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*Streptococcus pneumoniae* is bactericidal to *Staphylococcus aureus* in vitro. To determine whether this in vitro effect accounts for the inverse relation between *S. pneumoniae* and *S. aureus* colonization reported in previous epidemiologic studies, we compared *S. pneumoniae* and *S. aureus* strains from cocolonized children to those from noncocolonized children. Cocolonizing pneumococci were less bactericidal and cocolonizing staphylococci less susceptible to this effect; however, the magnitude of the effect was small. Thus, in vitro killing is not the major determinant of the pattern of cocolonization.

*Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* are common causes of community-acquired infections and account for significant morbidity and mortality worldwide (3–6). Nasal *S. aureus* colonization and nasopharyngeal *S. pneumoniae* colonization serve as the major source for person-to-person spread and as the source for endogenous invasive disease (1, 15).

Several epidemiologic studies have demonstrated an inverse relationship between *S. aureus* and *S. pneumoniae* carriage in children (2, 7, 10, 14). These findings have raised concerns that widespread use of pneumococcal conjugate vaccines may lead to an increased incidence of *S. aureus* morbidity (13). We recently studied the direct in vitro effect of *S. pneumoniae* on *S. aureus*, using a few laboratory and clinical strains and their variants. We have reported that *S. pneumoniae* is bactericidal to *S. aureus* and that the bactericidal factor is hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) (11). We observed that different streptococcal species exhibit a variable bactericidal activity and that different staphylococcal species exhibit variable susceptibility to this effect.

The aim of this study was to assess whether variability in bactericidal activity of *S. pneumoniae* strains and/or variability in susceptibility of *S. aureus* strains to this effect could predict the pattern of *S. aureus* and *S. pneumoniae* co-colonization. All strains tested in this study were collected in our previously reported epidemiologic study that assessed the association between nasopharyngeal carriage of *S. pneumoniae* and nasal carriage of *S. aureus* (10). In the original study, nasopharyngeal and nasal swabs were separately obtained from children aged 40 months or younger, on a single occasion. The study population was not vaccinated against *S. pneumoniae*, as the pneumococcal conjugate vaccine was not registered in Israel at the time of the study. Nasopharyngeal swabs were streaked onto tryptic soy agar plates supplemented with 5% sheep blood and 5 μg/ml gentamicin. Colonies that were alpha-hemolytic and susceptible to optochin were identified as *S. pneumoniae*. Nasal swabs were streaked on tryptic soy agar plates supplemented with 5% sheep blood. *S. aureus* was identified by morphology, beta-hemolysis, catalase, DNase, and coagulase. All isolated strains were stored at −80°C.

For the present study, we randomly picked 27 *S. pneumoniae* strains isolated from cocolonized children and 30 strains isolated from children colonized only with *S. pneumoniae* (10). We compared the mean bactericidal effects of the two groups of strains.

To quantify the bactericidal effect, we followed a method described previously (11). Briefly, all strains were initially grown to late logarithmic phase (optical density, 0.4 to 0.8), stored at −80°C, and thawed on the day of the experiment. The bactericidal effect of each pneumococcal strain was tested on *S. aureus* strain Newman (NCTC 8178). Serial twofold dilutions of the staphylococcal strain (beginning with $1 \times 10^8$ CFU/ml) were mixed with serial twofold dilutions of the streptococcal strain (beginning with $4 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml) in a final volume of 100 μl. Cultures were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO$_2$. After 6 h of incubation, approximately 2 μl of each culture was plated using a replica plater (Sigma-Aldrich) on selective media, i.e., tryptic soy agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood and 8 μg/ml gentamicin for *S. pneumoniae* and mannitol-salt agar for *S. aureus*. The log$_{10}$ of the maximum inoculum of this strain that was “killed” (reduced below the detection limit of 50 CFU/well) by $10^6$ CFU of streptococci at 6 h is reported as the $K_{6h}$.

Similarly, to assess whether *S. aureus* strains carried by co-
Colonized children were less susceptible to the pneumococcal bactericidal effect, we compared strains from cocolonized children to strains from children colonized only with *S. aureus*, using the same protocol as described above. In this experiment, the reference *S. pneumoniae* strain used was TIGR4 (12), against which all *S. aureus* strains were tested. During the experiments the investigator was blinded to the colonization status of the strains tested (cocolonized or not).

Since we observed between-day variation in IK₆ results for the same strain, we adjusted for this variation in both the *S. aureus* and the *S. pneumoniae* comparisons by using a mixed-effects model in which “day” was incorporated as a random-effect variable.

*S. pneumoniae* strains from children cocolonized with *S. aureus* (*n* = 27) showed a slightly lower mean bactericidal effect (IK₆ = 6.72 ± 0.12) than those from nonccolonized children (*n* = 30) (IK₆ = 6.98 ± 0.09) (*P* = 0.051). *S. aureus* strains from children cocolonized with *S. pneumoniae* strains (*n* = 25) were slightly more resistant to the bactericidal effect (IK₆ = 6.70 ± 0.09) than those from nonccolonized children (*n* = 24) (IK₆ = 6.81 ± 0.08) (*P* = 0.12). Thus, in both cases the difference was small and the effect did not reach statistical significance. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals for the difference in IK₆ (cocolonizers versus single colonizers) were −0.34 to 0.08 for *S. pneumoniae* and −0.20 to 0.01 for *S. aureus*, suggesting at most a modest difference of about two- to threefold killing in 6 h between the two groups (Fig. 1A and B).

We next hypothesized that the strains carried by cocolonized children should include either a highly resistant *S. aureus* strain or a less bactericidal *S. pneumoniae* strain, or possibly both. To examine this hypothesis, we tested 41 pairs of *S. aureus* and *S. pneumoniae* strains that were simultaneously isolated from cocolonized children and assessed whether there was a negative correlation between the susceptibility of a cocolonizing *S. aureus* strain and the bactericidal effect of the cocolonizing *S. pneumoniae* strain from the same subject. To adjust for daily variation, in this experiment we included a specific strain that was examined every day, and the results were standardized according to its daily variation. Although a trend toward a negative correlation (consistent with our hypothesis) was observed, the correlation was low and nonsignificant (Spearman *p* = −0.184; *P* = 0.249) (Fig. 2).

Our study suggests that results of the in vitro evaluation of the bactericidal effect of *S. pneumoniae* and susceptibility of *S. aureus* are not major predictors of the epidemiologic pattern of cocolonization. While in all experiments we did observe a trend that was consistent with our hypothesis (i.e., strains from cocolonized children were less bactericidal [for *S. pneumoniae*] or less susceptible [for *S. aureus*]), this trend was nearly statistically significant only for the *S. pneumoniae* strains, and the effect was small in all cases. A larger study would have perhaps yielded narrower confidence intervals and thus defined this modest effect as statistically significant, but since the 95% confidence intervals defining the difference are already narrow relative to the variability in each population, we suggest that variability in the in vitro bactericidal effect probably has at most a minor role in determining the pattern of cocolonization. Our findings are also consistent with those of Melles et al., who failed to find an association between bacterial genotypes and the pattern of cocolonization (8).

The discrepancy between the strong in vitro interference (11) and the minor differences observed between cocolonizing

---

**FIG. 1.** (A) *S. pneumoniae* bactericidal effect and (B) *S. aureus* susceptibility to the *S. pneumoniae* effect, as measured by IK₆. Strains from cocolonized children (squares) are compared to strains from nonccolonized children (triangles). The reference *S. aureus* strain used for panel A is *S. aureus* Newman. The reference *S. pneumoniae* strain used for panel B is TIGR4.

**FIG. 2.** Correlation between the *S. pneumoniae* IK₆ and the *S. aureus* IK₆ of pairs of cocolonizing bacteria. The dashed lines show the 95% confidence interval of the fitted correlation line.
and noncocolonizing strains has several potential explanations. First, we might have misclassified “cocolonizing strains” due to our original epidemiologic study design, which assessed point prevalence. Because we could not assess the dynamics of colonization, we have no way of knowing whether one of the strains found as a cocolonizer on the day of sampling might have been about to be cleared from that host or, on the other hand, whether a strain found without the other species might have been found cocolonizing a day later. As a result, strains with the ability to cocolonize may have been detected as noncocolonizers on the particular day of sampling, while strains that tended to inhibit or be inhibited by the other species may have been transiently cocolonizing on the day of sampling. Thus, the point prevalence design may have resulted in misclassification of the ability of any given strain to cocolonize, tending to bias findings toward the null. Second, the strong in vitro effect of H$_2$O$_2$ may have only a subtle role in vivo interference, and host immune factors may play a more significant role in vivo. A recent report by McNalley et al. (7) which shows that the negative association between S. pneumoniae and S. aureus carriage observed in children not infected with human immunodeficiency virus does not exist in human immunodeficiency virus-positive children suggests that the association between S. pneumoniae and S. aureus requires an intact host immune response. The fact that S. aureus and S. pneumoniae often colonize different parts of the upper respiratory tract (1, 9) may also be suggestive of a host immune response mechanism rather than a direct pathogen interference mechanism.

Although our study suggests that the variation in H$_2$O$_2$ production does not explain the pattern of cocolonization, it does not exclude the possibility that H$_2$O$_2$ may be necessary for the interference to occur, whether through a direct bacterial interference mechanism or through an indirect host response mechanism. Even if H$_2$O$_2$ was directly responsible for this interference, the degree of variation in production (S. pneumoniae) and susceptibility (S. aureus) might be too limited to be a major determinant of the pattern of cocolonization.

Both mechanistic and epidemiologic studies are required to further elucidate the mechanism of in vivo interference between S. aureus and S. pneumoniae and to determine the implications of the wide use of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine for S. aureus colonization and infections.
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