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Summary: Hydrogen peroxide-trichloroacetic acid is an effective reagent combination for the mild cleavage of acid-labile protective groups.

In the course of research directed towards a synthesis of neocarzinostatin chromophore and related compounds, we required a means to transform the dimethyl acetal 1 into the aldehyde 2. An extensive survey of aqueous acid-cosolvent combinations failed to reveal conditions which would effect hydrolysis of the dimethyl acetal group of 1 without competitive opening of the epoxide ring. The ability of hydrogen peroxide to enter into acetal exchange reactions has long been recognized, though reported reaction conditions (acetal and hydrogen peroxide, neat, 70 °C, 15 h) have perhaps dissuaded wider exploration of the method. We find that 1 is cleanly transformed into the mixed hydroperoxy methyl acetal (stereochemistry not determined) upon treatment with 70% hydrogen peroxide (caution--see below)-trichloroacetic acid in 1:1 t-butyl alcohol-dichloromethane at 0-23 °C. This hydroperoxide was stable to isolation and could be purified by flash column chromatography, but for convenience and safety was typically directly reduced by treatment with methanolic dimethyl sulfide solution, providing the aldehyde 2 in 80% (overall) yield after chromatographic purification. The presence of water in the
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reaction mixture is markedly deleterious; use of 90% hydrogen peroxide leads to faster reaction with a slight increase in yield whereas reaction with 30% hydrogen peroxide is prohibitively slow and epoxide-ring-opening is observed. The substantial hazard in working with the former reagent and its removal from the commercial market have led us to develop our procedure around the commercially available (FMC), and safer, 70% solution. A particular advantage of this sequence are the mild conditions of final deprotection; neither aldehyde epimerization nor elimination of the β-silyloxy group are observed. By contrast, subjection of the acetal 3 to acidic hydrolysis conditions (1 M p-toluenesulfonic acid, 3:1 THF:H₂O, 23 °C, 3 days) produces competitively the α,β-unsaturated aldehyde 4. It should be noted that this alternative preparation of hydroperoxy alkyl acetals provides access to a number of useful oxidative transformations as well.4

We have also found that the tetrahydropyranyl group of 5 (9 h, 80%)1 and the trityl group of 6 (24 h, 85%)5 are smoothly removed under similar reaction conditions, suggesting potential for wider application of the method in the removal of acid-labile protective groups and indicating that complications arising from free-radical or peracid impurities are, at best, minor.

Extreme caution should be exercised in handling mixtures of organic materials and high-strength hydrogen peroxide.6 Explosions have resulted upon distillation of hydrogen-peroxide-treated tetrahydropyranyl ethers.7 For this reason we have made it a practice not to concentrate organic solutions which have been in contact with hydrogen peroxide without first treating with dimethyl sulfide-methanol and then only after obtaining a negative indication with EM Quant® Peroxide Test Paper.7 All manipulations with high-strength hydrogen peroxide solution should be carried out behind a good safety shield. Employing these and other safety precautions, described in greater detail in the experimental procedure which follows, we have never experienced a mishap in the laboratory.
The superior nucleophilicity of hydrogen peroxide (relative to water) is evident in the smooth transacetalization of 1 under conditions too weakly acidic for reaction with water. A more subtle distinction is the difference in relative rates of attack exhibited by these two nucleophiles towards the epoxide and acetal groups of 1. With care in execution, we feel that the procedure described herein provides a useful addition to existing methods for the removal of acid-labile protective groups.

**Experimental**

All manipulations with hydrogen peroxide and peroxide-treated solutions are carried out behind a sturdy blast shield.

A 50-mL round-bottom flask was charged with dimethyl acetal 1 (327 mg, 0.683 mmol), anhydrous t-butyl alcohol-dichloromethane solution (1:1, 15 mL) and a teflon-coated magnetic stir bar which had been carefully cleaned to remove adhering metal contaminants. The mixture was cooled in an ice bath and 70% hydrogen peroxide solution (FMC, 2.80 mL) was administered slowly from a 5-mL glass syringe fitted with a polyethylene needle (gloves should be worn as hydrogen peroxide causes severe chemical burns). A solution of trichloroacetic acid in anhydrous dichloromethane (3 M, 0.36 mL, 1.6 equiv) was added and the ice bath was removed. After stirring at 23 °C for 35 h, the clear, homogeneous solution was carefully poured into ice-cold brine (100 mL). The organic layer was brought to 60 mL volume by the addition of 1:1 ethyl acetate:hexanes and the layers were separated (this and all subsequent aqueous layers were treated with 2 M aqueous sodium thiosulfate solution prior to disposal). The organic layer was washed again with ice-cold brine (100 mL), then slowly added to an ice-cold mixture of 1 M aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution and 2 M aqueous sodium thiosulfate solution (1:1, 100 mL). After separation, the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (50 mL) and then treated with methanolic dimethyl sulfide solution (5:1 methanol:dimethyl sulfide, 24 mL). The progress of hydroperoxide reduction was conveniently monitored by thin-layer chromatography (Rf values 0.45, 0.35 and 0.48, respectively, for 1, the hydroperoxy methyl acetal and 2, 20% ethyl acetate-hexanes) and was judged complete after 45 min. Peroxide analysis at this point with EM Quant® Peroxide Test Paper was negative. The solution was concentrated and the product purified by flash column chromatography (87:10:3 hexanes:ethyl acetate:triethylamine) to provide the aldehyde 2 as a colorless oil (236 mg, 80%).
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