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Optimizing a dynamical decoupling protocol for solid-state electronic spin ensembles in diamond
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We demonstrate significant improvements of the spin coherence time of a dense ensemble of nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamond through optimized dynamical decoupling (DD). Cooling the sample down to 77 K suppresses longitudinal spin relaxation $T_1$ effects and DD microwave pulses are used to increase the transverse coherence time $T_2$ from $\sim0.7$ ms up to $\sim30$ ms. We extend previous work of single-axis (Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill) DD towards the preservation of arbitrary spin states. Following a theoretical and experimental characterization of pulse and detuning errors, we compare the performance of various DD protocols.

We identify that the optimal control scheme for preserving an arbitrary spin state is a recursive protocol, the concatenated version of the XY8 pulse sequence. The improved spin coherence might have an immediate impact on improvements of the sensitivities of ac magnetometry. Moreover, the protocol can be used on denser diamond samples to increase coherence times up to NV-NV interaction time scales, a major step towards the creation of quantum collective NV spin states.
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In recent years, atomic defects in diamond have been the subject of a rapidly growing area of research. The most well studied of these diamond defects is the nitrogen-vacancy (NV) color center, whose unique spin and optical properties make it a leading candidate platform for implementing magnetic sensors [1–10] as well as qubits, the building blocks for applications in quantum information. In particular, NV spin coherence times longer than a millisecond have been achieved in single NV centers at room temperature, either through careful engineering of a low spin impurity environment during diamond synthesis [11] or through application of pulses [12–15] and continuous [16,17] dynamical decoupling (DD) protocols. These long, single NV spin coherence times have been instrumental in demonstrating very sensitive magnetic [1–10], electric [18], and thermal [15] measurements as well as high-fidelity quantum operations [19,20].

Achieving similarly long spin coherence times in ensembles of NV centers can further improve magnetic sensitivity [5,6] and, moreover, may open up new avenues for studying many-body quantum entanglement. For example, achieving NV ensemble spin coherence times longer than the NV-NV interaction time scales within the ensemble could allow for the creation of nonclassical spin states [21–23]. Recently, NV ensemble spin coherence times up to $\sim600$ ms have been demonstrated by performing Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) DD sequences at lower temperatures to reduce phonon-induced decoherence [24]. The CPMG sequence preserves only a single spin component efficiently; however, experimentally, in the presence of pulse imperfections, the CPMG DD protocol cannot protect a general quantum state [25–27], as is necessary for applications in quantum information and sensing. To date, the preservation of arbitrary NV spin states has been considered only in a limited fashion, mostly at room temperatures and for single NV centers [12–14]. However, a fundamental study considering the robustness of various DD protocols on NV ensembles is still lacking. In this Rapid Communication, we perform a theoretical and experimental analysis of the performance of several DD protocols, including standard CPMG and XY-based pulse sequences as well as modifications thereon, and extract an optimized protocol for preserving a general NV ensemble state at 77 K. We observe an extension of the arbitrary NV ensemble state from a coherence time $\sim0.7$ ms of an Hahn-echo measurement up to a coherence time $\sim30$ ms, which is more than an order of magnitude improvement. Although higher coherence times were demonstrated for preserving a specific spin state [24], in this work we fundamentally study and optimize a DD protocol for preserving an arbitrary state.

The NV center is composed of a substitutional nitrogen atom (N) and a vacancy (V) on adjacent lattice sites in the diamond crystal. The electronic structure of the negatively charged NV center has a spin-triplet ground state, where the $m_s = \pm1$ sublevels experience a zero-field splitting ($\sim2.87$ GHz) from the $m_s = 0$ sublevel due to spin-spin interactions [Fig. 1(a)]. Application of an external static magnetic field along the NV symmetry axis Zeeman shifts the $m_s = \pm1$ levels and allows one to treat the $m_s = 0, +1$ spin manifold (for example) as an effective two-level system. The NV spin state can be initialized in the $m_s = 0$ state with off-resonant laser excitation, coherently manipulated with resonant microwave (MW) pulses, and read out optically via spin-state-dependent fluorescence intensity of the phonon sideband [1].
The NV spin bath environment is typically dominated by $^{13}$C nuclear and N paramagnetic spin impurities, randomly distributed in the diamond crystal. These spin impurities create different time-varying local magnetic fields at each NV spin, which can be approximated as a random local magnetic field that fluctuates on a time scale set by the mean interaction between spins in the bath. This random field induces dephasing of freely precessing NV spins on a time scale $T_2^*$ [6,7,28,29]. Dynamical decoupling pulse sequences can suppress the effect of the spin bath noise and thus preserve the NV spin coherence up to a characteristic time $T_2^*$ [24,29]. In the ideal case of perfect pulses, various DD protocols (e.g., CPMG, XY, etc.) are equally effective at preserving an arbitrary NV ensemble spin state. Experimentally, however, off-resonant driving due to the NV hyperfine structure [30] and other pulse imperfections significantly affect the performance of individual DD protocols. In order to overcome these pulse imperfections, we optimize a DD protocol for an ensemble of NV spins.

Figure 2(a) illustrates the general structure of the DD protocols explored in this work. In each protocol, $(\pi \tau)$ pulses about a rotation axis determined by the specific DD protocol are applied, with a free evolution time of interval $2\tau$ between them. In the regime where the pulse durations are short compared to the free evolution interval between adjacent pulses, each pulse can be expressed in terms of a spin rotation operator [26,27]

$$U_{\vec{k}} = \exp[-i\pi(1+\epsilon_k)(\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n})].$$  

Equation (1) incorporates the two main types of pulse imperfection: $\epsilon_k$ represents the deviation from an ideal rotation angle $\pi$, and $\hat{n} = (n_x,n_y,n_z)$ is the actual rotation axis, which can deviate from $\hat{k} = (k_x,k_y,0)$. Generally, imperfections in the rotation angle ($\epsilon_k$) may be caused by limitations in pulse timing resolution and amplitude stability of the MW field source, as well as static and MW field inhomogeneities over the measurement volume (which are more significant in spin ensembles compared to single spins). Imperfections in the rotation axis may be caused by phase instability in the MW field source. In addition to general experimental pulse errors, the specific physical system of the NV spin ensemble introduces additional pulse imperfections. Most notably, hyperfine interactions between the $^{14}$N nuclear spin $(I = 1)$ of the NV center and the NV electronic spin result in three transitions, each separated by $\sim 300$ G, which can deviate from $\hat{k} = (k_x,k_y,0)$ [Fig. 1(b)].

The total evolution operator of a general DD sequence containing $n$ $(\pi \tau)$ pulses can then be expressed as

$$U_{DD} = U_d(\pi \tau) \cdot U_{\vec{k}_{n-1}} \cdot U_d(2\tau) \cdot \ldots \cdot U_d(2\tau) \cdot U_{\vec{k}_1} \cdot U_d(\pi \tau).$$  

where $U_d$ is the free evolution operator. It is clear that without compensation for pulse imperfections in the spin rotation operators, accumulating errors will result in a severe loss of coherence even in the limit of free evolution time $\tau \rightarrow 0$. First, we study the robustness of conventional CPMG and XY-based DD protocols, summarized in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), in order to
determine which protocol is the most robust against pulse imperfections caused by general experimental limitations as well as those specific to NV ensembles. Realizing that enhanced robustness is necessary, we reduce the effects of the imperfections by optimizing experimental parameters (see the detailed description of the experimental setup below) and modify the basic XY sequences by introducing pulses with additional phases [Fig. 2(d)] and concatenated cycles [Fig. 2(e)]. Similar DD protocol optimization has been performed in the past for phosphorus donors in silicon [26] and single NV centers [12,25,27,32].

In the conventional CPMG DD protocol [33], all \( (\pi) \) pulses are applied along the same axis \((x)\) [Fig. 2(b)]; consequently, only coherence along one spin component is well preserved. The XY family of DD protocols [34] applies pulses along two perpendicular axes \((x,y)\) in order to better preserve spin components along both axes equally [Fig. 2(e)]. We also explored two DD protocols which introduce additional modifications on the basic XY pulse sequences in order to improve their robustness against pulse errors. The first modification, the Knill dynamical decoupling (KDD) pulse sequence [12,32], introduces additional phases, thereby symmetrizing the XY plane further and reducing the effects of pulse errors due to off-resonant driving and imperfect \( \pi \) flips. In the KDD protocol, each \((\pi)\) pulse in a basic XY sequence is replaced by five pulses with additional phases given by \((\pi)_{00} - (\pi)_{0} - (\pi)_{10} - (\pi)_{1} - (\pi)_{10}\), where the free evolution time interval \(2\pi\) between adjacent \((\pi)\) pulses remains unchanged [Fig. 2(d)]. The second modification employs concatenation, a recursive process in which every cycle is constructed from the previous cycles [Fig. 2(e)], and each level of concatenation corrects higher orders of pulse errors [35,36].

We performed measurements on an isotopically pure (99.99\% 12C) diamond sample with N concentration \(\sim 2 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) and NV concentration \(\sim 4 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) (Element Six), grown via chemical vapor deposition. The sample was placed in a continuous flow cryostat (Janis ST-500) and cooled with liquid nitrogen to 77 K, significantly reducing phonon-related decoherence to allow for NV spin coherence times \(\gg 1\) ms [24,37]. A 532-nm laser optically excited an ensemble of \(\sim 10^{4}\) NV centers within a \(\sim 25 \mu\text{m}^2\) measurement volume, and the resulting fluorescence was measured with a single photon counting module. A permanent magnet produced a static magnetic field \(B_0 \sim 300\) G along the NV symmetry axis, Zeeman splitting the \(m_s = \pm 1\) spin sublevels. To coherently manipulate the NV ensemble spin state, we used a 70-\(\mu\text{m}\)-diam wire to apply a MW field resonant with the \(m_s = 0 \leftrightarrow +1\) transition. The spin rotation axes of the individual DD pulses were set through in-phase and quadrature (I/Q) modulation of the MW carrier signal from the signal generator (SRS SG384). The measured fluorescence signal originates from NV centers oriented along the static magnetic field (while other NV classes contribute to the background).

As discussed previously, one of the sources of pulse imperfections for NV centers is the hyperfine structure in the NV resonance spectrum; specifically, resonant driving of one of the hyperfine transitions results in detuned driving of the other two, introducing both spin rotation angle and spin rotation axis errors. We mitigate these effects by (i) applying a strong static magnetic field \((\sim 300)\) G to polarize the \(14\text{N}\) nuclear spins [38] into one hyperfine state which we drive [Fig. 1(c)] and (ii) applying a strong MW field to drive the NV transition with Rabi frequency \((\sim 15)\) MHz much greater than the detuning due to NV hyperfine splitting \((\sim 2.2)\) MHz. Furthermore, we minimize general experimental pulse errors due to pulse timing and amplitude imperfections, MW carrier signal phase imperfections, and static and MW field inhomogeneities over the measurement volume [30].

Due to technical limitations stemming from addressing of a spin ensemble over a \(\sim 25 \mu\text{m}^2\) measurement volume, we are unable to completely polarize the \(14\text{N}\) nuclear spins and thus overcome field inhomogeneities. We therefore estimate that the pulse imperfections remaining after this optimization are characterized by \(\epsilon \approx 0.06, n \approx 0.06,\) and \(n_{x,y} \approx 0.05\) [30].

In order to determine how well each of the four DD protocols preserves a general NV ensemble spin state, we measure the NV spin coherence of two orthogonal initial spin components \(S_x\) and \(S_y\). The \(S_x\) spin component is prepared and measured by applying the initial and final \((\pi/2)\) pulses about the \(y\) axis; likewise, the \(S_y\) spin component is prepared and measured by applying the initial and final \((\pi/2)\) pulses about the \(x\) axis. We first characterize the robustness of each DD protocol against pulse imperfections by measuring NV ensemble spin coherence in the short free evolution (i.e., decoherence-free) limit \(2\pi t \ll T_2\) (while remaining in the regime of infinitely narrow MW pulses) and normalizing against the NV ensemble spin coherence of a 1-pulse Hahn-echo measurement in the same limit. We plot the experimental results in Fig. 3(b) for each of the DD protocols as a function of the number of pulses \(n\), where a relative contrast of 1 corresponds to perfect preservation of NV ensemble spin coherence and a relative contrast of 0 corresponds to a mixed state. Incorporating estimated pulse imperfection values into Eqs. (1) and (2), we also plot a simulated relative contrast of each DD protocol as a function of the number of pulses [Fig. 3(a)].

The CPMG protocol maintains the highest relative contrast for the spin component along the spin rotation axis of the DD pulses (\(S_x\)) but the lowest relative contrast for the spin component along the perpendicular axis (\(S_y\)) [30], as expected. The relative contrast of XY-based sequences is comparable for both spin components [30] but drops as the number of pulses increases, indicating that while the XY-based protocol is able to symmetrically compensate for pulse errors and thus preserve an arbitrary NV ensemble spin state, accumulating pulse errors due to imperfect compensation eventually limit the sequence to \(\sim 500\) pulses. Within the XY family, we compared XY4, XY8, and XY16 pulse sequences [34] and found XY8 to show the best performance [30]. The KDD protocol, which introduces more spin rotation axes to further symmetrize pulse error compensation, and the concatenated protocol, which constructs the pulse sequences recursively in order to correct for higher orders of pulse errors, both improve upon the conventional XY8 sequence, maintaining a higher relative contrast for both spin components to \(\sim 500\) pulses. Note that the measurements are in qualitative agreement with the simulations. Quantitatively, however, there is a disagreement, and the experimental results for the relative contrast are slightly lower than the simulation suggests. In particular, the contrast
of the concatenated XY8 protocol does not change with the number of pulses according to the simulation, which disagrees with the experimental data. This disagreement is likely caused by the interplay between pulse errors and decoherence effects, which was not taken into account in the simulation and will be the subject of future research.

The measured NV ensemble spin coherence time is plotted as a function of the number of pulses for each DD protocol in Fig. 4. The CPMG, XY8, and concatenated XY8 protocols all extend the NV spin coherence time as expected, given the nitrogen-impurity-dominated spin bath environment [29]. However, the KDD protocol is less effective at extending the NV spin coherence time; this underperformance is probably due to the fact that the phase difference between adjacent pulses in KDD (sometimes 60°) is smaller than in other sequences (90°), making phase errors more significant [30].

In conclusion, after optimizing experimental parameters to minimize pulse imperfections, we found the most robust DD protocol for preserving an arbitrary spin state in an NV ensemble system to be the concatenated XY8 pulse sequence. By compensating for higher order pulse errors, the concatenated XY8 sequence maintains a higher relative contrast than the conventional XY8 sequence and is expected to ultimately outperform the KDD sequence for larger numbers of pulses. Furthermore, the concatenated XY8 sequence achieves longer NV ensemble spin coherence times than the KDD sequence. At 77 K, we measured an extension of the arbitrary spin state of an ensemble of \( \sim 10^4 \) NV centers by a factor of \( \sim 40 \) and up to \( \sim 30 \) ms. These results shed light on the robustness of DD protocols in a regime of long coherence times and large numbers of pulses. For example, in earlier work on single NV centers [14,27], with much shorter coherence times (\( \sim 1 \) ms) requiring an order of magnitude less DD pulses, a contrast drop with the number of pulses was not observed, and concatenation did not improve the robustness against pulse errors. This is not the case in the regime of \( \sim 30 \) ms coherence times, requiring the application of thousands of DD pulses, as we demonstrate.

Since the optimized DD protocol determined in this work achieved similar coherence times as conventional XY sequences while improving the robustness to pulse imperfections, this should directly contribute to the sensitivity of NV magnetometry [6]. Moreover, it may be useful for quantum information applications. The sample in this work has a nitrogen density \( \sim 2 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and NV density \( \sim 4 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), corresponding to a N-to-NV conversion efficiency \( \sim 0.2\% \) and a typical NV-NV interaction time \( \sim 150 \) ms. Using standard sample processing techniques, such as electron irradiation [7], to modestly improve the N-to-NV conversion efficiency to \( \sim 1\% \), the concatenated XY8 pulse sequence can increase the NV ensemble spin coherence time to the NV-NV interaction time. In such a case, Mansfield-Rhim-Elleman-Vaughn (MREV) control sequences [39,40] can be applied to average out the NV-NV interactions and introduce effective Hamiltonians [21–23], thereby creating self-engineered quantum states (e.g., squeezed states) in NV ensemble systems.
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