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Cancers arise from unregulated cellular growth and exhibits a range of pathophysiological characteristics and behaviour. The term "tumour heterogeneity" encompasses a broad range of tumour features that have arisen as a result of different mechanistic processes including stem-cell origin, tumour evolution (linked to genetic mutations and adverse tumour microenvironment) and clonal resistance (as a consequence of treatment). Tumour heterogeneity may be intra-tumoural (i.e. within the same tumour), inter-tumoural (i.e. between lesions in the same patient) and inter-individual (i.e. between patients).

The understanding of tumour heterogeneity is important because it is a barrier to curing cancers; and improved understanding can lead to better individualised treatments. Tumour heterogeneity is linked to treatment resistance and the emergence of aggressive tumour phenotypes. There is thus a need for biomarkers to identify relevant tumour sub-clones to which specific therapies may be directed.

Current approaches to enhance our understanding of tumour heterogeneity include genomics analysis using either multi-tumoural region and/or longitudinal tumor tissue sampling. However, non-invasive imaging using CT, MRI and PET imaging can provide an overview of the imaging characteristics of multiple disease sites across the body, thereby provide critical information about intra- and inter-tumour heterogeneity.

Using functional and molecular imaging techniques, imaging can be used to quantitatively measure different aspects of tumour biology; thus identifying imaging phenotypes linked to different stem-cell origins, gene expression, tumour evolution, treatment efficacy (including differential treatment response) and the emergence of clonal resistance. The emerging evidence for the use of imaging to explore and understand tumour heterogeneity will be presented and discussed.
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Improved diagnostic methods, treatment and understanding of the biology of childhood tumors over the past several decades have led to improved patient survival. In 2009, the U.S. population of childhood cancer survivors was estimated at one in 570 young adults aged 20 to 34 years [1]. This rapidly growing population underscores the importance of studying long-term complications of cancer therapy. While childhood cancer patients are returning to the mainstream of life, toxicities from prior therapy may compound or potentiate changes typically seen with the normal aging process. Physical consequences of disease and therapy (e.g., surgery, chemotherapy and radiation therapy) such as scoliosis, craniofacial dysplasia, and limb-length discrepancy, may present functional limitations, psychosocial challenges, and require extensive surgical interventions. However, skeletal toxicities [2] such as osteonecrosis [3-5] and deficits in bone mineral density [6, 7] are typically silent until they reach advanced stages when attempts at amelioration may be unsuccessful. These two sequelae result from multifactorial interactions including genetic predisposition, physical activity, treatment (chemotherapy and radiation therapy) and physical activity. As both bone mineral density deficits and osteonecrosis may occur in a single patient, therapeutic interventions may be complex and standard treatment practices for one of these sequel may exacerbate the other toxicity.

Osteonecrosis is an important long-term toxicity that can compromise joint functionality and, thus, quality of life in survivors of childhood cancer in whom it develops. Its development is multi-factorial but understanding of this process in pediatric oncology is complex and incomplete. Osteonecrosis affects up to one-third of pediatric leukemia patients and is typically asymptomatic in its early stage when most susceptible to treatment [3]. Despite this fact, it is only when pain occurs that osteonecrosis is typically suspected. MR imaging is the diagnostic modality of choice for screening, follow-up and risk prediction of functional deterioration in early stages and readily demonstrates osteonecrotic parameters such as size of the lesion, involvement of articular surface, presence of a crescent sign, etc. Clinical symptoms and demographics are predictive of disease progression in pediatric steroid-induced hip osteonecrosis [3]. This presentation will concentrate on what is known, factors as yet unknown, and potential implications of such toxicity. It will focus on bone mineral density deficits which may predispose childhood cancer survivors to earlier onset and more severe osteopenia and osteoporosis than the normal population. Also detailed, will be osteonecrosis which predisposes survivors to impairment of joint function.

Therapeutic interventions for osteonecrosis are currently limited and ultimately may lead to joint resurfacing or replacement at a young age.
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The treatment of both early and late stage breast cancer has evolved markedly over the past three decades, hence the significant reduction in breast cancer mortality observed in developed countries over the past three decades [1]. However, the majority of breast cancers are still treated with a combination of surgery and radiotherapy, generally with some form of axillary procedure. Thus, the commonest locoregional effects of breast cancer treatment are secondary to surgery and to a lesser extent, radiotherapy.

Surgical effects can be acute or chronic. Acute effects include postoperative seromas, haematomas, and infection. Postoperative seromas are extremely common and can persist for years [2]. Occasionally they may be very uncomfortable, especially in the axilla, necessitating ultrasound-guided aspiration, often repeated. Rare consequences of autologous reconstructions secondary to inadequate tissue perfusion include wound breakdown and necrosis, but in the chronic phase, the commonest complication of surgery by far is fat necrosis, which can present with a symptomatic lump. Though the imaging characteristics of mature fat necrosis are characteristic, it can cause diagnostic confusion initially, with solid-appearing masses on mammography, ultrasound and MRI, and indeterminate or suspicious mammographic microcalcification [3]. Together with the less common epidermal inclusion cysts, the major issue with these benign complications is the resultant patient anxiety, since the main differential diagnosis is obviously local recurrence [4]. However, post-surgical complications tend to occur earlier than recurrent disease and the imaging differentiation is usually straightforward.

The short-term effects of whole breast radiotherapy are predictable, with radiation-induced oedema, skin and trabecular thickening, which is readily apparent at mammography and ultrasound. Such changes peak around 18 months post radiotherapy and then generally diminish. However, patients may be symptomatic with tenderness and focal thickening for years after radiotherapy. The longer-term effects are less predictable, particularly the development of radiation induced angiosarcoma [5]. The latency for this condition ranges from 3 to 20 years but with breast cancer increasingly becoming a chronic disease, it is likely that it will be seen more often. The other devastating effect of radiation to the axilla and supraclavicular fossa is radiation induced brachial plexopathy [6]. This highly debilitating iatrogenic complication is mercilessly much less common with modern conformal radiotherapy techniques and careful observance of fields and dosages. Nonetheless the differentiation between radiation induced and malignant plexopathy can be challenging and may necessitate MRI and PET scanning [7, 8].

Recognition of the long-term sequelae of conventional treatment of breast cancer has resulted in a move towards more breast conserving, (with oncoplastic surgical techniques if necessary), less axillary intervention (with less resultant lymphoedema) and accelerated partial breast irradiation techniques. These all result in specific imaging findings on surveillance mammography which the breast radiologist needs to be aware of, for example more frequent fat necrosis at the site of the surgical excision after intra-operative radiotherapy [9]. Finally, it is important for the general cross-sectional radiologist to be aware of the spectrum of normal imaging findings at CT after complex reconstructive surgery and to recognise when a finding needs further evaluation [10].

References
2. Kim SM, Park JM. Normal and abnormal ultrasound findings at the mastectomy site. Radiographics 2004;24:357-65

Imaging of cancer therapy-induced CNS toxicity
Giovanni Morana, Andrea Rossi
Neuroradiology Unit, Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, GE, 16145, Italy
Correspondence: Giovanni Morana (giovannimorana@gaslini.org)
Neuroradiology Unit, Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, GE, 16145, Italy
Cancer Imaging 2016, 16(Suppl 1):O4

Cancer therapy-induced CNS toxicity comprises a wide spectrum of clinical and radiological complications, causing significant morbidity and mortality [1]. Adequate interpretation of the neuroimaging findings of patients experiencing neurotoxicity represents a complex diagnostic challenge and requires a detailed knowledge of the underlying pathology (systemic disease or CNS tumour), of the treatment protocol and schedule used, and of the timing of symptoms’ onset. Early recognition of toxic injury is fundamental to discontinue the offending agent, to judge the overall success and efficacy of therapy and to institute early treatment of neurotoxic complications [2].
Chemotherapy (CT), Radiotherapy (RT), or both in combination, can determine acute and delayed brain side effects [3]. CT induced toxicity can be caused by several drugs and among different patterns of injury the most common presentations include Posterior Reversible Encephalopathy Syndrome and Acute Toxic Leukoencephalopathy [4]. Among RT induced complications “pseudoprogression” and radiation necrosis are respectively early and late delayed effects, enhanced by concomitant CT, that can be associated with clinical worsening mimicking disease progression [5,6]. Late delayed RT induced complications include also diffuse radiation leukoencephalopathy, cavernous malformations, mineralizing microangiopathy and pituitary disfunction, which are extremely common in the paediatric population [7].

Conventional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) with current high field systems is the gold standard method for the evaluation of therapy related brain alterations. Advanced imaging modalities such as, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy and Perfusion Weighted Imaging, as well as Positron Emission Tomography with amino-acid tracers, may substantially improve the ability to orient a proper diagnosis and to guide patient management. When MRI is unavailable or contraindicated, Computerized Tomography (CT) remains an alternative diagnostic tool, even though its role in acute settings is mainly limited to rule out haemorrhage.

Evaluation and depiction of the most common neuroimaging patterns of brain injury induced by cancer therapy is the focus of the present work.
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The most compelling evidence supporting the use of low dose computed tomography in the screening of high risk populations for lung cancer was generated by the National Lung Cancer Screening Trial (NLST) carried out in the United States by the National Cancer Institute and the American College of Radiology Imaging Network. This trial was a randomised prospective study which included over 53,000 participants. Data from the NLST demonstrated that screening reduced mortality by 20% in the CT arm [1]. Other smaller studies carried out in Europe have reported no mortality benefit. However, these studies included a younger screening population, had a smaller number of participants and probably did not have the power to show a mortality benefit. Major medical societies and US government agencies have now recommended LDCT. These decisions do not only recommend screening but require US insurance companies and Medicare in the US to provide reimbursement.

In the United States, the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) decision to provide reimbursement for lung cancer screening with low dose CT included a number of specific criteria for the establishment of lung cancer screening programs [2]. It requires a written order from a physician for the initial and subsequent screens and a required office visit with the referring physician in which the patient would be counseled concerning lung cancer screening and a shared decision making would be implemented. Also included are criteria for radiologist eligibility including certification by the American Board of Radiology or equivalent and the interpretation of at least 300 chest CTs in the past 3 years and documentation of continuing medical education. Radiology imaging centers must be accredited as well. The American College of Radiology is providing such accreditation. CMS is also requiring collection and submission of data by an approved national registry for each screen performed.

The American College of Radiology has developed a reporting system for lung cancer screening designated “Lung Rads” [3]. It is very similar to Bi-Rads that includes numeric categories. It associates CT findings with guideline based management decisions. LDCT findings are categorized according to the likelihood of malignancy. 1 and 2 are considered benign findings and 3 and 4 have a higher probability of malignancy. There are descriptions for each of the 4 categories plus an enumeration of findings. The categories include characterization of nodules including size and density (solid, part-solid, or non-solid ground glass). In addition to the categories and findings there are guidelines for management in each category with estimates of the probability of malignancy and the estimated population prevalence.

Some of the caveats of screening result from the limits of generalization of the NLST results based on risk groups and demographics. There are also potential harms and complications from the LDCT screening which include radiation exposure, overdiagnosis/overmanagement, the use of invasive procedures for diagnosis and the high false positive rate [4]. Nevertheless, these potential limitations, harms and complications seem to be outweighed by the benefits of screening.

In the future, we will see a consolidation of the body of evidence and gain new insights through the pooling of the European trial data (Nelson [5]), and the UK Lung Cancer Screening trial pilot study [6].
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Risk stratification is important for large and small nodules. Special issues arise with small pulmonary nodules defined as less than 8 mm. The vast majority of these are benign. There are both clinical and imaging risk factors for malignancy that should be taken into account. Clinical risk factors include older age, history of smoking, history of extrathoracic cancer within 5 years, and family history of cancer. The CT characteristics that indicate risk from malignancy include a size of greater than 8 mm, certain border characteristics, density features, growth, and location. A presumptive benign diagnosis can be made on the basis of 2 year stability excluding ground glass nodules which require longer follow-up. Benign calcification (diffuse, central, laminated, and popcorn), the presence of fat, a size less than 4 mm and peripherally located are very strong predictors of benignity and no further evaluation of such nodules is required. Adequate evaluation of solitary pulmonary nodules requires thin section CT with a 1.5 to 2 mm slice thickness. The solidity of nodules is important in determining their malignant potential. Among purely solid nodules, a size greater than 8 mm, location in the upper lobes, spiculated or lobulated contour, and internal characteristics such as eccentric calcification, air bronchograms and cavitation are helpful predictors of malignancy in addition to the growth rate. Small nodules less than 4 mm have less than a 0.5 % chance of malignancy even in smokers. Nodules in the range of 8-10 mm or greater have a 10-20 % chance of malignancy. The management of small solid nodules less than 8 mm often consists of imaging follow-up according to current recommendations by the Fleischner Society. Subsolid nodules represent the spectrum of peripheral adenocarcinomas of the lung and may be mixed part solid/part ground glass or pure ground glass nodules. Part solid nodules have the highest likelihood of malignancy in the range of 60 to 70 %. Malignancy in subsolid nodules is associated both with lesion growth and the development and growth of the solid component. In summary, small solitary pulmonary nodules commonly detected on CT are mostly benign. It is imperative that clinical risk factors which are mostly socio-demographic be evaluated. Important imaging findings in determining the risk of malignancy includes size, location, morphology and classic benign features, growth rate, and solidity.
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Over the past 2 decades, positron emission tomography with the tracer [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG-PET) has gained increasing utility and importance in the diagnosis and management of patients with pulmonary nodules that may represent non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [1]. It is evident that FDG-PET does not replace the need for pathologic examination, but contributes to decisions about when to obtain tissue and from what location(s). Studies have shown repeatedly that overall patient survival and progression-free survival in NSCLC are predicted by the lesion intensity in FDG-PET at initial characterization [2-4]. Thus, although many non-neoplastic lesions can be FDG-avid, and while many instances of low-grade malignancy may be FDG “negative”, use of serial anatomic imaging in the latter patients may suffice to characterize lesions, without significant risk of upstaging when malignancy is found in a growing lesion. Presence of avid FDG uptake in a new pulmonary lesion identifies cases where tissue diagnosis should be expedited, and may serve simultaneously to stage the malignancy. More recent evidence suggests an added role of FDG-PET in directing needle biopsy of pulmonary lesions, improving diagnostic yield [5].

An emerging application of FDG-PET in NSCLC is in the assessment of therapeutic response [6], and in the possibility of applying individualized, adaptive therapy. Studies in the use of external beam radiotherapy have identified predictive value of initial FDG activity patterns in NSCLC lesions(s) with the likelihood of post-therapeutic relapse [7]. Other studies have identified that early tumor response to radiotherapy may be predicted well before completion of dose delivery [8], supporting the novel development of protocols based on re-planning and re-targeting of remaining radiation dose midway during treatment. A similar strategy can be envisioned surrounding the use of targeted chemotherapies in advanced stage NSCLC, where FDG-PET monitoring of response at all sites of involvement could distinguish effective versus futile treatments.
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Transarterial tumour therapy means that the arterial route of an organ is used for selective tumour therapy. Therapeutics usually used for transarterial tumour therapy are usually small particles, chemotherapeutics and radionuclides. Transarterial tumour therapy is most often performed in primary and secondary liver tumours, and less often in other organs, like kidney, lung, prostate, uterus, etc. For primary or secondary hepatic tumours either transarterial (bland) embolisation (TAE), transarterial chemoembolisation (TACE), hepatic arterial infusion (HAI) therapy or transarterial radioembolisation (RE) are the typical transarterial tumour therapies. A recent method that is still experimental is chemoembolisation [1].
Transarterial Chemoembolization (TACE) is the standard treatment for intermediate stage (BCLC B) hepatocellular cancers (HCC). TACE utilises the fact that HCCs are perfused to 80 % by the liver artery and only to 20 % by the portal venous system. TACE uses the arterial perfusion of HCCs to introduce ischemia and chemotherapeutics into the tumour to kill tumour cells and to minimise systemic effects for the patient. TACE was developed in the early 1980s in Japan and traditionally was a mixture of lipiodol (ethyl ester of iodized fatty acids of poppy seed oil) and a chemotherapeutic agent (water-in-oil emulsion) [2]. This so-called conventional or lipiodol TACE (cTACE) is usually completed by intraarterial application of gelatin sponge and this technique is established as the standard treatment for HCCs without portal vein invasion as a result of 2 randomised studies using doxorubicin [3] or cisplatinum [4] as a chemotherapeutic agent. Both studies demonstrated a significant superiority of cTACE compared to best supportive care.

In the last 15 years 2 new TACE techniques were developed, TACE with drug-eluting microspheres (DEB-TACE) and TACE using degradable starch microspheres (DSM-TACE). In DEB-TACE the chemotherapeutic agent is released slowly from the embolising microparticles reducing the systemic side effects of TACE [5]. This technique showed a reduction of post-procedural abdominal pain, but failed to demonstrate a survival benefit compared to cTACE [6].

TACE is also used to stabilize HCC tumors in patients on the waiting list for liver transplantation, so called “bridge to transplantation”, and as an adjunctive to thermal local ablative techniques (e.g. radio frequency ablation (RFA) or microwave ablation (MWA)). The addition of a TACE before thermal ablation reduces the perfusion induced "cooling" of the ablation zone and significantly increases local tumour control and survival when combined with thermal ablation in HCCs from 3.5 to 7 cm [7].

In recent years TACE spread to other secondary liver tumour, specially to liver metastasis from gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (GEP-NETs) and from colorectal cancers (CRC) [8, 9]. In GEP-NET either a transarterial bland embolisation (TAE) without chemo- therapeutic agent or a cTACE with doxorubicin is performed in specialised centres. For CRC liver metastasis DEB-TACE using irinotecan as loading drug is the established technique for TACE.
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Despite widespread acceptance of ablation and other local interventional radiology (IR) procedures in adult oncology, the applications of IR in paediatric oncology have until recently been mostly restricted to biopsy, central venous access and certain forms of supportive care [1]. In many centres image-guided biopsy has essentially replaced surgical biopsy for the diagnosis of extracranial solid tumours in children.

Attempts to introduce newer therapeutic techniques into paediatric practice have been hindered by practical, legislative and cultural obstacles [2]. Although a 2014 systematic review found reports of only 28 children treated with ablation techniques for malignant or aggressive benign lesions [3], many more relevant publications have appeared since then. For the present, at least, the most likely application of such techniques is in children with recurrent (or perhaps unresectable) tumors. Other indications may appear as paediatric oncologists become more familiar with the value of these procedures. One such possibility would be the use of radiofrequency ablation or cryoablation as an alternative to partial nephrectomy for treatment of bilateral nephroblastoma (Wilms’ tumour).

Treatment of malignant liver tumours in children with chemoembolization (TACE) was investigated as long ago as the early 1990s, with promising results [4]. Three principal indications for TACE in children have been identified: conversion of unresectable tumours to resectability, as a bridge to transplantation, and as a part of palliation. Despite this, chemoembolization has not so far been incorporated into any major paediatric liver tumour trial. Some other IR procedures have an occasional role in the palliative care of children with cancer [5]. These include techniques for the management of malignant pleural effusions or ascites, and methods for delivering analgesic drugs or nerve blocks.
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With the widespread use of prostate-specific antigen screening and increasing life expectancy, more men are being diagnosed with localized, low-risk, low-grade prostate cancer [1]. As a result, men with localised prostate cancer and physicians who advise them face a difficult therapeutic dilemma: surveillance versus radical whole-gland therapy [2]. The available evidence from randomized controlled trials demonstrates that there is little to no difference between these
choices in terms of overall and cancer-specific survival after a median of 10 years of follow-up [3]. Consequently, deferred treatment such as active surveillance is an appealing management solution which maximizes the quality of life [4]. Conversely, radical treatment options, i.e. definitive radiotherapy or radical prostatectomy, come with considerable side effects such as erectile dysfunction and/or incontinence. Focal therapy is a strategy by which the overtreatment burden of the current prostate cancer pathway could be reduced [2]. This therapy concept has already been successfully applied to kidney [5], liver, breast, and lung cancer.

Focal therapy is an emerging local treatment option, which offers great hopes in terms of decreased morbidity associated with standard whole-gland therapy without jeopardising cancer control [6]. The challenge of focal therapy is to treat the tumour, sparing the rest of the prostate, especially near the neurovascular bundles, bladder neck, rectum and the urethral sphincter, to minimize the potential morbidity. Concern regarding focal therapy has centered on the knowledge that prostate cancer is multifocal in origin. In prostate cancer, a larger dominant lesion is often accompanied by two or three smaller low-grade lesions. A hypothesis has emerged that the largest lesion in the prostate — the index lesion — drives disease progression [7]. The index lesion tends to be associated with the highest Gleason grade, harbours other pathological determinants of progression, and has been associated with lymph node metastases on genetic profiling. If the index lesion could be isolated with reasonable precision and treatment directed to it alone, then the oncological efficacy of whole-gland treatment might be matched while minimizing the risk of side effects.
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Over the past five decades, researchers have begun to unravel many of the molecular pathways that lead to development of cancer. Through this work we have learned that 90 % of cancers occur as a result of an acquired somatic mutation while the remaining 10 % of cancers occur as a result of a hereditary, germline mutation [1]. Patients with a hereditary cancer predisposition syndrome often present younger in life than those patients with a somatic mutation [1].

To date, there are at least 114 known cancer predisposition syndromes [2]. The purpose of this talk is to describe several of the more common cancer predisposition syndromes including Li-Fraumeni syndrome, DICER1 syndrome, Beckwith Wiedemann syndrome, tuberous sclerosis, and Down syndrome [3-7]. For each syndrome, the common tumours will be described and the current guidelines for screening will be discussed.
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Pediatric chest and chest wall masses are heterogeneous in etiology and presentation. Correct diagnosis of chest or chest wall masses is often challenging. Differentiation between malignant and benign processes including congenital abnormalities is of essential importance. Overall, primary pediatric pulmonary malignancies are uncommon. However, mediastinal masses and chest wall masses are not uncommon and should prompt thorough investigation.

In this interactive session we will use a case based approach to discuss various common and rare pediatric chest neoplasms as well as non-neoplastic differential diagnoses. In particular we will discuss the value of correlating the most likely primary site or epicenter of the lesion with the imaging characteristics as seen on radiography, ultrasound, computed tomography, or magnetic resonance imaging as well as the age and gender of the child in narrowing down the differential diagnosis.
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Imaging plays an important role in the diagnosis of an abdominal mass in a child. Imaging studies need to be performed and interpreted in the light of the age of the child, clinical presentation and history, physical examination and laboratory results.

Ultrasound is a valuable technique for examining the pediatric abdomen and should always be the first imaging test to be performed. Both CT and MRI are modalities with a high burden for the child. The
dose of ionizing radiation that comes with an abdominal CT is considerable. For abdominal MR, young children will need to be sedated or anaesthetized.

Abdominal malignancies in children are rare, with an incidence of 1-9 per million children per year. The most frequent malignant abdominal tumours of childhood are neuroblastoma, originating from the adrenal gland or anywhere from the sympathetic nervous system, nephroblastoma (Wilms’ tumour) from the kidney, hepatoblastoma from the liver [1-3]. Benign lesions of hemorrhagic, inflammatory or infectious origin as well as congenital malformations may mimic a malignancy and a malignant tumour may be mistaken for a benign lesion [4].

In some cases ultrasound imaging will demonstrate the benign nature of a mass, and exposure of the child to imaging modalities with a high burden will hence be unnecessary. Whenever ultrasound findings are inconclusive or suggestive of a malignancy, complementary cross-sectional imaging studies are necessary. CT or MRI should then be carried out with the highest possible yield of diagnostic information. The ALARA concept (as low as reasonably achievable) should determine in the conclusion of the report.

In order to avoid pitfalls, it is important to be aware of the complementarity of the different imaging modalities and to include all information in the conclusion of the report.
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The hepatobiliary phase images can increase the value of Gd-enhanced MRI in the diagnosis of early stage HCC. The use of liver specific contrast agents increase the sensitivity and accuracy for small HCCs either with Gd-EOB-DTPA [6] and with Gd-BOPTA [7].
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focal hepatic lesions with poor sonographic conspicuity, and may improve efficacy of the percutaneous RFA of liver tumors using an overlapping ablation technique or multi-electrode technique [1]. Volumetric and fusion imaging should improve the therapeutic management of malignant liver lesions and particularly percutaneous guidance of thermo-ablation procedures.
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Positron emission tomography (PET) and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging until recently has been performed by separate PET and MR devices with temporal delay between these two acquisitions. However, various recent hardware solutions have been developed by different vendors which permit simultaneous or near simultaneous PET and MR acquisition. However, the clinical translation of this modality for oncologic imaging requires not only identifying the appropriate clinical indications, but also understanding various components involved in establishing a PET/MR service which include physical installation of the system, equipment safety, clinical workflow, technician and physician training, and monetary reimbursement.

The current and potential clinical indications for imaging of metastatic disease can be broadly classified as follows:

1. Simultaneous local and distant staging of cancers such as rectal cancer and gynecologic malignancies. Here high spatial resolution of MRI provides information about local extent of the disease and PET is used predominantly for distant staging.
2. Problem solving for potential metastatic disease such as for small liver lesions, small lymph nodes, or bone marrow involvement. Additional information provided by MRI and PET can better characterize lesions and improve confidence in diagnosing presence or absence of metastatic disease.
3. Assessment of treatment response. Use of quantitative MR and PET information can potentially provide synergistic information in assessing treatment response.

To address these clinical need there are number of operational considerations such as:

1. Protocol optimisation.
2. Workflow in scanning and interpretation of studies.

Some of the technical challenges and limitations that need to be considered include:

1. Limitation of MRI for lung lesion detection.
2. Attenuation correction.
3. Registration of free-breathing PET and breath-hold thoacoabdominal MR data.

While FDG PET/CT remains the workhorse for diagnosis and management of oncologic diseases, early experience shows that PET/MR may have a complementary role. PET/MR could potentially play a significant role in diagnosis and management algorithms of several malignancies.
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Integrated PET/MR (positron emission tomography/magnetic resonance imaging) devices have only been recently introduced into routine clinical practice. Compared to PET/CT (computed tomography), the most widely utilised hybrid imaging technique at present, for which the total scan duration is only about 20-25 min, the scan duration is rather long for PET/MR, with 45-60 min for a whole-body examination. Therefore, a justification for the use of PET/MR, which is more cost intensive while providing a lower patient throughput, is required.

For malignant lymphoma, a heterogeneous family of cancers for which PET/MR has already been evaluated, the most obvious advantage, compared to PET/CT, is the low radiation exposure (about 6-8 mSv, compared to at least 20 mSv for a fully diagnostic, contrast-enhanced PET/CT examination). This topic is of relevance because lymphoma is one of the more common malignancies among children and adolescents, for whom life-long monitoring may be required, and for whom the risk of development of secondary, radiation-induced neoplasms must therefore be minimised.

A less frequently recognised advantage of PET/MR is the fact that DWI (diffusion-weighted imaging) can be incorporated in the examination. In lymphoma, DWI – even as a stand-alone whole-body technique – has shown to be only moderately inferior to [18F]-FDG-PET/CT – both in terms of pre-therapeutic staging and post-therapeutic restaging/treatment response assessment [1, 2]. Similar to the quantification of glucose metabolism on [18F]-FDG-PET, DWI offers the ability to quantify diffusion restriction by means of apparent diffusion coefficients, and thus, indirectly quantify cell density. Notably, DWI has also performed particularly well in some of the lymphoma subtypes for which [18F]-FDG-PET is currently not recommended by the ICML (International Conference on Malignant Lymphoma), which includes marginal zone lymphomas, SLL/CLL (small lymphocytic lymphoma/chronic lymphocytic leukemia), Morbus Waldenstrom, and mycosis fungoides [3]. It is thus not surprising that the current literature suggests not just a non-inferiority of [18F]-FDG-PET/MR, compared to [18F]-FDG-PET/CT, but a moderate superiority, at least when the entire spectrum of lymphomas is taken into account [4].

Another advantage of PET/MR lies in the fact that dynamic or multiple time-point PET can be easily integrated into the work-flow. Delayed time-point [18F]-FDG-PET, for instance, has been shown to improve the detection of slowly-growing, indolent lymphomas with no, or low, FDG uptake, such as MALT lymphoma [5], the third most common type of Non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

With regard to MALT lymphoma, SLL/CLL, and possibly, several other lymphoma subtypes for which [18F]-FDG is of limited value, novel PET tracers might be used in the context of PET/MR. This includes [68Ga]-Pentixafor, a radiotracer that specifically attaches to CXCR4 chemokine receptors [6]. CXCR4 receptors are overexpressed on the
cell surfaces of different tumours, including those derived from the lympho-proliferative system, and regulate, among other things, cell migration. In an ongoing study presently performed at our institution, [68Ga]-Pentixafor has, so far, shown a very high sensitivity for detection of MALT lymphomas.
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Currently national and international guidelines for imaging procedures for high-risk and advanced prostate cancer (PCA) include abdomino-pelvic cross sectional imaging, multiparametric prostate MRI, bone scintigraphy and in the case of therapy monitoring of mCRPC whole body cross-sectional imaging mainly by means of computed tomography. Positron emission tomography (PET) has become increasingly important in the work-up of prostate cancer. In the past, the use was mainly limited to radiolabelled Choline-derivatives with only considerable limitations and did not always meet the diagnostic needs.

Recently, a [68]Gallium-labelled ligand of the prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) has been introduced in PET-imaging of PCA with first promising results. Due to relatively exclusive expression of PSMA in prostatic tissue as well as increased expression in PCA [68Ga-PSMA was reported to exhibit a favourable lesion to background ratio compared to presently used choline- or fluorodesoxyglucose-based PET examinations. Together with the novel development of combined PET/MR, the combination of excellent morphological detail, multiparametric functional information and molecular PET data might lead to a significant improvement in detection and staging of PCAs and thus may help to optimise oncological treatment. The talk encompasses: Teaching and learning contents of the talk will include:

1. The molecular basis of prostate cancer imaging targeting the prostate-specific-membran antigen (PSMA), review of the various PSMA-tracers [1-2].
2. The diagnostic performance and potential role of PSMA PET/CT and PET/MR for high-risk primary and advanced prostate cancer [3] [4].
3. Comparison of the use of PSMA PET-imaging with conventional imaging as included in guidelines [3,5].
4. Discussion of non-routine applications (e.g. biopsy targeting, radioguided surgery, radioreceptor therapy using Lu177-PSMA) [6] [7].
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of size and appearance of the lesions is thought to be a result of lymphocyte and macrophage invasion into the tumour and is called “pseudoprogression”.

Using the results from the mentioned study new criteria, immune-related response criteria (irRC), were defined by Wolchok et al. [5]. These criteria base on bi-dimensional target lesion measurements analogue to WHO criteria. In contrast to other criteria new tumour lesions not directly trigger progressive disease (PD). The bi-dimensional measurements of new appearing lesions will be included into the sum of product tumour diameters (SPD) of the defined target lesions at baseline. Additionally every progression disease (PD) must be confirmed by a repeated imaging with minimum 4 weeks interval. Both new rules should reduce the pseudoprogression in the tumour response assessment in immunotherapy.

In the last years these new rules have been integrated into the mono-dimensional RECIST criteria to evaluate immunotherapy. For these new mono-dimensional criteria no commonly accepted term exists. This modification is called immune-related RECIST (irRECIST), immune-modified RECIST, modified RECIST (imRECIST) or irREC 2014. Personally I prefer the term immune-related RECIST (irRECIST), because it best reflects the immunotherapeutic modification of the established RECIST system.

In the last years many new immunotherapeutic agents have been investigated in clinical studies, like pembrolizumab, nivolumab (anti-programmed cell death protein 1 (anti-PD1) antibodies), atezolizumab (anti-PD-L1 programmed cell death-ligand 1)), ipilimumab (anti-CTLA 4), alemtuzumab (anti CD52), ofatumumab and rituximab (anti-CD20).

Current studies are investigating these checkpoint inhibitors of the immune response in colorectal cancer, malignant melanoma, breast cancer, non-small cell lung carcinoma, bladder cancer, renal cell carcinoma, lymphoma and leukaemia.
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Response Evaluation Criteria for Solid Tumours (RECIST) guidelines were introduced in 2000 and updated in 2009 to provide a standardised method for assessing response to treatments [1,2]. Tumour burden is measured using sum of the diameters with uni-dimensional measurements. The response categories are those of complete response, partial response, stable disease and progressive disease. Though the RECIST criteria are intended for use in the clinical trial setting, oncologist increasingly rely on RECIST based measurements to make clinical management and therapeutic decisions in daily clinical practice.

RECIST guidelines are therefore widely employed, however, they have well recognised limitations and pitfalls. Tumours that are irregular, or show diffuse infiltration or poorly visualised eg with fatty liver, can all be difficult to measure in a reliable and reproducible way. Tumour with non-spherical growth pattern can be difficult to serially follow up and assess response. The categories for the response criteria are arbitrarily defined and may not correlate with clinical outcome. Morphological characteristics and tumour heterogeneity are not taken into consideration. Functional or physiological change with response is not assessed / measured in RECIST. Reliance of response is based exclusively on tumour size and clinical beneficial chemotherapeutic effects may occur without reduction in tumour size eg many new targeted therapies have anti-angiogenic effects and result in symptomatic improvement in patients. Similar immune mediated response may artificially result in short term increase in tumour size or small new lesions. Treatment effects such as necrosis, cystic change and haemorrhage can result in artificial change in tumour size. Mixed and differential response is simplified in the response assessment in RECIST. Bone disease without significant soft tissue and cystic tumour it can be difficult to assess change with the tumour.
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The measurement of response following cancer treatment is critical in order to ensure the most appropriate and optimal management for each patient. This applies to daily practice as well as to patients taking part in clinical trials. The main difference between these two settings is that the recording of response in the trial setting needs to be done in a highly uniform and rigorous manner that is standardised and reproducible.

The detection of response, whether for clinical trial reporting or for daily practice, requires a few important pieces of information:

1. We need to know the date of baseline scan immediately prior to a new treatment being started.
2. We need to know what disease was present at the time of the baseline scan. In the case of clinical trial reporting, we need to know which target lesions were selected at baseline.
3. We need to know if there were any important events that could alter the scan appearances (for example drainage of ascitic fluid or interval surgical resection during the course of treatment).
4. We need to be aware of the findings on each scan from baseline to the time of the scan that is currently being reported, in order to be certain that the nadir (the smallest recorded diameter of target lesions) is correctly identified.

For the purposes of clinical trial reporting, it is essential to know which reporting criteria are being used for the trial. Most trials use RECIST 1.1; this updated version addresses some of the issues with the first RECIST, such as defining the measurement of lymph nodes. However, some trials do still use the original RECIST, usually in diseases that have a predominance of metastases in one organ, such as the liver in gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumours. In this case, being restricted to only two lesions in one organ becomes restrictive and potentially not representative of changes that may be occurring. The initial selection of target lesions at baseline lays the foundation for the future reports. Clear guidance on the selection of lesions should be adhered to. The target selection rules will be reviewed in the course of the workshop. Clear recording of the selected target lesions and the recording of the sum of target lesions will help in future reports.

A very helpful aspect of the RECIST 1.1 paper is the section on “Frequently asked questions”. Several of these more challenging aspects of trial reporting will be reviewed, together with examples.
The limitations in RECIST guidelines have led to the publications and developments in modified RECIST and other response criteria to overcome these shortcomings.
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Introduction: The Deauville Score (DS) (also referred to as the Deauville criteria) is a standardised five-point scale developed to assess treatment response in lymphoma. The scale originated from a scoring system developed at St Thomas’ Hospital and was at that time named the SELCN (South East London Cancer Network) Score. Following initial work confirming high concordance of the reporting criteria between reporters, particularly with respect to use in clinical trials [1], the scoring system was adopted as the preferred method for reporting of response assessment in lymphoma at the First International Workshop on PET in Lymphoma in Deauville, France, in 2009 [2]. Its use has subsequently been validated in Hodgkin, diffuse large B-cell and follicular lymphomas [3, 4, 7].

Since then the DS has been increasingly used in clinical practice and in national and international trials as a simple, quick and reproducible method to assess response to treatment in lymphoma, with good interobserver agreement reported in several studies [5, 6, 7]. It has also been shown to have predictive and prognostic significance. How to use the Deauville Score: To use the DS, the reader makes an initial visual assessment to identify the residual area(s) of focal uptake at original sites of disease, in comparison to a pretreatment baseline scan and measures the maximum standardised uptake value (SUVmax) of these areas. The reader then draws two background regions of interest. The first is drawn within the aortic arch (taking care to exclude vessel walls and associated calcification) and represents mediastinal blood pool. A second region is drawn in a large region of normal liver to determine the normal liver SUVmax.

The five-point scale scores the most intense uptake in a site of initial disease as:

1. no uptake.
2. uptake $\leq$ mediastinal blood pool.
3. uptake $>$ mediastinal blood pool but $\leq$ liver.
4. uptake moderately higher than liver*. 
5. uptake markedly higher than liver and/or new lesions**.

X new areas of uptake unlikely to be related to lymphoma.
*moderately is uptake up to 3 times greater than the SUVmax in a large region of normal liver.
**markedly is uptake greater than 3 times the SUVmax in a large region of normal liver.

When to use the Deauville Score: Recently published guidance recommends that the DS is used for reporting response assessment both at interim and end of treatment [8]. Whilst the majority of lymphomas are FDG-avid, published experience is predominantly with Hodgkin, diffuse large B-cell and follicular lymphomas. Furthermore, in specific circumstances, there is now evidence that interim PET assessed using the DS can be used to guide response adapted therapy [9, 10].

Reporting template: The presentation will also introduce the idea of a reporting template for response assessment in lymphoma incorporating the ideas discussed in the talk.
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There is no doubt that imaging is a central tool in contemporary cancer care. From staging to assessing treatment response and follow-up, it would be difficult to imagine a patient with cancer in 2016 who has not undergone some form of imaging as part of their disease evaluation. This paradigm has solidified the place of oncologic imaging as a sub-speciality, but it has also lead to challenges related to augmenting the knowledge of those practicing it in areas that are not classically considered mainstream radiology. These continuously evolving topics are definitely relevant to oncologic imaging, such as molecular biology, genomics, proteomic and immunotherapy, just to name a few. All these have direct or indirect implications on the imaging findings or their interpretation, and as such should be part of the opportunities for lifelong learning. In this session, we will discuss resources for increasing radiologists’ knowledge of these topics.
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Life long learning in medicine is a process of continuously scrutinizing our practice, recognizing gaps in our understanding and actively acquiring new knowledge to ensure that we are practicing medicine to the highest professional standards. Life long learning is self-directed, personal, active, participatory and reflective. However, the busy working life and competing demands for our time may make it difficult to realise these aims. The key barriers to success include difficulty with personal reflection, environment strain, competing demands, difficulty with goal generation, and problems with plan generation and implementation. In addition, lack of access to high-quality learning materials may also impede progress.

E-learning is increasingly recognised as an effective way to deliver learning material for continuous medical education. As Oncologic imaging is an emerging sub-speciality discipline, there are few sites dedicated to cancer imaging. The ICIS e-portal aims to address this gap by providing high-quality carefully curated learning material to the Society Membership. This will include dedicated online cancer imaging course, cases crowd-sourced from the ICIS Online Learning Community and development of the ICIS Ring of Excellence case archives.
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Chronic inflammatory processes involving the pancreas, normal anatomic variants and some benign neoplasms can mimic pancreatic malignancy. Some of these processes are discussed below. Intrapancreatic splenule appears as a round enhancing mass within the tail of the pancreas. Because of its vascularity it can be mistaken for a pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor. One of the keys to diagnosis is recognition that the mass has enhancement, attenuation and/or signal intensity characteristics that parallel the spleen on all image acquisitions. The diagnosis can be confirmed with a technetium 99 m heat-damaged red blood cell scan, which demonstrates radiotracer uptake within the mass.

Mass-forming chronic pancreatitis, particularly autoimmune pancreatitis, frequently is misdiagnosed as pancreatic adenocarcinoma or a neuroendocrine tumour. Most commonly mass-forming chronic pancreatitis is isoattenuating/sointense during both the pancreatic and hepatic parenchymal phases of contrast enhancement. In contradistinction, pancreatic adenocarcinoma typically is hypoattenuating/hypointense during both enhancement phases; however, approximately 10 % of pancreatic adenocarcinomas are isoattenuating/sointense during both phases. On MR cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) the pancreatic duct within the mass-forming pancreatitis may be visible but narrowed (duct-penetrating sign), whereas the duct within pancreatic carcinoma often is occluded. One study has shown the duct-penetrating sign to be 94 % accurate in distinguishing the two entities. Elevation of serum IgG4 is the best serological marker for autoimmune pancreatitis (sensitivity 73-75 %; specificity 93-95 %); however, approximately 10 % of patients with pancreatic cancer may have elevated IgG4.

Pancreatic serous cystadenoma is a benign mass that consists of numerous tiny cysts separated by glandular tissue and fibrous stroma. On CT it appears as a well-circumscribed hypoattenuating mass with varying degrees of contrast enhancement, depending on the size of the cysts and the proportion of cystic to glandular tissue. In most cases it is not difficult to distinguish this multicystic lesion from a solid pancreatic neoplasm; however a small proportion of serous cystadenomas consist largely of glandular tissue and fibrous septa with only a small proportion of tiny cysts. Such lesions appear hyper-vascular and may mimic a pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor. Clues to the diagnosis include precontrast attenuation value within the range of fluid and the presence of small cystic areas with the enhancing mass. Heavily T2 weighted images may help demonstrate the microcystic nature of the mass in some cases. In addition, this diagnosis should be considered if the mass is found incidentally in an elderly individual or a patient with von Hippel Lindau disease. Focal fatty replacement of the pancreas occasionally can mimic a pancreatic tumor, appearing as a focal hypoattenuating area on CT. The most common location is the anterior portion of the pancreatic head. Clues to the correct diagnosis include triangular shape and absence of mass effect or ductal obstruction. The presence of fat can be confirmed by demonstrating reduction in signal intensity on the opposed phase of chemical shift MR imaging.
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Accurate staging of many malignancies including pancreatic adenocarcinoma is essential for determining patient treatment. Only a minority (15-20 %) of patients with pancreatic carcinoma is resectable at the time of presentation and patients with a curative resection with margin negative resections have a better prognosis than those with residual macroscopic disease after resection. So it is crucial to separate these tumors into those who are resectable, borderline resectable and metastatic groups. The commonly used staging systems in the United States are those put forward by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). The AJCC system is based on the TNM system, with the T based on location and size and local extent, N, nodal and M, distant metastasis. Vascular contact/abutment is described as < or < or > 180°. However there are a few pertinent findings that are not included in these staging systems which are essential for surgical planning and determining resectability status. These include the presence of venous thrombus, tumour contact with common hepatic artery to the origins of the right and left hepatic arteries, tumour contact with first SMA branch and most proximal draining vein into the SMV, as well the presence of arterial variants. Despite the preference of standardized structured or template reporting over conventional reporting by referring clinicians, its adoption into daily clinical practice has been slow in coming. The most commonly used type of conventional or free style reporting, tends to either “bury” the pertinent information needed for patient management in lengthy reports which include irrelevant incidental findings or fail to mention aspects of the findings that are crucial the treatment and management of the current condition for which the
patient was imaged. Structured or template reports on the other hand can provide relevant information that is essential for patient management and this is especially true for patients with pancreatic carcinoma, given the variability in expertise and definition of disease extent amongst different readers.

In an effort to move the concept of structured reporting, a consensus statement was issued in 2014 by both the Society of Abdominal Radiology and the American Pancreatic Association, regarding a reporting template for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. This has been jointly published simultaneously in two major journals: the American Journal of Gastroenterology and Radiology.

Some initial studies have shown the value of standardized structured reporting in tumour staging and surgical planning, both to our referring clinicians as well as radiologists and radiology trainees. Brook and colleagues compared the results of structured versus non-structured reporting of findings of MDCT (multidetector computed tomography) for the staging and subjective assessment of resectability for pancreatic cancer and showed that surgeons were confident regarding decisions regarding tumor resectability when structured reports were available than with nonstructured reports. We and others have now started to work on developing a similar working reporting template with the Society of Abdominal Radiology through disease-focussed panels (DDPF) s for cystic pancreatic lesions.
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Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs) are a group of exocrine mucin-producing tumours, diagnosed at a mean age of 60 years, with a male prevalence [1]. IPMN arises from the epithelium of the pancreatic ductal system and can display the full spectrum of histologic dysplasia, including hyperplasia, adenoma, borderline tumour, in situ or invasive carcinoma [2]. Three types of IPMNs have been described [1]: the main duct type; the branch-duct type and the mixed type, which meet the criteria for both MD-IPMN and BD-IPMN. There are significant differences in frequencies of malignancy in IPMNs according to the morphological types, higher for MD-type (mean 61.6 %) and lower for BD-type (25.5 %) [3]. A clear differentiation between IPMN lesions with different pathologic expression can be difficult, unless clear evidence of malignancy is present. However, some imaging findings can be suggestive of aggressive behavior of the IPMN [3].

High risk stigmata suggest the high possibility that the lesion is malignant, thus requiring surgical resection if Patient is fit: main duct diameter > 10 mm for MD-IPMN, the presence of solid enhancing nodules within the cyst in BD-IPMN, or obstructive jaundice in presence of a cystic lesion of the pancreatic head. Worrisome features suggest the possibility that the lesion could evolve in malignant, thus requiring further workup by EUS, to better risk-stratify the lesion, and a strict follow-up: cyst > 3 cm, thickened enhanced cyst walls, MPD size of 5-9 mm, non-enhancing mural nodules, abrupt change in the MPD caliber with distal pancreatic atrophy, and lymphadenopathy. The management of IPMN is mainly based on the location (MD- and mixed type-IPMN versus BD-IPMN), the size of the largest cyst in BD-IPMN, the presence of “high risk stigmata” (main duct diameter > 10 mm for MD-IPMN, the presence of solid enhancing nodules within the cyst in BD-IPMN, or obstructive jaundice in presence of a cystic lesion of the pancreatic head) or “worrisome features” (cyst of > 3 cm, thickened enhanced cyst walls, MPD size of 5-9 mm, non-enhancing mural nodules, abrupt change in the MPD caliber with distal pancreatic atrophy, and lymphadenopathy). Moreover, risk stratification for age and fit for surgery must be considered. Patients with BD-IPMN and cysts of >3 cm and no “worrisome features” can also be considered for EUS to verify the absence of thickened walls or mural nodules, particularly if the patient is elderly, while if patient is young and fit for surgery, surgery should be strongly considered. Patients with BD-IPMN and cysts of <3 cm and no “worrisome features” should be considered for observation according to size stratification [3]. MD-IPMN with MPD dilation of 5-9 mm can also be considered as a worrisome feature, with a recommendation of evaluation, but no immediate resection [3].

Imaging plays a pivotal role in the management of patients with IPMNs, with the different imaging techniques offering specific imaging features. However, MRI with MRCP is the leading imaging technique, either in the initial assessment and in the follow-up [4-7].
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Pancreatic neoplasms are a wide group of solid and cystic lesions with different and sometimes characteristic imaging features, clinical presentations and management. Cystic pancreatic neoplasms comprise serous neoplasms, which are almost always benign, mucinous cystic neoplasms and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms, which can vary from benign to frankly malignant lesions, and solid pseudopapillary tumours.
Serous cystadenoma (SCA) is a cystic tumour with a typical multilocular “honeycomb” architecture due to the presence of multiple microcysts (<20 mm), thin walls and multiple septa oriented toward a central scar. The typical lobulated, “cloud-like” morphology is usually clearly depictable at imaging. The cystic content is anechoic at US, hypodense at CT and hypointense on T1-weighted images at MR; T2-weighted images clearly demonstrates the microcystic pattern.

After intravenous administration of contrast material, the hypervascularization of the central scar and of internal thin septa may be seen. SCA does not communicate with the pancreatic ductal system and this can be well demonstrated at MRCP: this finding remains crucial for the differential diagnosis in respect to branch duct IPMNs.

SCA uncommon presentations are: macrocystic and unicellular; pseudodsolid; huge dimensions.

Mucinous cystic neoplasms (MCNs) are pancreatic cystic tumors with different degrees of malignancy. They show clear female sex predilection and usually appear as a single lesion with a rounded “ball-like” morphology, usually located in the body-tail of the pancreas probably for the rich blood supply to the rudimentary ovary, and without communication with the pancreatic ductal system. Mucinous cystadenoma (MCA) usually presents as a macrocystic lesion, with irregular septa, thick walls and complex content that can be corpusculated, viscous and dense mainly owing to mucinous content. This content makes very often the lesion heterogeneously hypoechoic at US, hypo dense at CT and slightly hyperintense on T2-weighted images. On T1-weighted images, the signal intensity can vary from hypointensity, more common, to hyperintensity depending on mucin concentration. MRCP clearly demonstrates the lack of communication with the pancreatic ductal system. Differing from SCA, on post-contrast imaged, the intraslesional septa are disorganised and peripherally located, describing a “bridge” along the cystic wall with a “pseudomodular” appearance. Peripheral calcifications along the thick wall can be detected, especially at CT.

MCA uncommon presentations are: uncommon site and gender; disepithelized.

The purpose of this paper is to present common and uncommon clinical and radiological presentations of cystic pancreatic tumors providing examples of multi-modality imaging approach with pathologic correlations, thus describing the histopathological bases on which it can be well explained by the peculiar imaging features, in order to give logic correlations, thus describing the histopathological bases on which it can be well demonstrated with multi-modality imaging approach.

References


O30 Radiation injury in the head and neck
Ann D King (king2015@cuhk.edu.hk)
Department of Imaging and Interventional Radiology, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
Cancer imaging 2016, 16(Suppl 1):O30

Complications of radiotherapy are important cause of morbidity and mortality in head and neck cancer survivors and may be exacerbated by the addition of chemotherapy. These complications may be symptomatic or found incidentally during imaging surveillance. It is important to be aware of these radiation induced complications as they may require treatment and also they should not be mistaken for recurrent tumour. The head and neck is a complex region and treatment induced complications involve many different structures. Examples of complications related to radiotherapy will be illustrated to show the broad range of abnormalities which can be found at the following sites:

1. Neurological tissues including the cranial nerves and temporal lobes (white matter injury, necrosis, cysts and brain absciss).
2. Osteoradionecrosis and osteomyelitis of the skull base, mandible and cervical spine.
3. Mucocistitis involving the pharynx and paranasal sinuses (including polyps and mucoceles).
4. Vascular damage to the arteries (stenosis, pseudo aneurysm and carotid blow-out.
5. Glandular tissues (salivary and pituitary)
6. Radiation induced neoplasms (sarcoma and squamous cell carcinoma).

The lecture will be interactive and will discuss a wide range of radiotherapy related complications, including some that mimic tumour recurrence.
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as brain tumour uptake of amino acid tracers is predominantly determined by selective transport carried out by amino acid transporters, brain tumour depiction does not depend on the status of the blood-brain barrier, thus allowing amino acid uptake to occur in both enhancing and non-enhancing tumour components [8]. In the last few years, fluorinated tracers such as 18F-DOPA and 18F-FET have emerged as alternative radiolabeled compounds to 11C-MET, given their longer half-life which allows a more widespread application even to centres without an on-site cyclotron.

Both tracers have been demonstrated to improve paediatric brain tumour management, including tumour diagnosis, treatment planning, assessing response to treatment and post-treatment surveillance [5,9,10].

Integration of information obtained by MRI and PET should be performed by neuroradiologists and nuclear medicine physicians working in close collaboration, so as to properly appreciate and integrate the whole amount of diagnostic information and to offer clinicians more readily available information for treatment decision-making.

The most relevant applications of PET/MR imaging in paediatric brain tumours is the focus of the present work, with emphasis on diagnosis and surveillance of paediatric gliomas.
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satisfaction ratings by both radiologists and referring physicians compared to “free-form” reports [1]. Barbosa et al. found that in addition to being preferred by the majority of the radiologists and endocrinologists participating in a study evaluating thyroid ultrasounds, the use of structured reporting resulted in improved standardisation of thyroid finding descriptors [2]. A study of coronary CT angiograms found an improved inter-observer agreement for the number of vessels with significant stenosis when a structured reporting software which required the radiologist to explicitly state which vessels were involved was used [3]. Other structured reporting software with features such as drop-down menus which facilitate data entry and minimize the amount of free-text entries have been shown to aid not only data comprehension but also reduce the length of time required for aortic aneurysm imaging [4].

However, the benefits of structured reporting cannot be accepted dogmatically. An accurate interpretation reported in “free-form” style is more clinically useful than a structured report containing erroneous information. Furthermore, the terminology used in structured reports also requires standardisation. Khorasani et al reported poor agreement between radiologists and non-radiologists in the interpretation of the most commonly used phrases in radiology reports [5]. In recent surveys gathering opinions about radiology reports, 20 % of the responding clinicians indicated that they found the language and style of radiology reports unclear [6]. Another study found that referring clinicians may reach different conclusions when reading the same reports [7].

Another important issue relevant to standardised reporting is the expression of diagnostic certainty. Radiologists are often tasked with summarising multiple findings and rendering an opinion with regards to potential explanations for the radiographic findings. There are scenarios in which no differential diagnoses are warranted and the findings are reported in terms of the absolute presence or absence of a pathologic process (e.g. “no fracture”). In other cases the findings are not definitive, and radiologists need to indicate their level of certainty for their interpretation of the imaging findings. In a study of patients with prostate cancer, 38 different terms were used in MRI reports to express the levels of certainty for the presence of extracapsular extension, prior to the introduction of a 5-point “certainty lexicon” [8]. The lexicon not only simplified the communication of the radiologists’ level of suspicion but also allowed more objective quantification of the diagnostic performance of MRI for diagnosing ECE, with a reported area under the curve of 0.85 [8]. The development of standardised “lexicons” to indicate the radiologists’ level of certainty for interpreting the imaging findings should therefore be considered an integral component of structured reports.
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A structured report is determined by a pre-defined report organisation based on templates that are used repetitively. Templates containing preferred common language describe findings and diagnoses. Structured reporting adds value because it limits inconsistency, avoids confusion and ambiguity. Preferred terms and definitions are used consistently which aids teaching, research, and clinical practice. Structured reports can contain tools for radiologists for appropriate content for any given indication or diagnosis.

Because radiologists may be reluctant to adopt structured reporting, at our institution we implemented certain tools which were helpful in assuring the success of such reporting. Group buy in and involvement in the creation of report templates was used and each subspecialty division developed standardised normal reports. There were also group financial incentives for adherence to structured reporting. The MGH standard report includes patient information, date and time, requesting physician and history, and exam protocol. Templates are provided for the dictation of the standard normal report for any imaging study. Predetermined auto text can be added appropriately.

Our department has also developed clinical decision support templates for radiologists which integrate standards and best practices at the radiology-point of care. The clinical decision support template helps radiologists make appropriate recommendations for further imaging work up based on best practices and guidelines. Examples include lung cancer screening, management of thyroid and adrenal nodules. Algorithms which included decision trees and end points for recommendations are included. A demonstration of the software for the clinical decision support tool for lung cancer screening will be demonstrated during the lecture.
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It is a two way process with the oncologist needing to know information about the tumour but with the imaging specialist needing good information to allow the provision of an accurate and clinically useful report. It is now a given that cancer management takes part within the multidisciplinary process with tumour boards that meet regularly to discuss all new or difficult refractory cases. The use of agreed clinical protocols will help to streamline care by ensuring that patients get access to the most appropriate imaging technique and that it is carried out according to standardised and agreed clinical protocols. The imaging specialist is best placed to advise which modality is most appropriate and sometimes several modalities are required to get the information needed. The oncologist needs to know the diagnosis, staging and anatomy of the surrounding organs at risk; and if radiotherapy is being given how to target the tumour with modern state-of-the-art intensity in the modulated radiotherapy planning technologies. This is also essential to assess the re-
response to treatment and no treatment is working but equally to know if treatment is not working so that treatment can be suspended or changed. For follow-up, imaging is part of the process of looking for evidence of recurrence. After radiation treatment it may be challenging to determine whether there is recurrence or post-radiation fibrosis and the imaging specialist plays a vital role in helping to determine this. Clinical trials play an important part of the oncologist workload and so there are particular issues in assessing response to treatment which have to comply with international guidelines and ruling from the regulatory bodies. Imaging specialists require time to get used to the RECIST criteria. Many of the new cancer drugs have brought with them their own fresh challenges as classical responses not necessarily seen. Tumours may stop growing but this is often equivalent to a response as these stabilisations may be quite durable. The role of functional imaging is becoming more important and changes in tumour blood flow dynamics may indicate at an early stage whether the tumour is responding. Both the imaging specialist and the oncologist have to learn how to deal with these changing circumstances and this is best done by team working and respecting each other’s competencies and skills. This talk will try to illustrate how the two disciplines can work together to provide the optimal care for the patient.

Small renal masses are increasingly diagnosed incidentally. This results in management dilemma because numbers of small renal masses are either benign tumours such as angiomyolipoma (AML) or oncocytoma, or are neoplasms with indolent behavior [1]. Surgical treatments although provide excellent oncologic control is associated with development and worsening of renal insufficiency and associated cardiovascular morbidity [2]. Therefore, ability to non-invasively investigate renal tumor histopathology and aggressiveness can guide treatment decision and lower treatment cost.

Within this paradigm, the role of radiologist and imaging is evolving to predicting aggressiveness and biology of the tumor as well as providing operative guidance. MR imaging can play a very important role not only as a problem solving tool, but can provide deeper insight into tumour biology through techniques such as diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) and perfusion weighted imaging (PWI).

Number of key observations highlighting the role of MR including advance imaging techniques in evaluation of renal masses is as listed below:

1. Differentiating benign renal masses from malignant tumour.
   - There is some controversy regarding the role of signal loss on opposed phase chemical shift imaging in discriminating AML from RCC [3,4].
   - Lipid poor AML tend to have uniform low T2 signal, uniform enhancement without evidence for necrosis, and restricted diffusion [5,6].
   - There is overlap in the morphologic features of Onocytoma and RCC on conventional imaging [7,8]. Pilot data suggests that DWI and PWI may have a role in discriminating these benign renal tumours.

2. Histologic subtyping RCC
   - Papillary subtype of RCC usually have low T2 signal, hypovascular when compared to clear cell RCC, and have lower Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values. Furthermore, clear cell subtype have heterogeneous T2 signal and demonstrate heterogeneous hyper-vascularity [9].
   - Chromphobe subtype is difficult to differentiate from clear cell RCC on the basis of enhancement. However, advance diffusion and perfusion MR techniques have shown some promise [10].

3. Predicting tumor aggressiveness/outcome
   - Cystic RCC with less than 25% solid enhancing component tend to be less aggressive than solid RCC [11].
   - High stage clear cell RCC tend to have more heterogeneous with different texture compared to low stage RCC on Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map [12].

- High grade clear cell RCC tend to have lower ADC compared to low grade clear cell RCC [13].
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Most renal tumours are currently detected incidentally on imaging exams performed for a non-urologic indication. At the same time, the contemporary approach to the management of renal masses has also evolved, with additional options to the classic nephrectomy approach including nephron sparing surgery, focal therapies such as cryoablation and the growing use of active surveillance for masses considered unlikely to ultimately result in significant morbidity or mortality. The role of imaging is also shifting from mere tumor detection to providing crucial information required to tailor the management strategy to individual patients. In this session, we will discuss the key imaging findings that need to be evaluated in patients with renal tumours, and how they can be used to triage patients and guide treatments.

Adrenal:
Atypical adenomas:
Atypical large adrenal adenomas can rarely undergo degeneration and necrosis, and cannot be distinguished from malignant tumors and are frequently resected. It is possible that these tumours are not FDG avid and hence could be separated from malignancies but again they have not been studied in large enough numbers with PET-FDG to determine this.

Fat-containing masses:
These include most often benign lesions but rarely primary malignant adrenal tumours as well. Most adrenal masses containing large amounts of macroscopic fat are adrenal myelolipomas. But there are other uncommon adrenal masses that can contain small amounts of macroscopic fat, such as adenomas with focal lipomatous hyperplasia and rarely adrenal cortical carcinoma and pheochromocytoma. Primary and metastatic lesions showing rapid washout:
There have been reports of pheochromocytomas demonstrating rapid washout thereby mimicking adrenal adenomas. In at least two studies of pheochromocytomas, their incidence is about 15-20%. In most instances these tumours are smaller in size (<5 cm) and are homogenous and usually biochemically active. Metastases HCC and clear cell RCC, may demonstrate rapid washout thereby mimicking adrenal adenomas. If there is no prior imaging, this diagnosis could be problematic and a PET-CT or biopsy would be needed to determine the nature of the lesion. But if there is prior imaging, and the adrenal lesion is new or enlarging, it is likely to be a metastasis. Primary and metastatic lesions showing signal loss on chemical shift (CSI) MRI:
Adrenal cortical carcinomas and pheochromocytomas may rarely contain enough intracellular lipid to show a quantitative loss of signal intensity [9]. Metastases from HCC and clear cell carcinoma may contain enough intracellular lipid to cause loss of SI on Chemical SI MR.
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Lung: Pulmonary nodules are commonly observed in patients with cancer as well as in patients with no known malignancy particularly in heavy smokers. Most of these nodules are small (less than 8 mm). Even in cancer patients a large proportion of these small nodules are benign [1]. The likelihood of malignancy depends on individual aspects (cancer type, grading, staging, molecular markers etc.), nodule size and risk factors [1]. For example, in a heavy smoker with lung cancer and one additional nodule larger than 8 mm the nodule is more likely to represent a second primary than a solitary metastasis. In a non-smoker with advanced high-grade soft-tissue sarcoma a solitary nodule is more likely to represent a metastasis. In all cancer patients a significant proportion of pulmonary nodules represent benign lesions such as pulmonary lymph nodes or granulomas [2, 3]. Non-solid nodules (ground glass opacities) are more likely to represent lung cancer (adenocarcinoma) than solid nodules [4, 5]. However, they may represent benign lesions such as focal fibrosis or haemorrhage. Furthermore, some (haemorrhagic) metastases may present as non-solid nodules [6]. Consolidation or diffuse ground glass usually represents benign disease such as pneumonia. However, in adenocarcinoma with predominantly lepidic growth consolidation or diffuse ground glass may be due to cancer spread in the lung.

Pleura: Pleural effusion may be due to malignant spread (pleural carcinomatosis) or to several benign conditions (heart or renal failure, haemorrhage, infection, etc.). Malignancy is usually confirmed by cytologic analysis of pleura fluid. However, imaging may suggest malignancy if solid pleural lesions are demonstrated within the effusion particularly at the lung base. Unilateral effusion, particularly in the left hemithorax or on the side of the underlying malignancy (e.g. breast, lung cancer) also suggests malignant effusion. Solid pleural lesions may be clearly benign such as pleural lipoma or calcified pleural plaques in patients with asbestos exposure. Non-calcified focal solid lesions may be benign (e.g. following infection, haemorrhage) or malignant. Although pleural carcinomatosis is usually associated with effusion solid metastases without effusion can occur. Diagnosis usually requires histology [7].
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Prostate cancer is a heterogenous disease where treatment is moving towards personalised therapy. In addition to histological confirmation and grading, imaging plays an important role in non-invasive detection, localization and staging of prostate cancer. Conventional staging such as computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and whole body bone scintigraphy (BS) are the standard of practice at primary diagnosis or relapse. However, these modalities provide only morphological information but do not differentiate the biological nature and metabolic status of the tumours, therefore limiting its diagnostic performance. New imaging techniques enable assessment on both structural change as well as the underlying pathophysiology of the tumour, thereby optimising and individualised treatment planning for patients with cancer. With the emerging data showing higher diagnostic performance of the new PET tracers \(^{18}\)F-Fluorocholine (\(^{18}\)F-FCH) PET-CT and \(^{68}\)Galium (Ga)-PSMA PET-CT, additional options for prostate cancer staging have become available [1-3]. Other functional modalities including diffusion-weighted sequence MRI with superparamagnetic iron oxide and whole body diffusion MRI, have also been found useful in prostate cancer staging [4-5]. In addition to additional staging information provided, another advantage of these new imaging techniques over conventional staging is the ability to detect metastases at an earlier stage where disease is smaller volume and limited in number, namely oligometastases, thereby allowing for potentially radical treatments to be offered whether at primary or salvage setting.
Each of this imaging modality has particular advantages in detecting site-specific disease. For nodal disease, both (68)Ga-PSMA and MRI with superparamagnetic iron oxide are highly sensitive in detecting micrometastases (<2 mm) within normal size lymph node, with the former able to achieve detection rate of 60% at PSA < 0.5 ng/ml (S-6). For bone disease assessment, whole body diffusion MRI excels in its detectability compared to conventional staging [7]. It is also useful in assessing response to treatment, therefore prompting change in treatment strategy for non-responders [7]. Although (18)F-FCH PET-CT has lower sensitivity than (68)Ga-PSMA in detection of metastatic lesions, it was the one of the earliest functional imaging techniques adopted in prostate cancer management and has facilitated the application of metastatic-directed therapy in patients with oligometastases in the last 5 years, exploring a new treatment paradigm in the setting of metastatic disease [1]. Apart from diagnostic purposes, integrating the molecular level information obtained from these functional imaging, particularly PET-CT may potentially lead a pathway for therapy targeting.

The use of functional imaging in prostate cancer from staging, detection of relapse to treatment response monitoring and potential therapeutic targets is promising, rapidly evolving, and likely to deliver improved outcomes for patients.
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Aim: To evaluate whether a statistical classification combining ADC-histogram features (apparent diffusion coefficient) (ADC) improves lymph node characterisation in patients with cervical cancer.

Methods: Thirteen patients with cervical cancer underwent pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) including diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) with 6 b-values ranging between 0 and 1000 s/mm2. All pelvic lymph nodes of 4 mm or greater in short axis diameter were delineated and twelve first order statistics features were extracted from the ADC histogram. Three features were based on the cumulative ADC intensity-volume histogram: two describing the volume and intensity above a certain threshold of ADC max, and one based on area under the curve. A recursive feature selection algorithm was used to obtain the best combination of features using histopathology after surgical sampling as ground truth. A predictive model based on the optimal combination of features using logistic regression (LR) as classifier was compared with conventional ADC in terms of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy. This evaluation was done by nested cross validation for model selection.

Results: Radiological-histopathological correlation was possible for 94 lymph nodes (26 positive and 68 negative nodes). The predictive model combining two features: F6 (Skewness) and F3 (Median) resulted in 86.00 % ± 2.54 % sensitivity, 89.47 % ± 1.47 % specificity, and 88.51 % ± 1.77 % accuracy. In comparison, conventional ADC showed 72.56 % ± 3.19 % sensitivity, 73.68 % ± 1.38 % specificity and 73.37 % ± 1.88 % accuracy.

Conclusion: Classification combining two first order features extracted from the ADC-histogram of DWI MRI data improves characterization of lymph nodes in cervical cancer compared to conventional ADC.
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Role of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) diffusion-weighted MRI for predicting extracapsular extension of prostate cancer
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Aim: To evaluate the potential of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in predicting extracapsular extension (≥ pT3a) of prostate cancer (PCa).

Materials and methods: We analysed 301 consecutive PCa patients (137 low-risk and 164 intermediate/high-risk by EAU classification) who underwent pre-surgical multiparametric MRI. The index lesion was assigned a score (from 1-5) for its probability of being ≥ pT3a based on the T2-weighted images (ECE score) and its ADC value measured. An ADC value cut-off for predicting ≥ pT3a was obtained from ROC analysis. Diagnostic performances of risk groups, ECE score and ADC (best cut-off) of index lesion for predicting ≥ pT3a were calculated. The effects of risk group, ECE score and ADC value (best cut-off) for predicting ≥ pT3a were calculated on a multivariate analysis.

Results: 119 patients were ≥ pT3a and 182 patients < pT3a at surgery. The ADC cut-off value (1031 μm²/s) showed an ability to rule out (Sensitivity, negative predictive values, negative likelihood ratio) and rule in (specificity, positive predictive value, positive likelihood ratio) ≥ pT3a respectively of 85 %/86 %/0.24 and 63 %/60 %/2.27. The corresponding values for the ECE score were 78 %/85 %/0.26 and 83 %/75 %/4.59 and those for risk group were 76 %/80 %/0.39 to 60 %/55 %/1.91 respectively. The addition of the ADC value to risk group and to ECE score improved the ability to predict ≥ pT3a (AUC from 0.85 to 0.87; p <0.0001).

Conclusions: The index lesion ADC value showed an additional value in predicting ≥ pT3a to risk group classification and to the standard assessment of extracapsularity based on T2-weighted images.
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Aim: To evaluate the impact of PET/CT on clinical management in daily routine based on a prospective data registry and to link these information with the expected benefit on patient outcome ("linked evidence approach").

Methods: A prospective patient cohort (04/2013-04/2015) having a PET/CT for oncological reasons was evaluated based on questionnaires from referring physicians on intended management pre- and post-PET/CT. Primary endpoint was the impact of PET/CT on management regarding treatment or further investigations in different indications and cancer types. Secondary endpoint was to develop a model linking the management changes (=intermediate outcome) with patient outcome.

Results: 2692 patients were evaluated (61 ± 15 ys, 64 % m). Lung, prostate, melanoma, NET and lymphoma accounted for 2/3 of cases. The most frequent PET/CT indication was staging (62 %). Overall, physicians changed their intended management in 58 % of patients (95 % CI, 56 %–60 %) based on PET/CT results. In 24 % of all cases (22 % prostate, 34 % melanoma) management changed from non-treatment (e.g. watching, additional imaging) to a post PET/CT treatment strategy. The impact of PET/CT was highest in reducing demands for additional testing. Uncertainties regarding treatment goal (curative vs palliative) were reduced by 35 %. By linking registry data with FU data, first in melanoma, the impact of PET/CT on outcome could be demonstrated (RO metastasectomy).

Conclusion: Registry data confirmed that physicians often change their intended management on the basis of PET/CT. Based on certain assumptions the “linked evidence” approach could be used as a model to generate evidence for the clinical benefit of PET/CT.
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Purpose: To evaluate heterogeneity of response in skeletal metastases from breast cancer with 18F-fluoride and 18F-FDG PET.

Method: Baseline and 8 week 18F-fluoride and 18F-FDG PET scans were analysed in 8 females (mean age 65.6 years) taking part in a multimodality treatment response study. SUVMax was measured in up to 5 metastases in each patient (33 lesions) and EORTC PET criteria used for response categorisation (SD, PR, PD). Clinical response was measured up to 24 weeks by conventional biochemical and imaging methods (bone scan, CT, pain score, biochemistry, tumour markers).

Results: Less than half of the skeletal metastases showed concordant response categorisation between 18F-fluoride and 18F-FDG (14/33 lesions) with 15/33 lesions showing minor discordance and 4/33 lesions complete discordance. 6 patients showed interlesional response categorisation heterogeneity with 18F-fluoride and 5 with 18F-FDG, PD and PR lesions coexisting in 2 patients in each group. In patients with 24 week clinical PR, 8/19 18F-fluoride and 11/19 18F-FDG lesions showed PR. In those with clinical PD, 2/10 18F-fluoride and 0/10 18F-FDG lesions showed PD. 10/13 18F-fluoride lesions in patients with a clinical PR showed a flare at 8 weeks that reduced by 12 weeks.

Conclusion: There is significant discordance between 18F-fluoride and 18F-FDG PET response assessment in breast cancer skeletal metastases at 8 weeks. 18F-FDG PET is better at predicting response at 8 weeks but neither tracer can reliably predict progressive disease at this early time point. Within individual patients there is frequent interlesional response heterogeneity with both tracers.
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Aim: To determine if suspicious breast imaging can be used to inform the patients of the diagnosis of breast cancer, at first one-stop clinic attendance.

Methods: List of breast cancers diagnosed in year 2013 was obtained from the audit department. Radiology database and clinical portal were used to review clinical requests and imaging. Caldicott approval was obtained. Breast imaging results were analysed and correlated with core biopsy results and final histopathology. For all patients with benign imaging, radiology database was checked for 2 years to ensure no representation with cancer. Accuracy of imaging in the diagnosis of breast cancer and positive predictive value (PPV) of abnormal/suspicious imaging was calculated.
Results: Over 3800 patients had imaging in 2013. Of these, 198 patients were diagnosed with breast cancer. Imaging was indeterminate/suspicious/positive for malignancy in 93.4 % (185 cases) of cases of proven malignant diagnosis. 82.3 % (n = 163) patients had suspicious imaging with biopsy proven diagnosis of breast cancer. There were 4 false positive cases (suspicous imaging but benign histology). Two cases had B3 core biopsy results and there was 1 case of parenchymal distortion. Excision was recommended for all three cases. There was 1 case of peri-ductal mastitis, which was suspicious on radiology. PPV of suspicious radiology for breast malignancy was 97.6 % PPV of suspicious radiology for surgery was 99.4 %.

Conclusion: Suspicious radiology (overall imaging opinion of 4 or above) has high PPV for diagnosis of breast cancer and/or surgery. This can be used reliably to inform the patients of their likely diagnosis.

**S7** Measurement method of tumour volume changes during neoadjuvant chemotherapy affects ability to predict pathological response
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**Aim:** To assess whether changes in semi- and fully automated measures of tumour volume between baseline and interim magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are equivalent in predicting pathological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) for primary breast cancer, focusing on the accurate prediction of minimal residual disease.

**Methods:** 78 patients undergoing NAC underwent contrast-enhanced MRI before and after 3 cycles of treatment. Tumour volumes were measured using fully- (BreVis; FTV) and semi-automated (ITK-Snap; ETV) methods. Two-minute post-contrast subtracted images were analysed for ETV. ETV intra- and inter-observer reproducibility was assessed with calculation of the coefficient of reproducibility (CoR). Percentage reduction in FTV and ETV between baseline and interim MRI was compared with final pathological response using the residual cancer burden score (RCB) on resected specimens, and significance of any differences was assessed with Mann-Whitney U tests.

**Results:** There was good correlation between ETV and FTV (r = 0.744). Intra and inter observer CoR for ETV was 11.6 % and 14.8 % respectively. Mean % reductions in ETV by pathological response were: pCR 96.4 % (n = 12), RCB-I 66.6 % (n = 10), RCB-II 62.9 % (n = 39), RCB-III 27.3 % (n = 17). Corresponding values for FTV were: 88.8 %, 70.6 %, 54.6 % and 20.8 %. Significant differences in % ETV changes were found for pCR vs. RCB-I, II & III (all p < 0.008). For FTV, significant differences were measured only for pCR vs. RCB-II & III (both p < 0.001).

**Conclusion:** ETV changes between baseline and interim MRI differentiate better between pCR and minimal residual disease than FTV. Such differentiation could facilitate novel approaches to surgical management post NAC.
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**Aims:** The aim of this study was to determine the diagnostic yield of urothelial malignancy in patients undergoing haematuria screening with CT intravenous urography (IVU).

**Methods:** A retrospective study reviewed 332 consecutive patients undergoing CT IVU at the Homerton Hospital over a six-month period (July to December 2015). Inclusion criteria were only haematuria screening patients. Demographic details, whether haematuria was macroscopic or microscopic, cause for haematuria (if present), and significant incidental findings, were recorded.

**Results:** A total of 256 patients met the inclusion criteria. The average age was 59 (range 26 - 95) with 56 % (144/256) men and 44 % (112/256) women. Of these, 52 % (132/256) had macroscopic and 44 % (113/256) microscopic haematuria (not recorded in 4 %). A cause for haematuria was determined in 14 % of patients (35/256). Malignancy was seen in 3 % (7/256), of which bladder cancer accounted for 1.5 % (4/256) and renal cancer for 1.2 % (3/256). No ureteric malignancy was detected. A malignant cause for macroscopic haematuria was seen in 4 % (5/132) and for microscopic haematuria in 2 % (2/113) patients. Renal tract calculi accounted for haematuria in the remaining 11 % (28/256).

**Conclusion:** The results demonstrate likely overuse of CT IVU with low diagnostic yield in haematuria screening. With only 14 % demonstrating a cause and no ureteric malignancy evident, better resource management is seemingly possible. No current consensus guidelines are available and, after review of current literature, we recommend the use of stricter criteria for imaging.

**S9** Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of unrespectable locally advanced pancreatic cancer: preliminary results
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**Aims:** The objective of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of locally advanced pancreatic cancer located in the pancreatic body.

**Materials and Methods:** Patients with biopsy-proven locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma were considered for percutaneous radiofrequency ablation. Post-procedural CT studies and CEA/CA19.9 tumour marker evaluation were performed at 24 hours and 1 month. At CT treatment effect was evaluated excluding the presence of complications. The technical success of the procedure is defined at CT as the achievement of tumoural ablated area.

**Results:** 23 patients have been included in the study. 5/23 of the patients were excluded. At CT the mean size of the intra-lesional post-ablation necrotic area was 32 mm (range 15 – 65 mm). Technical success of the procedure has been obtained in (16/18) 93 % of cases. None of the patients developed post-procedural complications. Mean CEA level serum levels one day before, one day after and one month after the procedure were respectively 285.8 U/ml (range 16.6–942.0 U/ml), 635.2 U/ml (range 17.9–3368.0 U/ml) and 336.0 U/ml (range 7.0–1400.0 U/ml). Follow-up duration was less than 6 months for 11 patients, more than 6 months for 7 patients. At the time of the draft of this paper, the mean survival of the patients included in the study was 185 days (range 62–398 days).

**Conclusion:** Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of locally advanced adenocarcinoma has an high technical success rate and is effective in cytoreduction both at imaging and laboratory controls.
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Aim: Staging of melanoma patients by CT is challenging, because metastases may hide in every part of the body and can exhibit a similar density to some body own tissues, e.g. musculature. Iodine maps (IM) from dual energy CT (DECT) highlight enhancing lesions and visualise their spatial contrast medium distribution. Purpose of this study was to evaluate whether IM improve lesion detection in staging examinations of melanoma patients.

Methods: 75 DECT scans (thorax (T) and abdomen (A)) from 75 melanoma patients were retrospectively analyzed. For each patient 3 conventional image reconstructions (cCT) (T: lung kernel 1 mm axial and soft tissue kernel 3 mm axial, A: soft tissue kernel 3 mm axial) as well as 3 IM (T: 1 mm and 3 mm axial, A: 3 mm axial) were provided. A radiologist reported the number of lesions detected additionally by analysing the IM following cCT.

Results: Total 44 lesions (17 metastases) in 29 patients were additionally detected on IM. All lesions could retrospectively be identified on cCT and were located in the liver (34 %), inter or intramuscular (23 %), subcutaneous (9 %), lung (7 %), mesenterial (5 %), intestinal (5 %), mediastinal (5 %), skeleton (4 %), pancreas (2 %), vagina (2 %), supracrural (2 %) and peritoneal (2 %). Lung findings included 2 pulmonary emboli.

Conclusion: IM from DECT improve detection of metastases and relevant secondary findings in staging examinations of melanoma patients.

S11 Can contrast enhanced CT predict pelvic nodal status in malignant melanoma of the lower limb?
Kate Downey (kate.downey@yrmne.com)
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Aim: At our institution iliac lymph node (LN) dissection for melanoma is often performed concurrently with inguinal LN dissection. If CT can accurately stage pelvic lymph nodes above the inguinal ligament a subset of patients may be spared iliac node dissection and its associated morbidity. We evaluate the accuracy of CT in LN staging and the predictive value of individual CT imaging features of inguinal and pelvic LN metastases using histology as a gold standard.

Method: In a retrospective analysis of 92 consecutive patients, LN characteristics on CT; size, a rounded morphology, the presence of extracapsular spread, abnormal contrast enhancement, cortical nodularity and a fatty hilum, within the inguinal, cloquet, external iliac, side-wall, common iliac and para-aortic LN groups were compared to histology (Fisher’s exact test).

Results: LN size on CT was predictive of pathologic involvement (p < 0.001) although a rounded morphology was not. The sensitivity of extracapsular spread within the inguinal LN group was high (100 %, p = 0.52) and predictive of pelvic LN spread (p = 0.009). CT was sensitive for inguinal and common iliac (100 %) as well as external iliac (83 %) but less sensitive for cloquet (67 %) and side-wall involvement (67 %). The presence of cortical nodularity or the absence of a fatty hilum were not helpful.

Conclusion: CT can help predict pelvic nodal status in patients with malignant melanoma of the lower limb and the presence of extracapsular spread in inguinal LN group is suggestive of more proximal disease.

S12 Current practice in the investigation for suspected Paraneoplastic Neurological Syndromes (PNS) and positive malignancy yield
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Aim: To assess the positive yield for malignancy in imaging investigations for suspected paraneoplastic neurological syndrome.

Method: Study performed in Institute of Neurological Sciences, a tertiary neurological centre serving 2.7 million in the west of Scotland. Obtained list of all inpatients who had a CT Chest abdomen and pelvis (CT CAP) done for suspected PNS over a 16 month period. Case notes, clinic letters, other imaging investigations and autoantibody tests were retrospectively reviewed. Patients’ initial neurological symptoms, ultimate neurological diagnosis and presence of a malignancy after a minimum of 1-year follow-up were recorded.

Results: 75 patients had a CT for suspected PNS (Excluding myasthenia gravis, 16 of which had follow-up PET-CT, 3 Mammograms, 3 Testicular ultrasounds and 6 repeat CT CAP). Only three relevant tumours detected (4 %), two on initial CT CAP and one on subsequent PET-CT. One incidental breast cancer found, unrelated to patient’s neurological. Only two of those with no cancer detected after one-year follow-up are still suspected to be paraneoplastic, with the remaining 69 cases given an alternative neurological diagnosis.

Conclusion: There is very little in literature on expected positive yield of malignancy for suspected PNS. However, our study found the yield to be very small. Many of those scanned had nonspecific neurology rather than recognised PNS. Given the significant amount of radiation involved, we felt there is a need for an agreed guideline on which patients should be investigated.

Poster presentations

P1 Technical success and efficacy of pulmonary radiofrequency ablation: an analysis of 207 ablations
S Smith, P Jennings, D Bowers, R Soomal
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Aim: To analyse the technical success of ablation therapy and the incidence of complications in patients treated with pulmonary ablation at a District General Hospital without on-site cardiothoracic support. This poster will present the complication profile in this patient group. Management of complications will be discussed.

Materials and methods: Technical success and complications in all patients undergoing lung ablation between June 2009 and July 2015 was recorded. Ablation was performed under deep conscious sedation according to standard protocols.

Results: 207 pulmonary ablations were performed in 86 patients at 156 attendances. A variety of tumour types were treated. Technical success was achieved in 207/207 (100 %).

- 30 and 90 day mortality was 0 %.
- 35/86 (40.7 %) patients were treated as day cases. None were readmitted.
- 84/86 (97.7 %) patients were discharged within 24 hours of the ablation.

The major complication (pneumothorax requiring chest drain, severe chest pain and symptomatic pseudoaneurysm) rate was 13/86 (15 %).

- 2/86 (2.3 %) patients developed pseudoaneurysms.
- 15/86 (17.4 %) patients had a significant pneumothorax.
- 6/86 (7.0 %) patients required a chest drain.
- 6/86 (7.0 %) patients described significant chest pain.
- 1/86 (1.1 %) developed an asymptomatic rib fracture.

Conclusion: RFA is a safe and effective procedure that can be performed without on-site cardiothoracic support.
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Aim: to assess factors affecting local disease control and patient survival in patients with pulmonary metastases from colorectal cancer treated with percutaneous radiofrequency ablation.

Methods: Using a prospective database overall survival and local disease control was calculated. Factors influencing outcome were explored.

Results: 101 pulmonary metastases were treated in 46 patients.

- An average of 2.2 lesions per patient (range 1 to 8).
- The median diameter was 9 mm (range 3-29 mm).
- 18 (40.9 %) had bilateral metastases.
- 13 (29.5 %) had extrapulmonary metastatic disease.

Mean survival time of 53.58 +/- 5E3, 47 months with a 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 year survival of 97.4 %, 91.3 %, 81.5 %, 59.8 % and 48.0 %.

There was no statistically significant difference in survival with regards to:

- time to development of metastatic disease.
- the total number of lesions ablated.
- the initial number of lesions ablated.
- the maximum size of lesion treated.
- unilateral vs bilateral disease.

Patients with extra-pulmonary disease had a shorter survival from primary diagnosis.
23 (22.8 %) of the 101 lesions were found to have progressed after first RFA. The mean time to recurrence was 9.6 +/- 5D7.7 months (median 8 months, range 1 – 38 months).

- The mean initial size of the progressed lesions was greater than the mean initial size of the stable lesions p = 0.002.
- Local relapse was more likely when a metastases was close to a large (≥3 mm) vessel (p = 0.002).

Conclusion: Ablation of pulmonary metastases in selected patients can improve patient outcome. Lesion size and proximity to large vessels are important considerations when planning treatment.
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Aim: We describe a case study that demonstrates radiology can correctly predict a malignant process in a mass forming infectious clinical background.

Methods: We analysed clinical findings of a 34 year old male patient who had successfully completed treatment for pulmonary TB with suspected multisystemic relapse. Chest radiograph, chest and head CT scans were ordered. Tissue diagnosis of an easily accessible intracranial lesion was done on radiological recommendation.

Results: A large right occipital lobe mass and other satellite smaller lesions within the contralateral frontal lobe were seen on the cranial CT. In the chest right apical findings consistent with post-TB changes and bilateral multiple rounded opacities within the lung parenchyma were seen. An irregular mass within the visualised right liver lobe was also noted. Pre-contrast images had attenuation indicating haemorrhage within the lesions. These features strongly suggested a radiological diagnosis of metastatic disease rather than TB from our experience. Histologic diagnosis of the large brain lesion was a malignant epithelial tumour with high vascularity and necrosis. Immunohistochemistry tested positive for hepatocyte paraffin (Hep Par 1) and cytokeratin AE1/AE3 antibodies. Clinical and serological findings had not depicted higher risk for HCC.

Conclusion: In tropical Africa mass forming infectious diseases are very common radiological findings. Radiologists must be knowledgeable of the patterns that are more predictive of a malignant lesion even when the clinical information suggests an infective process.
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Aim: To evaluate the potential of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in predicting extracapsular extension (≥ pT3a) of prostate cancer (PCA).

Materials and methods: We analysed 301 consecutive PCA patients (137 low-risk and 164 intermediate/high-risk by EAU classification) who underwent pre-surgical multiparametric MRI. The index lesion was assigned a score (from 1-5) for its probability of being ≥ pT3a based on the T2-weighted images (ECE score) and its ADC value measured. An ADC value cut-off for predicting ≥ pT3a was obtained from ROC analysis. Diagnostic performances of risk groups, ECE score and ADC (best cut-off) of index lesion for predicting ≥ pT3a were calculated. The effects of risk group, ECE score and ADC value (best cut-off) for predicting ≥ pT3a were calculated on a multivariate analysis.

Results: 119 patients were ≥ pT3a and 182 patients < pT3a at surgery. The ADC cut-off value (1301 μm²/s) showed an ability to rule out (Sensitivity, negative predictive values, negative likelihood ratio) and rule in (specificity, positive predictive values, positive likelihood ratio) ≥ pT3a respectively of 85 %/ 86 %/ 0.24 and 63 %/ 60 %/2.27. The corresponding values for the ECE score were 78 %/ 85 %/ 0.26 and 83 %/ 75 %/ 4.59 and those for risk group were 76 %/ 80 %/ 0.39 to 60 %/ 55 %/1.91 respectively. The addition of the ADC value to risk group and to ECE score improved the ability to predict ≥ pT3a (AUC from 0.85 to 0.87; p <0.0001).

Conclusions: The index lesion ADC value showed an additional value in predicting ≥ pT3a to risk group classification and to the standard assessment of extracapsularity based on T2-weighted images.
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Aim: To audit and compare the change in lung cancer histopathology and biopsy practice over a decade in a Glasgow hospital with reference to the standards set by the British Thoracic Society.
Materials and methods: All the lung biopsies performed in the west of Glasgow in 2005 and 2015 were analysed looking at patient demographics, lesion characteristics, complication rates and sample histopathology. The results for 2005 and 2015 were compared to each other to assess change in tumour type and clinical practice audited against the current BTS guidelines.

Results: 89 lung biopsies were carried out in 2005 and 106 in 2015. We found that there was a rise in the incidence of adenocarcinoma and a decrease in incidence of small cell carcinoma. The mean lesion size had decreased, depth from the skin increased and there was a marked increase in the percentage of biopsied lesions measuring 1 cm or less (2 % to 32 %). The complication rate for both years was within the reference standard set by the BTS and showed little interval change.

Conclusions: In our population we have shown over 10 years a shift in cancer histopathology, with a rise in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. We found a trend to performing biopsies on smaller and deeper lesions. This may be due to earlier cancer detection, and a greater push from clinicians to obtain definitive histology.
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Aim: Solid pseudopapillary tumour of the pancreas is a rare exocrine tumour with low malignant potential accounting for 0.2-2.7 % of all primary pancreatic neoplasms. It is frequently asymptomatic and usually detected when it has grown to a large size. It is typically seen on imaging as a well-encapsulated mass with varying solid and cystic components caused by hemorrhagic degeneration. Calcifications and enhancing solid areas may present at the periphery of the mass. It usually located in the pancreatic body and tail. We aim to describe imaging findings in patients with pathologically-confirmed solid pseudopapillary tumour of the pancreas.

Methods: 6 patients with pathologically-confirmed solid pseudopapillary tumour of the pancreas from 4 institutions in Singapore within the last 5 years were reviewed. There were 1 male and 5 females with age range from 9 to 62 years. Multiphasic CT for all 6 cases and multiphasic MRI for 1 case were performed.

Results: 3 patients were asymptomatic and the other 3 presented with abdominal pain. Imaging patterns were well-encapsulated mass (5 cases), ill-defined mass with extracapsular invasion (1 case), hypodense mass with varying solid and cystic component (all 6 cases), peripheral calcification (2 cases), enhancing peripheral solid component (all 6 cases), located in body or tail (all 6 cases).

Conclusion: Most of our cases showed typical appearances with some atypical imaging features. Recognising their imaging features will help early diagnosis.
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Aim: The purpose of our study was to determine accuracy and reliability of image guided thoracic biopsy and to know the efficacy of uses of different measures to reduce the risk of pneumothorax.

Materials and methods: Fine needle aspiration biopsies were performed in 1650 patients from 1989 to 2014 using Fluoroscopy, Ultrasound and CT as Image guidance. After reviewing the patient, chest X-ray, CT and coagulation profile an appropriate plan was formulated for safest approach to the lesion by a fine needle. Several measures were applied to reduce the risk of pneumothorax. Immediate after the procedure puncture site was put on dependant position. Other measures included accurate and careful manipulation of needle, extra pleural approach, widening of extrapleural space, pathway through non-aerated lung, use of small bore needle etc. All patients underwent chest radiography to detect a pneumothorax.

Results: Fluoroscopy, US and CT as guidance were used in 170 (10.30 %), 270 (16.36 %) and 1210 (73.33 %) cases respectively. Results obtained in 1419 cases (86 %). Malignant cases were 1078 (76 %). Common complication was pneumothorax and occurred in 38 cases (2.3 %). Only three cases required placement of a chest tube, rest were small and resolved spontaneously. Incidence of pneumothorax dropped significantly after using several measures.

Conclusion: Image guided biopsy is a safe and reliable method in tissue diagnosis of thoracic lesions with low morbidity and high accuracy. Most common complication is pneumothorax. Pneumothorax can be significantly reduced by meticulous planning using several measures.
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Introduction: Colon cancer represents the fourth leading cause of cancer death worldwide. In recent years, medical practice has started implementing the concept of “Locally advanced colon cancer” for cancer patients with T3, T4 or N positive. Since neo-adjuvant therapy was proven beneficial in cases of other locally advanced tumours, the question of whether it could also benefit patients with locally advanced colon cancer has arisen.

Objectives: Determine whether preoperative staging with MDCT can predict the TN stage of the disease.

Materials and methods: We included 39 MDCT of patients who went through a primary colon tumour resection during the 2013-2016 period.

Patients with visible metastases were excluded. The preoperative CT scans were reviewed independently by two radiologists who were unaware of the histological stage.

Results: The observer A correctly predicted 64 % of cases for T and 46 % for the N stages. The observer B predicted 56 % for T and 12 % for N stages.

The agreement between observers for the prognostic stage was 66 % for T and 4 % for N. Both observers agreed among themselves and with the final pathology in 51 % and 5 % of cases for T and N, respectively.

Conclusion: MDCT is an accurate method for staging pre-surgical colorectal cancer patients. The diagnostic yield is particularly high in the identification T stage becoming lower in N staging, where greater variability between observers is obtained. Recognising locally-advanced-tumours in the preoperative.
Purpose: 18F-FDG PET texture analysis (TA) provides a measure of tumour heterogeneity but it is unknown if it can differentiate benign and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours (PNSTs) in patients with neurofibromatosis-1, a frequent diagnostic dilemma. We compared TA with maximum standardised uptake value (SUVmax) in 18F-FDG PET for this purpose.

Methods: 18F-FDG PET was performed at 90 and 240 mins post-injection in 55 patients with neurofibromatosis-1 and suspected malignant transformation (MF 30.25, mean age 34.9 years). All patients had a histological reference standard. TA was performed at both time-points measuring $1^{st}$, $2^{nd}$, high-order and model-based texture parameters. Statistical comparisons were made using ROC analysis.

Results: 31 benign and 24 malignant lesions were confirmed histopathologically. AUROC was greatest for SUVmax at 90 and 240 min time-points (0.991, 3 false-positives and 0.996, 2 suspected malignancies, respectively). Standard deviation (SD), entropy, fractal dimension (FD) and coarseness performed well (0.965, 0.991; 0.942, 0.952; 0.804, 0.81; 0.890, 0.891). The greatest AUROC resulted from the combined parameter $SUV_{max}/FD$ (0.997, 0.996) with only one false positive result and no false negatives.

Conclusions: TA does not improve discrimination of benign and malignant PNSTs further compared to SUVmax. However, a number of texture features measuring tumour heterogeneity show good discrimination and using a combined parameter ($SUV_{max}/FD$) maximises diagnostic accuracy.

P10
Impact of introduction of vacuum assisted excision (VAE) on screen detected high risk breast lesions
L Potti, B Kaye, A Beattie, K Dutton
North Cumbria University Hospitals NHS Trust, Cumberland Infirmary, Newton Road, Carlisle, Cumbria, CA2 7HY, UK

Correspondence: L Potti (drlekhap@yahoo.com) – North Cumbria University Hospitals NHS Trust, Cumberland Infirmary, Newton Road, Carlisle, Cumbria, CA2 7HY, UK

Cancer Imaging 2016, 16(Suppl 1):P10

Aim: Prior to the introduction of VAE, diagnostic surgical excision was required for high risk (B3) breast lesions. Our aim was to find if the introduction of VAE reduced the number of diagnostic surgical excision biopsies in our unit.

Methods: We conducted an audit over a one year period, Feb 2015 to Jan 2016, at our institution. From our records we identified all patients with B3 or B4 diagnosis in the initial (10G or 14G) biopsy who then went on to have 7G VAE or diagnostic surgical excision biopsies.

Results: 21 patients in the breast screening programme were identified to have B3 or B4 lesions. 18 of these patients had VAE and 3 had diagnostic surgical excision. Of these 3, the first patient declined VAE, the second patient opted for therapeutic surgery without further biopsy and the procedure was unsuccessful in the third patient due to technical difficulty.

Of the 18 cases that had VAE, three cases were upgraded to B5 and underwent therapeutic surgery. Eight cases were downgraded to B2 and seven cases remained B3. Therefore we avoided surgery in 15 out of 21 patients.

Our study demonstrates that 7G VAE has reduced the number of diagnostic surgeries for high risk breast lesions. The number of referrals to the regional centre for the procedure has also reduced since the availability of the equipment and expertise at our local unit.
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Aim: To reduce prevalent recall rate and unnecessary false positive assessments using risk stratification scoring system.

Materials-methods: Retrospective review of 2013-2014 local screening database. Number of women at assessment clinics, initial mammographic concern (R3-probably benign, R3-indeterminate, R4-suspicious and R5-malignant), numbers of biopsies and surgical referrals were recorded and the recall Positive Predictive Value (PPV) for each category was calculated.

Results: 2965 women attended the assessment clinic (43 % prevalent round). Prevalent recall rate was 10.3 %, with PPV of recall being 9.6 % compared to 25 % on the incident screen. 10.6 % of mammograms were categorised as R3-probably benign with low PPV of recall at 1.6 %. Largest subgroup was of patients with R3-indeterminate mammograms (74.9 %). PPV for recall in this subgroup is low at 9.5 % which drops to 4.4 % for the prevalent screen. Biopsy rate was 25.3 % and prevalent screeners were more likely to have benign biopsies.

Conclusion: Use of risk stratification scoring system can help reduce prevalent recall rate. This may however, have a negative impact on the cancer detection rate.
A one-stop lymphoma biopsy service – is it possible?
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Aims: To evaluate the feasibility of a one-stop lymph node core biopsy service based on recent experience.

Methods: Retrospective review of all patients referred by haematologists to a single radiologist for ultrasound guided core biopsy over 17 months. Data on turnaround time, prior imaging, indication, biopsy site and histological outcome recorded.

Results: 74 consecutive haematology referrals directly to a specific radiologist for nodal biopsy from November 2014 to April 2016. Steady increase in referrals over the 17 months. Ultrasound guided 14G core biopsy used in virtually all cases; 14G × 5 cores for ‘new diagnoses’ and 14G × 3 cores for ‘relapse/ transformation’. 53/74 patients (71 %) were biopsied within a week of referral, 69/74 patients (93 %) biopsied within two weeks. Most 62/74 patients (84 %) had relevant diagnostic imaging prior to biopsy. 41/74 (55 %) patients referred for a ‘new diagnosis’ and 33/74 (46 %) for ‘relapse/ transformation’. Biopsy sites: axilla > cervical > inguinal nodes.

Histological outcomes: 58 malignant and 16 benign. 53 haematological malignancies including 2 patients having an excision biopsy for confirmation (both T cell lymphomas). 5 non-haematological malignancies. 16 benign, of which 5 underwent another test/repeat biopsy: 3 upgraded to malignant. Overall, >95 % diagnostic on the first US guided core biopsy.

Conclusion: US guided core biopsy provides an effective diagnosis of haematological malignancy. Radiological considerations for a one-stop service include: providing either ad hoc ultrasound slots or a dedicated list; number of radiologists to support the service; considering coordinating biopsy slots with CT staging appointments; and anticipating referrals from other MDMs should the service show promise.

Changes in the new TNM classification for lung cancer (8th edition, effective January 2017)
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So Learning objectives
The International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer recently proposed changes to the TNM Classification for Lung Cancer in order to better align with patient prognosis. The changes will be incorporated into the 8th edition classification system, taking effect in January 2017. The object of this poster is to describe these changes and illustrate them with imaging examples.

Content organisation:

T stage changes:
Greater emphasis on primary tumour size, recognising the correlation between size and prognosis. Lower T subcategories will be designated using 1 cm size increments, and larger tumours will be shifted into higher T subcategories.

T1a ≤ 1 cm
T1b > 1 – 2 cm
T1c > 2 – 3 cm
T2a > 3 – 4 cm
T2b > 4 – 5 cm
T3 > 5 – 7 cm
T4 > 7 cm

Stage Groupings changes:

M stage changes:

M1b Single extrathoracic metastasis in a single organ.
M1c Multiple extrathoracic metastases in one or several organs.

Stage Groupings changes:

- New subcategories in Stages I, II and III based on T1 subcategories.
- New stage IIC including higher T stage tumours (T3, T4) and N3 nodal involvement.
- New subcategories in Stage IV based on M1 subcategory.

Conclusion:
Radiologists need to be familiar with the staging changes in order to be informed and integral members of multidisciplinary patient care teams.

Cancer immunotherapy: a review of adequate imaging assessment
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Learning objectives: To review the response evaluation of immuno-therapeutic agents with the immune – related Response Criteria (irRC), advances made since their implementation and potential imaging pitfalls that derive from their differences.
Content organisation: Recent developments in anti-cancer therapies had created the need to think of new response evaluation techniques, as past criteria did not explain nor completely correlate with all clinical tumour responses. We would like to show an iconographic review of irRC applied to our patients, including new developments and progress published to date since their creation in 2009.

We will remember the potential pitfalls in imaging evaluation of these rather new therapies and how to manage them.

Conclusions: Imaging rests as one of the most important evaluation pillars for all anti-cancer therapies, and the use of irRC helps monitoring new types of response that correlate better with clinical responses that result from the use of these new immune agents, avoiding that way potential pitfalls that arise from the use of prior classic response criteria.

Learning objectives: The purpose of this review is to describe advantages of Dual Energy technique in tumour detection and lesion characterisation.

Content organisation: Dual energy is an innovative imaging technique that has been described to have a considerable effect on the care of oncological patients. It operates applying two different energy settings that makes it possible to differentiate materials with different molecular compositions on the basis of their attenuation profiles.

We will describe the physics behind Dual Energy CT technique and the reconstruction techniques including iodine maps, virtual unenhanced and monochrome virtual images. We will review 65 cases of patients in our institution performed with a 320-row detector CT scanner (Aquilion One, Toshiba medical systems, Otawara, Japan), between January 2014 and January 2016.

We will discuss the following pathologies:

- Hepatocellular carcinoma.
- Primary renal and pancreatic malignant tumours.
- Hypervascular tumour metastasis.

Conclusion: Dual energy is an innovative imaging technique that has a considerable effect on the care of oncologic patients. In 10 % of our patients, included in our initial database, we found that this tool improved lesion detection in hypervascular masses. Therefore helping define a more accurate oncological staging in these patients. Dual Energy improved lesion conspicuity in all patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, including one patient whose lesion was only visible in the iodine map. In addition surgical planning was modified in 3 %.
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Learning objectives: To review the clinical and radiological features of a Richter’s Transformation in CLL patients.

Content organisation: In patients with CLL a Richter’s transformation to a diffuse large B cell Lymphoma is a serious complication occurring in 5-10 % of patients. Even with treatment patient’s median survival is only approximately 6 months. Therefore it is very important the radiologist is aware of this condition which is the purpose of review.

We present the common clinical symptoms and signs associated with the condition. Also we discuss the common radiological findings of this condition with supporting clinical examples from our institution. In particular we describe how rapidly enlarging lymphadenopathy and extranodal disease in CLL patients should alert the radiologist to a different pathology such as a Richter’s Transformation. The role of percutaneous biopsy to confirm the diagnosis and further imaging with PET-CT will also be described.

Conclusion: The purpose of this review is to describe the clinical and radiological features of the serious complication of a Richter’s transformation in CLL patients.

Learning objectives: To review the protean tumour manifestations of succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) gene complex mutations through multi-modality imaging techniques.

Content organisation: Mutations in the SDH gene complex are linked to an increased incidence of a spectrum of tumours, namely paragangliomas, and recently demonstrated strong links to other tumours including gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GISTs) and renal cell carcinomas. These mutations and their associated syndromes have been documented in the last 15 years but the process of tumourigenesis is still incompletely understood and the phenotypic characterisation has created the need to think of new immune agents, including one patient whose lesion was only visible in the iodine map.

We analysed patients with SDH mutations referred to our institution over the last decade to:

- Review the genetic basis of SDH mutations, including the current theories behind tumourigenesis.
- Highlight the variety of clinical presentations that lead to a specialist and tertiary centre referral.
- Present a multi-modality imaging review of the variety of tumours and their distribution within each SDH mutation, highlighting any differences between sporadic and syndrome-related tumours.
- Explain surgical and medical management challenges that are encountered when tumours manifest.
- Present our current screening and surveillance programmes for these patients.

Conclusions: SDH mutations are associated with an early and lifelong risk of tumour development. The spectrum of tumours and their currently unpredictable pattern of disease present complex challenges for clinical teams, and Radiologists play a vital and increasingly significant role.
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Learning objectives: Describe the basics concepts of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and list the common indications in oncology and applications of a DWI sequences. Discuss DWI MR changes in response to therapy and how this can be assessed. We also include common tips and tricks to optimise quality and to avoid common mistakes in oncology practice.

Content organisation: We will present the spectrum of tumours imaging and discuss:

- MRI techniques: In addition to axial acquired DWI (b value: 0, 200, 400 and 800), conventional T1w, T2w and STIR images were usually obtained in axial and coronal planes.
- Clinical applications for oncology DW-MRI: Lesion detection, characterisation, and response assessment.
- Image interpretation: disease is identified as areas of impeded diffusion, however DWI findings are not specific for malignancy because other cellular processes, such as inflammation can result in similar findings.

We will review:

- False - positive results.
- False - negative results.

Conclusion: DWI can be clinically useful for tumour detection, lesion characterisation, and therapy response. A correct technique and knowledge of potential interpretative pitfalls will help to avoid mistakes.
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Learning objectives: In paediatric patients with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) being treated with intrathecal methotrexate, we will review the:

a) prevalence and breadth of subacute neurologic symptoms.
b) MRI findings with special emphasis on diffusion MR.
c) differential diagnosis of MRI findings.
d) expected clinical course.

Content organisation: ALL is a common paediatric malignancy and a common cause of non-traumatic paediatric mortality. Methotrexate (MTX) is one of the key therapeutic agents utilised for treatment of these patients. MTX is well-known to be neurotoxic with/without associated radiation therapy. Neurotoxicity related to MTX administration may be manifest clinically in the acute/subacute period as seizures, confusion and/or focal neurologic deficits similar to other pathologic processes that these patients are at risk for developing including stroke, opportunistic infection, hemorrhage, venous sinus thrombosis and/or spread of neoplasm. MRI is often performed in these patients to assess for abnormality. While the majority of MR imaging sequences are usually normal in patients with MTX-related neurotoxicity, supratentorial white matter diffusion MR findings have been identified in an often reversible pattern that appears to correlate with symptomatology. Examples of children with reversible toxic leukoencephalopathy related to MTX administration will be reviewed. Proposed underlying pathophysiologic mechanisms for the observed MRI findings will also be reviewed.

Conclusion: Leukoencephalopathy in ALL patients following IT MTX administration should not be confused with other more ominous pathologic processes that can also effect these patients. Interpreters of paediatric neuroimaging studies should be aware of these MR findings and their implications.
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Learning objectives: To demonstrate reporting errors, where normal anatomy or variants have been described as pathology.

Content organisation: Computed tomography (CT) is the mainstay of oncology diagnosis and follow up. With increasing number in reliance of CT studies, the aim of this pictorial review is to highlight common and uncommon pitfalls that occur during reporting secondary to normal variants and/or technical artefacts. We aim to present organ specific examples and how to identify and avoid unnecessary further investigations or under/over calling. These would include: Lung Pleura

- Vascular structures.
- Solid abdominal organs.
- Peritoneum and soft tissue.

It is inevitable that there will be occasions when errors are made. However some errors may drastically alter a patient’s management plan and these individuals may be over or under treated. Conclusions: With increased reliance on CT for oncological diagnosis and follow up it is imperative to that errors in reporting are minimised. It is however impossible to avoid all errors. Hence, we hope this pictorial review will raise one’s awareness on some of the areas that may be prone to discrepancy in order to reduce unnecessary errors in oncological reports.
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Imaging of perineural extension in head and neck tumours
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Learning objective: To present anatomical and patho-physiological perspective of “Perineural extension” in Head and Neck Tumours, its clinical implications and highlight the role of imaging through comprehensive pictorial essay of representative cases.

Content organisation: Nerves provide easy conduit for spread of malignant cells in head and neck cancers. This involvement of nerves, known as “Perineural extension”, is often clinically occult. However, its presence up grades the tumour staging, alters treatment planning and portends poorer prognosis. Imaging plays pivotal role in the assessment and precise delineation of perineural extension. We will review the:

- Importance of perineural extension in surgical treatment and radiotherapy planning.
- Clinical presentation of perineural extension.
We will discuss the:

- Imaging planning and protocols for optimal detection of perineural extension.
- Imaging features on CT, MRI and PET scan in perineural extension. We will present the:
- Pictorial examples of spectrum of representative cases of perineural extension.
- Differential diagnosis and imaging pitfalls in perineural extension.

Conclusion: Perineural extension in head and neck cancer influences the prognosis. It has important implications on the surgical and radiation treatment planning. Knowledge of pattern of perineural extension and the imaging features is essential for proper multidisciplinary management of patients with head and neck tumours.
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Learning objectives: Provide an overview of molecular classification of breast cancer. To review the imaging features of the individual subtypes of breast cancer based on recent studies.

Content organisation: Breast carcinoma is the most common malignancy affecting women in the United States. Traditionally breast cancer is classified based on the morphologic features. In addition predictive biologic markers including estrogen, progesterone receptors, Her-2/neu receptor status and Ki-67 can be used to subclassify breast cancer into following intrinsic subtype: 1. Luminal A 2. Luminal B 3. Her-2/neu or ERBB2 4. Basal. Several recent studies have evaluated MRI features associated with different intrinsic subtype of breast cancers. For example, ERBB2 and luminal B subtypes have been noted to have increased incidence of multicentric disease, multifocal disease, skin-nipple-periareolar involvement, and axillary disease than luminal A. Preoperative MRI in Her-2/neu and luminal B, may thus be helpful to better define the extent of disease for surgical planning and optimal local treatment. Our presentation will be a pictorial essay demonstrating the MRI features of some of the intrinsic subtypes of breast cancer using examples of the cases performed at our women’s imaging center.

Conclusions: Based on recent studies MRI features may be used to predict molecular subtypes of breast cancer. However, currently data is limited and further studies are needed to establish the role of preoperative MRI based on molecular subtypes.
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Learning objectives: Provide a pictorial review of imaging findings of the common and uncommon emergencies seen specifically in patients with malignancy.

Content organisation: Cancers is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, with reported 14 million new cases and 8.2 million cancer related deaths in year 2012. Newer improving cancer therapies have resulted in overall increasing prevalence of cancer despite significant cancer related mortality. Acute presentation of a patient to ED may be a result of the primary disease, effect of treatment or unrelated to the diagnosis of cancer. The oncological emergencies are sub classified as metabolic, hematologic and structural conditions. Imaging plays a key role in identifying some of the structural abnormalities such as cord compression or superior vena cava syndrome or treatment complication.

We will present a pictorial review of the common and uncommon oncological emergencies seen in radiology department in emergency setting. The focus will be on the conditions that have characteristic imaging findings. The imaging case examples will include cases such as superior vena cava syndrome, acute cord compression, massive pulmonary embolism, chemotherapy related bowel perforation, intestinal obstruction secondary to bowel mass and cerebral herniation among others.

Conclusions: Oncological emergencies are uncommon cause of mortality in cancer patients. However, with increasing cancer prevalence radiologist should be familiar with the imaging features of the specific conditions in this subgroup of patient presenting to emergency department.
Imaging is all the more important in pregnancy as biochemical markers such as Ca-125 and BHCG are already raised in pregnancy. **Conclusions:** Gynaecological cancers in pregnancy cause great concern and challenges to the Oncological Radiologist. The pertinent imaging findings are included in this pictorial review.

---
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**Learning objectives:** To review the recommendations for diagnosis and investigation of suspected Paraneoplastic Neurological Syndromes in the literature, and propose a guideline.

**Content organisation:** Paraneoplastic Neurological Syndromes (PNS) almost always predates the detection of malignancy, and prompt diagnosis increases likelihood of a favorable neurologic outcome. However, true PNS are rare with even sub-specialty neurologists often only seeing a handful of cases a year. Moreover, there are many different PNS which span multiple neurological subspecialties, each with different associated tumours. We will discuss the different categories of PNS and their diagnostic criteria. We will also review the published recommendations on investigations for suspected PNS, and follow-up for definite PNS.

Lastly, we will propose a flowchart which summarises these recommendations. This flowchart has been adopted by the Glasgow’s Institute of Neurological Sciences (the tertiary neurological centre for the west of Scotland). It is intended to help make the published recommendations more accessible to referring clinicians. In addition, it provides a valuable guide for reporting radiologists on what the associated tumours are to help focus their reporting.

**Conclusion:** Clinicians requesting investigations for suspected PNS should have an understanding of the different types of PNS, and specific syndrome suspected in the imaging request. This will provide a more focused imaging pathway. A simplified flowchart would aid achieving this for both the referring clinician and the reporting radiologists.

---
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**Multi-parametric MRI of the pelvis for suspected local recurrence of prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy**
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**Learning objectives:** To review the role of multi-parametric MRI (mpMRI) in detection of suspected locally recurrent prostate cancer when biochemical failure occurs after radical prostatectomy.

**Content organisation:** Rising prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels after radical prostatectomy may be an indicator of locally recurrent prostate cancer. Recurrent disease occurs in up to 50% of high risk patients and 10% of low risk patients within 15 years of surgery. Rising PSA levels, known as biochemical failure, can be due to local recurrence and/or metastatic disease. Persistently raised PSA after prostatectomy may be due to residual healthy glandular tissue in the post-surgical bed.

I will review the current role of multi-parametric MRI (mpMRI) in the detection of locally recurrent prostate cancer, including:

- Biochemical failure after radical prostatectomy.
- Indications and protocols for imaging.
- Implications of imaging findings for further management.

---
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**Utilisation of PI-RADS version 2 in multi-parametric MRI of the prostate; 12-months experience**
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**Learning objectives:** To demonstrate the use of the PI-RADS (Prostate Imaging-Reporting and Data System) version 2 (v2) scoring system for multi-parametric MRI (mpMRI) of the prostate, with imaging examples correlated with histological findings.

**Content organisation:** mpMRI of the prostate gland is increasingly being performed to assess the treatment naïve prostate gland prior to performing biopsy in suspected prostate cancer. Advantages include tumour detection and localisation of suspected clinically important lesions, facilitating individualised biopsy patterns for patients, and providing an opportunity for staging without post-biopsy artefact. MRI may also have a role in risk stratification potentially deferring biopsy in some cases.

I will review the current PI-RADS v2 with imaging examples from our own experience over the last 12 months in approximately 800 patients.

I will discuss the changes in scoring of lesions compared to PI-RADS version 1(v1) with imaging examples.

I will present our local Prostate Cancer pathway and how mpMRI and PI-RADSv2 has been incorporated into this.

**Conclusions:** PI-RADS provides a standardised approach to reporting lesions detected on mpMRI of the prostate, with version 2 simplifying the overall scoring system. Widespread incorporation into prostate cancer pathways is needed to understand how best to apply mpMRI findings to individual patients. Continuing experience will provide an opportunity to investigate whether PI-RADS 3 indeterminate lesions could be further characterised into those needing biopsy and those that could be safely followed.

---
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**Learning objectives:**

1. To illustrate the patterns of hepatic parenchymal change/injury following chemotherapy.
2. To differentiate benign changes in response to chemotherapy from malignant disease.
3. To recognize response vs progression in patients undergoing treatment with anti-angiogenesis agents.
Content organisation: Chemotherapy-induced hepatic toxicity is a well-recognised complication. Certain chemotherapy regimes increase the likelihood of hepatic injury. The recognition of toxicity is particularly important in patients being considered for liver resection. MRI has a role in problem-solving when the CT appearances are difficult to interpret. We will present the more commonly encountered parenchymal changes (steatosis, steatohepatitis, nodular regenerative hyperplasia, sinusoidal obstructive syndrome), demonstrating the typical appearances on CT and/or MRI.

The patterns of parenchymal change are different in patients who have undergone long-term – and multiple – lines of chemotherapy – these changes will also be demonstrated on CT and/or MRI. Tumour response to newer anti-angiogenesis chemotherapy agents differs from standard chemotherapy regimes – recognition of ‘pseudo-progression’ and the role of MRI in problem-solving will be highlighted.

Conclusion: Chemotherapy-induced hepatic toxicity is a commonly encountered complication, the features of which should be recognised during standard follow-up reporting. It is important to differentiate response from progression with newer anti-angiogenesis chemotherapy agents. MRI has a role in problem-solving when hepatic parenchymal and response assessment is suboptimal on CT.

---
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Learning objectives: To identify normal, benign and metastatic skeletal appearances on MRI.

To understand that processes, such as marrow conversion, reduce sensitivity. To identify complications of metastatic disease and extra-skeletal findings.

Content organisation: Breast cancer commonly metastasizes to the axial skeleton. Our local practice is to use a MRI marrow examination using T1WI and STIR sagittal thoracic spine and coronal lumbar-pelvis sequences. We offer a pictorial review of key features that the radiologist needs to know when reporting MRI marrow examinations for breast cancer (re-)staging. This will include:

- Illustrations of normal appearances.
- Challenges posed by marrow conversion in reducing sensitivity for detecting metastases.
- Review areas and localisers.
- Typical appearances of bone metastases: focal and diffuse infiltration.
- Post treatment changes on MRI and the utility of the CT body study to diagnose a healing response.
- Complications of malignant vertebral collapse and cord compression.
- Benign processes that may be confused for metastatic disease, such as insufficiency fractures, Modic changes, Paget’s disease and benign lesions (eg Pitt’s pit).
- Extra-skeletal findings relevant to the assessment of metastatic burden and/or breast cancer treatment include adenopathy, pleural and pulmonary lesions, ascites, hydrenephrosis and pelvic lesions (eg endometrial masses following tamoxifen).

Conclusion: MRI is an excellent tool for assessing the skeleton for metastases. Recognising benign and malignant appearances, appreciating processes that limit interpretation, identifying potential complications and an awareness of extra-skeletal findings will allow for an accurate report.

---
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Learning objectives:

- Perineural spread of disease is a rare yet potentially devastating manifestation of lymphoma, that may occur in isolation without other sites of nodal or extra nodal disease.
- CT, MR and PET-CT have an important role in the assessment of perineural spread of disease.

Content organisation: Perineural spread of disease is most commonly encountered in the head and neck along branching cranial nerves. However, in lymphoma, perineural involvement can be seen almost anywhere in the body. It most often presents as a painful sensorimotor neuropathy but presentation is variable and dependent upon neural structures involved.

Any linear focus of abnormal FDG uptake especially in the distributions of major nerves without overt structural correlate raises suspicion for perineural involvement on FDG PET-CT. Retrospective evaluation of previous imaging studies, such as contrast-enhanced MR, can then performed in a “second look” evaluation. Direct signs of perineural spread include increased prominence of the nerve; with thickening and irregularity and avid enhancement. Indirect signs include muscle weakness and atrophy suggesting denervation. Indirect signs confirmed by CT are bony erosion, destruction and widening of exiting nerve foramina.

Conclusions: Perineural spread of disease in lymphoma is less common than the typical nodal masses and splenomegaly. Perineural spread of disease has acute and chronic sequelae. It has a poor prognosis and changes management of disease.

---
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Aim: To characterise the imaging findings of visually isoattenuating pancreatic adenocarcinoma in MDCT, their secondary signs and their correlation with MRI and US.

Content organisation: We reviewed MDTC performed in 85 patients with a suspected pancreatic mass between September 2009 and May 2016. We obtained in all the cases multiplanar dynamic dual-phase scan, and MIP reformatted images. Isoattenuating tumours were found in 10 of them, all histologically confirmed at surgery (n = 7) or biopsy (n = 3). These cases were diagnosed by MRI in 40 %, US in 30 %, both modalities in 20 % and only CT in 10 %. Retrospectively we found one or more subtle secondary signs on MDCT in all of them.

Summary: Isoattenuating pancreatic adenocarcinoma are a small but meaningful percentage of pancreatic cancer (5,4 %).
They were histopathology more common moderately differentiated (70 %) than well differentiated (10 %) and poorly differentiated (20 %). Secondary signs, such as mass effect and/or convex contour abnormality, loss of the normal acinar glandular pattern, dilated biliary and pancreatic ducts, interrupted pancreatic duct, and atrophic distal pancreatic parenchyma, are helpful in the diagnosis. Although US may be a sensitive method in the detection of these tumours in cases with adequate glandular visualisation; MRI can improve the diagnosis providing better tissue characterisation, especially with diffusion sequence and ADC map.
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**Imaging of larynx cancer: when is CT, MRI or FDG PET/CT the best test?**
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**Learning objectives:** To review Computed Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and 18Fluorodeoxyglucose Positron Emission Tomography/ Computed Tomography (PET/CT) in the diagnosis of larynx cancer with an emphasis on optimal imaging modality to elucidate the features of larynx cancer pertinent to staging.

**Content organisation:** CT is the most commonly performed imaging modality. It is fast, relatively inexpensive and widely available. Tailored technique is required to ensure adequate visualisation of larynx cancer and its relationship to associated anatomical planes. Limitations include ability to detect small and mucosal lesions and relative low sensitivity for cartilage invasion.

MRI demonstrates superior soft tissue definition and accurate local disease extent. Protocols need to be tailored for larynx cancer. MRI enables superior visualisation of laryngeal cartilage invasion, pre and para-glottic space invasion to confirm T3 and T4 disease with high sensitivity and moderate specificity. Limitations include relative increased cost, movement artefacts, longer acquisition times, patient compatibility.

PET/CT main indication is detection of cervical adenopathy (reported up to 20 % more accurate than CT or MRI), exclusion of metastatic disease and synchronous primary. Limitations include highest cost, physiological uptake and movement artefacts.

We will discuss the strengths and weakness of each modality and imaging techniques to maximise larynx lesion conspicuity in CT, MRI and PET/CT.

**Conclusions:** Accurate staging is essential in the diagnosis and treatment of larynx cancer. A good understanding of the imaging modalities, their purpose and optimisation enables accurate characterisation of larynx cancer.
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