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Severe pediatric ocular injury due to explosion of a firecracker inside a soda bottle

Tarek A Shazly
Department of Ophthalmology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Abstract: This case report describes a penetrating ocular injury, followed by endophthalmitis, in a four-year-old girl, resulting from explosion of a small K0201 match-cracker inside a soda bottle. The patient presented with two corneal lacerations, ruptured crystalline lens, multiple intraocular foreign bodies, and hyphema of the right eye, for which immediate surgical exploration and repair was performed. The patient developed aggressive endophthalmitis that led to atrophy of the eye within a few weeks. Severe ocular injuries can result from small, relatively “safe” firecrackers. Therefore, this type of firework should not be used, especially by minors.
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Introduction
A firecracker is a small explosive device, primarily designed to produce a large amount of noise, and any visual effect is incidental to this goal. Firecrackers are commonly used in celebration of holidays or festivals. According to the US Department of Transportation 1991 classification of dangerous goods, firecrackers are classified as Division 1.4 (Class C) explosives. This type of firework is ignited by scratching, hence the name match-cracker. Use of this kind of fireworks is legal in many parts of the world, including the US.

Small firecrackers are known to cause burns, abrasion, and multiple foreign body impactions of the lid and cornea, but not penetrating ocular injuries, especially with the small firecrackers. To the best of the author’s knowledge, this is the first report of a devastating ocular trauma resulting from such a small firecracker.

Case report
A four-year-old girl presented with lacrimation, photophobia, and blepharospasm two hours following injury to her right eye while playing outdoors with her brother. Visual acuity could not be assessed. Examination revealed two corneal lacerations. The larger one was 6 mm long, extending from the apex of the cornea to the superior-temporal limbus. The smaller one was a 2 mm laceration, 1 mm anterior and parallel to the 12 o'clock limbus. The iris prolapsed through the larger corneal laceration, with partial hyphema, and a ruptured crystalline lens. A B-scan ultrasound showed an intravitreal foreign body, and a computed tomography scan confirmed its presence, along with another intralenticular foreign body.

The patient underwent immediate surgical repair of the corneal wounds using interrupted 10/0 nylon sutures, irrigation/aspiration of the blood and lens matter.
from the anterior chamber, and extraction of a $5 \times 2$ mm intralenticular glass chip.

By the end of the surgical repair, a less than 1 mm glass foreign body was visualized in the posterior third of the vitreous inferior to the macula. Given the inert nature of the foreign body, lack of any signs of endophthalmitis, and the limited visibility due to edema around the corneal lacerations, it was preferred not to do an immediate vitrectomy.

On the second day, the anterior chamber was full of hypopyon, and the cornea was edematous (Figure 1). A B-scan showed moderate amplitude echoes all over the vitreous cavity.

After drawing a purulent vitreous sample, vancomycin 1 mg/0.1 mL and amikacin 0.4 mg/0.1 mL were slowly injected into the vitreous cavity. Topical gatifloxacin (0.3%) and prednisolone acetate (1%) were used hourly, and atropine sulphate (1%) was used twice daily. By the next day, the cornea started to melt down, and wounds started to gape despite the treatment.

Culture and sensitivity revealed *Staphylococcus aureus* sensitive to vancomycin. Intravitreal injection of vancomycin and amikacin was repeated, with no improvement. During the following weeks the inflammation subsided, and the eye ultimately atrophied over the following months.

**Discussion**

Careful questioning revealed that the patient’s unsupervised eight-year-old brother had ignited a small K0201 firecracker, put it in an empty glass soda bottle, and then threw it away. This innovative way of using firecrackers converted a relatively safe explosive into a grenade. The enormous volume of gases produced on combustion of the firecracker powder caused the bottle to explode, with numerous flying glass fragments. Unlike a metallic grenade, in which the flying fragments tend to be very hot and sterile, an exploding glass bottle produces cold, potentially infected flying fragments, which adds risk of infection to the mechanical trauma.

Although there is no clear consensus regarding the use of broad-spectrum parenteral antibiotics in the treatment of endophthalmitis following traumatic injuries of the eye, many clinicians follow the Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study guidelines by using intravitreal injection without parenteral or periocular antibiotics for patients with hand motion or better vision. More aggressive treatment, including early vitrectomy, has been recommended, because of the more virulent nature of causative organisms involved in post-traumatic endophthalmitis compared with organisms causing postcataract surgery endophthalmitis.

Unusual causes of ocular injuries are encountered in developing countries. Injuries due to chemicals, cigarettes, and medical syringes have been reported. Lack of strict regulations controlling access of children to these hazardous materials leads to disastrous visual outcomes in young children every year.

In this case report, it is emphasized that even the smaller low-energy firecrackers that are supposed to be safe can result in severe ocular injuries and loss of vision. More strict regulations should be applied to these types of explosives.

**Conclusion**

Firecrackers are commonly used in celebration of festivals. Small firecrackers can cause burns and abrasion of the lid and cornea, but not penetrating ocular injuries. To the best of the author’s knowledge, this is the first report of a devastating ocular trauma resulting from such a small and “safe” firecracker.
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