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Bacterial biofilm shows persistent resistance to liquid wetting and gas penetration

Alexander K. Epstein\textsuperscript{a}, Boaz Pokroy\textsuperscript{a,1}, Agnese Seminara\textsuperscript{b}, and Joanna Aizenberg\textsuperscript{a,\textsuperscript{c,\textsuperscript{d,2}}}

\textsuperscript{a}School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, 29 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138; \textsuperscript{b}Kavli Institute for Bionano Science and Technology and School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138; \textsuperscript{c}Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138; and \textsuperscript{d}Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, 3 Blackfan Circle, Boston, MA 02115

Edited by Jerry P. Gollub, Harvard College, Haverford, PA, and approved November 19, 2010 (received for review July 29, 2010)

Most of the world’s bacteria exist in robust, sessile communities known as biofilms, ubiquitously adherent to environmental surfaces from ocean floors to human teeth and notoriously resistant to antimicrobial agents. We report the surprising observation that \textit{Bacillus subtilis} biofilm colonies and pellicles are extremely nonwetting, greatly surpassing the repellency of Teflon toward water and lower surface tension liquids. The biofilm surface remains nonwetting against up to 80% ethanol as well as other organic solvents and commercial biocides across a large and clinically important concentration range. We show that this property limits the penetration of antimicrobial liquids into the biofilm, severely compromising their efficacy. To highlight the mechanisms of this phenomenon, we performed experiments with mutant biofilms lacking ECM components and with functionalized polymeric replicas of biofilm microstructure. We show that the nonwetting properties are a synergistic result of ECM composition, multiscale roughness, reentrant topography, and possibly yet other factors related to the dynamic nature of the biofilm surface. Finally, we report the impenetrability of the biofilm surface by gases, implying defense capability against vapor-phase antimicrobials as well. These remarkable properties of \textit{B. subtilis} biofilm, which may have evolved as a protection mechanism against native environmental threats, provide a new direction in both antimicrobial research and bioinspired liquid-repellent surface paradigms.

Contrary to what was believed as recently as 20 years ago, bacteria exist in nature predominantly as members of biofilms—structured, multicellular communities adherent to surfaces in natural and man-made environments (1). Biofilm formation is now known to cause contamination of plumbing, oil wells, medical implants, building heating, ventilation, air conditioning and other systems (2) and is largely responsible for nearly 100,000 nosocomial deaths annually in the United States and 80% or more of all microbial infections in humans (3, 4). Antimicrobial products have become extensively used to combat biofilm contamination in health care, agriculture, and industrial settings, and increasingly by the general public as well (5). Commercial products employ a wide variety of active chemical agents, or biocides, often delivered in liquid form and sometimes as vapor. Indeed, one review of antiseptics and disinfectants identifies 12 classes of liquid agents and 5 common types of vapor-phase sterilants (5). Most of these biocides act on multiple intracellular targets. For example, chlorhexidine congeals the cytoplasm and disrupts the inner membrane, formaldehyde cross-links macromolecules such as DNA, and peroxygens oxidize the thiol groups in enzymes and proteins. Other liquids, such as ethanol, among the most universal of antiseptics and disinfectants, need only access the cell membrane, as the mode of action is believed to be membrane damage and protein denaturation. Common vapor-phase biocides include ethylene oxide and formaldehyde, both broad-spectrum alkylating agents that attack proteins and other organic compounds (5).

Regardless of the particular chemistry or mechanism, the biofilm must be able to reach the target cell to cause damage. At the multicellular level, therefore, the effective biocide must penetrate into the ECM—the slime-like “cement” of biofilm. Biofilms, however, offer their member cells several benefits, in particular, protection from environmental threats. It has been reported that ECM acts as a diffusion barrier and as a charged binding filter for certain antibiotics (6), and that it complements enzymes and multidrug resistance pumps on cells that remove antimicrobials (7, 8). The ECM composition varies widely among species, but in general its major components are exopolysaccharides and proteins (9). The resistance to threats covers a wide range of treatments: Biofilms exposed to chlorine bleach for 60 min are reported to still have live cells (3); biofilms in pipes continuously flushed over 7 d with multiple biocides recolonize the pipes (10), and biofilms have been reported to survive in bottled iodine solution for up to 15 mo (11). Yet the remarkable robustness of biofilms against a broad range of antimicrobials, which differ entirely in chemistry and mechanism, remains a puzzle, despite two decades of biofilm research.

We report herein a striking phenomenon that we believe may be a critical property responsible for biofilm integrity and biocide resistance. The surfaces of \textit{Bacillus subtilis} biofilms are strongly liquid repellent—nonwetting—against a broad range of solvents and commercial biocides. Similar to water that is repelled by the lotus leaf (12), these liquids do not spread on and into the biofilm surface. However, although the lotus leaf is merely hydrophobic, i.e., it repels water but is quickly wetted by liquids of lower surface tension\textsuperscript{3}, \textit{B. subtilis} biofilms resist even ethanol concentrations on the order of 80%. Additionally, we report that the biofilm can effectively resist penetration by applied vapors, even following prolonged exposure. These results reveal the need to understand the bulk-phase, macroscopic aspects of biocide interaction with the biofilm surface in addition to the molecular interactions with the matrix interior and cells, where biocides ultimately act. Critical limitations may not be recognized if the resistance is not first addressed at the level of liquid wettability and effective biocide access to the biofilm interior.
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Results

B. subtilis is a ubiquitous bacterium commonly recovered from water, soil, air, and decomposing plant residue that is widely adopted as a model organism for laboratory studies (1). Populations of B. subtilis form robust centimeter-scale biofilms both on solid surfaces (colonies) and on liquid surfaces (pellicles) (13), and these biofilms display a characteristic wrinkled morphology, shown in Fig. 1B. The large area of each biofilm allows us to characterize its wetting properties by measuring the contact angle for a series of liquids that span a broad range of liquid surface tensions. The contact angle of a liquid on a solid surface is the angle formed at the droplet edge between the solid-air and liquid-air interfaces (see schematic in Fig. 1A). Liquids of high surface tension are repelled by the surface so that the droplet remains almost spherical with the contact angle of >90°. In contrast, low-surface-tension liquids tend to spread on the surface, so that they meet the surface at a very small angle. Therefore, contact angles serve as a quantitative measure of wettability. Fig. 1 shows that they meet the surface at a very small angle. Therefore, contrast, low-surface-tension liquids tend to spread on the surface, so that the droplet remains almost spherical with the contact angle of >90°. In contrast, low-surface-tension liquids tend to spread on the surface, so that they meet the surface at a very small angle. Therefore, contact angles serve as a quantitative measure of wettability.

Fig. 1. Bacterial biofilm wetting characterization by contact angle analysis. (A) Schematic of the contact angle θ: low (high) surface tension liquids generally wet (do not wet) surfaces and have small (large) contact angles. (B) Contact angle of water droplets on a WT B. subtilis biofilm and a Teflon block as a function of ethanol concentration. A plateau of ~135–145° is seen for the biofilm up to ~80% ethanol, when it transitions to wetting. In contrast, Teflon displays a roughly linear decrease in contact angle. Liquid drop profiles used for determining the contact angle are inset for wild-type biofilm at 0, 50, and 100% ethanol. Antimicrobial activity of alcohols is believed to be optimal in the 60 to 90% range, denoted as the green region, where the biofilm is largely nonwetting, suggesting that ethanol-based bactericides may not wick into the biofilm. Error bars are SD, n = 7. (Insets) The architecture of the wild-type biofilm (Right) and a nonwetting droplet of 50% ethanol on the biofilm surface (Left).

biofilm surface. Indeed, a rhodamine-stained puddle applied to the biofilm accesses the ECM only partially, leaving extensive areas that are not wetted by the liquid and the vast number of bacterial cells unaffected (Fig. 2A and Movie S1).

It is important to note that the biofilm surface is nonwetting for much of the alcohol concentration range of 60% to 90% (highlighted by the green rectangle in Fig. 1), which is commonly thought optimal for antimicrobial activity based on studies of free-swimming bacteria (5). The plateau observed in the biofilm contact angle curve extends well into the 60–90% range, indicating that even these supposedly lethal concentrations would not wick into the biofilm structure. The antimicrobial activity of alcohols and other solvents is therefore compromised by the strongly nonwetting behavior at clinically relevant concentrations. Furthermore, the nonwetting phenomenon also compromises penetration of other frequently used solvents (Table 1) and commercial biocides (Table 2).

Having established the ultrarepellency of B. subtilis biofilm, we studied the persistence of this phenomenon. Biofilm aging appears to have no effect; i.e., there is no significant reduction in contact angle as the biofilm ages from 3 d to 2 wk. With respect to droplet time and volume on the biofilm surface, the contact angle evolution is also remarkably invariant; the contact angle is identical for a given droplet size of any nonwetting solvent concentration and thus any surface tension (Fig. 3). A deviation appears only at 80% ethanol; hence, any lower concentration should similarly fail to penetrate into the biofilm. We further observed nonwetting persistence with repeated application of liquids, which indicates no significant surface degradation. Only exposure to 90% or higher ethanol renders the biofilm wettable by any subsequent concentrations, evidently having altered its surface properties. Whether with regard to biofilm age, time of liquid exposure, or repeated liquid contact, biofilm liquid repelency appears to be a highly persistent phenomenon.

Table 1. Contact angles of aqueous solutions of organic solvents on B. subtilis biofilms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>epsH</th>
<th>tasA</th>
<th>sinR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50% Ethanol</td>
<td>139.0 ± 3.9</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>119.7 ± 10.3</td>
<td>128.9 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Isopropanol</td>
<td>125.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>11 ± 1.5</td>
<td>110.9 ± 6.6</td>
<td>112.6 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Methanol</td>
<td>137.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>8 ± 4.1</td>
<td>119.3 ± 8.3</td>
<td>115 ± 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Acetone</td>
<td>139.7 ± 3.5</td>
<td>7.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>117.2 ± 9.8</td>
<td>119.8 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Error = standard deviation; n = 7 for WT, 8+ for tasA, 8+ for epsH, 12+ for sinR.
highly reentrant curvatures (Fig. 5). epsH and tasA mutants lack the wrinkled architecture of the wild type, whereas the sinR mutant slightly overexpresses the larger scale wrinkled topography (14) but appears smoother than the wild type at the 10- to 100-μm scale (Fig. 4B and Fig. S2). To clarify the potential role of the biofilm microstructure, we replicated the biofilm in epoxy resin (18) and verified by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) that the topography of the polymeric replicas reproduced the complex features of the biofilm at the micron level (Fig. 5B) (19). Creating a geometric replica allows the topography and surface chemistry to be decoupled, as the surface chemistry can then be separately modified. We fabricated a series of epoxy biofilm replicas as well as flat epoxy surfaces, each with and without hydrophobic coating, and compared the contact angle as a function of ethanol concentration (Fig. 5C). For a pure water droplet, the microtopography of the unmodified wild-type replicas alone renders the surfaces moderately hydrophobic, with a contact angle of ~115°, whereas the same flat surface is mildly hydrophilic (contact angle ~85°). Moreover, fluorinated wild-type replicas, which combine native microtopography with hydrophobic surface chemistry, reproduce the ~135° hydrophobicity level of the native biofilm. Droplets containing ethanol, however, do not follow this behavior: None of the replicas reproduce the nonwetting plateau typical of the live biofilm. In particular, all of the replicas—including those with both the wild-type topography and hydrophobic coating—undergo a pseudolinear drop in contact angle with increasing ethanol concentration (Fig. 5C), similar to Teflon (Fig. 1). These results suggest that both the topographic and surface chemistry features of the extracellular matrix work together to give the biofilm its highly nonwetting behavior toward water, but that topography and simple hydrophobic chemistry cannot completely account for the observed extreme repellency of the biofilm surface toward low-surface-tension liquids.

In addition to liquids, many environmental threats to bacterial biofilms, and indeed, quite a number of antimicrobials reported over the last five decades (20–23), are presented to the surface in vapor phase. Therefore we also investigated surface gas penetration of wild type as well as matrix-altered B. subtilis biofilms. To assess how readily a gas penetrates into the biofilm, we prepared the biofilm (as described in Materials and Methods) and exposed it to a test vapor that deposited a radiation contrast agent wherever it could access on or in the sample, therefore revealing the extent of penetration upon X-ray imaging (vapor was presented by atomic layer deposition as described in Materials and Methods).

Table 2. Commercial biocides on B. subtilis WT biofilms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test liquid</th>
<th>Contact angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clorox bleach</td>
<td>45.9 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysol Professional</td>
<td>121.9 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiclens</td>
<td>130.8 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain opener (10 s)</td>
<td>123.0 ± 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain opener (5 min)</td>
<td>47.0 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Error = standard deviation; n = 9

To identify the underlying biochemical and physical features of the biofilm that might be responsible for this behavior, we performed a similar analysis for B. subtilis mutants that lack or overexpress primary ECM components. Along with the wild-type biofilm, we prepared three mutants: exopolysaccharide-deficient (epsH), matrix protein-deficient (tasA), and overproduced exopolysaccharide and protein (sinR) (14). Each of the phenotypes is shown in Fig. 4A. The epsH biofilms are completely wetted by ethanol at any concentration, and even by pure water. Consequently, exposure of the epsH mutant to a rhodamine-labeled liquid results in a uniform fluorescent signal, confirming complete penetration of the rhodamine solution into the biofilm (Fig. 2B and Movie S2). Although the epsH biofilms are also wetted by 50% solutions of other low-surface-tension liquids, such as methanol, isopropanol, or acetone, the tasA biofilms show moderate repellency, with a contact angle of ~110–120° for these liquids (Table 1). Hence, the exopolysaccharide plays a primary critical role in biofilm repellency, whereas the tasA protein appears to play a secondary, indirect role, although the fact that neither the matrix protein nor the polysaccharides individually preserve biofilm repellency shows that both of these biomolecules are required for full resistance. In contrast to the epsH and tasA mutants, sinR biofilms with overexpressed polysaccharide and protein (sinR) (14). Each of the phenotypes are modified by complex surface microstructure (15, 16). In particular, wild-type biofilm to be modified by complex surface microstructure (15, 16). In particular, wild-type biofilm to be modified by complex surface microstructure.
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Discussion

We imaged the biofilm using synchrotron-generated X-rays and microcomputed tomography (see Materials and Methods). Regions of high intensity within the biofilm indicate where the gas has penetrated and alumina has been deposited. In all the wild-type samples, the images show a sharp gradient of intensity close to the surface as shown in Fig. 6A. The gas penetrates only about 10 μm below the surface. However, penetration is markedly deeper in the tasA and epsH mutants, as shown by the much thicker bright region in Fig. 6B and C. Thus, as for liquid repellency, biofilm gas impenetrability also requires both the protein tasA and the polysaccharide matrix components.

We demonstrated that the biofilm surface is persistently nonwetting against up to 80% ethanol as well as other organic solvents and commercial biocides. We show that the biofilm nonwetting properties arise from both the polysaccharide and protein components of the extracellular matrix and are a synergistic result of surface chemistry, multiscale surface roughness, and reentrant topography. Moreover, we report the gas impenetrability of the biofilm surface, implying defense capability against vapor-phase antimicrobials as well.

Biofilms underlie a growing public threat in the form of nosocomial deaths, contaminated water, sick-building syndrome (occupant illness due to airborne contaminants), etc. To our knowledge the effort to control them has not considered the possibility that biocides might be resisted simply on account of being liquids or gases. Although antiseptics and disinfectants have become ubiquitous, they are clearly not optimized for biofilms. Persistent biofilm nonwettability and gas impenetrability therefore represent significant obstacles against many of our most commonly used biocides: Because liquids and gases cannot fully penetrate into the matrix, they cannot access all the subsurface cells and are largely ineffective. Our results reveal the need to study biocide resistance in terms of the macroscopic interaction with the biofilm surface in addition to the molecular-scale interactions with the matrix molecules and cells. Such insight could help address the long-standing question of how a broad range of antimicrobials that differ entirely in chemistry and mechanism can all fail. Our findings further suggest that future delivery strategies may be severely compromised if they do not take into account the level of liquid wettability or gas penetration—to be effective against biofilms, antimicrobial delivery may well require a solvent or diluent specifically designed to penetrate into the biofilm surface. If surface properties are highly species-specific, approaching antimicrobial design from this perspective could also provide a way to selectively target particular biofilms.

B. subtilis biofilms grow in exposure to air, potentially extending our findings to biofilm communities in a wide range of environments, such as air ducts, sewers, liquid storage tanks, and porous soil media. These liquid repellency properties may in fact have evolved in response to B. subtilis’s natural environment, soil, where water leaches heavy metals, antibiotics, and other toxins. Interestingly, B. subtilis is now being used for crop protection.
been shown that differences in surfactin concentration along the biofilm can produce surface tension gradients that are sufficient to pull *B. subtilis* pellets upward on glass slides. However, although the gradients in surface tension may be large enough to pull the biofilm, the total reduction in surface tension due to surfactin production during pellicle growth is only about 10% (25). Although the spatiotemporal location, transport, and absorption of surfactin molecules have not been characterized, this suggests that the concentration of surfactin at the biofilm surface may be fairly small.

To our knowledge, the extreme liquid repellency of *B. subtilis* biofilm has not been reported for any natural material. Numerous studies have analyzed the “lotus effect” of superhydrophobic plant leaves and insects, but none of these surfaces can resist wetting by both lower-surface-tension liquids and water (27–30). Insights from the latter examples have been pivotal in the design of synthetic superhydrophobic surfaces, but the design of synthetic surfaces with broad-spectrum repellency, with no comparable model, has only recently been achieved and has yet to overcome a high degree of mechanical fragility and reliance on intensive fabrication and chemical derivatization (31, 32). As a resilient, environmentally adaptive repellent surface composed of only nontoxic biomolecules, *B. subtilis* biofilm holds promise as a model surface that can open new directions in antiwetting applications. Beyond *B. subtilis*, biofilms have evolved as a survival strategy across a huge diversity of species and environments, based on equally diverse matrix compositions and architectures; exploring whether and how repellent surface behaviors have emerged across the bacterial population could provide a rich, untapped source for understanding mechanisms and designing surfaces for liquid and gas repellency. In particular, further study of the surface features—potentially related to the dynamic nature of the live biofilm and its complex biomolecules—that synergize with the topographic and chemical features isolated by our experiments to confer resistance to low-surface-tension liquids may provide insight into the fundamental factors responsible for wetting behavior as well as a basis for future biomimetic designs.

**Materials and Methods**

**Biofilm Preparation.** *Bacillus subtilis* NCIB 3610 (wild type), epsH, tasA, and sinR knockout mutant biofilm colonies were prepared as follows. Microcolonies of each *B. subtilis* strain were taken from streaked freezer plates and incubated in LB cultures for 18 h at 23 °C. The cultures were drop-cast (3 μL per drop) on 10-cm diameter MSgg minimal medium agar plates and incubated for varying time periods at room temperature to grow colonies (13). Surface pellicles were also grown in six-well plates by inoculating MSgg medium with the wild-type and matrix-mutant *B. subtilis* in LB media.

**Contact Angle Measurement.** Static and time-domain contact angle measurements were performed on the biofilm colonies at various time points, on polymeric biofilm replicas, and on a Teflon block (McMaster-Carr) using a contact angle goniometer with screw-actuated syringe and accompanying software. Ten percent vol/vol increments of deionized water–ethanol solutions from 0% to 100% ethanol and 50% vol/vol aqueous solutions of methanol, isopropanol alcohol, and acetone were prepared. Additionally, four commercial biocides were obtained: Clorox bleach, Lysol Professional (liquid collected for dropwise application), Hibiclens, and CVS drain cleaner. At least three contact angle measurements were collected per sample on untested areas outside the center. Additionally, qualitative contact angle observations were made by repeatedly applying deionized water droplets on the same area of a colony to probe for repellency degradation, by applying ethanol–water mixtures to *B. subtilis* pellicles to compare to colony wetting behavior, and by applying phosphate-buffered saline droplets to colonies.

**Biofilm Replication.** Negative molds of 1-wk-old wild-type colonies were produced from polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) (Dow Sylgard 184) with a prepolymer-to-curing agent ratio of 10:1. After extensive mixing of the prepolymer and curing agent, the mixture was degassed under vacuum to eliminate air bubbles. The PDMS mixture was then poured on the colony and underlying agar substrate, which was cut out by razor from the larger MSgg agar plate.

---

**Fig. 6.** Synchrotron microcomputed tomography reconstructed images of the (A) WT, (B) tasA mutant, and (C) epsH mutant of *B. subtilis* biofilms following atomic layer deposition (ALD) of heavy metal oxides by vapor exposure (see Materials and Methods). In the wild-type biofilm, the vapor-phase ALD precursors penetrate only a short distance. In tasA and particularly the eps-deficient colony, deep gas penetration was observed.

---

*Against pathogenic bacteria and fungi in the soil, and an understanding that this plant protection might be a result of robust, protective biofilms colonizing and shielding the roots from waterborne pathogens has recently emerged (24). Furthermore, the repellency phenomenon is general to both solid-associated colonies and liquid-associated pellicles.*

*Biofilms also exist in fully submerged environments, and because they grow in continuous contact with liquids, they may not present the same properties that we report here. However, biofilms that are primarily but not exclusively submerged, such as those only periodically exposed to flows, in pipes and tanks, may in fact exist at least partially in a nonwetting state. Our results may highlight the need to consider this possibility not only for direct delivery of liquid antimicrobials, but also for optimization of systems based on beneficial biofilms, such as bioremediation reactors.*

*The ability of *B. subtilis* biofilms to resist wetting may be considered particularly surprising in light of the fact that *B. subtilis* secretes surfactin (25, 26), a biomolecule that enhances biofilm spreading by lowering the effective surface tension of liquids and that could similarly be expected to increase wetting. It has*
and placed in a small Petri dish. The PDMS mold thermally cured at room temperature for 24 h. After curing, the agar was easily removed from the PDMS and the remaining biofilm “master” was fully dissolved during 15 min of sonication in a bath using a mixture of 1 part chlorine bleach, 1 part acetic acid, and 4 parts deionized water. The negative PDMS mold was also cleaned with ethanol, isopropanol, and acetone. To produce polymer replicas of the colony, the commercial UV-initiated one-part epoxy UV-114 (Epoxy Technology) was chosen. Multiple epoxy replicas of the 1-wk-old WT colony were fabricated; also, multiple flat UVO-114 epoxy replicas of a glass slide were fabricated by the PDMS molding method. Half of the colony and glass slide replicas were left as native epoxy surfaces, whereas half were sputter-coated with 10 nm Pt/Pd and hydrophobized by perfluorodecanoic acid.

Microcomputed Tomography. Critical point dried samples of wild-type, tasA mutant, and epsH mutant B. subtilis colonies were coated for 1 h in a Cambridge NanoTech Savanah 200 Atomic Layer Deposition chamber sequentially with trimethylaluminum precursor gas that deposits alumina (Al₂O₃) at 45 °C and hafnia (HfO₂) at 65 °C. This was done in order to assess penetration of the precursor gases while simultaneously providing X-ray radiation contrast. On site at the Argonne National Lab, Advanced Photon Source, Station 13-BMD, the samples were carefully sectioned by razor and each mounted into a 2-mm inner diameter hollow plastic tube. Each sample was mounted in a three-jaw chuck and imaged over a 360° rotation, with a beam energy of 40 kV. Radiographs of ~3 μm/pixel resolution were reconstructed into 3D volumes using the IDL software package and analyzed. Parts of the biofilm that were less dense and more porous were more permeable to gas diffusion and hence to oxide deposition. Areas that had heavier oxide deposition absorbed more radiation, producing darker transmission images.
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