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Dose–response relationship between sports activity and musculoskeletal pain in adolescents
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Abstract
Physical activity has multiple health benefits but may also increase the risk of developing musculoskeletal pain (MSP). However, the relationship between physical activity and MSP has not been well characterized. This study examined the dose–response relationship between sports activity and MSP among adolescents. Two school-based serial surveys were conducted 1 year apart in adolescents aged 12 to 18 years in Unnan, Japan. Self-administered questionnaires were completed by 2403 students. Associations between time spent in organized sports activity and MSP were analyzed cross-sectionally (n = 2403) and longitudinally (n = 374, students free of pain and in seventh or 10th grade at baseline) with repeated-measures Poisson regression and restricted cubic splines, with adjustment for potential confounders. The prevalence of overall pain, defined as having pain recently at least several times a week in at least one part of the body, was 27.4%. In the cross-sectional analysis, sports activity was significantly associated with pain prevalence. Each additional 1 h/wk of sports activity was associated with a 3% higher probability of having pain (prevalence ratio = 1.03, 95% confidence interval = 1.02–1.04). Similar trends were found across causes (traumatic and nontraumatic pain) and anatomic locations (upper limbs, lower back, and lower limbs). In longitudinal analysis, the risk ratio for developing pain at 1-year follow-up per 1 h/wk increase in baseline sports activity was 1.03 (95% confidence interval = 1.02–1.05). Spline models indicated a linear association (P < 0.001) but not a nonlinear association (P ≥ 0.45). The more the adolescents played sports, the more likely they were to have and develop pain.
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1. Introduction
Musculoskeletal pain (MSP) is a common health problem among adolescents, with a prevalence ranging from 4% to 40%. In school-aged youth, MSP is associated with not only functional disability and lower quality of life but also future risk of MSP during adulthood. Musculoskeletal pain can be severe enough to require prescription pain medications, which can lead to opioid misuse among youth. Pain medications were used for nonmedical reasons by 4.6% of U.S. adolescents in 2013. Physical activity, including organized sports, has multiple health benefits for children and adolescents. However, participation in sports may involve intense physical demands and thus results in a higher risk of MSP. The results of previous studies that investigated the relationship between physical activity and pain have been inconsistent; some reported that physical activity increased the risks of lower back and lower limb pain, and overall MSP, and widespread pain, whereas others reported the opposite, suggesting that physical activity reduced the risk of back pain. In addition, whether a nonlinear dose–response relationship exists is uncertain. There may be a U-shaped curve, ie, both too little and too much physical activity may increase the risk of MSP, or there may be a peak or a plateau in the curve. However, most previous studies only evaluated categories of physical activity (eg, tertiles) or tested for a simple linear trend and did not explicitly explore the dose–response curve. To optimize the safety and benefits of physical activity and organized sports for adolescents, understanding the shape of the dose–response curve is important.

We aimed to examine the dose–response relationship between sports activity and MSP among adolescents. In particular, we aimed to test for linear and nonlinear associations using both cross-sectional and longitudinal study designs.

2. Methods
2.1. Study design
All junior high schools (7 schools, seventh to ninth grades, student age 12-15 years) and high schools (3 schools, 10th-12th grades,
student age 15-18 years) in Unnan (population 43,520, area 553.4 km²), Shimane, Japan, participated in this study. In October 2008, and again in October 2009, identical self-administered questionnaires were distributed to all the students in the participating schools (2267 and 2212 students in 2008 and 2009, respectively) and returned through the schools. Responses from students who received special needs education and questionnaires with invalid responses (blank forms) were excluded. In total, 1783 (78.7%) of the students in 2008 and 1897 (85.8%) of the students in 2009 answered the questionnaires. An explanation of the students’ right to decline to answer any question and general information about the study were provided in a letter to the parents or guardians of the students that was included with the questionnaire. Students signed the questionnaire and returned it in an unlabeled envelope. Individual student’s answers were not provided to their teachers or sports coaches. This study was approved by the research ethics committee of the Physical Education and Medicine Research Center UNNAN (H19-7-23-5).

We conducted cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses of the data collected. In the cross-sectional analysis, data from both years (2008 and 2009) were used simultaneously; thus, 3680 records from 2403 students, which included both single and repeated measures, were included. Longitudinal analysis was conducted to estimate the risk of developing MSP. Data from 374 students who had filled out the questionnaires in both years and did not suffer from MSP at the time of first assessment were included in the longitudinal analysis. Only students in seventh or 10th grade at baseline (2008) were included in the longitudinal analysis because students in ninth or 12th grade stopped participating in organized sports between July and March to prepare for examinations and graduation, and thus, the assessment of their exposure–outcome relationship was considered incomplete. The inclusion scheme for the data in the analyses is provided in Supplemental Figure S1 (Supplemental Digital Content 1, available online as Supplemental Digital Content at http://links.lww.com/PAIN/A247). A cross-sectional analysis that excluded ninth and 12th grade students was also conducted as a sensitivity analysis.

### 2.2. Measures

Information on sex, age, weight, and height (converted into body mass index [BMI], in kilograms per square meter), participation in organized sports (in hours per week), sleep time (hours per day from time in until time out of bed), and screen time (hours per week, total time spent watching TV and gaming on weekdays and weekends) were obtained from the questionnaires. Organized sports activity was defined as sports activities that took place after school programs on weekdays and/or weekends or activities that were organized by sports clubs. All students participated in routine physical education throughout the year; this was not considered as time spent playing organized sports. For students who participated in organized sports, the questionnaire also asked about the type of sport played. Only 11 students reported participation in multiple types of sports; these students reported the weekly time spent participating in their main sports activity.

To check the test–retest reliability of the weekly organized sports time, 62 students in eighth grade (34 male and 28 female participants) aged 13 to 14 years were asked to answer the questionnaire twice 7 days apart in February 2009. Data from these students were not included in the longitudinal analysis. The intraclass correlation coefficient had an acceptable value (0.85).

### 2.3. Pain

Musculoskeletal pain was assessed using a questionnaire (see eQuestionnaire, Supplemental Digital Content 2, available online as Supplemental Digital Content at http://links.lww.com/PAIN/A247). Students were considered to suffer from MSP if pain was present recently at least several times a week in at least one part of the body. Musculoskeletal pain locations included the neck, upper limbs, chest, upper back, lower back, buttocks, and lower limbs. In addition, we differentiated types of pain by cause (traumatic or nontraumatic) based on the students’ questionnaire responses. The test–retest reliability was also tested in the aforementioned reliability study and found to be acceptable (Cohen kappa for pain in any location = 0.67). After this reliability test, the same students underwent an agreement test (criterion validity test) comprising a face-to-face interview with health professionals trained by an experienced orthopedist. The Cohen kappa was 0.52, indicating moderate agreement.

### 2.4. Statistical analyses

In the cross-sectional analysis, the overall prevalence of pain at any location was compared across different levels of sports participation by estimating prevalence ratios (PRs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using repeated-measures Poisson regression; generalized estimating equations were used to account for the correlation within individuals. In the longitudinal analysis, the risk of developing MSP was determined by estimating risk ratios (RRs) and 95% CIs using Poisson regression. Time spent in sports activity was evaluated both continuously and categorically. Tertiles within students engaged in organized sports activity were used as cutpoints, and students who did not participate in organized sports (0 h/wk) were the reference category. To test linearity, sports activity time was also analyzed as a continuous variable (crude and quadratic, separately). Cross-sectional analysis was also performed for the different causes of MSP (traumatic and nontraumatic) and for its different locations (upper limbs, lower back, and lower limbs). These 3 locations were selected based on their higher MSP prevalence than other locations. In addition, the cross-sectional analysis was conducted after stratification by 10 different sports.

Models were adjusted for sex, age, BMI, sleep length, screen time, and school. Body mass index, sleep length, and screen time were treated as categorical variables and divided into tertiles within each grade. School was included in the model as a fixed effect to adjust for all school (cluster) level confounders regardless of whether they were measured or not. For the longitudinal analysis, school was included as a determinant of covariance structure because the sample size was too small to estimate parameters for different schools.

Continuous associations between the time spent playing sports and the prevalence or overall risk of pain were estimated without assuming linearity by fitting restricted cubic spline models, with the knots corresponding to the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles of sports activity time (13.5, 16, and 20.5 h/wk for the prevalence; 13, 16, and 20 for the risk). Sports activity time was winsorized at the 99th percentile (34.5 h/wk for the prevalence; 30 for the risk). The covariates were the same as those evaluated in the Poisson models. The PRs and RRs were presented; average probabilities (prevalence and risk) of having or developing pain were also predicted over the range of time spent playing sports.

We also assessed whether excess sports activity was particularly associated with MSP (overall and location-specific).
among heavier students because overweight adolescents may experience greater impacts of physical activity on MSP than lean adolescents. This interaction was tested by modeling the interaction between sports activity and BMI for pain prevalence and by examining the joint categories of BMI and sports activity. Missing information, ranging from 0.1% for sex to 5.7% for BMI, was processed using multiple imputation (n datasets = 10) under the missing at random assumption. Each imputation was based on regression models of the analyzed variables. The 10 imputed data sets were analyzed independently and combined for inference, accounting for the variability of imputation. To calculate the pain prevalence, available cases without missing values on pain outcomes were used. For the sensitivity analyses, the analyses were repeated for complete cases only (1498 cross-sectional; 281 longitudinal). In addition, cross-sectional analyses were repeated excluding students in the ninth or 12th grade, using (1) 2458 records from 1920 students (students could contribute >1 record) and (2) data from a single measurement for each of 1816 students. Analyses (2-sided α < 0.05) were performed using SAS version 9.3 and STATA version 13.1.

3. Results

Table 1 presents the baseline participant characteristics before multiple imputation. Female (84.5%) and junior high school (87.1%) students had higher response rates compared with male (79.6%) or high school (76.3%) students (both P < 0.001). Of the 2403 participants included in the cross-sectional analysis, 51.8% were females. Students had a mean (SD) age of 14.5 (1.8) years. The mean (SD) time spent in organized sports activity was 16.9 (5.7) h/wk for the 1067 (45.3%) students who participated in organized sports. The upper 5% of participants spent ≥27.0 h/wk (95th percentile) in sports activity.

In total, 634 (27.4%) students had MSP, and nontraumatic pain was more prevalent (509 cases, 22.3%) than traumatic pain (129, 5.8%). Figure 1 illustrates the prevalence of pain by location. The lower limbs were the most commonly affected (360, 15.4%), followed by the upper limbs (224, 9.5%) and the lower back (202, 8.5%). In the longitudinal analysis, 82 (22.7%) students who were pain-free at baseline experienced pain at the 1-year follow-up. These data, stratified by MSP locations and causes, can be found in Table S1 (Supplemental Digital Content 3, available online as Supplemental Digital Content at http://links.lww.com/PAIN/A247).

### 3.1. Cross-sectional analyses

Cross-sectional analyses showed that sports activity and pain prevalence had a significant linear association; students who spent the most time engaged in sports activity (≥18.5 h/wk) experienced a 2-fold greater rate of pain than students who did not participate in organized sports after adjustment for covariates (Table 2). The test for linearity was significant (P < 0.001 for linear; P = 0.40 for quadratic); each additional 1 h/wk of sports activity was associated with a 3% higher probability of having pain (PR [95% CI] = 1.03 [1.02-1.04]). Similarly significant linear associations were found in the cause- and location-specific analyses. The spline model also showed a linear association between sports activity and pain prevalence (Fig. 2A). The test for nonlinearity was not significant (P = 0.95). In addition, 40% of students were predicted to have pain when they played sports for 21.8 h/wk (Fig. 3A).

### Table 1

**Baseline characteristics of study participants in Shimane, Japan, 2008 to 2009.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-sectional analysis (n = 2403)*</th>
<th>Longitudinal analysis (n = 374)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (n = 2403)</td>
<td>No pain (n = 1676)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, % female</td>
<td>1244 (51.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>14.5 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²*</td>
<td>19.6 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep length, h/d</td>
<td>7.4 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen time, h/wk</td>
<td>21.5 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized sports activity, %</td>
<td>1067, 45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD), h/wk‡</td>
<td>16.9 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual sports, N</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track and field</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tennis</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table tennis</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badminton</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendo</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judo</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karate</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team sports, N</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are numbers and percent values for categorical variables and mean (SD) for continuous variables. Sample sizes (denominators) of number count vary due to missing values.

* Single data (the first response data) for each student.
† Limited to sports players (1067 [45.3%] for the cross-sectional analysis; 213 [58.8%] for the longitudinal analysis).
§ Pain prevalence (%) within sports type.
In the cross-sectional analyses stratified by sports type, most sports had a significant linear association between activity time and pain (PR = 1.03-1.05 per h/wk). However, certain sports with small sample sizes, especially soft tennis and table tennis, had lower pain prevalences (20.3% and 9.4%, respectively; Table 1) and no significant associations between time and pain (P for linearity < 0.75; see Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content 4, available online as Supplemental Digital Content at http://links.lww.com/PAIN/A247).

The interaction between BMI and sports activity was not significantly associated with the overall pain prevalence (P = 0.95); however, this interaction was significant for upper limb pain (P = 0.048) but not pain at other locations (P > 0.5). In the further stratified analysis, the PRs for upper limb pain were higher across the sports activity levels among participants with higher BMIs (Figure S2, Supplemental Digital Content 5, available online as Supplemental Digital Content at http://links.lww.com/PAIN/A247).

### Table 2

**Associations of organized sports activity with prevalence and development of musculoskeletal pain: cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis in Japanese adolescents, 2008 to 2009.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organized sports activity</th>
<th>PR or RR per 1 h/wk</th>
<th>P for linearity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analysis of prevalence†</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity range, h/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall pain, case/n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Ref)</td>
<td>1.66 (1.37-2.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause-specific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic, case/n (%)</td>
<td>70/1965 (3.6)</td>
<td>36/485 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Ref)</td>
<td>1.85 (1.18-2.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nontraumatic, case/n (%)</td>
<td>331/1888 (16.7)</td>
<td>148/491 (30.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Ref)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.31-2.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location-specific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limb, case/n (%)</td>
<td>137/2049 (6.7)</td>
<td>46/506 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Ref)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.08-1.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower back, case/n (%)</td>
<td>140/2059 (6.8)</td>
<td>40/510 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Ref)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.88-1.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb, case/n (%)</td>
<td>187/2044 (9.2)</td>
<td>128/506 (25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Ref)</td>
<td>2.19 (1.69-2.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of risk‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity range, h/wk</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.0-14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall pain, case/n (%)</td>
<td>18/145 (12.4)</td>
<td>27/76 (35.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Ref)</td>
<td>1.98 (1.20-3.26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case/n is the number of pain cases and the total number of records in each category from the available cases before imputation. Multiple records from an adolescent are possible in the serial cross-sectional analysis.

* Tertile categories were created among those reporting any sports activity separately for cross-sectional and for longitudinal analysis.

† Prevalence ratios and 95% CIs were estimated by Poisson regression adjusted for sex, age, body mass index, sleep length, screen time, and school. Of 2403 adolescents, 1277 had repeated measures (total 3680 records).

‡ Risk ratios and 95% CI were estimated by Poisson regression in which measures collected in 2008 were fitted to estimate risk of developing pain in 2009 in 374 adolescents who reported no musculoskeletal pain in 2008. Covariates included in the model were the same as for the cross-sectional analysis for PR, with the exception that school categories were included in the model as a determinant of covariance.

CI, confidence interval; PR, prevalence ratio; RR, risk ratio.

Figure 1. Pain prevalence at various anatomic locations among adolescents (n = 2403).
3.2. Longitudinal analyses

Longitudinal analyses also revealed a linear association between sports activity and new onset of pain. The RR (95% CI) of developing pain at any location at 1-year follow-up per 1 h/wk of sports time at baseline was 1.03 (1.02-1.05) ($P < 0.001$ for linear, $P = 0.92$ for quadratic; Table 2). The spline model also showed a linear association between sports activity and 1-year risk of pain (Figs. 2B and 3B). The test for nonlinearity was not significant ($P = 0.45$). The point estimate of the risk ratio reached 2.0 at 21.5 h/wk of sports activity, indicating the probability of developing pain doubled at that level of exposure.

All these results from multiple imputed analyses were similar to those from complete-case analyses. The variability of 10-time imputation, incorporated in the primary analyses, was <10% of total variance. Sensitivity cross-sectional analyses without students in the ninth or 12th grades, made using repeated measurements and single measurements, also yielded similar results. The PRs (95% CI) of pain per 1 h/wk of sports activity for both the repeated and the single measurement analyses were 1.03 (1.02-1.04).

4. Discussion

This study examined the dose–response relationship between organized sports activity and MSP among adolescents and found a linear association. Notably, a series of analyses rejected nonlinearity, and there was no inverse relationship (or protective effect) of sports activity on MSP. The more the participants played sports, the more likely they were to have and develop pain. Our results indicate that if an adolescent increases their sports activity by 1 h/wk, they have a 3% higher probability of developing pain 1 year later.

To our knowledge, our longitudinal analysis is the first of its kind to describe the dose–response relationship between sports activity and MSP through detailed analyses, including spline models. We confirmed that there was no U-shaped or plateaued trend for the positive association. A previous cross-sectional study of Canadian youth found that the dose–response relationship between physical activity and related injuries outside of school was a strong gradient relationship (linear in grades 6-8; quadratic in grades 9-10). Other studies have shown similar results from categorical analyses; British children who spent >360 min/wk playing sports had a higher risk of widespread pain than those who spent <100 min/wk (cross-sectional relative risk = 1.9; 1-year longitudinal relative risk = 2.0). In Finnish schoolchildren, vigorous exercise 5 to 7 times per week increased the risk of new-onset traumatic MSP at 1-year follow-up. However, some studies reported that physical activity reduced the risk of back pain. These differences may be partly due to methodological differences between the studies. For example, the study showing an inverse association used an accelerometer

![Figure 2](image_url)  **Figure 2.** Pain prevalence ratio and risk ratio of having pain at 1-year follow-up by time spent in sports activity. The solid lines present the adjusted prevalence ratio (A; $n = 2403$) and risk ratio (B; $n = 374$) derived from spline regression models. The dashed lines show the 95% confidence intervals.

![Figure 3](image_url)  **Figure 3.** Predicted prevalence and 1-year risk of pain by time spent in sports activity. Multivariable-adjusted models with restricted cubic spline were fitted for prediction of prevalence (A; $n = 2403$) and risk (B; $n = 374$).
to assess overall physical activity in a small sample of 9-year-old children, whereas most other studies used self-reported measurements in older populations. Our study focused on organized sports activity, and overall physical activity was not measured; thus, our results are not directly comparable with those of studies examining overall physical activity. Legault et al. suggested that adolescent athletes had fewer symptoms affecting the spine than “typical adolescents” and similar prevalence of symptoms affecting the body’s extremities. However, their cross-sectional study had lower response rate (51.1% and 67.6% for the athlete adolescents and general adolescents, respectively) than those of other studies including ours, and the representativeness of the adolescent athlete population was questionable. Future meta-analysis of the literature might further clarify the relationship between physical activity and pain in school-aged youth.

We found that sports activity was associated with both traumatic and nontraumatic MSP. Both acute and chronic (overuse) injuries can be caused by excessive sports training, especially in skeletally immature adolescents. Some of these overuse injuries may have been captured by our questionnaire as nontraumatic pain. More than 80% of the pain in our study was reported as nontraumatic, which means there was no obvious event that acutely caused the symptoms. Its observed linear association with nontraumatic pain suggests a potential “silent killer” effect of prolonged sports activity.

The interaction between sports activity and BMI was significant for upper but not for lower limb pain, which was contrary to our hypothesis that the combined effect of excess body weight and sports activity might be more harmful to the lower limb joints (because of a higher physical load) than to the joints of the upper limb. In a previous study, overweight was a risk factor for repeated forearm fractures. Poor gross motor ability, including balance, in the overweight population might explain the observed association.

Musculoskeletal pain prevalence (27.4%) in our study was within the range of the previous results and lower back pain prevalence (9.2%) was consistent with a previous report in a Japanese population (9.7%). The high MSP prevalence, and its linear association with sports activity, suggests the need for preventive actions. To prevent overuse injuries, limiting the weekly and yearly participation time in sports is recommended by the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine. A systematic review showed that multi-intervention training programs (eg, balance training, structured warm-ups) effectively prevented sports injuries. These evidence-based prevention programs should be disseminated broadly and evaluated in implementation studies.

The American Academy of Pediatrics has highlighted the potential risks of sports specialization in young athletes. Most of the students in this study participated in only one sport. The benefits of participation in multiple sports should be examined in future prospective studies.

This study had several strengths. First, MSP was assessed by a questionnaire developed for school-based surveys in adolescents; this tool was confirmed to be reliable and valid in our study. Cause- and location-specific analyses of data obtained from the questionnaire provided in-depth information on the relationship between sports activity and pain. Second, the longitudinal analysis is a strength of this study, although it had a smaller analysis, or interpretation of data; writing the report; or the decision to submit the report for publication.

**Conflict of interest statement**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

This study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan. M. Kamada is supported by a JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship for Research Abroad. F. Imamura is supported by the Medical Research Council Epidemiology Unit (MC_UU_12015/1; MC_UU_12015/5). The funding bodies did not have a role in the study design, intervention, collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; writing the report; or the decision to submit the report for publication.

**Acknowledgements**

The authors deeply appreciate the cooperation of the staff members and all other people involved in this study.

**Appendix A. Supplemental Digital Content**

Supplemental Digital Content associated with this article can be found online at http://links.lww.com/PAIN/A247.

**Article history:**

Received 5 January 2016
Received in revised form 3 February 2016
Accepted 9 February 2016
Available online 27 February 2016

**References**


