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Abstract

Background—The goal of the study was to examine mismatch negativity (MMN) in schizotypal personality disorder (SPD) individuals. Abnormal MMN has been a consistent finding in chronic schizophrenia and there are also have been reports of reduced duration MMN in first episode schizophrenia patients (Umbricht & Krljes, 2005), with some studies finding no pitch MMN amplitude differences (Salisbury et al., 2002) while others reporting a modest reduction (Umbricht et al., 2006) in recent onset schizophrenia patients. To our knowledge no reports exist of MMN in SPD individuals.

Methods—Twenty six normal (14 females) control and 23 SPD (12 females) individuals were tested using the pitch MMN paradigm. Normal control (NC) and SPD individuals were recruited
from the general population and assessed using DSM-IV. SPD individuals were included if they met 5 or more criteria for SPD disorder. The subjects listened to 2000 frequent 1 kHz pure tones and 100 rare 1.2 kHz pure tones while reading a magazine article. MMN was measured from a difference waveform within the latency window of 175–276 msec.

**Results**—Reduced MMN amplitude was found in SPD relative to NC subjects (p< 0.045).

**Conclusions**—These results point to potential differences between SPD and schizophrenia, where no reduction in MMN was found in most studies of first episode patients.
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**Introduction**

Schizotypal personality disorder (SPD) has been proposed to belong to schizophrenia spectrum disorders with several lines of evidence documenting similarities between SPD and schizophrenia in clinical, neuropsychological, electrophysiological and brain structure domains. The study of SPD is important for several reasons. It allows studying both commonalities and differences between SPD and schizophrenia without the confounding effects of chronicity and medication. The study of SPD also provides a different perspective than the study of family members of schizophrenia patients in that symptoms are present in the SPD albeit in a milder form. SPD is different from the study of first episode patients because SPD does not progress to frank psychosis. Therefore, studying SPD individuals makes possible identification of neurobiological mechanisms that may be associated with heritable traits and may help identify protective mechanisms that prevent progression. A measure of the interest in SPD because of these features is the 501 papers devoted to SPD in the last 5 years (PubMed search 6/03/08).

One of the better documented findings in chronic schizophrenia is a dysfunction in the auditory system, specifically in the sensory pre-attentive processing as measured by mismatch negativity (MMN). MMN is an event related potential generated when a feature of the stimulus presented on a given trial deviates from features of preceding stimuli, e.g., in terms of duration, frequency or intensity. It is believed that repeatedly presented auditory stimuli generate a template in short-term auditory (echoic) memory. Provided that this template is available for comparison, any stimulus that does not match it elicits MMN (Nääätänen et al., 2001). Thus, MMN can be used as an index of the integrity of echoic memory. However, modulatory contributions from attention have been also observed (e.g., Sabri et al., 2006).

Mismatch negativity is thought to be generated primarily in auditory cortex with contributions from secondary auditory cortex (e.g., Javitt et al., 1994; Oknina et al., 2005; Oades et al., 2006; Saint-Amour et al., 2007) and frontal sources (e.g., Nääätänen, 1995; Oknina et al., 2005; Oades et al., 2006). The available evidence suggests an involvement of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) in the generation of MMN (Javitt et al., 1994; Javitt et al., 1996; Umbricht et al., 2000; Pang & Fowler, 1999). However, MMN reductions
after treatment with ketamine have not been found (Oranje et al., 2000). In addition, modulating influences of GABA-ergic (Rosburg et al., 2004) and nicotinic receptors (Inami et al., 2005; Baldeweg et al., 2006) have also been noted. Finally, it has been reported (Baker et al., 2005) that COMT Val108/158 Met polymorphism modifies MMN amplitude in individuals with 22q11 deletion syndrome, suggesting the involvement of catecholamines in the generation of MMN and in mediating aspects of schizophrenia symptoms.

The original finding of abnormal MMN in chronic schizophrenia (Shelley et al., 1991) has been well replicated (see Umbricht & Krljes, 2005; Niznikiewicz et al., 2004) but it is unclear if it is present by the time of the first episode. The critical differences between studies in terms of reported results seem to be related to how soon after the illness onset the patients were assessed and to their clinical status, since there is now evidence for a progression of pitch MMN abnormalities in the 1.5 years following first hospitalization (Salisbury et al., 2007). For example, normal MMN has been reported in first episode schizophrenia (Salisbury, et al., 2002; Valkonen-Korhonen et al., 2003; Salisbury et al., 2007; Javitt et al., 2000), unaffected twins (Ahveninen et al., 2006) and unaffected first degree relatives of schizophrenia patients (Bramon et al., 2004).

Reduced MMN that correlated with Heschl gyrus has also been reported in first episode patients re-tested 1.5 years later (Salisbury et al., 2007). Also, reduced MMN was found in patients 3.5 years into their illness (Javitt et al., 2000), in recent onset schizophrenia (Umbricht et al., 2006) and those first episode patients who presented with low pre-morbid educational achievement (Umbricht et al., 2006). Also, reduced MMN has been reported in adolescents at the onset of illness (Oknina et al., 2005; Oades et al., 2006), adolescent individuals with 22q11 deletion syndrome who did not manifest schizophrenia (Baker et al., 2005), and in children at high risk for schizophrenia (Schreiber et al., 1992), and socially withdrawn children (Bar-Haim et al., 2003). Reduced duration MMN has also been reported in relatives of schizophrenia patients (Michie et al., 2002), and in prodromal subjects relative to normal controls (but not significantly) (Brokhaus-Dumke et al., 2005).

Given that most studies, especially those using pitch MMN paradigms, did not find reduced MMN in first episode schizophrenia patients, a simple model of increasing severity from SPD to first episode to chronic schizophrenia would suggest that no MMN abnormality should be found in SPD. However, if the constellation of symptoms in each of these groups do not conform to a linear model of increasing severity, MMN abnormality may be present in SPD even if it is absent in first episode schizophrenia. In addition, we need to consider that, as mentioned above, in spite of genetic similarities, SPD is not a milder form of schizophrenia and it may instead be associated with a unique presentation in terms of biological and neurophysiological manifestations.

To date, there are no existing studies of MMN ERP in SPD. Thus, the current study sought to examine pre-attentive auditory processing in individuals diagnosed with SPD in order to add to our understanding of auditory pre-attentive functioning in schizophrenia spectrum disorders. We have used a MMN paradigm with pitch deviants in a community recruited sample of SPD meeting full diagnostic DSM-IV criteria.
Methods

Subjects

Twenty six normal comparison subjects (14 females) and 23 SPD individuals (12 females) were tested. The two groups did not differ in age, IQ, years of education, socioeconomic status (SES) or parental SES (see table 1). There were also no differences between SPD men and women in clinical variables derived from a SCID interview (see Table 2).

SPD subjects lived in the local community, were recruited into the study with newspaper ads, and had never been exposed to psychotropic medications. They were diagnosed based on a SCID-II interview and accepted into the study if they fulfilled 5 out of 9 DSM-IV SPD criteria. The diagnostic criteria include: 1) ideas of reference (excluding delusions of reference), 2) odd beliefs or magical thinking that influences behavior and is inconsistent with sub-cultural norms, 3) unusual perceptual experiences including bodily illusions, 4) odd thinking and speech, 5) suspiciousness or paranoid ideation, 6) inappropriate or constricted affect, 7) behavior or appearance that is odd, eccentric or peculiar, 8) lack of close friends or confidants other than first-degree relatives and 9) excessive social anxiety that does not diminish with familiarity. Normal control subjects (NC) were recruited via newspaper ads and were screened for psychopathology using a SCID interview. To be included in the study the participants could not suffer from neurological illness that would affect central nervous function, abuse drugs or alcohol for the last 6 months, they should be right-handed and speak English as their first language, and normal comparison subjects could not have a first degree relative with Axis I or Axis II disorder. The SPD individuals could not carry the diagnosis of schizophrenia, and none of the SPD participants had a relative with a history of schizophrenia.

Before participating in the study, all participants had the procedures fully explained to them and read and signed an informed consent form to confirm their willingness to be in the study. The consent form has been approved by the local Institutional Review Board committee for the protection of human subjects.

EEG recording and experimental paradigm

EEG was recorded using a 64-channel electrode cap and a Neuroscan Synamp system. There were 2000 tones which included 95% frequent tones and 5% rare tones, delivered binaurally at 1 kHz for frequent tones and 1.2 kHz for the rare tone, at 75 dB loudness, 100 ms in duration and 10 ms rise/fall times, over Sony Dynamic stereo headphones. The ISI was 300 msec. The subjects listened to the tones while reading a magazine article. The left ear electrode was used as a reference and the EEG was re-referenced to average ears off-line. The impedances across all electrodes were held below 5 kOhms. The EEG data were corrected for artifacts and averaged off-line. Eye movements were mathematically corrected, and the EEG was low-pass filtered at 24 Hz. The EEG was epoched into 300 ms segments with a 100 ms baseline. Trials exceeding +/- 100 microvolts were excluded from further analysis. The average number of trials accepted for analysis was 887.8 in normal comparison and 887.8 in SPD individuals for the frequent stimulus and 98.9 in normal comparison and 98.7 in the SPD group for the deviant stimulus, and these numbers were not
statistically different. Separate individual waveforms were computed to rare and frequent tones and individual difference waveforms were constructed. The MMN was measured at Fz and Cz as a mean amplitude under the curve between 125–226 ms post-stimulus onset in a difference waveform.

Clinical and Neuropsychological Measures

IQ was measured using the Vocabulary and Block Design subscales of the WAIS-R (Brooker and Cyr, 1986). Clinical data were derived from a SCID for DSM-IV Personality Disorders, the SES was computed from the Hollingshead Index of Social Position, and handedness from the Edinburgh Index of Handedness.

Statistical analyses and results

MMN amplitude was analyzed using a MANOVA model with group (SPD and NC) as a between subject factor and electrode as a within subject factor. A reduced MMN was found in SPD subjects relative to NC subjects (F(1,47) = 4.23, p < 0.045) (see Figure 1; effect size of .72). There was also a main effect of electrode (F(1,47) = 20.9, p < 0.0001) with a larger MMN recorded at Fz than at Cz. We also correlated the number of SPD symptoms with MMN amplitude at Fz and Cz. There was no association between symptom severity and MMN.

Discussion

In this study, a reduced pitch MMN was found in the SPD group relative to NC individuals. To our knowledge, this is the first study of MMN in SPD and the first one to report reduced MMN in this population. A medium effect size of .72 was associated with this finding. Given the very stringent inclusion criteria, and the fact that the MMN reduction was observed in SPD individuals who did not have 1st-degree relatives with schizophrenia, and thus in whom the expected effect size would be modest, we believe that we are reporting a novel and reliable finding, albeit in need of replication. Given the lack of other studies on MMN in SPD, the comparisons can be made only with studies of first episode schizophrenia and persons in the putative prodrome to psychosis to provide some context for this finding.

The reduced MMN in SPD found in this study is in contrast with several studies of first episode schizophrenia and one study of the prodrome where either normal pitch MMN or non-significantly reduced duration MMN have been reported, as reviewed in the Introduction. On the other hand, some reports have suggested reduced MMN in clinically vulnerable populations (Oknina et al., 2005; Oades et al., 2006; Schreiber et al., 1992; Bar-Haim et al., 2003) and in first episode schizophrenia patients 1.5 years after first hospitalization (Salisbury et al., 2007). This result also contrasts with the pattern of results obtained for SPD subjects across several different domains where, if one applied a simple model of a linear progression of severity, SPD subjects were the least severely affected and chronic schizophrenia patients were the most severely affected. For example, less P50 suppression, a measure of sensory gating, has been found in SPD individuals (Cadenhead et al., 2000; 2002). A modestly reduced P300 has been also reported in SPD (Niznikiewicz et al., 2000; Salisbury et al., 1996). Reduced P300 has been found in first episode schizophrenia.
schizophrenia thus bolstering the notion that disease related changes might be occurring before the first episode and that their first appearance may be documented in less affected populations such as prodromal individuals and, likely, in SPD, even though at a reduced level. In contrast, P300 amplitude reduction in chronic schizophrenia is a well described finding (Niznikiewicz et al., 2004). Progression of severity has also been documented for semantic operations. For example, the most abnormal N400, an index of semantic processes, was found in chronic schizophrenia while the least abnormal N400 was found in female SPD (Niznikiewicz et al., 1997; Niznikiewicz et al., 2002).

We believe that the results obtained in this study are important for our understanding of schizophrenia spectrum disorder for several reasons: First, our findings suggest that a simple linear model of schizophrenia spectrum disorders may not apply to all cognitive operations. Thus, the presence of abnormalities found in SPD in operations associated with sensory gating as marked by P50 suppression, auditory working memory, such as those indexed by the P300, as well as those associated with semantic operations as indexed by the N400, are consonant with the model of gradual progression of severity within schizophrenia spectrum disorders. On the other hand, abnormal pitch MMN found in SPD, and not found in first episode patients is not consonant with this model. Rather it is supportive of a model of the partial overlap between schizophrenia and schizotypal personality disorder. According to this view, some aspects of a cognitive breakdown characteristic of schizophrenia can be profitably studied using SPD as a model not complicated by chronicity and medication, while other aspects would have to be understood as unique features of schizotypy.

Second, these results underscore the importance of a careful characterization of the sample under investigation. SPD individuals examined in this study have been unique in the sense that they are not a college student-based population as reported in several previous publications. Following careful clinical examination, the SPD individuals in the current study, unlike college student samples, fulfilled five or more DSM-IV-defined criteria for SPD disorder. On the other hand, from the subjects’ subjective perspective, they were not affected enough to seek clinical help: none of the SPD individuals was taking medication to alleviate their schizotypal symptoms, nor seeking a psychiatrist or visiting a psychiatric clinic to seek help with their problems. In addition, none of the subjects reported having a relative with schizophrenia. It is likely that these sample characteristics may have contributed to the present results.

Finally, yet another possible source of differences between this study and these studies in the literature that did not report MMN in first episode schizophrenia patients or schizophrenia relatives are methodological differences. We note here that Salisbury et al., 2002, 2007 study was methodologically the closest to the current study both in terms of the equipment and stimuli used. The two important factors in which the two studies differed were the age of the subjects (the subjects in the present study are older by about 5 years) and a distractor task: in the present study subjects were asked to read a book while in the Salisbury et al. studies, the subjects were asked to press a button to a checkerboard reversal. The remaining studies, as reviewed in the Introduction, varied also in terms of the stimuli used: typically lower frequency stimuli were used (e.g., 800 Hz for a standard and 600 Hz for a deviant).
In considering how these differences might influence the outcome measure, we will discuss two: age and the nature of the distractor task. If age is correlated with severity level then younger subjects would be expected to not manifest reduced MMN while older subjects would (Salisbury, personal communication 9/22/08). However, this argument is far from straightforward since severity level is not a simple function of age but also depends on genetic loading for schizophrenia and other possible environmental factors as studies reporting reduced MMN in prodromal and early first episode individuals seem to indicate (e.g., Oknina et al., 2005; Oades et al., 2006, and Baker et al., 2005). The nature of a distractor task also needs to be considered, especially in the context of reports of attentional influences on MMN (e.g., Sabri et al., 2006). For example, it is possible that attentional demands posed by different distractor tasks may be contributing to the differences between studies.

While these results suggest reduced MMN in the SPD group, they do not address a possible underlying cause for the reduction. One putative factor could be a structural abnormality in the regions identified as including MMN generators. For example, reduced left Heschl gyrus was found in male SPD (Dickey et al., 2002), and a volume of the left STG in female SPD was associated with odd speech (Dickey et al., 2003). Furthermore, in a recent fMRI study (Dickey et al., 2008) that used MMN-like paradigm (block design), more activation was found in the STG, bilaterally, to pitch deviants in the SPD relative to normal comparison individuals.

In summary, this is the first study to report reduced MMN ERP in a carefully diagnosed SPD population. As such, these results should be construed as preliminary and in need of replication. In addition, in this study, only pitch deviants were used. Previous reports in schizophrenia populations suggest that duration MMN may be more sensitive to early abnormalities in auditory processing. We are currently in the process of collecting both pitch and duration MMN data in an independent SPD sample.
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Figure 1.  
Grand average difference waveforms in 23 SPD and 26 healthy comparison individuals.
### Table 1

Demographic data for the two groups of subjects (NC and SPD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NCs = 26</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SPDs = 23</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSES</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educ.</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td></td>
<td>118.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Scores on SCID in male and female SPD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male SPD (SD)</th>
<th>Female SPD (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of SCID criteria met</td>
<td>5.36 (.92)</td>
<td>6.00 (.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of positive symptoms</td>
<td>3.91 (.94)</td>
<td>3.83 (.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of negative symptoms</td>
<td>1.55 (1.04)</td>
<td>2.17 (.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas of reference</td>
<td>2.64 (.67)</td>
<td>2.75 (.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odd beliefs/magical thinking</td>
<td>2.82 (.60)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual perceptual experiences</td>
<td>2.73 (.65)</td>
<td>2.92 (.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicious/paranoid ideation</td>
<td>2.55 (.82)</td>
<td>2.33 (.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odd thinking and speech</td>
<td>2.4 (.92)</td>
<td>2.33 (.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inappropriate/constricted affect</td>
<td>2.18 (.87)</td>
<td>2.25 (.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odd/eccentric behavior or appearance</td>
<td>2.18 (.87)</td>
<td>2.33 (.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No close friends</td>
<td>1.82 (.75)</td>
<td>2.0 (.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive social anxiety</td>
<td>2.18 (.87)</td>
<td>2.5 (.79)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

Mean amplitude and latency values for MMN in the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NC =26</th>
<th>SPD =23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amplitude (μV)</td>
<td>Latency (ms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fz</td>
<td>-2.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>172 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cz</td>
<td>-1.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>162 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>