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Significance Statement (120 word maximum)
Glaucoma is a leading cause of blindness. The elevated intraocular pressure characteristic of glaucoma is attributable to increased resistance to aqueous humor outflow. However, the cause of this increased flow resistance has eluded investigators for over 140 years. We here demonstrate that cells from the outflow pathway of glaucomatous eyes have altered gene expression and increased mechanical stiffness that leads to reduced pore-formation in these cells, likely accounting for increased outflow resistance in glaucoma. These finding promote a focus on cell stiffness as a therapeutic target in glaucoma, and also represent, for the first time, the use of the methods of mechanobiology to demonstrate that dysfunctional cytoskeletal mechanics is at the heart of a disease process.
Abstract (250 word maximum)
The cause of the increased flow resistance responsible for the elevated intraocular pressure characteristic of glaucoma is not known. We investigated the hypothesis that altered biomechanical behavior of Schlemm's canal cells contributes to this dysfunction. We used atomic force microscopy, optical magnetic twisting cytometry and a unique cell perfusion apparatus to examine cultured endothelial cells isolated from the inner wall of Schlemm canal (SC) of normal and glaucomatous human eyes. Here we establish the existence of a reduced tendency for pore-formation in the glaucomatous SC cell –likely accounting for increased outflow resistance– that is correlated to elevated subcortical stiffness of these cells, along with an enhanced sensitivity to the mechanical microenvironment including altered expression of several key genes, particularly connective tissue growth factor. Rather than being seen as a simple mechanical barrier to filtration, the endothelium of Schlemm’s canal is seen instead as a dynamic material whose response to mechanical strain leads to pore formation and thereby modulates the resistance to aqueous humor outflow. In the glaucomatous eye, this process becomes compromised. Together, these observations support the idea of SC cell stiffness –and its biomechanical effects on pore formation– as a therapeutic target in glaucoma.

Keywords: NEED TO BE ADDED
Aqueous humor flows across the inner wall endothelium of Schlemm’s canal (SC) and generates a transendothelial pressure gradient from the cellular base to the cellular apex. From a biomechanical perspective, the direction of this gradient is remarkable considering that the endothelium of the systemic vasculature experiences a pressure gradient in precisely the opposite direction. In the healthy eye, this basal-to-apical transcellular pressure gradient is of sufficient magnitude to partially separate the SC cell from its supporting basement membrane, inflate dome-shaped structures known as giant vacuoles, and generate cellular mechanical strains exceeding 50% or more (Fig. 1). The formation of these giant vacuoles leads to substantial thinning of the SC endothelial cell and is thought to be associated with formation of pores that provide an outflow pathway across the SC endothelium. While reported dysfunction of the pore formation process might be expected to impact outflow resistance and elevate IOP, mechanisms for such dysfunction have never before been established, not only because SC cells from healthy eyes are so difficult to isolate technically, but also because isolated SC cells from the glaucomatous eye are a resource that has been exceedingly scarce. Here for the first time we show that the process of pore formation differs substantially between cells from the healthy versus the glaucomatous human eye, and show, further, that this difference depends upon cytoskeletal stiffness that is altered in the glaucomatous SC cell, likely due to altered substrate sensitivity and gene expression in these cells. Specifically, stiffer glaucomatous cells impede pore formation and thereby elevate IOP.

Results

Pore formation in SC cells is altered in glaucomatous cell strains

To examine pore formation in SC cells, we used an in vitro monolayer perfusion system to mimic the biomechanical and filtration environment of SC endothelium in vivo. As described previously, SC cells were isolated from normal and glaucomatous human...
donors and extensively characterized (Methods)(6, 7). When perfused in a basal-to-apical direction, pores formed in SC cell monolayers, with pores passing transcellularly through individual SC cells or paracellularly between neighboring SC cells, consistent with the two pore types observed along the SC endothelium in situ (Fig. 2A).(8) The density of pores (pores per cell area) increased significantly with perfusion pressure ($p < 4 \times 10^{-5}$; Fig 2B), and porosity (pore area per cell area) showed a similar dependence upon pressure ($p < 0.003$; online supplement). Apical-to-basal perfusion of these monolayers showed no such dependence of pore density (Fig. 2B) or porosity (online supplement) on perfusion pressure, consistent with previous studies showing rectified flow across this endothelium and its role as part of the blood-aqueous barrier.(9)

Compared to the pore density measured in normal SC cell strains perfused in a basal-to-apical direction at 6 mmHg, pore density in glaucomatous SC cell strains was markedly reduced; pore density in glaucomatous cells was 3-fold smaller and the difference was highly statistically significant ($p < 2 \times 10^{-4}$, Fig. 2C). Pore density seen in glaucomatous SC cell strains perfused at 6 mm Hg was comparable to unperfused normal controls (Fig. 2B,C). Porosity was similarly reduced in glaucomatous SC cells as compared to SC cells from normal eyes ($p < 0.04$; online supplement).

**Glaucomatous SC cells demonstrate elevated sub-cortical stiffness**

We reasoned that it would be more difficult for a pore to form in stiffer SC cells. To investigate this possibility, we measured the stiffness of SC cells isolated from normal and glaucomatous human donors (Methods) using atomic force microscopy (AFM) employing both sharp tips (20 nm tip radius) and rounded tips (4.5 µm and 10 µm)(10). In other cellular systems, AFM measurements using a sharp tip characterize the cell cortex whereas larger, spherical tips probe the subcortical cytoskeleton.(10) For all tip geometries, elastic moduli were found to be similar between nuclear and peripheral regions of the cell, and there was no systematic variation between Young's modulus and donor age (online supplement). Cell
stiffness measured with sharp tips was 10-fold higher than that measured with the larger, spherical tips (Fig. 3B), consistent with the prominent actin-rich cell cortex found in SC cells and other endothelia (Fig. 3A).

Measured with a sharp AFM tip, we found no difference in stiffness between normal versus glaucomatous SC cells (p > 0.85; Fig. 3B). Cortex thickness as measured by structured illumination microscopy was similar between normal (400 ± 20 nm, n=3 cell strains) and glaucomatous SC cells (380 ± 60 nm, n = 2). However, when measured with the larger, spherical AFM tips, we found systematic differences in stiffness between glaucomatous SC versus normal SC cells (Fig. 3B, 3C). With a 4.5 µm tip, the modulus of glaucomatous SC cells was 1.47±0.29 kPa (n=5 cell strains; m = 128 measurements) while that of normal SC cells was measured as 1.01±0.12 kPa (n=6; m = 104) (p<0.12). Using a 10 µm tip, the modulus of glaucomatous SC cells was 1.24±0.11 kPa (n=5; m = 120) while that of normal SC cells was 0.79±0.10 kPa (n=6; m = 153) (p<0.02). Relative to the normal SC cells, glaucomatous SC cells revealed substantially elevated subcortical stiffness. Both cortical and subcortical SC cell stiffness were greatly reduced by latrunculin-A, consistent with an important role for actin in determining stiffness (Fig. 3A); however, no difference in latrunculin’s effects was noted comparing normal to glaucoma SC cells (online supplement).

For 2 normal and 3 glaucomatous SC cell strains in which both cell stiffness and pore density were measured, we examined the relationship between these parameters. Subcortical stiffness (10 µm spherical tip) was related inversely to pore density (p<0.002; Fig. 3D) and porosity (p<0.012; online supplement). These data do not establish causality, but do strongly support the idea that increased subcortical cell stiffness and decreased pore formation go hand-in-hand.

*On increasingly stiffer gels, both normal and glaucomatous SC cells stiffen.*

We asked, next, what might cause this stiffness difference? One possibility is mechanotransduction of the mechanical properties of the SC cell microenvironment.\(^{(11, 12)}\)
We thus investigated how substrate stiffness might influence SC cell stiffness and gene expression. Because of the need to examine a number of cell strains on substrates of a variety of stiffness, we used optical magnetic twisting cytometry (OMTC: Methods) to study SC cells isolated from normal and glaucomatous human donors (Methods).

Grown on rigid substrates, we found no difference in stiffness between normal and glaucomatous SC cells strains (online supplement), and, as expected, these results were consistent with the AFM findings using a sharp tip described above,(13, 14) We also examined how SC cells grown on rigid substrates responded to drugs with known effects on outflow resistance. Similar to our finding previously reported for normal SC cells,(15) we found in glaucomatous SC cells, every agent that we examined that decreased outflow resistance also decreased cell stiffness, and every agent that increased outflow resistance also increased cell stiffness (online supplement).

We then examined the influence of substrate stiffness on the cells. The physiological substrate of the SC cell is the trabecular meshwork, and its compressive Young’s modulus has been reported to be substantially increased in glaucoma(16). Normal and glaucomatous SC cells were cultured on collagen-coated polyacrylamide gels of tunable stiffness (Methods) with Young’s modulus ranging from 1.1 kPa to 34.4 kPa, the former mimicking normal trabecular meshwork and the latter mimicking glaucomatous trabecular meshwork.

With increasing gel stiffness, SC cells exhibited more prominent actin stress fibers and vinculin-containing focal adhesions (compare Fig. 4A and B), suggestive of increased cytoskeletal contractility and/or elevated cell stiffness. OMTC measurements showed that normal SC cells stiffened in response to increased substrate stiffness (p=10^-6; Fig. 4C, D) and were 131% stiffer when cultured on the stiffest gel as compared to the softest gel. Glaucomatous SC cells showed a much greater stiffening response (p=0.011), increasing by 371% over the same range of substrate stiffness (Fig. 4 E, F). Thus, similar to other endothelial cells, SC endothelial cells stiffen in response to increasing substrate stiffness.
Compared with the healthy SC cell, the glaucomatous SC cell exhibits a strikingly enhanced stiffening response.

*Expression of glaucoma-related genes is dependent upon substrate stiffness and exaggerated in glaucomatous cell strains.*

In endothelial cells and fibroblasts, substrate stiffness is known to modulate gene expression.(17-19) Using real time quantitative PCR as a function of substrate stiffness in normal and glaucomatous SC cells (Methods), we examined the expression levels of 11 genes (Table 1) previously linked to mechanosensing, glaucoma, ECM remodeling, or TGF-β2/CTGF signaling.

The mRNA expression of *Col1a1* was upregulated up to 20-fold with increasing substrate stiffness for both normal and glaucomatous cells (p<10⁻⁹), with no significant difference between normal and glaucomatous cells (p>0.4) (Fig. 5A) (see Methods for statistical treatment). Significant increases with increasing substrate stiffness were also seen for *SPARC* (p<10⁻⁶) and *TGM2* (p<10⁻⁴) (Fig. 5B, C). Marginally statistically significant increases (0.01<overall p<0.05) in *TPM1* and *TGFβ2* were observed with increasing substrate stiffness (Fig. 5D, F). These results indicate that normal and glaucomatous SC cells share some common molecular responses to elevated substrate stiffness.

We also identified three genes that were differentially modulated by substrate stiffness in glaucomatous as compared to normal SC cells. *PTGS2* had a marginally significant negative association with substrate stiffness in glaucomatous cells (overall p <0.03) but not in normal cells (Fig. 5 I, K). Importantly, *CTGF* and *DCN* were more strongly upregulated by elevated substrate stiffness in glaucomatous SC cells (p<0.05, p<10⁻³, respectively) than in normals (Fig. 5E and G). Of note, the absolute increase in *CTGF* gene expression in glaucomatous cell strains, as compared to normals, (p<0.01) was the highest of all of the genes investigated (Fig. 5L), whereas the absolute *DCN* expression was the lowest.
Together, these data demonstrate that SC cells modulate their gene expression in tandem with substrate stiffness, and that glaucomatous SC cells have altered substrate sensitivity that affects key genes, particularly CTGF and DCN. In a mouse model of glaucoma, CTGF has been associated with increased stress fiber formation, IOP elevation and glaucomatous optic neuropathy. (20) Here we establish a link between the expression of these same genes and changes of substrate stiffness.

Discussion

The cause of the elevated pressure and increased outflow resistance characteristic of glaucoma is unknown despite being a topic of investigation for over 140 years. (21) Recent studies have focused on the role of decreased extracellular matrix permeability (22) or increased extracellular matrix stiffness (16) in the glaucomatous process. Our studies here suggest that the cells of the inner wall of SC may play a fundamental role in generating increased outflow resistance in the disease eye. The density of pores in glaucomatous eyes is lower than in normal eyes. (3, 4) Pores in the inner wall endothelium of SC are thought to modulate aqueous outflow resistance through a hydrodynamic interaction with the flow of aqueous humor passing through the trabecular meshwork. (23, 24) Thus, decreased pore density is expected to increase the resistance to the outflow of aqueous humor from the eye and thereby increase IOP, a characteristic of many cases of glaucoma. Moreover, in the glaucomatous eye, the ultrastructure and material properties of the trabecular meshwork that supports the SC cell are altered. (16, 25, 26) Here we establish, further, that the glaucomatous SC cell has elevated subcortical cell stiffness, enhanced sensitivity to the mechanical microenvironment and altered gene expression, notably CTGF, which has been shown to lead to ocular hypertension and glaucomatous optic neuropathy in mice. (20) Furthermore, we have demonstrated that these altered material properties of the glaucomatous SC cells render them less able to form pores and thus presumably lead to increased IOP.
To lower IOP in glaucoma, two classes of new drugs are currently in clinical trials – Rho kinase inhibitors and actin depolymerizers (27, 28) – both of which lower outflow resistance (29, 30). The exact site of action in the conventional outflow tract of these drugs in lowering IOP in glaucoma is unknown, but it is interesting to note that both classes cause cell stiffness to decrease (15). We demonstrate here that both normal and glaucomatous SC cells alter their stiffness when treated with drugs that alter outflow resistance. These findings emphasize the importance of cell stiffness and the contractile state to the modulation of aqueous humor outflow resistance and control of IOP. The mechanosensitivity of SC cells thus represents an interesting therapeutic target for restoring the function of the conventional outflow pathway. Specifically, targeting SC cell stiffness is likely to provide an efficacious therapeutic approach to lower IOP for glaucoma therapy, with minimal off-target effects.

**Material and Methods**

In the past, the comparison between normal and glaucomatous tissues and cells has been hindered by the lack of fresh human donor eyes. Our work included SC cells from 9 normal and 5 glaucomatous donors, representing the largest collection of such samples to date (Table 2).

**SC cell isolation and culture.** Human SC cells were isolated from cadaveric ocular tissue provided by Midwest Eye Bank, NDRI or Life Legacy within 36 hours of death with enucleation occurring less than 6 hours after death. Isolation of cells from donor eye tissue was done according to techniques developed and optimized previously. (6) Before use in experiments, all SC cell strains were characterized using three inclusion criteria: the expression of vascular endothelial cadherin, a net transendothelial electrical resistance of 10 ohms*cm² or greater, and the lack of myocilin induction by dexamethasone as described previously. (7) We examined the change in cell stiffness with cell passaging, and found no change through passage 6 (online supplement). A total of 9 different cell strains isolated from donor eyes without a history of eye disease and 5 different cell strains isolated from
donors having a history of glaucoma were used in the present study (Table 2). For determination of ocular hypertension/glaucoma for eye donors, we relied on a combination of the following information provided to us by eye/tissue banks and/or analyses that were conducted on donor eyes once they were received in our laboratory: documentation of ocular hypertension/glaucoma history, presence of glaucoma eye drops on patient medication list, abnormally low outflow facility measurement of whole globes (<0.1 ml/min/mmHg measured at time of receipt in the laboratory) and/or abnormally low axon counts (<500,000 axons/optic nerve).

**SC monolayer perfusion and pore counting.** SC monolayers were perfused and pore counts made following previously described methods.(5) Briefly, SC cells were seeded at confluence (4.5x10^4 cells/cm^2) on track-etched filters and cultured for 2 days. SC cell layers were perfused in the basal-to-apical direction at 2 or 6 mmHg for 30 minutes with DMEM + 25 mM HEPES. Cell layers were then immersed in fixative while continuing perfusion with medium for an additional 30 minutes. For controls, cell layers were either not perfused and immersion fixed at 0 mmHg or perfused in the opposite (apical-to-basal) direction at 6 mmHg followed by immersion in fixative. SC cell layers were processed and examined by scanning electron microscopy, pores were analyzed in 12 randomly selected regions (5500 µm^2 each) per cell layer, and pore density and porosity (% area covered by pores) determined. Details in online supplement.

**Atomic force microscopy.** AFM measurements were made on subconfluent normal or glaucomatous SC cells at passage 4 or 5 with sharp pyramidal tips, or spherical tips of diameter 4.5 or 10 µm. Young’s modulus was determined using a modified Hertzian analysis.(10) Studies with latrunculin-A used a concentration of 1 µM, with cells treated for 30 minutes.

**Cortex and cell imaging.** For imaging, SC cells were transduced with an adenovirus delivering an actin filament marker, rAV-LifeAct-TagGFP2 (IBIDI, Verona, WI). After 48
hours of transduction, cells were washed with buffered saline. Cells were then imaged with a Nikon-Structured Illumination Microscope (N-SIM) before and after latrunculin-A treatment. Cortex thickness measurements were made from intensity profiles defining thickness as full width at half-maximum intensity. Cortex thickness measurements were made from intensity profiles defining thickness as full width at half-maximum intensity. Cortex thickness was measured on roughly 10-25 cells of each cell strain, and then averaged over the cell strains for 3 normal and 2 glaucomatous strains.

**Optical magnetic twisting cytometry (OMTC).** Detailed descriptions and validations of OMTC have been given elsewhere(15, 31-33). Briefly, to probe the cortical cytoskeletal stiffness, ferrimagnetic beads (4.5 µm diameter) were coated with poly-L-lysine (PLL). The beads were allowed to attach to cells for 25-50 minutes. They were then magnetized with a strong magnetic pulse in the horizontal direction and twisted with a much weaker magnetic field in the vertical direction. This vertical field, which oscillates at 0.77 Hz, imposed a sinusoidal torque on each bead. The torque was automatically adjusted to achieve a median bead motion of about 60 nm. The bead motion was quantified by image analysis. The ratio of magnetic torque to bead motion defines the apparent stiffness ($g^*$) measured by each bead (34). $g^*$ is a complex number and we report the modulus $g = |g^*|$, which has units of Pa/nm.

**Fabrication of substrates with varied stiffness and testing procedure.** Published protocols were followed to make polyacrylamide gels composed of 8% acrylamide, 3% (3-acrylamidepropyl) trimethylammonium chloride (API), and a variable percentage of bisacrylamide (0.04, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.3%) (18, 35-37). These API gels were positively charged and electrostatically absorb ECM proteins including collagen 1(36). Previous work confirmed the absorption of fibronectin and collagen to be independent of gel stiffness(38). Gels were cast between two glass plates to achieve a final thickness of about 0.8 mm. 5-mm diameter disks were punched out of gel sheets using surgical punches, transferred into 96-well plates, and stored in PBS. These gels were soaked in 10 µg/ml collagen 1 overnight (PureCol, Advanced BioMatrix) prior to cell plating. Young’s moduli of the gels were measured using
AFM to be 1.1, 2.5, 4.2, 11.9, and 34.4 kPa for bisacrylamide concentrations of 0.04, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1.3%, respectively; the Young’s modulus scaled roughly linearly with crosslinker concentration (online supplement). SC cells at passages 3 to 6 were seeded confluently (3x10^4 cells/cm^2) on the gels, grown in low glucose DMEM with 1%FBS for 3 days, and switched to DMEM with 1x ITS (Sigma-Aldrich) overnight prior to OMT testing using PLL-coated beads. After OMT testing, the cells were directly lysed in trizol (Life Technologies) and stored frozen until real time quantitative PCR measurements as described below.

**Real time quantitative RT-PCR.** Structural integrity of RNA samples was confirmed by electrophoresis using 1% (w/v) agarose gels. First strand cDNA was prepared from total RNA using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (BioRad, München, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time RT-PCR was performed on a BioRad iQ5 Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad) with the temperature profile as follows: 40 cycles of 10 s melting at 95 °C, 40 s of annealing and extension at 60 °C. All primer pairs (online supplement; Invitrogen) extended over exon–intron boundaries. RNA that was not reverse transcribed served as negative control for real-time RT-PCR. To allow for relative quantification, we identified housekeeping genes by using the software Genex (MultiD Analysis, Göteburg, Sweden) (Vandesompele et al. 2002). In initial experiments, real-time RT-PCR for the potential housekeeping genes GNB2L1, GAPDH and RPL32 was performed for each of the treatment protocols. Cycle threshold values were loaded to the software that distinguishes genes that are regulated in a specific condition from those that are very likely not. No differences were obtained between GAPDH and GNB2L1, so GNB2L1 was used for relative quantification of the real-time RT-PCR experiments. Quantification was performed using BioRad iQ5 Standard-Edition (Version 2.0.148.60623) software (BioRad).

**Statistical methods.** In general, the statistical analysis was done using SPSS (version 12.0 by IBM, Somers, NY). Since the cell stiffness for each donor is approximately log-normally distributed (online supplement), we reported it as median ± standard error, which was calculated based on logarithmically transformed data(34).
Regression analysis for the studies of the effect of substrate stiffness on cell stiffness and gene expression were fitted the data to the following relationship:

\[
\frac{\text{Variable}(E_{\text{Substrate}})}{\text{Variable}(1.1 \text{ kPa})} = c_1 \left( \frac{E_{\text{Substrate}}}{1.1 \text{ kPa}} - 1 \right) + c_2 \left( \frac{E_{\text{Substrate}}}{1.1 \text{ kPa}} - 1 \right) \times \text{Glaucoma}
\]

where \(\text{Variable}(E_{\text{Substrate}})\) is the value of the parameter being measured (cell stiffness or gene expression) at a given value of substrate stiffness \(E_{\text{Substrate}}\). \text{Glaucoma} is 1 for glaucomatous cell strains and 0 for normal cell strains. Correlations were taken as statistically significant when the correlation had an overall significance of p<0.01 and either substrate stiffness and/or glaucoma affected the fit with p<0.05 (unless otherwise noted). In all cases where a statistically significant difference between glaucomatous cell strains and normals was reported, the addition of donor age as an additional covariate to the equation did not affect this conclusion (online supplement).

Because pore density is a discrete random variable comprising finite counts of sparse events, Poisson statistics were applied and an E-test(39) was used to compare Poisson-distributed pore densities between different perfusion pressures and between normal and glaucomatous SC cell strains. The generalized linear model (GLM) was used for regression analysis of pore density versus cell stiffness (as measured by AFM with a 10 µm spherical tip) applying a logarithmic link function. Differences in porosity were analyzed using a two-tailed two-sample Student’s t-test. GLM analysis was also used for regression analysis of porosity versus cell stiffness with an identity link function.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTA2</td>
<td>alpha smooth muscle actin (SMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col1A1</td>
<td>α-1 type I collagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTGF</td>
<td>connective tissue growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCN</td>
<td>decorin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP2</td>
<td>matrix metalloproteinase-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAI1</td>
<td>plasminogen activator inhibitor-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTGS2</td>
<td>prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 (COX-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARC</td>
<td>secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β2</td>
<td>transforming growth factor- β2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGM2</td>
<td>tissue transglutaminase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPM1</td>
<td>tropomyosin α-1 chain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Genes investigated and the proteins they code for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cell strain (#)</th>
<th>donor age (yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC41</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC51</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC52</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC58</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC60</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC61</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC67</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC68</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC71</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC57g</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC59g</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC62g</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC63g</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC64g</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0 Table 2: Summary of SC cell strain donor ages used in the present study
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Figure captions

Figure 1: Aqueous humor flow pathway. Left panel: schematic of anterior segment of eye showing the direction of aqueous humor flow in red. Center panel: enlargement of the iris-cornea angle (boxed region in left panel) to show the conventional outflow pathway; SC: Schlemm’s canal. Right panel: Transmission electron micrograph of endothelial cells forming the inner wall of Schlemm’s canal; aqueous humor crosses the endothelium through pores to enter the lumen of SC; V: giant vacuoles. Panel C is reproduced with permission from Experimental Eye Research(40) [Permission needed].

Figure 2: Pore density in perfused SC monolayers. (A) Representative image of transcellular and paracellular pores in normal (SC52) and glaucomatous SC (SC62g) cells; (B) Pore density increases in monolayers formed from 3 non-glaucomatous SC cell strains with transcellular (basal-to-apical) pressure drop; in one SC cell strain (SC67) perfused in the apical-to-basal direction (AB), pore densities are similar to unperfused controls at 0 mmHg; (C) Pore density is reduced in glaucomatous compared to normal SC cells following perfusion at 6 mmHg in the basal-to-apical direction. Bars are SEM.

Figure 3: Young’s modulus for normal and glaucomatous SC cells as measured by atomic force microscopy (AFM). (A) Structured illumination microscopy images of normal and glaucomatous SC cells labeled with actin filament marker(41), rAV-LifeAct-TagGFP2 before and after application of latrunculin-A; thick arrows: cortex, thin arrows: stress fibers. (B) Median and standard errors of the modulus of 6 normal (blue) and 5 glaucomatous (red) non-confluent SC cell strains as measured with three different AFM tips. Modulus is determined from force-deformation curves using a modified Hertzian analysis(10); *p=0.117, **p=0.017. (C) Box and whisker plot(42) of individual AFM measurements of cell modulus using a 10 µm tip for each of the 6 normal and 5 glaucomatous SC cell strains examined. (D) There is a significant correlation (dark line) between pore density and the modulus of the subcortical cytoskeleton, as measured by AFM using a 10 µm spherical tip. Bars represent
Figure 4: Influence of substrate stiffness on the biomechanical properties of SC cells. As the substrate stiffness increases, the stiffness of SC cells increases by different amounts in a donor- and disease-dependent manner. (A, B) Fluorescent micrographs of normal SC cells labeled for f-actin (red), vinculin (green) and DNA (blue) at two levels of substrate stiffness; black dots are 4.5 µm magnetic beads used for OMTC. Scale bars: 50 µm. (C-D) Cell stiffness index (g) of normal (blue) and glaucomatous (red) SC cells as measured by OMTC and expressed for individual cell strains (numbers above figure indicate cell strain) (C) or averages over all cell strains (D); (E-F) Stiffness index normalized by the value at the lowest substrate stiffness, expressed for individual cells strains (E) or averages over all cell strains (F). Median ± SEM with n > 600 beads for (C) and (E); mean ± SEM with n = 5 cell strains each for (D) and (F). Note that because the embedding depth of the beads in the cells is not known, an index of cell stiffness, g, is presented rather than an absolute value(43).

Figure 5. Increases in substrate stiffness modulated SC cell gene expression. (A-K) The increases in substrate stiffness expression levels in normal or glaucoma cell strains relative to that on the softest gel of that cell strain. Increased substrate stiffness led to increased expression in all genes except PTGS2 that showed constant or decreased expression. (L) The expression levels of 11 genes averaged across substrate stiffness and across donors were compared between normal and glaucoma cell strains, normalized to the averaged expression level in the normal cells on the softest gel. Statistically significant differences between normal and glaucomatous cells indicated by *, p < 0.05 and **, p < 0.01. Mean ± SEM with n = 5 for (A-K); mean ± SEM with n = 25 for (L).