recognize and bind the \textit{Mhc}-foreign antigen complex, thereby initiating a chain of events to stimulate the adaptive immune response to fight infection. It is now well appreciated that \textit{Mhc} polymorphism plays a central role in resistance to infectious and autoimmune disease in birds and mammals (Briles et al. 1983; Kaufman and Wallny 1996; Hill 1999). Soon after the proximate molecular functions of \textit{Mhc} genes were elucidated, it was also recognized that, by nature of their role in self-nonself recognition and high polymorphism, \textit{Mhc} genes could play a role in kin recognition and mate choice (Yamazaki et al. 1976). The role of \textit{Mhc} in social interactions most thoroughly has been explored in mammals (reviewed in Brown and Eklund 1994; Jordan and Bruford 1998; Penn and Potts 1999; Tregenza and Wedell 2000). Although the evidence is compelling that the avian immune system is comparable to that of mammals in its disease fighting ability (Kaufman et al. 1999\textsuperscript{b}), basic differences in the evolution and architecture between mammalian and avian \textit{Mhc} genes make it less clear whether avian mating and kin recognition systems might contribute to \textit{Mhc} polymorphisms as in mammals (discussed below). We synthesize information on avian molecular genetics and behavioral ecology to illustrate how these two disciplines can be linked through examinations of \textit{Mhc} influences on behavior.

\textbf{Mhc} Genes and Gene Products

Classical class I and class II \textit{Mhc} molecules are usually highly polymorphic and play critical roles in antigen presentation for T cell–mediated and humoral immunity, respectively (Janeway et al. 1999). The high polymorphism is confined to a particular domain in class I and II molecules called the peptide-binding region (PBR), which directly contacts pathogen fragments at specific amino acid sites. The \textit{Mhc} holds a special place among initiators and regulators of immune responses because of its action during early stages of the adaptive immune response, its role in shaping the T cell repertoire during individual ontogeny (Schaffer et al. 1989; Penn and Potts 1999), and its influence on the response to pathogens by antibodies (Puel and Mouton 1996). For example, the \textit{Mhc} class II region can effectively act as a quantitative trait locus (QTL) in the...
humoral (antibody) immune response (Puel and Mouton 1996), suggesting strong pleiotropic effects on diverse aspects of immune function. Thus, the Mhc is a crucial fulcrum that potentially unites both cell-mediated and humoral responses to pathogens in the wild. Because of the Mhc’s myriad effects on the adaptive immune response, it will be important to examine possible Mhc correlates of variation in antibody-based immunocompetence in the future.

Mhc genes likely influence diverse aspects of individual phenotype, with consequences for odor profile and individual condition affecting physiological resources available for display, territory acquisition, and defense (figs. 1, 2). While specific Mhc haplotypes may provide indirect (future generation) benefits of mate choice as “good genes,” they also may affect the ability of an individual to amass resources such as territory and food that typically constitute direct (within-generation) benefits of mate choice (fig. 2). In addition, it is likely that the adaptive immune response and the action of Mhc genes are influenced directly or indirectly by circulating hormones such as testosterone or corticosterone (Hillgarth and Wingfield 1997). For example, testosterone induces the proliferation of certain T cell populations in male chickens (Arstila and Lassila 1993), and, conversely, Mhc type can influence serum testosterone levels in mammals (Gerencer et al. 1982; Larsen et al. 2000). Thus Mhc genes are best viewed as important defenses against parasites that modulate and are modulated by diverse biological systems.

Genetically encoded kin recognition systems must by their very nature be highly polymorphic in outbred populations (Grafen 1990); these may have evolved from polymorphic loci present in invertebrates. Although there is as yet little data suggesting direct homology between the histocompatibility locus in the marine tunicate Botryllus and the vertebrate Mhc (Magor et al. 1999), it is possible that polymorphism per se could have first been used in a nondisease context for genetic compatibility and then coopted to improve non-self-recognition in the context of disease (Penn and Potts 1999). Jordan and Bruford (1998) point out that the existence of Mhc loci on multiple chromosomes in several fish species would permit independent segregation of a larger number of “matching loci” than if all the Mhc genes were physically close to one another and presumably in strong linkage disequilibrium, as in mammals. However, when multiple Mhc genes are linked on the same chromosome, the more relevant parameter may be the extent of recombination between the loci. Recent data from red-winged blackbirds (Agelaius phoeniceus) suggest that, on an evolutionary timescale, recombination in the neighborhood of Mhc class II genes may be quite high, higher than has been observed among chicken Mhc genes through pedigree analysis (Garrigan and Edwards 1999; M. Smith and S. V. Edwards, unpublished data). Thus, the molecular genetic forces acting on Mhc genes clearly have relevance for the opportunity for behavior-mediated selection (Jordan and Bruford 1998).
Mhc and Sexual Selection in Birds

Selection for Diversity at Major Histocompatibility Complex Genes

Genes of the Mhc are the most polymorphic genes known. Both pathogen- and non-pathogen-driven forms of selection have been proposed for the maintenance of the extraordinarily high levels of Mhc polymorphism and diversity found in natural populations, although it is likely that both selective forces have played complementary roles in the evolution of these genes (for review, see Potts and Slev 1995; Apanius et al. 1997).

Pathogen-Driven Selection

Pathogen-driven selection theories include heterozygote advantage (overdominant selection), frequency-dependent selection (Slade and McCallum 1992; Potts and Slev 1995), and the Red Queen hypothesis (Van Valen 1973; reviewed in Penn and Potts 1999). A general assumption of heterozygote advantage models is that individuals heterozygous at Mhc loci may be able to elicit more efficient defenses against a greater diversity of pathogens than more homozygous individuals. Evidence for heterozygote advantage is limited to a few examples of pathogen resistance in humans involving hepatitis B (Thursz et al. 1997) and HIV (Carrington et al. 1999) and to resistance by chickens to Rous sarcoma virus (Senseney et al. 2000). In some cases, the heterozygotes in a population may have, on average, higher fitness than do the homozygotes, even though no heterozygote has a higher fitness than the two corresponding homozygotes (“population heterozygote advantage”); in other cases, certain heterozygote genotypes will actually have higher fitness than either corresponding homozygote (“allele-specific overdominance”; M. Lipsitch, C. T. Bergstrom, and A. Rustom, unpublished manuscript). The distinction is important. A finding of population heterozygote advantage, in which the class of individuals that are heterozygous for any Mhc alleles are overrepresented, as, say, survivors in a disease study, does not necessarily indicate that overdominance is occurring; the precise interpretation of this result depends on allele frequencies, and, surprisingly, such a result can in fact indicate that every heterozygote does worse than their corresponding homozygote (M. Lipsitch, C. T. Bergstrom, and A. Rustom, unpublished manuscript). According to frequency-dependent models of selection, rare alleles are presumed to have a selective advantage and will increase in frequency. There are examples in birds (Briles et al. 1983) and fish (Langefors et al. 2001) of strong associations between Mhc alleles and parasite resistance, which may be the result of such cycles of host/parasite evolution (see below). However, identifying the precise mode of selection from static association studies can be challenging without experimental approaches or long-term studies.

Non-Pathogen-Driven Selection Mechanisms in Mammals

Prenatal selection and disassortative mating are among the non-pathogen-driven modes of selection proposed to maintain diversity at these loci, and both can result in a deficiency of homozygotes at Mhc haplotypes (Alberts and Ober 1993). While prenatal selection at the maternal-fetal interface is possible in viviparous mammals, the reproductive physiology of oviparous animals, such as birds, precludes many interactions of this type (for review, see Apanius et al. 1997). Mhc is well known to influence mate choice, individual recognition, selective block of pregnancy, and nesting patterns through olfactory cues in rodents (many articles from K. Yamazaki’s group; reviewed in Penn and Potts 1999; Schaefer et al. 2001) and has a role in odor preferences and miscarriage in humans (Weidekind and Furi 1997; Ober et al. 1998; Jacob et al. 2002) and other primates (Knapp et al. 1996). In birds, as in rodents, Mhc-influenced precopulatory mechanisms of selection could include disassortative mating and kin recognition for inbreeding avoidance. Prenatal (and postcopulatory) mechanisms could include sperm competition and egg-sperm interactions; however, it is not yet known...
Table 1: Summary of molecular information available for avian *Mhc* genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Class I</th>
<th>Class II</th>
<th>Progress to date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicken (<em>Gallus gallus</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>B complex completely sequenced; some <em>Rfp</em> genes polymorphic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese quail (<em>Coturnix japonica</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td><em>Mhc</em> completely sequenced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring-necked pheasant (<em>Phasianus colchicus</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>cDNA sequence data for coding regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern bobwhite (<em>Colinus virginianus</em>) and masked bobwhite (<em>Colinus virginianus ridgwayi</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Immunogenetic analysis and multilocus DNA fingerprinting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida sandhill cranes (<em>Grus canadensis pratensis</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>RFLP and SSCP analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great reed warbler (<em>Acrocephalus arundinaceus</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sequence data from class I and II coding regions; RFLP studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House finch (<em>Carpodacus mexicanus</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Large-scale genomic sequence data for one pseudogene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European starling (<em>Sturnus vulgaris</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>RFLP analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red-winged blackbird (<em>Agelaius phoeniceus</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Large-scale genomic sequence data for three genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western scrub jay (<em>Aphelocoma californica</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>PCR analyses of coding regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willow warbler (<em>Phylloscopus trochilus</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>RFLP analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darwin’s finches (<em>Geospiza spp.</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>PCR survey of partial sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bengalese finch (<em>Lonchura striata</em>)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>cDNAs cloned and characterized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “Yes” indicates which class of *Mhc* has been characterized for each species.

if *Mhc* is expressed on avian sperm. Although *Mhc* antigen expression on human sperm is a contentious area of research, one hypothesis suggests that HLA 1 and 2 expression on sperm may function to prevent fertilization of ova by sperm with similar or identical *Mhc* (Martin-Villa et al. 1999); this proposed mode of selection in humans potentially applies to birds as well. We can further speculate that genetic compatibility at the *Mhc* in birds could have effects, by selection against embryos before hatching, analogous to abortion in mammals (for review of mammalian selection mechanisms see Wedekind 1994). Evidence from congeneric lines of chickens suggests that there may be differential hatchability among *Mhc* haplotypes (Abplanalp et al. 1992).

**Genomics of the Avian *Mhc***

Recent years have seen the complete sequencing of the chicken and Japanese quail (*Coturnix japonica*) *Mhc* regions (Kaufman et al. 1999a; Shiina et al. 1999). These accomplishments have come about because of a shift away from polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods to programs embracing modern genomics approaches, such as cosmid cloning, shotgun sequencing, and bioinformatics. (Cosmid cloning has long been used to characterize medium-sized [25–40 kb] segments of genomic DNA; shotgun sequencing is a method for determining the sequence of such clones through DNA fragmentation and subcloning. See Edwards et al. 2000a for details.) Such methods are readily applicable to species of birds and other vertebrates that are models for ecological and behavioral biology (table 1; Edwards et al. 2000a). Such global characterization of *Mhc* genes and flanking regions can considerably facilitate genotyping for behavioral studies. For example, *Mhc* genes often occur physically close to microsatellites and frequently display tight linkage disequilibrium with them. Typing such length-variable microsatellites is technically often easier than typing the *Mhc* genes themselves (Meager and Potts 1997; Paterson et al. 1998), particularly in light of the fact that *Mhc* genes are now known to undergo extensive concerted evolution (Edwards et al. 1995; Wittzell et al. 1999a). Concerted evolution is a molecular process whereby different genes within a species exchange sequence information, by gene conversion or other mechanisms. Although the ultimate causes of this process are poorly known—indeed, con-
certed evolution seems to contradict the paradigm that diversity, both within and among Mhc genes, is paramount—the result is increased sequence similarity and close relationships among different genes along the chromosome; in the case of avian Mhc genes, such increased similarity is particularly prevalent in regions outside the PBR (Edwards et al. 1995; Wittzell et al. 1999a). The practical consequence of concerted evolution is that amplification of individual Mhc genes becomes difficult because of the increased sequence similarity between genes. Because of this, analysis of variation at individual Mhc loci has required complete characterization (both exons and introns) of multiple Mhc genes in any given species, so that PCR primers specific to the gene of interest can be designed with confidence (Edwards et al. 2000b; Hess et al. 2000; Gasper et al. 2001).

Indirect methods of surveying Mhc sequence variability, such as RFLPs and Southern blots, have proved useful in avian studies (von Schantz et al. 1996), but we believe they will ultimately be limiting in molecular ecological studies of the Mhc. Sequencing studies obviously provide more resolution, and a recent fish study suggests that only detailed knowledge of variability in relevant PBR codons will permit informed analysis of mating preferences in nature (Landry et al. 2001). Some available PCR primers for birds yield only part of PBR sequences, and these are from multiple closely related genes with unclear orthology (Edwards et al. 2000a). We have found that genomic characterization of Mhc loci is an essential and relatively straightforward way of increasing confidence in analysis of Mhc polymorphisms in birds (Edwards et al. 2000a).

Our understanding of the diversity of Mhc genes in various birds is growing (Hess and Edwards 2002; table 1). Compared to the mammalian Mhc, the chicken Mhc, or B complex, is compact, only about 100 kb in length, with densely packed genes containing very small (~100 bp) introns (Kaufman et al. 1999b). In addition to the B complex, which is the locus responsible for graft rejection, chickens possess a second region containing Mhc genes called Rfp-Y. Some Rfp-Y genes are highly polymorphic and may also be involved with disease resistance, although the latter conclusion is still debated (Afanassieff et al. 2000). The B complex of chickens has been termed “minimal essential” because of both its structural and functional minimalism; chickens express strongly only one class I and II gene, whereas other Mhc genes are either nonpolymorphic or expressed at low levels (Kaufman et al. 1999b).

The Mhc of Japanese quail is the best-characterized avian system aside from chickens and contains more Mhc genes and an increased number of duplicated non-Mhc genes than does the chicken B complex (Shina et al. 1999). Two Mhc class II genes have been discovered in the ring-necked pheasant (Wittzell et al. 1999a), and there is a second region containing Mhc genes that may be homologous to the chicken Rfp-Y.

Oscine songbirds, a major clade within the perching birds (Passeriformes) comprising over 4,000 species, are useful field models for questions of mate choice and sexual selection. At the genomic level, songbird Mhc genes appear more numerous than in chickens (Edwards et al. 1999; Wittzell et al. 1999a), making genotyping at individual loci more challenging. The first surveys of sequence variation at individual bird Mhc loci in natural populations were made possible by a detailed understanding of gene intron and exon sequences (Garrigan and Edwards 1999; Hess et al. 2000; Gasper et al. 2001). These studies show that, as in chickens, only one of several blackbird Mhc genes are highly polymorphic and are under balancing selection. A diversity of class I and II genes are known to be expressed in great reed warblers (Acrocephalus arundinaceus; Wasterdahl et al. 1999, 2000), and the frequency of Mhc pseudogenes appears higher in songbirds than in chickens (Hess and Edwards 2002). It has been suggested that the minimal essential model of chickens may not apply to songbirds, but further data, particularly on levels of expression of individual Mhc genes, are required for clarification.

Mhc-Disease Associations in Birds

If the departure by songbirds from the chicken minimal essential model holds as described above, it bodes well for studies in natural populations where combinatorial diversity at several independently segregating loci may be required for kin recognition and mating systems. However, many Mhc loci inevitably make genotyping more complicated, and finding strong disease associations may be facilitated by a structurally streamlined Mhc as in chickens (Kaufman et al. 1999b). Indeed, chickens display some of the strongest associations of Mhc and resistance/susceptibility known—much stronger than those detected thus far in mammals. The immune response to Marek’s disease is particularly well characterized in chickens, and Mhc haplotype influences resistance dramatically (Schat and Xing 2000). Other pathogens for which Mhc genes play an important role in resistance in chickens are Rous sarcoma virus and the coccidial protist Eimeria tenella (Collins et al. 1985; Lillehoj et al. 1989). Patterns of resistance to Rous sarcoma virus support a heterozygote advantage model (Senseney et al. 2000). If mate choice in birds is influenced by disease state or susceptibility, these strong Mhc associations in chickens bode well for detecting such linkages in the wild. However, it is unclear to what extent the Mhcs of other birds will mimic the minimal essential structure and functionality of the chicken Mhc.
Avian Mhc and Behavior

Mhc and Mate Choice in Birds

Because birds have been the focus for a plethora of research in behavioral ecology, a deep literature already exists that is teeming with substantiated studies of behaviors in birds that are associated with Mhc in other animals. However, rigorous studies attempting to link Mhc and behavior have not yet been conducted in birds, and it is unknown whether Mhc genes may be influential via condition-dependent “good genes” models or by genetic compatibility models (Jennions and Petrie 2000). To the extent that disease resistance influences condition-dependent traits in birds, we can expect that Mhc genes will play a role in cues for avian mate choice that are expensive to produce in terms of energetic costs or by effects on the endocrine or the biotransformation systems (Hillgarth and Wingfield 1997; von Schantz et al. 1999). Because we expect Mhc type to strongly influence response to adaptive immune challenge, both during development and as an adult, a bird with Mhc better adapted to the pathogens in its particular environment will fare better over the course of infection. Birds in good condition should be better able to express condition-dependent traits (Hillgarth and Wingfield 1997; von Schantz et al. 1999). At their simplest, good genes models predict preference for a single Mhc haplotype by all females in a given environment. However, if Mhc heterozygosity per se is the arbiter of immunologic efficacy in avian populations (Brown 1997), mate choice will be governed primarily by compatibility and disassortative mating models, in which preference will vary among females.

The single search for an Mhc component of sexual selection in birds has been made in ring-necked pheasants Phasianus colchicus (von Schantz et al. 1996, 1997), in which an association was demonstrated between Mhc genotype of males and both variability in survival and expression of tarsal spur length, a condition-dependent secondary sexual characteristic predicting fitness. This work provided support for the good genes hypothesis in which females are presumed to be choosing one or a few Mhc haplotypes among males based on condition-dependent traits (Hamilton and Zuk 1982; von Schantz et al. 1989). A recent example from a natural population of deer also fits the good genes role for Mhc. Ditchkoff et al. (2001) showed that Mhc haplotype frequencies vary significantly among individual white-tailed deer that differed in level of parasitism and life-history traits. Using SSCP methods, Reusch et al. (2001) showed that female three-spined sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus) do not necessarily prefer genotypically dissimilar males but “count” alleles in prospective males and choose males with large numbers of alleles across four class II loci that were investigated. These examples suggest that research on Mhc correlates of condition dependence in birds and other nonmodel vertebrates will be fruitful but might take a variety of forms.

Mhc Genes and Mating Systems

Is an Mhc component to mate choice more probable under certain mating systems? Jordan and Bruford (1998) suggested that we might expect to find the effects of Mhc on mate preferences in situations in which there is a high probability of inbreeding and where a genetically based kin-recognition system would be required due to lack of social context for kin recognition. To this we add the suggestion that the effects of Mhc genes on mate choice are likely to be modulated by the relative importance of direct versus indirect benefits in mate-choice decisions. We can imagine a species in which direct benefits associated with mate choice are insignificant, for example, species where males contribute only genes to offspring. Lek mating systems would be good candidates for having an Mhc component to mate choice because males usually provide no care, there are no obvious direct benefits to mate choice, and offspring typically are uncertain of paternity. Additionally, in many lek systems, females copulate with but a single male, making the genetic costs of mistakes in mate choice large. Because only a few males typically enjoy mating success in such systems, Mhc as good genes models would be more appropriate than compatibility models. Secondary sexual traits play an important role in leking systems, and this would be consistent with an Mhc component to mate choice correlating with exaggerated traits.

Long-lived species engaged in lifetime monogamy, such as many procellariiform seabirds or raptors, might be expected to show evidence of a genetically based mate-choice system, particularly ones based on genetic compatibility. Although both parents may provide care for offspring, making direct benefits critical, the genetic benefits of mate choice are also crucial when choosing a single lifelong mate. With their strong olfactory capabilities, a role for Mhc in mediating mate choice and inbreeding avoidance by smell is not out of the question for procellariiform birds. Genetic compatibility is not in conflict with observations of age-assortative mate choice in some seabirds because birds have the potential to choose from many individuals within an age class (Jouventin et al. 1999a), but good genes models could also explain these data. Use of information already available for many bird populations, including degree of inbreeding within a population, importance of direct and indirect benefits in mate choice, and ability to recognize kin, will allow behaviorists to choose suitable candidate species for detecting Mhc influences on behavior and to predict whether Mhc will influ-
ence mate choice in ways more in line with good genes or genetic compatibility models.

**Immunogenetic Component to Extrapair Fertilizations?**

As several authors have noted, extrapair fertilizations (EPF), which are commonly documented in avian populations, may prove especially useful in the search for good genes effects (Hasselquist et al. 1996; Möller 1998). In studies on seminatural populations of mice (Potts et al. 1991), it was the extraterritorial mate choices by females that resulted in significant decreases of the expected number of offspring with homozygous genotypes at the Mhc; mate preferences of females as revealed by their territorial settlement patterns suggested only weak and nonsignificant disassortative mating on the basis of Mhc. In some birds, such as red-winged blackbirds, females may gain some direct benefits via EPFs through increased access to resources or increased protection from predators (Gray 1997). However, for many species in which territories are not adjacent to one another, or in which it is otherwise difficult for males to defend or provide care for nestlings on multiple territories, indirect benefits may be more common. There is also growing evidence for specifically immunogenetic bases for avian mate choice in EPFs. For example, in bluethroats, young from EPFs mount superior immune responses to an experimentally injected antigen than do within-pair young (Johnsen et al. 2000). The likelihood that mate choice will be modulated by Mhc heterozygosity, compatibility, or specific haplotypes will need to be assessed independently for each species under study.

**Modulation of Condition-Dependent Traits for Mate Choice by Mhc Genes**

**Vocal Cues.** A number of characteristics implicated in avian mate choice fulfill the criteria of condition dependence that we would expect given a role of histocompatibility genes. In some species, there is evidence that song repertoire size, song rate, or duration can influence female mating preferences (Searcy and Andersson 1986), and it has been suggested that repertoire size or other singing behaviors are honest signals of male quality. Singing could be costly in terms of energy to produce song, time spent singing, or developmental costs associated with learning (Nowicki et al. 1998). There is some evidence that males singing more song types may have higher reproductive success, provide greater parental care, and survive longer (e.g., Yasukawa et al. 1980; Lambrechts and Dhondt 1986; Hasselquist 1998; Buchanan and Catchpole 2000). It was demonstrated in great reed warblers that females obtain extrapair fertilizations from males with larger repertoires than their mates (Hasselquist et al. 1996), and repertoire size may reflect developmental condition in this same species (Nowicki et al. 2000). There is an expanding body of literature relating vocalizations with immune function and overall fitness in birds. For example, parasitic infection can decrease song rate (Møller 1991; Buchanan et al. 1999).

**Visual Cues.** Visual cues are commonly studied in the context of mate choice, parasite load, and survival. In many bird species, females prefer males with exaggerated plumage traits (Hill et al. 1999; Keyser and Hill 2000). These plumage traits can also predict health and survivorship; for example, in house finches (Carpodacus mexicanus), brightly plumaged males were more likely than drab males to survive Mycoplasma gallisepticum infection (Nolan et al. 1997), and parasitized birds can have dampened sexual displays and poor plumage quality (Thompson et al. 1997; Möller et al. 1999; Brawner et al. 2000). Other visual signals of condition relate to the symmetry or size of sexual characteristics. Long tail feathers or spurs have been found to signal male quality and predict survival, and females prefer these longer traits (Andersson 1982; Möller 1988, 1989; von Schantz et al. 1989, 1994). Fluctuating asymmetry of bilaterally symmetrical traits has been used as a measure of developmental stability, and there has been much interest in female preference for symmetrical males and how asymmetry can affect or predict an individual’s health and fitness (Møller and Swaddle 1997). Finally, ultraviolet reflection of plumage can play a role in mate choice (Bennett et al. 1996), and individual differences in reflectance could imply a condition-dependent component.

**Mhc Genes and Avian Kin Recognition**

The high polymorphism of Mhc genes is compatible with the role for Mhc both as a modulator of condition dependence and as a kin-recognition locus. Good genes models—even those that do not incorporate a measure of heterozygosity itself as the good genes (Brown 1997; Reusch et al. 2001)—generally predict a preference for particular Mhc haplotypes best adapted to fighting diverse pathogens within a particular environment or temporal window. Over many seasons and exposure to numerous pathogens (both within and across seasons), good genes models, as they relate to parasite resistance, select for many different haplotypes over time. Such directional selection that varies in space and time has been documented in Mhc interactions with human pathogens (Hill 1991) and has the same consequences as does selection for heterozygosity, namely, high Mhc polymorphism. However, scenarios for Mhc in kin recognition or inbreeding avoidance usually do not involve global preference for a particular Mhc type by all choosers but rather involve preferences compatible with and contingent on the genes of the individual ex-
ercising choice. Such disassortative mating also will result in high polymorphism (Potts and Wakeland 1993). In short, both good genes and kin-recognition models are consistent with balancing selection and maintenance of genetic diversity in the long term, over geographic space or in a fluctuating environment.

Vocal and Visual Cues in Kin Recognition. There is no reason to expect that many of the traits traditionally identified as useful to birds in individual recognition, such as vocalizations or visual cues (Beecher 1988; Ritters and Balthazart 1998), would be under the influence of Mhc or immunocompetence. Many studies of individual recognition in birds focus on parent-offspring recognition, and the results vary by species and degree of selection pressure for kin recognition (Beecher 1988). For example, in colonial species where young intermingle, the pressure on parents to recognize their young is strong, whereas in non-colonial breeders, the pressure may not be so high (Beecher 1991). Accordingly, in playback experiments, colonial bank swallows were able to recognize vocalizations of their own versus unrelated young, while noncolonial rough-winged and barn swallows could not (Beecher 1991). Mates also use vocalizations to recognize one another. For example, penguins use vocalizations to locate their mates in large breeding colonies after returning from feedings at sea (Jouventin et al. 1999b; Lengagne et al. 2000). However, such individual variation is unlikely to be ultimately attributable to Mhc differences, unless these differences are somehow condition dependent (fig. 1). Similarly, we have no reason to expect that visual cues used in recognition of conspecifics (Watanabe et al. 1993; Shimizu 1998; Ikebuchi and Okano 1999) should show links with Mhc. More conserved gene systems that show consistent differences between species, rather than the interspecifically shared polymorphisms frequently found at Mhc genes, would be more logical candidates for this purpose.

Olfactory Cues. While olfaction is a key mechanism for Mhc-mediated kin recognition and mate choice in mammals (Singer et al. 1997; Schaefer et al. 2001; reviewed in Penn 2002) and fish (Olsen et al. 1998; Reusch et al. 2001), this area of research has been almost entirely ignored in avian studies because birds generally are thought of as anosmic or microsmatic (having an unimportant or little used olfactory system). This view, however, is not justified, especially as new lines of research suggest strong olfactory roles in passerines and other avian orders (for review, Roper 1999). Petrels use olfaction to locate food (Nevitt 2000) and burrows at night (Bonadonna et al. 2001), and homing pigeons and starlings use odor cues in navigation and homing (Wallraff et al. 1995; Wallraff 2001). Furthermore, olfactory receptor genes have been characterized for chickens (Leibovici et al. 1996). The general dismissal of a functioning avian olfactory system is unfounded, especially with a rise in research illuminating the olfactory capabilities of more species of birds. The increasing number of studies conclusively demonstrating that many bird species can smell (Clark et al. 1993; Petit et al. 2002) is helping to erode the old view. However, integration between the existing avian olfaction and behavioral and ecological studies is still lacking.

A number of examples of individual recognition in birds are potentially consistent with a role for olfaction and present more plausible candidates for a role of Mhc in the process. Such examples include situations in which prior experience with particular individuals provide templates for future matching. Although such examples could easily be due to visual cues, some might involve olfactory cues. For example, common tern chicks Sterna hirundo visually recognize nest mates (Palestis and Burger 1999), but, like many studies, the experimental design did not rule out a role for olfaction. Perhaps the most appropriate place to search for an Mhc basis for kin recognition in birds are situations in which relatives preferentially associate with one another more so than with unrelated individuals. For example, a recent study of long-eared owls showed that individuals roosting together share higher DNA-fingerprinting band similarity than do individuals among roosts (Galeotti et al. 1997). Peacocks are able to recognize relatives without being reared with them (Petrie et al. 1999). While the mechanism for phenotype matching in these species is unknown, kin recognition clearly can be a powerful force in avian social interactions, and a role for olfaction in this process should be investigated. Such investigations will undoubtedly involve a marriage of controlled behavioral studies and applications of technology sensitive enough to detect and quantify individual differences in odor and odor preference. Should we expect that exceptional olfactory capabilities are required for an Mhc component to avian behavior? Not necessarily, particularly as demonstrated by reports of Mhc influences on human odor preferences (Wedekind and Furí 1997; Jacob et al. 2002).

Conclusions and the Future

Mhc genes traditionally have been the domain of immunologists, geneticists, and evolutionary biologists but should be of equal interest to avian behaviorists. They can affect a wide variety of traits when they underlie the health and vigor of an individual, making them ideal for exploring questions at the interface of behavior, immunology, and genetics. Mhc genes provide an intriguing new direction for avian behavioral ecology and may provide clues to important targets of sexual selection. Understand-
ing genetically based mating preferences can also have practical benefits, such as better management of endangered species (Grahn et al. 1998).

The available DNA sequences for these genes in birds provide a starting point for a new generation of molecular ecological studies involving Mhc. Still, over 10 yr since the first chicken Mhc genes were cloned (Bourlet et al. 1988), there are few data bearing on this intriguing prospect. We believe the slow progress in the area of Mhc molecular ecology lies in the often daunting complexity of the Mhc in birds (Westerdahl et al. 1999; Wittzell et al. 1999a; Edwards et al. 2000a). However, the use of new genomics tools by ornithologists has considerably increased the accessibility of Mhc genes by PCR methods in a few species, and these new sequences have proven useful in designing primers on other, often distantly related, birds (A. Aguilar and R. Buschart, personal communication). An Mhc component should be added to studies employing other promising measures of immune response, such as ELISA detection of humoral immunity (Hasselquist et al. 1999; Raberg et al. 2000). The future of ecological immunology will no doubt bring increased attention to other avian immunomodulatory genes, such as natural killer cell receptors and cytokines. However, of all these loci, Mhc genes seem the most promising for integrating genotype, phenotype, and fitness in natural populations.
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