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Urban and rural prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes and risk factors associated with diabetes in Tanzania and Uganda
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Background: The increase in prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa underlines the importance of understanding its magnitude and causes in different population groups. We analyzed data from the Africa/Harvard Partnership for Cohort Research and Training (PaCT) studies to determine the prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes and risk factors associated with diabetes.

Methodology: Participants were randomly selected from peri-urban (n = 297) and rural (n = 200) communities in Uganda, and teachers were recruited from schools (n = 229) in urban Tanzania. We used a standardized questionnaire to collect socio-demographic and self-reported disease status including diabetes status. Blood glucose was also measured after participants fasted for 8 h. We used standard protocols for anthropometric and blood pressure measurement.

Results: The overall prevalence of diabetes was 10.1% and was highest in rural Ugandan residents (16.1%) compared to teachers in Tanzania (8.3%) and peri-urban Ugandan residents (7.6%). The prevalence of pre-diabetes was 13.8%. The prevalence of self-reported diabetes was low across all sites, where 68% of participants with diabetes were not captured by self-report. In multivariable logistic regression analysis, family history (OR 2.5, 95% CI: 1.1, 5.6) and hypertension (OR 2.3, 95% CI: 1.1, 5.2) were significantly associated with diabetes.

Conclusions: The prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes in Uganda and Tanzania is high, differs markedly between population groups, and remains undiagnosed in an alarmingly high proportion of individuals. These findings highlight the need for large-scale, prospective studies to accurately quantify the burden and identify effective intervention and treatment strategies across diverse African populations.
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Introduction

The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimates that 19.8 million people have diabetes in Africa where approximately 75% are still undiagnosed (1). Type 2 diabetes (T2D) contributes up to 90% of all cases of diabetes (2). The increase in diabetes prevalence in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) has grown in parallel with the increase in obesity and other cardiovascular risk factors (3). Countries with the highest estimated numbers of persons with diabetes include Nigeria (3.9 million), South Africa (2.6 million), Ethiopia (1.9 million), and Tanzania (1.7 million) (1). Diabetes exerts a huge societal burden by reducing quality of life and life expectancy, as well as causing economic loss to individuals and nations (4).

Rapid urbanization, increasingly sedentary lifestyles, and unhealthy eating habits have contributed largely to the increased prevalence of diabetes, estimated to be 5.7% and expected to rise to 6% by 2035 (1). The prevalence of pre-diabetes, a transition stage with blood glucose levels higher than normal but not high enough to be diagnosed as diabetes, is currently at 8.3% and expected to rise to 9.3% by 2035. Therefore, interventions to control the epidemic of diabetes and hyperglycemia-related vascular complications should start at this early stage of its development (5).

The prevalence of diabetes in Tanzania and Uganda, two SSA countries with comparable socioeconomic status, is estimated at 7.8% (Tanzania) and 4.1% (Uganda), while impaired glucose tolerance is estimated at 9.1% in Tanzania and 6.6% in Uganda (1). The estimated number of undiagnosed patients is 469.3 per 1,000 and 1281.7 per 1,000 in Uganda and Tanzania, respectively (1). The health delivery service structure for Tanzania and Uganda is pyramidal with primary health care services at its base. Despite policy stating that primary care facilities should provide services for diabetes, studies have demonstrated that most dispensaries and health centers do not provide such services. Lack of guidelines, basic supplies, diagnostic tools, and training are the frequently cited reasons for the underutilization of primary health care in providing diabetes care (6, 7).

Although communicable diseases remain the most common causes of morbidity and mortality in low-income countries, the rapid increase in the prevalence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) including diabetes creates a challenge for prevention and treatment. Data for diabetes in SSA are sparse and often from single-country studies. Lack of comprehensive studies on diabetes etiology and risk factors creates a knowledge gap (8). There is an urgent need to obtain local data in order to implement locally applicable preventive strategies.

We report on data from the Africa/HSPH Partnership for Cohort Research and Training (PaCT), an initiative that aims to conduct a large prospective study in South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, and Nigeria to gain knowledge on risk factors for NCDs including diabetes. The aim of this analysis from pilot studies was to determine the prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes and its associated risk factors in Tanzania and Uganda.

Materials and methods

Recruitment

The PaCT study recruited participants from five African sites including teachers in Tanzania and South Africa, geographic residents from two sites in Uganda, and nurses in Nigeria. A full description of the study and participants has been described previously (9). We report here on data from a subset of participants from whom fasting blood glucose (FBG) was collected. These included 73.8% of the Tanzanian participants (169/229), 88.2% of the participants from peri-urban Uganda (262/297), and 77.5% of the participants from rural Uganda (155/200), which are described briefly below.

Participants from Tanzania were primary school teachers in Dar es Salaam, recruited from 18 randomly selected public schools in the Temeke District. Dar es Salaam is a major commercial city in Tanzania and is growing rapidly. According to the 2012 census, the population is 4.4 million people. Primary school teachers form the bulk of workforce in the public sector in Tanzania and have diverse social-economic backgrounds. At each selected school, the principal was contacted and in charge of distributing the questionnaire packets and instructions to the teachers. This packet included a written consent form and an appointment card for the Temeke District Hospital where physical measurements and a blood sample were provided. Teachers were asked to mail the questionnaires back in a pre-stamped envelope. In total, 229 teachers were enrolled.

Participants from Uganda were enrolled from two geographic regions: a peri-urban community in the Wakiso District, and a rural community in the Bushenyi District. Wakiso District is a peri-urban community, about 15 km from the capital Kampala. It is the most populated district in Uganda with a total population of two million and 59.2% of the population is within 5 km radius of a health unit (10, 11). Bushenyi, a predominantly rural district, is located in South Western Uganda and has a population of 250,000 of which 70% is within a walkable distance to a health facility (10, 12).

In Wakiso District, two parishes consisting of 13 villages were randomly selected for inclusion into the study. Participants were recruited in-person through house visits. Written consent was taken or read aloud if the participant was illiterate. In Bushenyi District, households were randomly selected from an enumerated list of all the households in each village. Trained research assistants recruited participants in-person through house visits. At both sites, interviews were done face-to-face and physical measurements and blood samples were
taken on-site. In total, 297 people agreed to participate in peri-urban Uganda and 200 agreed to participate in rural Uganda.

Data collection
The administered questionnaire was standardized across all sites and translated into kiSwahili in Tanzania and Luganda and Runyakitara in Uganda. The questionnaire relied on self-report of current medical conditions, family history of disease, and any treatment received. Main questions on diabetes included the following: Do you have a close family member(s) with diabetes or high blood sugar? Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health worker that you have high blood sugar or diabetes? Close family members included parents and first-degree relatives.

Blood glucose was measured in a fasting state (at least 8 h) at all three sites by finger prick using blood glucose meters that were calibrated for plasma glucose values. Diabetes mellitus was defined according to the WHO and IDF guidelines. Participants were categorized as having diabetes if their FBG levels were \( \geq 7.0 \) mmol/l (126 mg/dl) or they self-reported having diabetes, and pre-diabetes if they had impaired fasting glucose defined as FBG of 6.1–6.9 mmol/l (110–125 mg/dl) with no self-reported history of diabetes (13, 14).

Standardized approaches for physical measurements including height, weight, abdominal circumference, and blood pressure were used at all three sites. These were recorded by health providers at Temeke District Hospital in Tanzania, and by trained study staff in Uganda. Physical measurements were used to determine body mass index (BMI). A BMI of 25–29.9 kg/m\(^2\) was classified as being overweight, a BMI of \( \geq 30 \) kg/m\(^2\) as being obese and a BMI of \(< 18.5 \) kg/m\(^2\) as underweight. Abdominal obesity was defined as having a waist circumference of \( > 102 \) cm in males and \( > 88 \) cm in females. Three blood pressure measurements were taken in sitting position at least 5 min apart using a digital blood pressure device. The average of the last two readings was used for analysis. Hypertension was defined as having a systolic blood pressure \( \geq 140 \) mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure \( \geq 90 \) mmHg or self-reporting hypertension.

Ethical approval
Ethical approval was obtained through The Harvard School of Public Health Institutional Review Board; Makerere University School of Public Health Higher Degrees Research and Ethics Committee; Mbarara University of Science and Technology Research Ethics Committee; and the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology; and National Institute for Medical Research, Tanzania.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 16 software. Frequencies and percentages were calculated. Chi square or Fisher’s exact test was used to compare differences between groups. Variables with \( p < 0.1 \) in univariate analysis were further analyzed with multivariable logistic regression to determine their independent association with diabetes. Differences between groups were considered significant if the \( p \) value was \( < 0.05 \).

Results
Participant characteristics
Out of a total of 726 participants recruited in Tanzania and Uganda, 586 provided blood samples and had valid blood glucose readings. The characteristics of study participants are shown in Table 1. The distribution of participants by site was 26.5% from rural Uganda, 44.7% from peri-urban Uganda, and 28.8% from urban Tanzania. Across all sites, the majority of participants were females (61.4%) and the mean age was 36.8 (± 13.4) years ranging from 18 to 80 years old. Uganda peri-urban participants were relatively younger (mean age 36.1 years), compared to rural Uganda (37.0 years) and Tanzania (40.0 years).

Prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes
Table 2 summarizes the prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes across all sites. Overall, 10.1% of participants had diabetes as defined by FBG or self-report and 13.8% had pre-diabetes. The prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes was higher in rural Uganda (16.1 and 26.5%, respectively) compared to other sites.

Diabetes prevalence versus self-reported diabetes status is presented in Table 2 and Fig. 1. The overall prevalence of self-reported diabetes was 3.8% (22/586), while the prevalence of diabetes, defined by having an FBG \( \geq 7.0 \) mmol/l or self-reporting diabetes status, was 10.1%. Self-reported diabetes prevalence was lower compared to the calculated diabetes prevalence in peri-urban Uganda (2.7% vs 7.6%) and rural Uganda (0.6% vs 16.1%), while in Tanzania, all cases of diabetes were captured by self-report (8.3%). Underdiagnoses of diabetes were more pronounced in rural Uganda, where 95.6% of participants who had FBG \( \geq 7.0 \) mmol/l self-reported that they did not have diabetes. In peri-urban Uganda, 65.0% of participants who had FBG \( \geq 7.0 \) mmol/l self-reported that they did not have diabetes (Fig. 1). Overall, 68.2% of cases were not captured by self-report.

Risk factors for diabetes
Association of selected risk factors for diabetes is presented in Table 3. Persons from rural Uganda were twice as likely to develop diabetes compared to those in other sites (16.1% versus 8.3% versus 7.6%). Factors that were statistically significantly associated with diabetes in
bivariate analysis were 50 years old or older (OR 3.3, 95% CI: 1.4, 7.8), those who ever smoked (OR 2.7, 95% CI: 1.4, 5.1), marital status (divorce/separated) (OR 0.3, 95% CI: 0.1, 1.1), family history of diabetes (OR 2.0, 95% CI: 1.1, 3.6), and history of hypertension (OR 2.2, 95% CI: 1.2, 3.9).

All these factors were entered into a multivariable logistic regression model; the results are presented in Table 3. Having a family history of diabetes (OR 2.3, 95% CI: 1.1, 5.6 compared to no family history) and having hypertension (OR 2.3, 95% CI: 1.1, 5.2) were independently associated with a more than double odds of having diabetes.

Table 4 summarizes risk factors for diabetes compared to those without diabetes across the three sites. Smoking and hypertension were significant risk factors for diabetes in rural Uganda ($p < 0.01$ and $p = 0.03$), while abdominal obesity and a family history of diabetes were significant risk factors in peri-urban Uganda ($p = 0.04$ and $p = 0.01$).

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population by sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>Uganda rural n (%)</th>
<th>Uganda peri-urban n (%)</th>
<th>Tanzania n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>586 (100)</td>
<td>155 (26.5)</td>
<td>262 (44.7)</td>
<td>169 (28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>226 (38.6)</td>
<td>75 (48.4)</td>
<td>125 (47.7)</td>
<td>26 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>360 (61.4)</td>
<td>80 (51.6)</td>
<td>137 (52.3)</td>
<td>143 (84.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–29</td>
<td>144 (24.6)</td>
<td>36 (23.2)</td>
<td>91 (35.1)</td>
<td>16 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>170 (29.0)</td>
<td>57 (36.8)</td>
<td>51 (19.5)</td>
<td>62 (36.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>112 (19.1)</td>
<td>35 (22.6)</td>
<td>35 (13.4)</td>
<td>42 (24.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 50</td>
<td>94 (16.0)</td>
<td>19 (12.3)</td>
<td>37 (14.1)</td>
<td>38 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD)</td>
<td>36.8 (13.4)</td>
<td>37.0 (10.8)</td>
<td>36.1 (15.4)</td>
<td>40.0 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked</td>
<td>510 (87.0)</td>
<td>113 (72.9)</td>
<td>233 (89.3)</td>
<td>164 (97.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever smoked</td>
<td>75 (12.8)</td>
<td>42 (27.1)</td>
<td>28 (10.7)</td>
<td>5 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>251 (43.0)</td>
<td>76 (50.0)</td>
<td>145 (58.2)</td>
<td>31 (25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>17 (2.9)</td>
<td>4 (2.6)</td>
<td>13 (5.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight/obesity</td>
<td>253 (43.2)</td>
<td>72 (47.4)</td>
<td>91 (36.5)</td>
<td>90 (74.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>282 (48.1)</td>
<td>123 (79.4)</td>
<td>113 (43.1)</td>
<td>46 (37.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal obesity</td>
<td>257 (43.9)</td>
<td>32 (20.6)</td>
<td>149 (56.9)</td>
<td>76 (62.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of diabetes b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>471 (80.7)</td>
<td>143 (92.3)</td>
<td>200 (76.6)</td>
<td>128 (76.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>113 (19.3)</td>
<td>12 (7.7)</td>
<td>61 (23.4)</td>
<td>40 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>349 (59.6)</td>
<td>108 (83.1)</td>
<td>191 (73.7)</td>
<td>50 (37.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>173 (29.5)</td>
<td>22 (16.9)</td>
<td>68 (26.3)</td>
<td>83 (62.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aWaist circumference > 102 cm in males and > 88 cm in females; bParents and first-degree relatives; cSystolic BP of ≥ 140 or diastolic BP ≥ 90 or self-report.

Table 2. Prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes by site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diabetes a n (%)</th>
<th>Self-reported diabetes b n (%)</th>
<th>Pre-diabetes c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>59 (10.1)</td>
<td>22 (3.8)</td>
<td>81 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda rural residents</td>
<td>25 (16.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>41 (26.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda peri-urban residents</td>
<td>20 (7.6)</td>
<td>7 (2.7)</td>
<td>36 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania urban teachers</td>
<td>14 (8.3)</td>
<td>14 (8.3)</td>
<td>4 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aFasting blood glucose (FBG) of ≥ 7.0 mmol/l or self-report; bSelf-report only; cFBG of 6.1 mmol/l to 6.9 mmol/l and never been diagnosed with diabetes.
Age was the only risk factor associated with diabetes in Tanzania ($p = 0.03$).

**Discussion**

We found a high prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes across three sites in Tanzania and Uganda. The most dramatic finding was in rural Uganda, where the prevalence of diabetes was 16.6% and double that of our urban sites. Of concern, the vast majority of Ugandan participants with clinical diabetes were unaware of their status, in comparison to teachers in Tanzania where all participants were aware. This difference in prevalence, and the disparate knowledge of diabetes among different populations, needs both further exploration and urgent public health intervention.

Past literature has indicated that the prevalence of diabetes in rural populations is lower compared to urban populations (15). As far as we are aware, the highest reported prevalence of diabetes in a rural population in Africa was 8.8% in South Africa (16); however, our report from rural Uganda is double this figure. The prevalence of diabetes at our urban sites in Uganda and Tanzania is consistent with other studies of urban SSA populations (17).

The reasons for this high rural prevalence and lack of awareness may be due to the concentration of efforts to control NCDs in urban areas, while rural settlers may remain unaware of diabetes risk factors and prevention. However, other causes, such as changes to dietary patterns, may be important. Furthermore, the majority of participants with diabetes in our study were between 35 and 44 years old. This is consistent with other reports that diabetes affects younger people in Africa compared to western societies (1).

Substantial underdiagnosis of diabetes was evident in our study. Although all cases were captured by self-report in Tanzania, in Uganda, 65% of cases were not self-reported in peri-urban areas and 96% were not self-reported at the rural site. Studies in Uganda have reported a high proportion of undiagnosed diabetes, as high as 49%, while in rural Guinea, the proportion has been reported up to 100% (15, 18). The emphasis on the diagnosis and treatment of communicable diseases has meant that many healthcare professionals and institutions lack the tools needed to properly diagnose and treat diabetes, especially in rural settings (6). Knowledge of diabetes status may have been prominent in Tanzania due to the inclusion of primary school teachers who have relatively higher levels of education and are required to have medical examination at enrollment.

As expected, people with diabetes were more likely to have a family history of diabetes compared to people without diabetes. The high prevalence of a family history of diabetes among people without diabetes could indicate a future increase in the number of diabetes cases and is similar to other reported studies (19). Indeed, studies have found that a positive family history of diabetes is associated with a two- to six-fold increased risk of diabetes compared to those without diabetes (20). In peri-urban Uganda and Tanzania, the prevalence of a family history of diabetes among people with diabetes was similar to that without diabetes.
Although a family history of diabetes was markedly lower in rural Uganda, based on the considerable underdiagnosis of diabetes among participants themselves, it is likely due to under-reporting. Participants with diabetes were more likely to have hypertension than those without diabetes, which is consistent with other studies in SSA where diabetes was associated with hypertension but not with BMI or waist circumference (22, 23). BMI and waist circumference cutoff points derived from Caucasians have not been consistent predictors of diabetes in SSA.

Managing diabetes is a challenge in many parts of the world, more so in low-income countries where shortages of medical supplies and lack of medical insurance is common. Studies conducted in hospital settings reported poor glycemic control (FBG > 7.2%) in up to 78% of patients in Tanzania (24) and 57% in Uganda (25). This information, coupled with evidence that the complications of diabetes are poorly managed in SSA, is alarming (8).

The higher proportion of undiagnosed cases of diabetes, particularly in rural communities, calls for instituting the screening of high-risk people, especially those with hypertension.

There are limitations to this study. Firstly, it is cross-sectional, and therefore the temporal direction of associations cannot be determined. The study population in Tanzania was limited to teachers and thus the findings may not be representative of the underlying urban population of Tanzania.
population. Moreover, participants from Tanzania were all from urban settings, therefore limiting generalizability to rural areas. Some of the information was collected through self-report, which is subject to recall bias. The sample size was small, therefore limiting detailed analyses of risk factors. These limitations underline the importance of future large prospective studies of diabetes and other NCDs in SSA.

The findings from this study support evidence that there is a serious burden of diabetes in SSA. The prevalence in rural populations is not rare, and may be vastly under-appreciated. Large-scale, prospective cohort studies are needed to accurately quantify the burden of diabetes and its co-morbidities, understand the etiology of these diseases, and identify effective intervention and treatment strategies across diverse African populations (26). PaCT aims to scale the study to a significantly larger population that includes innovative smartphone technology for better detection and the design of interventions for this common chronic ailment. With this type of mobile technology, we will be able to receive continuous data of the behavioral patterns important for disease management, including foot care, medication compliance, and physical activity that ultimately allows for effective intervention.
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