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Energy-resolved two-quantum annihilation in flight of positrons with energies ranging from 10 to 71.6 keV was observed. An energy-dispersive two-detector coincidence system was used to observe the sum and difference energies of the γ rays from annihilating positron-electron pairs. For positrons penetrating carbon foils the c/ν dependence of the annihilation cross section is confirmed. Spectra obtained from gold-coated carbon foils show evidence of in-flight annihilation with gold M-shell electrons.

PACS numbers: 78.70.Bj, 14.60.–z, 24.80.+y, 25.30.Hm

As energetic positrons penetrate a solid target they rapidly lose kinetic energy via electronic collisions to several eV within ~0.1 ps [1] and come into thermal equilibrium with the target within 10 ps [2]. The characteristic lifetime of the positron in a solid target is several 100 ps which is consistent with the observation that virtually all positrons annihilate with thermal kinetic energy. There is, however, a finite probability of annihilation during the slowing down process. Two-quantum annihilation in flight (TQAF) has been observed for 400 keV to MeV energy positrons in solids [3–8]. For low Z targets the results generally agree well with theoretical energy integrated cross sections. Small deviations were explained with experimental uncertainties in target thickness, the energy distribution of the positron beam, and the coincidence schemes available at the time. For high Z targets the experimental results exceed QED predictions. Kilian suggested that contributions from single-quantum annihilation events are the reason [8]. Thirty years later, interest in TQAF revived briefly during a search for anomalies in the electron-positron scattering cross section near the Z0 mass in the GeV range [9–11]. More recently investigators returned to in-flight annihilation in the low MeV region after sharp electron-positron pair sum energy peaks were observed and postulated to be the signature of a new particle [12–14].

TQAF contributes only a tiny fraction to the total annihilation spectrum in thick targets and is ignored when research with thermalized positrons concentrates on solid state phenomena. Annihilation spectroscopy based on the Doppler broadening of the annihilation line centered at m0c2 = 511 keV is a useful tool to obtain electron momentum distributions in single crystal solids and near defects [15]. The combination of a monochromatic variable-energy positron beam with the coincident observation of both annihilation quanta [16,17] permits the measurement of the total energy of the electron-positron pair with high energy resolution and excellent signal to noise ratio. We report on energy resolved measurements of TQAF of positrons with incident energies from 10 to 70 keV. The relative cross section for annihilation in flight as a function of positron energy was measured and compared with the predicted c/ν dependence. In a gold target TQAF with M-shell core electrons was observed.

Two detectors register the energies E1 and E2 of the two photons from an annihilation event in coincidence. Their sum ΣE is the sum of the rest masses of the electron and the positron 2m0c2, the kinetic energy of the positron T, and any electron binding energy Eb:

\[ ΣE = E_1 + E_2 = 2m_0c^2 + T - E_b. \] (1)

In standard Doppler broadening measurements with thermalized positrons T ≪ Eb. Here the opposite is the case. The kinetics of the annihilation process determine the difference in energy of the detected photons: ΔE = E1 − E2 is a function of the angle between the positron momentum \( \vec{p} \) and the photon velocity \( \vec{c} \),

\[ ΔE = \frac{\vec{c} \cdot \vec{p} - \vec{c}^2 \vec{p}^2 / ΣE}{1 - \vec{c} \cdot \vec{p} / ΣE}. \] (2)

The value of ΔE is largest when the photons emerge parallel and antiparallel to the positron direction (ΔE_{max} = ±276 keV for T = 70 keV). Dirac [18] calculated the cross section for TQAF \( Φ_{TQAF} \) between an electron at rest and a positron of total energy E+. [Eq. (3)].

\[ Φ_{TQAF} = \pi r_0^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma + 1} \left[ \frac{\gamma^2 + 4\gamma + 1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \ln(\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}) - \frac{\gamma + 3}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}} \right], \quad γ = \frac{E_+}{m_0c^2}. \] (3)

The equation contains the classical electron radius \( r_0 \) and the ratio \( γ \) of the total energy of the positron to its rest mass. For small velocities \( ν/c < 1 \) the cross section becomes proportional to \( c/ν \), the inverse of the positron velocity. This is the case for all energies considered in this work.
We investigate TQAF in the energy range below 72 keV, which previously could not be distinguished from thermalized positron annihilations. A 50 nm thick carbon foil was used as the target. This thickness is close to the ideal thickness, where only one inelastic process occurs. A second 50 nm C foil was sputter coated with Au for 200 s to an estimated thickness of 50 nm. A monoenergetic positron beam with an intensity of \( 3 \times 10^4 \) e\(^+\)/s was guided magnetically to the target. Over 90% of the positrons are transmitted and were guided to a shielded beam dump. Magnetic bending fields prevented backscattered positrons from returning to the target. Two germanium detectors with 1.65 keV FWHM energy resolution at 514 keV were positioned 46 mm apart symmetrically on either side of the target on a line perpendicular to the incident beam. Both annihilation photons were detected in coincidence and collected in a two-dimensional histogram as a function of the difference in their energies \( \Delta E \) (x axis) and their sum \( \sum E \). An example is shown in Fig. 1 for the case of 71.6 keV positrons impinging on the Au coated C foil. The log10 of the counts in each bin of the histogram are coded in a grey scale from white corresponding to 0 or 1 via dark grey and light grey count to black for \( 10^2 \) counts. More than 100 events per bin were also plotted in black.

Annihilations of thermalized positrons dominate the spectrum at \([\sum E, \Delta E] = [1022, 0]\) keV. Coincidences of a 511 keV \( \gamma \)-ray event in one detector with an incomplete charge collection or pileup event in the other cause the diagonal “ridges.” Compton scattering from one detector to the other produces the smaller ridge along lines of constant \( \sum E = 1022 \) keV. Photons of 1.46 MeV from \( ^{40}\text{K} \) can trigger a coincidence by Compton scattering from one detector to the other. These events and thermal positron annihilations allow for an independent calibration of the sum energy scale. The line with constant sum energy \( \sum E = 1093.6 \) keV is due to TQAF. It precisely matches the incident positron beam energy of 71.6 keV and shifts with the incident beam energy. Some positrons will scatter inelastically and then annihilate. These events appear as a “bowl”-shaped region between the thermal peak (\( \sum E = 1022 \) keV) and the TQAF line.

To analyze the TQAF data the ridges are eliminated by replacing them with fits through the baseline for each \( \sum E \). Cuts are performed on the 2D histogram to show TQAF as a function of \( \Delta E \) (\( \Delta E \) spectrum) and \( \sum E \) (\( \sum E \) spectrum). The latter consists of an integration along \( \Delta E \) over the full range of \( \pm 350 \) keV. The \( \Delta E \) spectrum is an integration of a 10 keV wide window along \( \sum E \), centered at \( \sum E = T + 2m_0c^2 \). Example cuts from the gold-coated carbon foil data are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) for the \( \Delta E \) and the \( \sum E \) spectra, respectively.

The width of the TQAF peak in the \( \sum E \) spectrum is 4.3 \( \pm 0.2 \) keV for the gold-coated foil. A broad spectrum appears in the \( \Delta E \) spectrum. A calculation of the \( \Delta E \) spectrum based on Eq. (2) and the angular dependence of the detection efficiency is also shown and agrees well with the central broad peak of the spectrum.

Annihilations of thermalized positrons dominate the spectrum at \([\sum E, \Delta E] = [1022, 0]\) keV. Coincidences of a 511 keV \( \gamma \)-ray event in one detector with an incomplete charge collection or pileup event in the other cause the diagonal “ridges.” Compton scattering from one detector to the other produces the smaller ridge along lines of constant \( \sum E = 1022 \) keV. Photons of 1.46 MeV from \( ^{40}\text{K} \) can trigger a coincidence by Compton scattering from one detector to the other. These events and thermal positron annihilations allow for an independent calibration of the sum energy scale. The line with constant sum energy \( \sum E = 1093.6 \) keV is due to TQAF. It precisely matches the incident positron beam energy of 71.6 keV and shifts with the incident beam energy. Some positrons will scatter inelastically and then annihilate. These events appear as a “bowl”-shaped region between the thermal peak (\( \sum E = 1022 \) keV) and the TQAF line.

To analyze the TQAF data the ridges are eliminated by replacing them with fits through the baseline for each \( \sum E \). Cuts are performed on the 2D histogram to show TQAF as a function of \( \Delta E \) (\( \Delta E \) spectrum) and \( \sum E \) (\( \sum E \) spectrum). The latter consists of an integration along \( \Delta E \) over the full range of \( \pm 350 \) keV. The \( \Delta E \) spectrum is an integration of a 10 keV wide window along \( \sum E \), centered at \( \sum E = T + 2m_0c^2 \). Example cuts from the gold-coated carbon foil data are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) for the \( \Delta E \) and the \( \sum E \) spectra, respectively.

The width of the TQAF peak in the \( \sum E \) spectrum is 4.3 \( \pm 0.2 \) keV for the gold-coated foil. A broad spectrum appears in the \( \Delta E \) spectrum. A calculation of the \( \Delta E \) spectrum based on Eq. (2) and the angular dependence of the detection efficiency is also shown and agrees well with the central broad peak of the spectrum.

![FIG. 1. 2D histogram of TQAF data from Au sputtered onto a C foil spanned by \( \Delta E \) (x axis) and \( \sum E \). Counts are color coded from \( \leq 1 \) (white) to \( 10^2 \) and larger (black) per bin on a log10 scale. To enhance features with few counts all bins with more than 100 counts were set to 100.](image1)

![FIG. 2. Projection results in the difference energy direction (a) and the sum energy direction (b) from the data in Fig. 1. Part (a) consists of an integration across the full \( \Delta E \) width after the ridge elimination. The dashed line is the thermal peak part reduced by a factor of \( 2 \times 10^{-4} \). In part (b) the integration was performed across 10 keV centered at 71.6 keV \( \sum E \). The smooth line is based on Eq. (2) folded with the angular resolution of the system.](image2)
The total momentum of the electron-positron pair can point towards one of the detectors when the positron scatters prior to annihilation. In the case of large angle elastic scattering the maximum possible energy shift among the photons \( \Delta E_{\text{max}} \) can be observed. \( \Delta E_{\text{max}} \) can be calculated from Eq. (2).

\[
\Delta E_{\text{max}} = \pm \sqrt{T(T + m_0c^2)}.
\]  

At \( T = 71.6 \text{ keV} \) the \( \Delta E_{\text{max}} \) is \( \pm 276 \text{ keV} \), consistent with the steps in the \( \Delta E \) spectrum. No steps were observed in spectra from the bare C foil and other low-Z targets like Al and Ni because the probability for elastic large-angle scattering followed by annihilation is much lower.

To observe annihilations with inner shell electrons from gold, the \( \sum E \) spectra from the C foil before and after Au coating were compared. An error function (the detector resolution is the width of the step) was fitted to the inelastic contribution under the TQAF peak in the \( \sum E \) spectrum and subtracted. Figure 3 shows the results for bare C and Au on C normalized to equal positron illumination. Annihilations with inner gold shell electrons significantly broaden the Au on the C line on the low energy side. A fit of a single Gaussian to the C-foil peak is centered at \( 71.59 \pm 0.02 \text{ keV} \) with a FWHM of \( 2.23 \pm 0.05 \text{ keV} \). This is in good agreement with the beam energy and the combined detector resolution. Annihilations with core electrons will appear as additional peaks in the \( \sum E \) spectrum. While no separate peaks appear above the statistical scatter of the gold-carbon foil data, the TQAF peak is significantly broadened on the low energy side. Gold M-shell electrons are bound with \( 2.57 \text{ keV} \). The fit if a single peak to the gold on carbon data yields a centroid at \( 70.65 \pm 0.07 \text{ keV} \) and \( 4.26 \pm 0.16 \text{ keV} \) FWHM clearly shifted below the beam energy and much broader. In a two-Gaussian fit the second peak is \( -2.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ keV} \) shifted from the TQAF peak and \( 2.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ keV} \) wide in excellent agreement with the M-shell binding energy of gold. The results are included as dashed lines in Fig. 3. With a higher energy and higher intensity positron beam TQAF measurements could become a new tool to directly detect annihilations with core electrons as a function of positron energy [19–21].

Additional data were taken at 10, 20, 30, and 50 keV on the bare carbon foil to examine the energy dependence. In this energy range the positron intensity varies less than 5% as determined by dumping the beam onto a thick Be target. Positron backscattering from Be is low and did not have to be taken into account [22,23]. The areas under the TQAF peaks in the \( \Delta E \) spectra, normalized to constant positron flux, are plotted in Fig. 4 as a function of the inverse of the incident positron velocity in units of \( c \). Statistical error bars of 1 standard deviation are included. Also shown is a best fit of the \( c/\nu \) dependence to the data with \( \sigma_{\text{rel}} = \sigma_{\text{rel}}(c/\nu - 1) + B \). The linear function was written such that the intercept at zero occurs at the maximum possible velocity \( c/\nu \). The fit results are a residual constant background \( B = (2.8 \pm 10) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \), and \( \sigma_0 = (6.17 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \). The fit, shown as a solid line in the region of the data and dashed line for high velocities near the speed of light, agrees well with the data.

In conclusion, we have measured relative cross sections for two-quantum annihilation of positrons in flight. Annihilations with gold M-shell electrons could be isolated from the TQAF line. For carbon the data are in good agreement with the predicted \( c/\nu \) dependence of the cross section in the energy range from 10 to 71.6 keV. This experimental technique is applicable to a variety of questions. In combination with positron channeling it can be used to observe magnetic structures in a solid on an atomic scale [24,25]. The two-detector spectra provide direct information about the positron velocity, path length traversed in...
the target, and angular distribution at the instant of annihilation. A careful analysis of the bowl may lead to a new way to investigate the energy loss process of positrons in matter. While TQAF events can usually be safely ignored during the analysis of positron annihilation spectroscopy data, in some special applications it is no longer safe to do so. Systematic effects may become significant in techniques like age-momentum spectroscopy [26].
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