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We use the tunneling microscope to measure the surface lattice spacing of Ge islands grown on Si(111) as a function of their height. It changes in three stages: (I) (0–50 layers tall) Rapid relaxation from near the bulk Si value, at the end of which the lattice spacing atop some of the islands exceeds that of bulk Ge. (II) (50–80 layers) Rapid decrease in surface lattice spacing, to nearly 2% below the bulk Ge value. (III) (>80 layers) Gradual relaxation to the bulk value. Additional observations of dislocations and analysis of island widths are used to explain this behavior. © 1995 American Institute of Physics.

Strain relaxation in heteroepitaxial semiconductor films has recently been the subject of intense and fruitful study. A surprisingly rich and varied array of strain relaxation mechanisms, both elastic and plastic, has been observed. The important role of elastic relaxation in the early stages of heteroepitaxial growth has only lately been demonstrated: Recent transmission electron microscope (TEM) work has shown directly that islands can form prior to the introduction of any dislocations in both the Ge/Si system and the In_xGa_1-xAs/GaAs system. Reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) studies of the In_xGa_1-xAs/GaAs and PbTe/EuTe systems also imply the presence of partially relaxed, coherent islands under certain growth conditions. Theoretical work has shown that coherent islands can be the equilibrium configuration under certain circumstances.

The in-plane lattice constant of heteroepitaxial films as a function of coverage has been measured by several techniques. In studies of Ge/Si(001) by RHEED and grazing incidence x-ray diffraction, and RHEED studies of InAs/GaAs, lattice constants intermediate between those of the bulk substrate and the bulk overlayer were found after the onset of islands. However, previous scanning tunneling microscope (STM) measurements of Ge islands on both Si(001) and Si(111) suggested that the island tops were fully relaxed to the Ge lattice parameter, although lattice constant measurements were not a major focus of either study and in both cases the uncertainty was relatively large. Our preliminary STM results showed that surface lattice constants intermediate between those of Si and Ge could be measured, and hinted that they might overshoot that of bulk Ge at certain island heights.

In this work we demonstrate the application of the STM to the accurate measurement of the in-plane surface lattice spacing a_s of a heteroepitaxial film. We study a_s of individual Ge islands growing on Si(111) as a function of their height. Typically, the height of an island can be measured with an uncertainty of about 2%, and a_s can be measured with an uncertainty of a few tenths of a percent. Although we find, as expected, that a_s initially increases rapidly with height and that it ultimately approaches the bulk Ge value, there are two surprises. First, near the end of the initial relaxation, around 40–60 monolayers height (1 ML=3.14 Å high), a_s for some of the islands clearly exceeds that of bulk Ge. Second, after the initial relaxation, there is a region where the magnitude of the strain in the top of the island dramatically increases again. In this region, a_s decreases by about 2%, dropping back well below the bulk Ge lattice constant.

The advantage of using the STM in this study is that a_s can be measured on individual islands whose size and shape can be determined independent of any other features on the surface. There is no averaging over multiple islands that may not all be of the same size, as is necessarily the case with a diffraction technique. Additionally, no thinning of the sample, such as is required for TEM, is needed. The disadvantage is that the uncertainty is greater than that from a diffraction measurement. Furthermore, the procedure is tedious. It is necessary to search a large region of the sample to find islands in the height range of interest, and once such an island is found a large amount of data must be taken to obtain good statistics.

Experiments were performed with a home-built STM housed in a vacuum chamber with a base pressure of 6×10^{-11} Torr. The chamber is equipped with a sputter gun, a low-energy electron diffraction/Auger electron spectroscopy system, a Ge effusion cell, and a load lock for sample exchange. We used As-doped, 0.01 Ω cm (111)-oriented Si substrates, 1 in.×0.2 in.×0.02 in. Clean Si surfaces were prepared by neon-ion sputtering, followed by annealing to 1250 °C for 30 s. The procedure produces clean Si surfaces with about 1000 Å wide terraces of the 7×7 reconstruction. Ge was deposited on one-half of the substrate for times ranging from 7.5 to 25 min at a rate of approximately 0.4 ML/min, calibrated by Rutherford backscattering. The deposition...
temperature was 500 °C as measured by an optical pyrometer.

The clean Si half of the surface was used to calibrate the STM tip scanner for height, lattice constant, and area measurements on the Ge islands. Island heights were compared to that of 1 ML Si(111) steps. Since the thickness of the Ge wetting layer cannot be determined by STM, heights were measured above the lowest exposed level of the wetting layer, rather than above the Ge/Si interface. Interatomic distances were calibrated against the spacing between the corner holes of the 7×7 reconstruction. Details of the measurement technique are given below. The width of an island was characterized by the square root of the area of its top facet. Area measurements were calibrated from the known area of 7×7 unit cells.

The sample preparation procedure typically produced triangular Ge islands that were widely spaced (several thousand Å apart) and greater than 100 ML tall. The wetting layer between the islands was rough on a scale of 2–3 ML and consisted of Ge mesas, typically 200 Å across and 600 Å apart, as we have discussed previously.14

The details of the lattice measurement procedure are illustrated in Fig. 1. The top of a 54 ML high island is shown in Fig. 1(a). It has a mixture of the reconstructions found on bulk Ge(111): c(2×8), c(2×4), and 2×2, as marked. The tip height versus lateral position data shown in Fig. 1(b) were taken along the white line. Data were always taken along the fast scanning (horizontal) direction, or as close thereto as the crystal orientation allowed, to reduce the effect of drift. In this case the fit is simply a sine wave plus linear terms. Additional terms in the Fourier series are required to fit other reconstructions.

Figure 2 shows $a_s$ as a function of island height. Eighty-five percent of our data fell in the shaded region. The first two data points are the average of $a_s$ measured on several mesas that lay two or three layers, respectively, above the lowest exposed layer. These are part of the wetting layer, not truly islands, but are still found to have some of their strain relieved. Uniformly strained films can be unstable to the formation of small-amplitude oscillations which allow elastic relaxation,15 presumably the roughening is a manifestation of this effect.

On Ge islands with heights between about 2 and 40 ML, the STM reveals a continuously increasing level of relaxation. Remarkably, some of the islands 40–60 ML tall have a lattice spacing that actually exceeds that of bulk Ge(111) by around 1%, as can be seen in Fig. 2. The reasons for this are not clear. However, in a finite-element calculation on the top surface of roughly cubic, coherent islands, the strain was found to become very weakly tensile.16 In this calculation the interface was constrained to stay flat. In very recent work based on linear elasticity in two-dimensional islands, it has been shown that if the interface under the islands is allowed to deform elastically, the lattice spacing on the top of the island can exceed the bulk Ge spacing by as much as 0.5%.17

At slightly greater heights, there are several islands that have a lattice spacing significantly below the bulk Ge lattice constant, and $a_s$ clearly goes through a minimum for islands near 70–80 ML in height. A similar dip in lattice constant has been seen under certain growth conditions in RHEED studies of InAs/GaAs(001)4 and of Ge/Si(001),10 although the reasons for the dip were not discussed. We find that the decrease in $a_s$ is correlated with a change in the width of islands in this height range. The square root of the area of the top facet on the islands is plotted as a function of their height in Fig. 3. In the range from 0–50 ML the size of the top facet is nearly constant. Around 50 ML, however, there is a sharp increase in the area as a function of height. This corresponds to the region of decreasing $a_s$ on the relaxation graph. Since under our deposition conditions the islands are typically a few thousand Å apart, this is not due to the coalescence of islands.

Above about 80 ML, $a_s$ again increases with island height. Strain-relieving defects that penetrate the top surface of the island are observed in 64% of these islands,18 while they are found in only 14% of the islands shorter than 80 ML. In this region the island width increases gradually.

We propose the following model for the three stages of growth. In stage I the islands gain height rapidly. This leads...
strate participates in the relaxation, which can lead to a s... 

FIG. 3. Approximate width of the islands expressed as a percentage difference from the bulk Si lattice constant. Vertical lines roughly divide the three different stages of growth. The horizontal line corresponds to $a_s$ for bulk Ge.

to the greatest possible height-to-width aspect ratio, which allows the greatest degree of elastic relaxation. The substrate participates in the relaxation, which can lead to $a_s$ on some of the islands exceeding that of bulk Ge. Around 40–50 ML, it becomes unfavorable for the islands to continue to increase in height, although the nature of the limita-

FIG. 3. Approximate width of the islands expressed as $\sqrt{\text{area of top facet}}$ vs island height. Vertical lines correspond to those in Fig. 2. Line fits in the first region omit the two outlying points. Line fits in second and third regions are to all points.

tion is not presently clear. In stage II, further coherent growth takes place by an increase in the width of the islands. However, this diminishes their ability to relieve strain elas-

cally, and they forfeit some of the relaxation they had achieved. The width of the shaded region in Fig. 2 suggests that the details of this stage of growth may be a sensitive function of deposition conditions. Inaccuracies in the sample temperature measurement, as well as variations in the temperature along the sample, may cause the observed range of properties. The increasing strain produced by the widening of coherent islands rapidly becomes unfavorable also, and so around 80 ML dislocations are introduced. Strain relaxation in stage III proceeds by dislocation introduction. This is not a very efficient process, and the islands relax slowly to their bulk lattice constant with increasing size.

We hope that the new, local approach to this problem provided by the tunneling microscope will stimulate further study of the processes involved in heteroepitaxy.
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18. Only dislocations that penetrate the top surface of the islands can be observed. Dislocations that just penetrate the sides of the islands cannot be detected.