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Abstract

Clonal populations originated from benign-looking ‘founder cells’ may spread widely within pancreas instead of being localized in situ before frank pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) can be detected. Metachronous PDA is not common event, and we here sought to define potent origin of multiple PDAs developed in a woman using advanced genetics technologies. Curative resection of pancreatic head tumour was performed; however, ‘recurrent’ lesions in the remnant pancreas were found 3.5 years later and total pancreatectomy was subsequently performed. The metachronous lesions were morphologically similar to the primary PDA. Using a next-generation sequencing and digital PCR, all three PDAs were shown to possess rare somatic mutations in KRAS (p.T58I & p.Q61H). Curiously, identical KRAS mutations were found in low-grade ‘intraepithelial’ lesions, which localized in normal area of the pancreas and one of them possessed p53 mutation, which was also found in the PDAs. The footprint of the tumour evolution marked by mutational profiling supports a human correlate to the mouse models of ‘dissemination’ occurring at the earliest stages of pancreatic neoplasia.
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Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) has been a devastating disease with extremely poor survival even in patients undergoing potentially curative resections. Among the long-term survivor of PDAs, metachronous occurrence of invasive PDA in the remnant pancreas have recently been described [1–3]. It has been challenging to fully discriminate metachronous PDAs from ‘intrapancreatic metastasis’ [4]. Because of the limited number of cases and reliable genetic markers, one could speculate if the multiple lesions were multicentric versus metastasis based on the clinical course and limited histological findings [5]. Previous studies demonstrate that given metachronous PDAs were ‘metastasis’ based on the sequence results showing identical KRAS mutation [1], still leaving the possibility of accidental concordance.

When multiple cancers located in the same or distant organ(s) are defined as ‘monoclonal’, those are generally considered as ‘metastasis’, whereas polyclonal lesions can develop from ‘field defect’.
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Here, we report a case with metachronous PDAs developed in remnant pancreas after the first resection of the primary tumour. In addition to precise pathological assessment, genetic approach indicated the high likelihood of ‘early dissemination’ as a unique pathogenesis of intra-pancreatic multiple cancers.

Methods

Samples and DNA extraction

PDAs as well as normal tissue (spleen) were manually dissected from 10 μm formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sections (1–2 sections/tumour; supplementary material, Figure 1). Tumour cells from pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN), a precursor lesion of PDA, were microdissected using laser-capture microdissection system (PALM MB-III, ZEISS) to ensure that each tumour sample contained at least 90% neoplastic cells. The PanIN lesions were carefully selected to prevent contamination of the PDA cells and intraductal spreading lesions. DNA from the PanINs from each section was mixed together as pool sample (1–5 PanIN lesions per section and totally 12 PanINs; 4 PanIN-1a and 8 PanIN-1b lesions; see supplementary material, Figure 2 and Figure 2A). DNA was isolated using the QIAmp DNA FFPE tissue kit (Qiagen) and quantified and qualified using NanoDrop (Thermo) and Qubit2.0 (Life Technologies). Those samples were sufficient to obtain next-generation sequencing (NGS) data (supplementary material, Table 1).

DNA Sequencing

A detailed protocol for Sanger sequencing, Targeted Amplicon Sequencing using NGS and digital PCR assay is provided in the Supplementary Methods.

Result

A 58-year-old woman with complaint about obstructive jaundice was referred to Asahikawa Medical University Hospital in November 2005. The patient had no family history of PDA and pancreatitis. Computed tomography (CT) revealed a tumour in the head of the pancreas, 26-mm in diameter, directly invading the superior mesenteric vein (SMV; supplementary material, Figure 3). In December 2005, we performed a pylorus-preserving pancreatectomy with resection of the SMV, in combination with an intraoperative radiation therapy targeting retroperitoneal cavity adjacent to the tumour (20 Gy). Pathological diagnosis was moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma (UICC; T3N1M0 Stage IIB) and no viable tumour cell was evident on the resection margin (Figure 1 and supplementary material, Figure 1). Adjuvant chemotherapy using gemcitabine was performed for 6 months.

In May 2009, CT scan demonstrated ‘recurrent’ lesions in the body and tail of the remnant pancreas (supplementary material, Figure 4). Retrospectively, these tumours could be pointed out by the serial CT scans performed after the surgery; emergence of the mass in the body of the pancreas in August 2008 was preceded by tumour in the pancreatic tail, which was first recognized in May 2008.

Total pancreatectomy was then performed in June 2009 without radiographic evidence of distant metastasis. The second (in the pancreatic tail) and third lesion (in the body of the pancreas) were histologically similar to the primary lesion developed in the head of the pancreas (Figure 2 and supplementary material, Figures 1 and 2). S-1 was administered for the second adjuvant chemotherapy; however, lung metastasis and peritoneal dissemination were evident 17 months after the second resection. The patient died from the metastatic disease in 66 months and 24 months after initial and second resection, respectively.

We sought to characterize the three PDAs developed in the current case, since metachronous PDA is not a common event and only limited cases were previously reported [1,2]. First, Sanger sequencing was performed for genotyping KRAS, a major driver for PanIN/PDA and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) of the pancreas, and GNAS, which is frequently mutated in IPMNs [6]. Neither mutations in KRAS (codon 12&13) nor GNAS (codon 201&227) was identified in the tumours (data not shown). To further define the genetic profile of larger number of tumour-associated mutations, NGS was then used. Sequencing of PCR-amplified fragments-containing multiple mutation sites on known tumour-related genes was conducted to detect and quantitate mutated alleles using a multigene NGS panel (Supplementary Table 1).

All three PDAs possessed exactly identical KRAS mutations in codon 58 (COSM87288; p.T58I) and codon 61 (COSM554; p.Q61H) (Figure 1 and supplementary material, Table 2). The double mutation in KRAS was also demonstrated in the primary PDA by Sanger sequence (supplementary material, Figure 5). Curiously, the double mutations in KRAS p.T58I and p.Q61H were found in a single allele and considered...
as haplotype. The presence of mutant KRAS allele was detected by digital PCR using specific probe targeting p.T58I and p.Q61H (supplementary material, Figure 6), confirming that a single allele possessed both mutations.

Additional somatic mutation in p53 at codon 175 (COSM10648; p.R175H) was shared by all PDAs and secondly developed lesion in the pancreatic tail was shown to possess mutation in VHL and Smad4 albeit at low frequency. Other unique mutations including tumour suppressor genes associated with PDA/IPMN such as SMARCA4 [7] and RNF43 [8] were not identified (data not shown).

Finally, we thought to determine if the precursor lesions pathologically identified in the ‘normal’ pancreas surrounding primary PDA resected in 2005 also have the unique mutations in KRAS (Figure 2 and supplementary material, Figure 2). As summarized in supplementary material, Table 2, the double KRAS mutation in codon 58&61 was found in PanIN samples from 2 of 5 sections analyzed (PanIN-D and -E). Although their variant frequency was very small (3–11%), the haplotype in KRAS was verified by digital PCR (supplementary material, Figure 6). One of the two samples also have mutation in p53 (p.R175H), whereas others were shown to possess wild-type p53.

Discussion

It has been reported that intraglandular metastasis of PDA was found in about 5% of cases in the study using over 300 cases and overall survival and disease-free survival for patients with the ‘synchronous’ PDA were significantly shorter than for those with single lesion [9]. Despite of the histological imitation observed in the three PDAs in the current case, we hesitated to consider that the recurrent lesions directly metastasized from the first primary tumour because of the following reasons; recurrent lesions were evident more than 3 years after the resection of the primary tumour, surgical margin on the pancreas was negative for viable cancer cells at the time of first resection, and the second tumour developed in a
Figure 2. Assessment of intraductal atypical lesions pathologically identified in the ‘normal’ pancreas surrounding first primary tumour. (A) Normal areas of the resected specimen apart from PDA were used to seek low-grade PanINs surrounding the invasive PDA in the head of the pancreas and five sections were selected (A–E; given numbers indicate sample IDs for the NGS-targeted sequencing; see supplementary material, Table 1 and supplementary material, Figure 2). Pool DNA samples were isolated using laser-captured microdissected PanIN lesions from five sections (PanIN-A–E) covering normal pancreas. Sufficient amount of DNA could be isolated from 1 to 5 PanIN lesions per slide (a–l; 4 PanIN-1a and 8 PanIN-1b lesions). Arrowheads in H&E staining (PanIN-D and E) indicate main-pancreatic duct. Original magnifications are 310 objective for a, c, e, g, h, i, k and 34 for b, f, j, l. (B) Representative of the reads aligned to the reference genome in the KRAS genes (displayed as reverse-complement of Exon 3) are shown. Arrowhead and arrow indicate of forward sequences of KRAS gene and its direction (3' < 5'). Pool DNA samples from PanIN-D and -E possessed the double mutation in KRAS (p.T58I; p.Q61H).
Therefore, ‘local recurrence’ due to microscopic residual tumour cells during the first resection was unlikely. Actually, larger number of mutations was found in the second lesion; however, newer third lesion possessed no more mutation relative to the primary tumour implying that these recurrent lesions independently developed. In addition, careful pathological assessment identified the multiple PanINs in the ‘normal’ pancreas adjacent to the primary tumour (Figure 1), suggesting that multiple precursors could be wildly distributed to the entire pancreas.

**KRAS** mutation is a major ‘driver’ for PanIN/PDA and IPMN [10]. Different pattern of **KRAS** mutation would strongly support multicentric occurrence of the three PDAs. However, we found that all PDAs developed in the current case has identical mutations in **KRAS** (p.T58I and p.Q61H) (Founder lesion). Additional somatic mutation in **p53** p.T175H occurred in part of the PanINs with the unique **KRAS** haplotype, which may in turn spread into other area of the pancreas (‘early dissemination’ indicated by arrows). One of them progressed into invasive PDA (PDA-A), which was resected by the Whipple’s procedure with negative surgical margin. However, other disseminated precursors independently progressed to form other PDAs in the tail (PDA-B) and body (PDA-C) of the pancreas, finally connecting each other through main pancreatic duct (dotted line). In addition to the typical mutations in **KRAS** and **p53**, PDA-B has other somatic mutations in **Smad4** and **VHL**.

Among the genetically heterogeneous PanIN populations marked by NGS-assay in the current case, we specifically focused on the ‘precursors’ with unique mutation in **KRAS** (p.T58I&p.Q61H) (Figure 2). One of the PanINs also possessed **p53** mutation (p.R175H), which is again shared by the PDAs. **p53**R175H provides tumour cells gain-of-function that inactivates ATM-dependent DNA damage responses, which facilitates to overcome **KRAS**-induced senescence and ultimately promote metastasis [16]. It should be noted that those PanINs were apart from the invasive front of the primary PDA and intraductal extension was excluded because of their low-grade of atypia (PanIN1a-1b). Therefore, the PanIN with the unique **KRAS** mutations and **p53**R175H could be the ‘founder lesion’ of given metachronous PDAs (proposed model is shown in Figure 3).

Figure 3. Proposed progression model from multiple PanINs. Early precursor lesions (PanINs) with wild-type **KRAS** emerged to create field of cancer, and some of them acquired oncogenic **KRAS**, p.T58I and p.Q61H (Founder lesion). Additional somatic mutation in **p53** p.T175H occurred in part of the PanINs with the unique **KRAS** haplotype, which may in turn spread into other area of the pancreas (‘early dissemination’ indicated by arrows). One of them progressed into invasive PDA (PDA-A), which was resected by the Whipple’s procedure with negative surgical margin. However, other disseminated precursors independently progressed to form other PDAs in the tail (PDA-B) and body (PDA-C) of the pancreas, finally connecting each other through main pancreatic duct (dotted line). In addition to the typical mutations in **KRAS** and **p53**, PDA-B has other somatic mutations in **Smad4** and **VHL**.
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Figure 3). The progression model supports the notion that the three PDAs potentially originated from the precursors disseminated during earlier stages rather than metastasized from the established PDA.

A model of ‘early dissemination’ in which epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and dissemination can precede frank tumour formation was demonstrated by lineage-labelling system using genetically engineered mouse [17]. Earlier study showed that tumour cells can leave the primary tumour before mutations of the p53 gene occur, supporting haematogenous dissemination of neoplastic cells can occur even without losing tumour suppressors [18]. Additionally, recent human study also demonstrated that naive pancreatic tumour cells, which do not have invasive property, can spread into circulation [19]. In the current report, we presented precise pathological and genetic assessments strongly implying migration/dissemination of such ‘benign-looking’ PDA precursor cells within pancreas in human. Focal immunostaining of EMT factors such as Snail and integrin-linked kinase was demonstrated in low-grade PanINs albeit at low frequency relative to PDA [20], supporting the ability of these precursors to migrate into circulation and ultimately form metastatic foci. In addition, a longitudinal monitoring of EMT and mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) features has been demonstrated in circulating tumor cells (CTCs) [21]. Therefore, naive circulating ‘precursor’ cells may represent MET during migration process from circulation to the duct epithelial lining.

Prevalence of high-grade PanINs in patients underwent surgical resection of PDA is considered as risk for the post-operative recurrence [22], and patients underwent pancreatectomy for chronic pancreatitis sometimes developed disseminated PDA although only PanINs and no tumours were found on histologic analysis [23]. Therefore, the incidental PanINs can generally be observed in classic PDA; however, the significance on their outcome is still controversial [24] and further studies are required. In the current case, we identified ‘low-grade PanIN’ with KRAS and p53 mutation, and presence of such a microscopic lesion with two genetic hits may potentially be a factor predicting recurrence caused by development of metachronous cancer(s).

In conclusion, unique KRAS mutations (p.T58I& p.Q61H) were identified in the metachronous PDAs in the current case. Surprisingly, the identical mutations were also found in independent low-grade ‘intraepithelial’ lesions with and without additional mutation in p53, highlighting an alternative mode of tumour progression through ‘early disseminations’. Since PDAs are occasionally accompanied with multiple microscopic lesions in radiographically normal pancreas, precise genetic profiling of these incidental lesions will be demanded.
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SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL ON THE INTERNET
Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.
Supplementary Figure 1. Gross appearance of the resected pancreas.
Supplementary Figure 2. Assessment of intraductal atypical lesions pathologically identified in the “normal” pancreas surrounding first primary tumour resected.
Supplementary Figure 3. Imaging diagnosis of the primary pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA).
Supplementary Figure 4. Serial CT images during post-operative follow up.
Supplementary Figure 5. DNA Sanger Sequencing confirming KRAS mutations in codon 58 and 61.
Supplementary Figure 6. Droplet digital PCR confirming haplotype mutation in KRAS p.T58I and p.Q61H.