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A ‘complex’ of brain metabolites distinguish altered chemistry in the cingulate cortex of episodic migraine patients

L. Becerra a,b,c,1, R. Veggeberg a,d,1, A. Prescott e,j, J.E. Jensen d, P. Renshaw f,j, S. Scrivani g, E.L.H. Spierings h, R. Burstein b, D. Borsook a,c,d,a

1. Introduction

Although the cause of migraine attacks remains unknown, a number of studies have indicated that migraine alters brain structure and function, including behavioral studies [Borsook et al., 2014; Brigo et al., 2013; Hoffken et al., 2009] and neuroimaging studies [Sprenger and Borsook, 2012]. An increasing number of studies have evaluated measures of brain chemistry in migraine patients (see below). Altered chemistry in migraine may provide a basis for the purported cortical hyperexcitability [Coppola et al., 2007; Demarquay et al., 2013], and could be a target for evaluating treatment efficacy in responders and non-responders, as has been reported in other chronic pain conditions such as fibromyalgia [Harris et al., 2013], or evaluation of the effects of treatments (e.g., topiramate) on brain metabolites [Moore and Wardrop, 2006].
MRS studies of migraine have recently been reviewed elsewhere (Reyngoudt et al., 2012). These studies have consistently suggested altered energy metabolism (Reyngoudt et al., 2011) in migraine patients but few studies have reported alterations in glutamate (Glu) or gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA) in migraine patients, the latter two components providing a potential basis for hyperexcitability (either increased Glu or decreased GABA or some combination that contributes to potential neuronal hyperexcitability). A recent paper reported significantly increased GABA in migraine using a Mescher-Garwood point-resolved spectroscopy (MEGA-PRESS) sequence (Aguila et al., 2015) and higher glutamine (Gln)/glycine (Gly) ratios in women than in men during their interictal phase of migraine (Gonzalez de la Aleja et al., 2013), offering some support for the hyperexcitability thesis. The hyperexcitability thesis relates to the sensitization that results from cortical spreading depression (CSD). CSD has been implicated in the pathogenesis of migraine but is still not fully understood. Studies have indicated that the wave of activation and subsequent inhibition caused by CSD results in the sensitization of the trigeminal vascular system and its central projections (Cutrer and Charles, 2008). Others have suggested that hyperexcitability of the trigeminovascular neurons may stem from altered descending modulation of the brain stem which may cause a loss of inhibition or enhanced facilitation (Moulton et al., 2008). MRS studies have found evidence of this with increases in both excitatory (Gonzalez de la Aleja et al., 2013) and inhibitory (Aguila et al., 2015) neurotransmitters suggesting a potentially more complex changes in brain neurochemistry that contributes to a hyperexcitable state.

Here we have used an approach to optimize the measurements of both GABA and Glu with a 2D J-resolved approach, focusing on the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC). We have chosen the ACC because of its putative role in multiple processing in migraine including involvement in salience (Borsook et al., 2013), descending modulation (Mainiero et al., 2011), and altered resting state connectivity (Russo et al., 2012; Tessitore et al., 2015; Xue et al., 2013). Conventional proton MRS (1H-MRS) is often hampered by low spectral resolution and metabolite peak overlap, especially to measure GABA and Glu/Glu concentrations. Reliable detection and quantization of metabolite concentrations is thus problematic. Two-dimensional (2D) 1H-MRS methodologies effectively increase spectral resolution by spreading metabolite resonances over a 2D surface and accounting for J-coupling resonances. We hypothesized that we would be able to determine measures of GABA and/or Glu in migraine and healthy subjects and that differences between two populations can be differentiated based on the chemical signature as has been done in other pain states (Foerster et al., 2012; Niddam et al., 2011) as well as acute pain (Cleve et al., 2015). We have previously shown that metabolites can differentiate healthy subjects from migraine patients using a linear discriminate analysis (LDA) approach (Prescott et al., 2009). Here in a larger patient population along with a QDA analysis we hope to gain a more thorough understanding of how metabolite concentrations (specifically GABA and Glu) differ and if the differences are in support of the current theory of a hyperexcitable migraine brain (Welch et al., 1990).

2. Methods

2.1. Subject selection

The local Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Partners Health Care approved the present study protocol, which met the requirements for investigations in human subjects. A total of 33 healthy control (HC; 25 females, 8 males, mean age = 32 ± 9.6 years) subjects and 32 migraine patients (MP; 23 females, 9 males, mean age = 33 ± 9.6 years) were included in this study. All subjects were between the ages of 18–50, right-handed, non-smokers, and had no significant history of other chronic pain, psychiatric, neurological or any other major disorders. At the beginning of each study visit, subjects were screened for depression (Beck Depression Inventory II (Beck et al., 1996), exclusionary score > 25 [moderate to severe depression]) as well as a urine test for barbiturates, benzodiazepines, amphetamine, cocaine, tetrahydrocannabinol, phenylcyclidine and opioids (excluding prescription pain medications). Healthy controls were also excluded if they were on any prescription medications or had any history of migraine. All migraine subjects fit the criteria for episodic migraine in accordance with the International Classification for Headache II and confirmed by a neurologist. The migraine subjects also had to have had a history of migraine for at least 3 years and were excluded if they were on any daily preventative medications for their migraine. In order to confirm the migraine subjects were in the interictal phase, we asked if they had an attack within 24 h leading to their study visit and then followed up with them 72 h after the study visit. If subjects experienced an attack within that window of time, they were disqualified.

2.2. 2D MRS data acquisition

All MR imaging and 2D J-resolved 1H MRS measurements were performed using a 3 Tesla Siemens (Erlangen, Germany) TIM Trio™ whole-body MRI system housed at McLean Hospital (Belmont, MA). Radiofrequency (RF) excitation and signal reception was achieved using a manufacturer-supplied circularly polarized body RF coil and a 12-channel phased array receive-only RF coil (operated in the four-cluster mode, 3 elements per cluster), respectively. Subjects were positioned supine and foam pads were utilized to fixate the head within the RF receive coil. Following the acquisition of low-resolution localizer MR images, high-resolution 3D magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (MP-RAGE; TR/TE/TI = 2000/3.53/1100 ms; FOV = 256 × 256 × 224 mm; isotropic 1 mm in-plane resolution) MRI data then were acquired to facilitate accurate MRS voxel positioning and for post hoc within-voxel tissue-type segmentation. All spectroscopy data were acquired from a voxel (30 × 22 × 25 mm3) positioned within the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), which was obliqued along the sagittal plane and positioned to cover predominantly gray matter. 80 shimming was performed over the MRS voxel using the manufacturer-supplied phase map method as well as additional interactive manual shimming until a full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of ≤12 Hz was observed for the real component of the unsuppressed water signal line width. 2D J-resolved 1H MRS data were recorded using a modified PRESS sequence as described in an earlier report (Prescott and Renshaw, 2013). Briefly, 2D MRS acquisition parameters were as follows: TR/TE = 2000/31–229 ms; ΔTE = 2 ms; 4 signal averages per TE step; 2D spectral width = 2000 × 500 Hz; 2D matrix size = 2048 × 100 Hz; maximum echo sampling based on the echo center of the first echo (i.e. TE = 31 ms); total acquisition time = 28 min 18 s. Outer-volume suppression and solvent water suppression were achieved using manufacturer-supplied techniques as described elsewhere (Prescott and Renshaw, 2013). Water unsuppressed 2D 1H MRS data also were recorded from the ACC voxel using 2 signal averages per TE step. The RF transmitter frequency was set relative to total ACC (3.0 ppm) and tissue water (4.7 ppm) resonances for the water suppressed and unsuppressed measurements, respectively.

2.3. Tissue segmentation

The BET (Smith, 2002) and FAST (Zhang et al., 2001) tools provided with the freely-available FMRIB Software Library (FSL) (Smith et al., 2004) were used to perform skull stripping and whole brain tissue segmentation, respectively. For each subject dataset, home-written MATLAB (version 2010b, The MathWorks, Natick, MA) functions then were used to extract the obliqued ACC MRS voxel to obtain within-voxel gray matter (GM), white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) tissue content for each subject. The GM percentage was calculated as the ratio to total brain matter (i.e. 100 × GM / [WM + GM]).
2.4. 2D MRS data processing

2D ¹H MRS data were stored as Siemens TWIX files and transferred to a personal computer system for pre-processing using MATLAB scripts. Recombination of the four receiver channels was performed using a scaled square-root of sum-of-squares conjugate reconstruction method for both water suppressed and unsuppressed data. For the metabolite water suppressed data, eddy current distortions initially were accounted for using an established time-domain method, (Klose, 1990) where each TE step from a given 2D ¹H MRS dataset was corrected using the corresponding water unsuppressed data recorded at the same TE. Following coil cluster combination and eddy current correction, the residual water signal was removed on a TE-by-TE basis using a Hankel singular value decomposition (HSVD) routine. Finally, the corrected and water filtered metabolite data were reconstructed to yield a 2D matrix characterized by 100 TE steps and 2048 complex points, and reformatted to produce individual file types recorded for analysis by the ProFit software. The ProFit algorithm was applied identically to all ACC 2D ¹H MRS data as detailed in previous reports, (Prescott and Remshaw, 2013; Schulte and Boesiger, 2006) where following zero-filling of the 2D matrix to 200 points along the indirectly detected dimension, 2D fast Fourier Transformation, and phase correction and frequency realignment, the software fitted the a basis set of nineteen metabolites in fully automated fashion. The basis set consisted of: total creatine (Cre), N-acetyl aspartate (NAA), glycero phosphorophorylcholine (GPC), phosphorylcholine (PCh), alanine (Ala), aspartate (Asp), γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), glucose (Glc), Glu, Glu, glycine (Gly), glutathione (GSH), lactate (Lac), myo-inositol (Ins), N-acetyl aspartylglutamate (NAAG), phosphoethanolamine (PE), taurine (Tau), scyllo-inositol (si), and ascorbic acid (Asc). The Cre methylene (CH₂) and methyl (CH₃) protons were fitted separately whereas the separate GPC and PCh peaks ultimately were considered as a composite resonance (Cho). The Cramer-Rao lower bound (CRLB) values reported by ProFit were used for assessing final metabolite fit precision. Metabolites with CRLB outputs of <20% are considered sufficient. Any CRLB values >20% were excluded from the analysis.

2.5. 2D MRS data statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) initially was performed using OriginLab (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA) to test for group differences for all metabolite/Cr ratios between healthy controls and migraineurs. In addition, we used a multivariate approach to classify migraineurs from healthy subjects based on the ratio of all 19 metabolites expressed as the ratio to Cr (features). A quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA) model was used in a forward feature selection wrapper method (Kohavi and John, 1997) as implemented in MATLAB, with the Sequential Forward Selection (sequentialfs) as determined using ProFit (see Figure legend for more details). Statistical group mean analysis failed to reveal significant differences for the Cre-normalized metabolite levels.

3. Results

3.1. Subjects

Clinical characteristics (including medication usage) for individual migraine subjects are given in Table 1 and all migraine subjects were matched with age-gender to controls.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject ID</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Disease duration (years)</th>
<th>Avg. migraine days/month</th>
<th>Visual aura</th>
<th>Medications for migraine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M01</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excedrin migraine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M02</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tylenol, ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M03</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Imitrex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M04</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Aspirin, tyleon, ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M05</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Excedrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M06</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tylenol, aleve, rizatriptan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M07</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tylenol, ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M08</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ibutrofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M09</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+ Acetaminophen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M10</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ibutrofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Aspirin, ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M13</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tylenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Naratriptan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M17</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sumatriptan, ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M18</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Imitrex, ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sumatriptan, aspirin, ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Imitrex, naproxen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tylenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M23</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ibutrofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M24</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ibutrofen, tylenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ibutrofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M28</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ibutrofen, excedrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Excedrin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. MRI/MRS

Fig. 1(a) shows tissue segmented axial and sagittal T₁[long]-weighted MRI data obtained from a single MP subject, displaying the typical ACC MRS voxel location used for the present study. Group analysis for within-tissue tissue composition revealed no significant differences between GM expressed as the percentage of total brain matter (HC 62 ± 4%; MP 60 ± 8%, p = 0.1), or CSF (HC 13 ± 6%; MP 13 ± 5%, p = 0.6). A representative 2D J-resolved ¹H MRS dataset recorded from the same subject is presented in Fig. 1(b), displaying the raw 2D spectral data and its estimated spectral fit as determined using ProFit (see Figure legend for more details). Statistical group mean analysis failed to reveal significant differences for the Cre-normalized metabolite levels.

3.3. Statistical analysis

Standard statistical analysis using ANOVA yielded no significant metabolite differences between the migraine and the healthy control groups. The results of feature extraction with cross-validation, however, indicated that 3 metabolite ratios were sufficient to minimize MCE. The resulting MCE was 0.0625, which corresponds to 1 subject in the test group and the 3 selected metabolite ratios were Asp/Cr, NAA/Cr, and Glu/Cr. Fig. 2 displays where the classification error is first minimized by increasing the number of features used for a cross-validation test of the discrimination. For these metabolites, the mean CRLB values were 13.8 ± 8.2% (HC) and 11.5 ± 4.3% (MP) for Asp, 0.8 ± 0.4% (HC) and 0.7 ± 0.3% (MP) for NAA, and 10.7 ± 3.6% (HC) and 9.4 ± 3.2% (MP) for Glu. No significant group differences existed for any of the
metabolite CRLB values. Neither the raw Cre amplitude (HC $6.26 \times 10^{-5} \pm 6.13 \times 10^{-6}$; MP $6.31 \times 10^{-5} \pm 7.33 \times 10^{-6}$; $p = 0.7$) nor the Cre CRLB values (HC $0.84 \pm 0.36$%; MP $0.74 \pm 0.17$%; $p = 0.14$) were found to significantly differ between the two groups. In addition, no significant symptom correlations were found after running a correlation coefficient analysis across multiple co-factors (age, sex, frequency, and aura).

4. Discussion

We report results on interictal measures of metabolites, reproduced and expanded from our initial findings previously reported (Prescott et al., 2009) albeit using a different statistical technique and with a larger cohort. Our findings indicated that three metabolite ratios were sufficient to minimize the minimum classification error (MCE) which is a measure of misclassification of erroneous group allocation. The approach used thus differentiated the patient group from the healthy controls at both a group and at a matched level. The analysis allows for the determination of discrimination of two or more groups (e.g., migraine vs. healthy) based on CRE-normalized levels of specific metabolites. The major finding relates to Gln (involved in both Glu and GABA metabolism) and the excitatory amino acid neurotransmitter Asp (see below). Changes in NAA may relate to a measure of ongoing physiological processes including neuronal osmoregulation and myelination (see
below). The metabolites Asp, NAA, and Gln as a group differentiate patients from controls but is not possible to state (with statistical significance) that they are either elevated or reduced compared to patients. We can state that the average of these metabolites in patients were smaller than in controls but did not achieve statistical significance. In addition, we report the metabolite ratios as a ‘complex’ in an effort to not only understand what changes have occurred but also to attempt an explanation of why, based on metabolite interaction.

4.1. The cingulate cortex, chemistry and migraine

As noted in the introduction we chose the ACC as a target to measure metabolic changes. We, and others, have observed functional and morphometric changes in the cingulate in episodic migraine (Maleki et al., 2012). As noted previously, the cingulate is involved in numerous processes in migraine behaviors (see Devinsky for a review (Devinsky et al., 1995)) such as interoception (Critchley et al., 2004), executive function (Carter et al., 2000), salience (Goldstein et al., 2009), pain modulation (Zhang et al., 2005), amongst others. Studies have noted changes in many of these functions in migrainers (Mainero et al., 2011; Niddam et al., 2015; Tessitore et al., 2015). As Shyu and Vogt have noted in their evaluation of cingulate sub-territory or region functions (Vogt, 2005), these differences may relate to “modifications of cingulate synapses (from repeated migraine attacks) appear to regulate afferent signals that may be important to the transition from acute to chronic pain conditions associated with persistent peripheral nociceptive stimulation” (Shyu and Vogt, 2009).

Receptor architecture of the ACC has been reviewed (Palomero-Gallagher et al., 2008). Furthermore in vivo receptor binding studies in humans, using positron emission tomography, have reported a number of receptors in the region including dopamine D2 or D1 (Olver et al., 2010; Suhara et al., 2002), GABA and benzodiazapine (Oblak et al., 2009) as well as Glu receptors (Zavitsanou et al., 2002). Evaluation of metabolic changes, that include molecules that may act on some of these receptors (e.g., GABA or Glu) in the cingulate have been reported across a number of disease states, including generalized anxiety disorders (Srawn et al., 2013), obsessive compulsive disorders (Brennan et al., 2015), autism (Baruth et al., 2013), alcoholism (Cohen-Gilbert et al., 2015) and healthy subjects (Kuhn et al., 2015).

4.2. 2D resolved measures define metabolites that allow for segregation of patient vs. controls

In our prior report we found that in interictal migraines there may be alterations in excitatory amino acids in the cingulate cortex of migrainers compared with healthy controls (Prescot et al., 2009). This was a small study of 10 patients and 10 controls. In this study 33 patients and 32 healthy matched controls were evaluated. The results reported here show that there were 3 metabolite ratio’s - NAA/Cr, Asp/Cr and Gln/Cr - that could provide a segregation of the disease vs. healthy state:

NAA is widely distributed in the CNS in both neurons and glia (Baslow, 2003). It is synthesized from Asp and acetyl-coenzyme A in neurons (Moffett et al., 2007). It serves in a number of putative roles including being a potential marker for neuronal health as measured using MRS techniques (Luyten and den Hollander, 1986). It may play a role in CNS metabolism, nitrogen balance, neuronal osmoregulation and axonoglial signaling (Moffett et al., 2007). In the healthy brain, NAA is one of the largest peaks in the acquired MRS spectrum. The ratio between NAA versus total creatine (NAA/Cr), have been found to be clinically useful, since the latter usually remains constant (Miller, 1991). Most clinical studies using MRS have reported decreases in NAA levels (e.g., ischemia (Berthet et al., 2014), stroke (Igarashi et al., 2015), multiple sclerosis (Aboul-Enein et al., 2010), traumatic brain injury (Moffett et al., 2013). Impairments of energy metabolism decrease NAA levels in the brain. Given NAA’s main role in energy metabolism and a source of acetate for fatty acid and steroid synthesis in oligodendrocytes (Moffett et al., 2007), what could its putative role in migraine be? The migraine brain has been proposed to be (1) hyperexcitable or have alterations in migraine energetics due to potential mitochondrial dysfunction. Consistent with these findings, 1H-MRS studies have evaluated metabolite ratios in cluster headache in the hypothalamus and show that the NAA/Cr ratio is lower in patients with cluster headache vs. chronic migraine or controls (Wang et al., 2006). As in the case of other conditions, the decrease in NAA may correlate with progressive changes in migraine, worsened with increasing frequency (Mohamed et al., 2013) or chronicity (Lai et al., 2015). As reported for TBI, for example, decreased NAA may be associated with an impairment of acetyl coenzyme A dependent functions (viz., energy derivation, lipid synthesis, and protein acetylation) (Vagnozzi et al., 2007).

Gln is a major metabolite of Glu, a principle excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain (Albrecht et al., 2010). Gln on the other hand is synthesized exclusively in gial cells from Glu and ammonia by the enzyme glutamine synthetase as a means for the brain to protect itself against excitotoxicity from excess Glu and ammonia (Suarez et al., 2002). Subsequently, Gln is released back into the extracellular space, shuttled back into neurons and converted to Glu by glutaminase. The Glu that is regenerated may then go on to play a direct role in excitatory neurotransmission (Prescot et al., 2009). Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) Gln, Gly and taurine (Tau) concentrations are elevated in migrainers (Rothrock et al., 1995) suggesting glurgeic systems are likely to be altered in the migraine brain. It has been postulated that increased brain Glu leads to cortical hyperexcitability typical of migraine (Goadsby, 2005).

Asp is a major excitatory amino acid in the nervous system. It acts on n-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptors. Measures of Asp are not normally reported in MRS studies. Our method has allowed for a more specific determination of metabolites rendering signals from metabolites that could not previously be parsed out such as Asp. The amino acid is also part of a metabolic pathway involved in making NAA (Bates et al., 2010). While alterations in metabolites were reported in our prior study in a small sample of migraine patients, the method used here is more sensitive: Gln and NAAG (a molecule of NAA and covalently bonded Glu) were the linear discriminants. Here we observed that Gln is again noted as a differentiator and NAA (previously NAAG), and Asp, all related to each other metabolically. Thus, although a slightly different method was employed, we find somewhat consistent results. The confirmation of Gln is of particular interest since in this static evaluation of metabolites, the changes may represent abnormalities in a pathway related to both Glu and GABA synthesis.

4.4. Lack of GABA and glutamate changes

Although we had surmised that we should be able to evaluate Glu and GABA, such changes have been hard to define in migraine (Bridge et al., 2015) and other chronic pain conditions (Foerster et al., 2015). Evaluation of changes during a migraine attack (ictally) compared with interictal timepoints may be one issue. During a migraine, while the release of excitatory amino acids including Glu and Asp may be ongoing, as noted above, the changes are at micro-molar levels in the synaptic clefts. Such changes cannot be measured using MRS. However, what we observed were changes in Gln – the storage system of Glu. Interictically the alterations in this metabolite, synthesized in glial cells,
may represent a major abnormality in migraine. For example, there may be a malfunction with Gln transport into neurons. The method however, does not evaluate dynamic changes in Glu and indeed could not measure micro-molar levels in the synaptic clefts. More novel methods such as 13C spectroscopy are needed (Gruetter et al., 2003; Yen et al., 2011). 13C spectroscopy is a method using an infusion of 13C labelled glucose that then gets incorporated into cell cycle (i.e., CREB). This method may allow for understanding how metabolic forces may be skewed in conditions such as migraine by observing alterations in Gln shuffling (de Graaf et al., 2003).

Unlike Glu and Glx, GABA does not have a major role in metabolism, and as such, 1H-MRS-derived GABA levels may be more indicative of neural activity (Muthukumaraswamy et al., 2009). If the brain were functioning normally, then an increase in Glu (or GABA precursors) would indicate an increase in GABA (Eichler and Meier, 2008). Our results suggest the possibility of excess excitation from excess Glu but no excess inhibition by excess GABA. The Glu to GABA balance is imperative for normal neuronal activity and without it may ultimately lead to a hyperexcitable brain.

5. Caveats

5.1. Sensitivity

The method reported here allows for an improved spectral analysis. However, there are issues with spectroscopy including acquisition (e.g., movement, shimming standards) or voxel location in the brain. In this sample there was <1 mm movement and the voxel placement was always performed in a routine manner by the same individual. Variance of concentration measures may also be present (van de Bank et al., 2015).

5.2. Metabolite-to-create ratios

Although metabolite ratios are commonly used to report changes (Ling et al., 2015), determining absolute concentrations is also becoming a usual approach. Both methods have advantages and disadvantages including factors affecting water quantification (Hernandez-Tamames et al., 2016) or scanning parameters and models (Yamamoto et al., 2015). Furthermore, pathological changes may affect metabolic ratios (Cheong et al., 2006). Potential utilization of absolute quantification in the clinical setting might require additional resources and expertise not easily available and metabolite ratios might be a solution to assess changes in brain chemistry.

5.3. Patient phenotype

In this cohort patients were recruited primarily for the frequency of migraine. Thus we have a group of patients with high and low frequency attacks (i.e., episodic migraine). Some patients also had auras. Our analysis did not show any differences when these and other variables (including sex or age) were evaluated.

5.4. Medications

As noted in our patient inclusion criteria (see Methods), patients recruited were taking only either NSAIDS, triptans or both. Drugs including NSAIDS may alter chemistry directly and thus may confound or contribute to the observed changes.

6. Conclusion

Our results indicate changes in certain metabolites in migraineurs compared to controls. Our results also replicate and further validate a previous, smaller study (Prescot et al., 2009). They also extend metabolites to differentiate patient controls from including now NAA, Gln and aspartate. Understanding the biochemical alterations in the brains of migraine patients may allow for targeted new therapeutic approaches of treatment as well as an approach to better understanding of the effects of medications on the brain.
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