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Abstract

Background
Stunting affects one-third of children under 5 y old in developing countries, and 14% of childhood deaths are attributable to it. A large number of risk factors for stunting have been identified in epidemiological studies. However, the relative contribution of these risk factors to stunting has not been examined across countries. We estimated the number of stunting cases among children aged 24–35 mo (i.e., at the end of the 1,000 days’ period of vulnerability) that are attributable to 18 risk factors in 137 developing countries.

Methods and Findings
We classified risk factors into five clusters: maternal nutrition and infection, teenage motherhood and short birth intervals, fetal growth restriction (FGR) and preterm birth, child nutrition and infection, and environmental factors. We combined published estimates and individual-level data from population-based surveys to derive risk factor prevalence in each country in 2010 and identified the most recent meta-analysis or conducted de novo reviews to derive effect sizes. We estimated the prevalence of stunting and the number of stunting cases that were attributable to each risk factor and cluster of risk factors by country and region.

The leading risk worldwide was FGR, defined as being term and small for gestational age, and 10.8 million cases (95% CI 9.1 million–12.6 million) of stunting (out of 44.1 million)
were attributable to it, followed by unimproved sanitation, with 7.2 million (95% CI 6.3 million–8.2 million), and diarrhea with 5.8 million (95% CI 2.4 million–9.2 million). FGR and preterm birth was the leading risk factor cluster in all regions. Environmental risks had the second largest estimated impact on stunting globally and in the South Asia, sub-Saharan Africa, and East Asia and Pacific regions, whereas child nutrition and infection was the second leading cluster of risk factors in other regions.

Although extensive, our analysis is limited to risk factors for which effect sizes and country-level exposure data were available. The global nature of the study required approximations (e.g., using exposures estimated among women of reproductive age as a proxy for maternal exposures, or estimating the impact of risk factors on stunting through a mediator rather than directly on stunting). Finally, as is standard in global risk factor analyses, we used the effect size of risk factors on stunting from meta-analyses of epidemiological studies and assumed that proportional effects were fairly similar across countries.

Conclusions

FGR and unimproved sanitation are the leading risk factors for stunting in developing countries. Reducing the burden of stunting requires a paradigm shift from interventions focusing solely on children and infants to those that reach mothers and families and improve their living environment and nutrition.

Author Summary

Why Was This Study Done?

• Even though child mortality is decreasing, children around the world are still suffering from delayed physical growth. In fact, 30% of children in developing countries are stunted (i.e., have heights more than two standard deviations below the global standard median height for their age).

• The first 1,000 days of life (up until a child turns two) are most important because development during this period impacts a child for the rest of his or her life. Stunting during this period is related to poor outcomes in health, cognitive development, and educational and economic attainment later in life.

• In order to reduce stunting, it is important to understand its determinants and their relative effect, to help priority-setting in designing policies to improve childhood growth.

What Did the Researchers Do and Find?

• We identified 18 key risk factors for stunting and grouped them into five clusters (maternal nutrition and infection, teenage motherhood and short birth intervals, fetal growth restriction and preterm birth, child nutrition and infection, and environmental factors).

• We used data on the prevalence of each risk factor in each country and its effect on stunting. We then estimated the prevalence and number of cases of stunting among
children aged 24 to 35 months in 2010 that were attributable to each of these risk factors, and to each cluster of risk factors combined, in 137 developing countries.

- We found that the leading risk for stunting worldwide was being “term, and small for gestational age” (that is, being born at or after 37 weeks of pregnancy, but being too small), to which 10.8 million cases of stunting among two-year-olds were attributable (out of 44.1 million). This was followed by poor sanitation (7.2 million cases) and diarrhea (5.8 million cases).

- When we grouped the risks together, fetal growth restriction and preterm birth was the leading risk factor cluster in all regions, but there were differences in the ranking of other risk factor clusters across regions. For example, environmental risk factors (i.e., poor water quality, poor sanitary conditions, and use of solid fuels) had the second largest impact on stunting globally and in South Asia, sub-Saharan Africa, and East Asia and Pacific, whereas risk factors related to child nutrition and infection were the second leading risk factors in other regions.

What Do These Findings Mean?

- Efforts to further reduce stunting should be focused on fetal growth restriction and poor sanitation, and this will require refocusing prevention programs on interventions that reach mothers and families and improve their living environment and nutrition.

Introduction

Child survival has improved substantially over the past fifty years. The annual number of child deaths under age 5 y declined from 17.6 million in 1970 to 6.3 million in 2013, and under-five mortality declined from 143 per 1,000 live births to 44 during the same period [1]. Global progress in improving childhood growth has been less impressive [2]. While the prevalence of stunting (height-for-age z-score less than two standard deviations below the global median, as defined by the 2006 World Health Organization Child Growth Standards [3]) among children under 5 y declined from 47% in 1985 to 30% in 2011 globally, only minor improvements have been achieved in some of the poorest regions of the world, especially South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa [2]. In recognition of the large disparities across the globe in the areas of early life nutrition and development, the World Health Assembly set a target to reduce by 40% the number of stunted children worldwide by 2025 [4].

To reach this target, information on ways to alleviate stunting in each country is essential. Randomized trials and observational studies have identified a large number of risk factors for poor childhood growth [5–7]. However, the impact of these risk factors on stunting at the population level (globally, regionally, and at the country level) is not known.

To address this gap, we conducted a global comparative risk assessment analysis of stunting risk factors. We used country-level data on the prevalence of risk factors from global pooling projects of population health surveys, in combination with effect sizes for each risk factor on stunting from recent meta-analyses of epidemiological studies. This report focuses on 18 risk
factors for stunting, while a forthcoming paper uses similar methodology to examine four psychosocial risk factors.

**Methods**

We estimated the burden of stunting among children 2 y (24–35 mo) of age (i.e., right at the end of the first 1,000 days of life) that is attributable to 18 risk factors in 137 developing countries. The selected countries included all countries designated as developing by the Global Burden of Disease Study [8], which closely correspond to the countries designated as developing by the United Nations for tracking progress towards the Millennium Development Goals [9]. These risk factors were selected from an extensive list of modifiable (i.e., behavioral or environmental; nongenetic) risk factors for stunting based on (i) the availability of high-quality exposure data (i.e., nationally representative data using standard measurements such as measured weight rather than self-report, and using appropriate statistical methods for pooling and imputing data [10]), (ii) strong evidence for an association with stunting, and (iii) the availability of evidence on the effect size on stunting from recent meta-analyses of epidemiological studies (criteria described in detail in S1 Text; see also [5–7] and S2 Table). Estimating the burden of stunting attributable to various risks does not in itself establish causality, but because we have included only risk factors for which there is convincing evidence of a causal relationship with stunting, the relationships examined here can be interpreted as our current best estimates of their causal effect. Stunting was defined as height-for-age z-score < −2 based on the World Health Organization Child Growth Standards [3]. We grouped risk factors into five clusters: maternal nutrition and infection, teenage motherhood and short birth intervals, fetal growth restriction (FGR) and preterm birth, child nutrition and infection, and environmental factors (i.e., unimproved water and sanitation and use of biomass fuels) (Table 1). These categories were based on the similarity of risk factors and of their corresponding interventions. We estimated the proportion of stunting that is attributable to each risk factor and cluster of risk factors in each country, as detailed below.

**Data Sources**

We derived the prevalence of exposure to each risk factor for the year 2010 (or as close to 2010 as possible) from published literature and from available surveys such as Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) (Table 1). Estimates of stunting prevalence for children under 5 y for each country for the year 2011 were provided by the Nutrition Impact Model Study [2], which provides regional and global levels similar to those estimated by WHO [40]. We chose 2010 as the index year for risk factor exposure and 2011 as the index year for stunting exposure to allow a temporal sequence such that risk factors are measured or estimated before stunting. To estimate the prevalence of stunting and number of stunted children at age 2 y (i.e., 24 to 35 mo of age), we calculated the ratio of stunting prevalence among children age 2 y to that among children under 5 y in 104 surveys available from the WHO Global Database on Child Growth and Malnutrition (available from the Nutrition Landscape Information System) [38]. For 33 countries without surveys, we used population-weighted sub-regional averages to generate a correction factor (see S2 Text for more detail and S3 Table for the country-specific ratios). Data on cohort size (population of children at age 2 y) were provided by the United Nations Population Division World Population Prospects 2015 Revision [41]. Data on prevalence of teenage motherhood and short birth spacing were available for 64 countries with recent DHS surveys (73 countries did not have a recent DHS survey). For countries without DHS data, we used a sub-regional average if estimates from at least one country in the region were available (or a regional average when no data were available within the sub-region; see S3 Fig for sub-
### Table 1. Sources of data on the selected risk factors and their effect size for stunting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Evidence on Effect Size for Stunting</th>
<th>Effect Size* (95% CI)</th>
<th>Source of Exposure Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal nutrition and infection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal short stature</td>
<td>Maternal height &lt;160 cm</td>
<td>Pooled analysis of DHS [11]</td>
<td>Maternal height: 2.13 (2.10, 2.16); 1.78 (1.76, 1.80); 1.48 (1.46, 1.49); 1.24 (1.23, 1.26)</td>
<td>Height among women 18–49 y of age [12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal underweight</td>
<td>Maternal BMI &lt;18.5 kg/m²</td>
<td>Pooled analysis of population-based cohort studies and WHO perinatal facility-based data from 24 countries [19]</td>
<td>OR for LBW: 1.64 (1.38, 1.94)</td>
<td>Estimates of underweight among women of reproductive age [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teenage motherhood and short birth intervals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teenage motherhood</td>
<td>Maternal age at delivery &lt;20 y</td>
<td>Pooled analysis of DHS [19]</td>
<td>Maternal age: 1.20 (1.19, 1.22); 1.11 (1.10, 1.12)</td>
<td>DHS estimates of teenage motherhood [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short birth intervals</td>
<td>&lt;24 mo between consecutive births</td>
<td>Pooled analysis of DHS [19]</td>
<td>Birth spacing: 1.14 (1.11, 1.67); 1.11 (1.10, 1.12)</td>
<td>DHS estimates of birth spacing [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fetal growth restriction and preterm birth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm, appropriate for gestational age</td>
<td>Birth before 37 wk of gestation and weight ≥10th percentile for gestational age</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of observational cohort studies [20]</td>
<td>1.93 (1.71, 2.18)</td>
<td>Estimates of prevalence of preterm, appropriate for gestational age [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term, small for gestational age</td>
<td>Birth at or after 37 wk of gestation and weight &lt;10th percentile for gestational age</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of observational cohort studies [20]</td>
<td>2.43 (2.22, 2.66)</td>
<td>Estimates of prevalence of term, small for gestational age [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child nutrition and infection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood zinc deficiency</td>
<td>Deficient zinc intake during childhood based on age- and sex-specific zinc requirements</td>
<td>Systematic review of preventive zinc supplementation trials [22]</td>
<td>Mean decrease in HAZ: 0.06 (0.02, 0.10)</td>
<td>Estimates of zinc deficiency [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood diarrhea</td>
<td>Mean number of diarrhea episodes per year during childhood</td>
<td>Pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies [24]</td>
<td>OR for stunting per one additional diarrhea episode: 1.025 (1.01, 1.04)</td>
<td>Estimates of mean number of diarrhea episodes per child per year [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonexclusive breastfeeding</td>
<td>Nonexclusive breastfeeding of infants under 6 mo of age</td>
<td>Systematic review of observational studies [26]</td>
<td>RR for diarrhea: not breastfed: 2.65 (1.72, 4.07); partially breastfed: 1.69 (1.03, 2.76); predominantly breastfed: 1.26 (0.81, 1.95)</td>
<td>Estimates of prevalence of nonexclusive breastfeeding [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinued breastfeeding</td>
<td>Discontinued breastfeeding of children 6–24 mo of age</td>
<td>Systematic review of observational studies [26]</td>
<td>RR for diarrhea: 1.32 (1.06, 1.63)</td>
<td>Estimates of prevalence of discontinued breastfeeding [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV infection without HAART before 2 y of age</td>
<td>Child HIV infection without initiation of HAART until after 2 y of age</td>
<td>Systematic review of observational studies [28–31] (described in S4 Text)</td>
<td>Mean decrease in HAZ: 0.63 (0.46, 0.80)</td>
<td>UNAIDS estimates of prevalence of HIV infection and HAART coverage [32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unimproved sanitation</td>
<td>Lack of access to safe sanitation in the community (based on WHO/UNICEF JMP definition of improved sanitation)</td>
<td>Pooled analysis of DHS [33]</td>
<td>1.37 (1.33, 1.41)</td>
<td>Estimates of access to sanitation [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unimproved water</td>
<td>Lack of access to clean water in the community (based on WHO/UNICEF JMP definition of improved water source)</td>
<td>Pooled analysis of DHS [33]</td>
<td>1.09 (1.06, 1.12)</td>
<td>Estimates of access to safe drinking water [34]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 1. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Evidence on Effect Size for Stunting</th>
<th>Effect Size(^a) (95% CI)</th>
<th>Source of Exposure Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of biomass fuels</td>
<td>Use of biomass fuels for cooking and heating</td>
<td>Systematic review of RCTs and observational cohorts [^{35}]</td>
<td>OR for LBW: 1.40 (1.26, 1.54)</td>
<td>Estimates of proportion of households relying mainly on biomass fuel for cooking [^{36}]^b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)All effect sizes are reported as ORs for stunting unless otherwise stated.

\(^b\)For these risk factors, exposure data were missing for six or fewer of the 137 developing countries (primarily small island nations), and these were imputed using sub-regional or regional averages.

Risk Factor Definition Evidence on Effect Size for Stunting Effect Size\(^a\) (95% CI) Source of Exposure Data

For gestational age; preterm, small for gestational age; and preterm, appropriate for gestational age.

Preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy; LBW, low birth weight; OR, odds ratio; RCT, randomized control trial; RR, relative risk; SD, standard deviation; WHO/UNICEF JMP, WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply and Sanitation.

For this analysis, LBW is used only as a mediator because the main effects are nearly entirely encompassed by the combination of the effects of term, small for gestational age; preterm, small for gestational age; and preterm, appropriate for gestational age.

For zinc deficiency, the available effect size was a decrease in linear growth of 0.19 cm (95% CI 0.08–0.30 \[^{22}\]) among zinc-deficient children compared to those without zinc deficiency. We converted this effect size into an HAZ shift by dividing it by the SD of height among children aged 21 mo (the mean age of children in the zinc deficiency meta-analysis) from the WHO Child Growth Standards \[^{3}\]. The estimated mean HAZ shift of 0.06 was then converted into a RR as described in footnote h below.

For zinc deficiency and HIV infection without HAART initiation before 2 y of age (untreated HIV infection), the effect sizes were available as mean differences in HAZ between exposed and unexposed groups, but not as RRs. To convert HAZ shifts into RRs, we used the observed population mean HAZ and estimated a counterfactual HAZ had there been no zinc deficiency/late HAART initiation by subtracting off the HAZ shift attributable to each of these risks from each country’s observed mean HAZ. We converted observed country-level estimates of mean HAZ among children under 5 y to mean HAZ among children age 2 y as described in S2 Text \[^{2,38}\]. For zinc deficiency and untreated HIV separately, we then translated the two mean HAZ levels for each country into stunting prevalence by using the linear regression crosswalk described in S3 Text \[^{38}\] and shown in S1 Fig. We used the ratio of the counterfactual to the observed stunting prevalence generated from the crosswalk as a country-specific estimate of the RR.

Using data available in the UNAIDS Report on the Global AIDS Epidemic 2013 \[^{32}\] on the number of HIV-infected children on HAART and not on HAART, and assuming that 75% of HIV-infected children on HAART initiate treatment before 2 y of age, we calculated the fraction of HIV-infected children age 2 y who are not yet on HAART (the exposure of interest) using this equation: HIV prevalence among children × (1 – HAART coverage among children) + HIV prevalence among children × HAART coverage among children × 25%. The data inputs (as shared by the authors of \[^{32}\]) are available in S1 Table.

The WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply and Sanitation provides specific definitions of improved water and sanitation \[^{39}\]. Improved water sources are piped water into dwelling, piped water into yard/plot, public tap or standpipe, tubewell or borehole, protected dug well, protected spring, and rainwater. Improved sanitation is flush toilet, piped sewer system, septic tank, flush/pour flush to latrine, ventilated improved pit latrine, pit latrine with slab, composting toilet, and flush/pour flush to unknown place \[^{39}\]. This classification is used by Fink et al. \[^{33}\] to create the RRs used for this analysis. The prevalences of exposure to improved water and sanitation used as inputs into this analysis (as shared by the authors of \[^{33}\]) are available in S1 Table. We subtracted these prevalence values from 100 to calculate the prevalence of exposure to unimproved water and sanitation.

BMI, body mass index; DHS, Demographic and Health Surveys; HAART, highly active antiretroviral therapy; HAZ, height-for-age z-score; IPTp, intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy; LBW, low birth weight; OR, odds ratio; RCT, randomized control trial; RR, relative risk; SD, standard deviation; WHO/UNICEF JMP, WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply and Sanitation.
Statistical Analyses

We calculated the population attributable fraction (PAF) of stunting for each risk factor using methods that have been described elsewhere [42]. The estimated PAF for each risk factor quantifies the independent effect of that specific risk factor on stunting (holding all other risk factors constant); the PAF is estimated using the following relationship:

\[
P_{\text{PAF}} = \frac{\sum_{i} P_i (RR_i - 1)}{\sum_{i} P_i (RR_i - 1) + 1}
\]

where \(RR_i\) is the relative risk of stunting comparing category \(i\) with the reference (or optimal) category and \(P_i\) is the prevalence of exposure to the risk factor of interest.

For most risk factors, epidemiological studies have reported effect sizes on stunting. For maternal malaria, underweight, and anemia, as well as biomass fuel use, meta-analyses of effect sizes were available only on low birth weight (LBW), which is itself associated with stunting [20]. For these risk factors, we calculated the PAF of stunting by multiplying the proportion of LBW attributable to the risk factor (i.e., the PAF) by the proportion of stunting attributable to LBW in each country. For example, if in a particular country 20% of LBW is attributable to maternal underweight and 30% of stunting is attributable to LBW, then it can be easily inferred that 6% of stunting is attributable to maternal underweight. Similarly, the effects of nonexclusive and discontinued breastfeeding were reported only on diarrhea, which itself is associated with stunting [24]. We used these reported effect sizes to estimate the effect on stunting through diarrhea by multiplying the PAF of diarrhea attributable to these risk factors by the PAF of stunting attributable to diarrhea.

We further estimated the combined effect of several risk factors within each of the five clusters. The number of stunting cases attributable to multiple risk factors in one cluster is less than the sum of the number of stunting cases attributable to each risk factor because one case of stunting can be due to multiple risk factors (i.e., multicausality) and because one risk factor may affect stunting partly through another risk factor in the same cluster (i.e., mediation). To prevent “double counting” due to multicausality, we used a relationship to estimate the combined effects of multiple risk factors based on their individual PAFs [42]. The relationship is captured by the following formula, which assumes that there is no correlation and no effect modification for relative risks:

\[
P_{\text{PAF}_j} = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{R} (1 - P_{\text{PAF}_i})
\]

where \(P_{\text{PAF}_i}\) is an individual PAF for a risk factor in cluster \(j\), and all individual risk factors (from 1 to \(R\)) in cluster \(j\) are combined using the formula above to estimate \(P_{\text{PAF}_j}\), which is the fraction of stunting attributable to all the risk factors in cluster \(j\).

To use this relationship in the child nutrition and infection cluster of risk factors, discontinued and nonexclusive breastfeeding were excluded because their effects on stunting are mediated through diarrhea, and we did not include childhood untreated HIV infection because data on this risk factor were not available for all countries. For this risk factor cluster, we had to make an additional modification because part of the effect of zinc deficiency on stunting is mediated by diarrhea. Therefore, using the above formula for this cluster would lead to overestimation if the effect of diarrhea and zinc deficiency were both included in the combined PAF (\(P_{\text{PAF}_j}\)). To correct this, we replaced the overall effect of zinc on stunting with the part of the effect of zinc on stunting that is not mediated through diarrhea. We conducted a literature review but did not find any studies that quantified this relationship and therefore assumed that...
50% of the excess relative risk of stunting due to zinc deficiency is mediated by diarrhea. For example, in Nigeria, the overall relative risk of zinc deficiency for stunting was estimated to be 1.04 (based on a 0.06 lower mean height-for-age z-score in zinc-deficient children; see notes below Table 1, and S3 Text, for more details), which corresponds to an excess relative risk of 0.04. Using the 50% proportion mentioned above, we calculated that the excess relative risk of zinc deficiency not mediated through diarrhea was 0.02, and that calculation in turn yields a "direct" relative risk of 1.02. This relative risk does not include the effect of zinc deficiency on diarrhea and can be combined with the effect of diarrhea on stunting to estimate the combined effect of both risk factors on stunting without creating a bias due to overestimation. We conducted a sensitivity analysis using 0% and 100% as the mediated proportion (S4 Table).

We calculated the attributable prevalence of stunting by multiplying the PAF by the prevalence of stunting in each country. We also calculated the attributable number of stunting cases in children aged 2 y by multiplying the PAF by the number of stunted children at age 2 y. To quantify uncertainty, we used the mean and standard error for each exposure prevalence and effect size, separately, to generate 1,000 simulations for the prevalence of the risk factor and its odds ratio for stunting, then calculated the PAF and attributable prevalence of stunting at age 2 y 1,000 times (once per simulation). The 95% confidence intervals of PAFs and numbers of attributable stunting cases were calculated by using the 2.5th and the 97.5th percentiles of draws. All analyses were conducted using STATA SE version 13.1. This study was conducted solely using secondary and existing datasets and therefore did not require institutional review board review.

Results

In 2011, we estimated that 44.1 million children aged 2 y in the selected 137 developing countries were stunted, corresponding to 36% of the 2-y-old population. The most important individual risk factor for stunting was being term, small for gestational age (TSGA), with 10.8 million (95% CI 9.1 million–12.6 million) stunting cases attributable at age 2 y in 2011. Unimproved sanitation, with 7.2 million (95% CI 6.3 million–8.2 million) attributable cases of stunting, and diarrhea, with 5.8 million (95% CI 2.4 million–9.2 million) attributable cases, were the second and third most important risk factors for stunting worldwide, respectively (Fig 1). When clusters of risk factors were considered, FGR and preterm birth (preterm, small for gestational age; TSGA; preterm, appropriate for gestational age [PAGA]) were the leading risk factors for stunting prevalence, with 32.5% of stunting prevalence being attributed to these factors (14.4 million cases, 95% CI 12.6 million–16.2 million). This cluster of risk factors was followed by environmental factors (unimproved water, unimproved sanitation, and biomass fuel use), with 21.7% (9.6 million cases, 95% CI 8.4 million–10.8 million), maternal nutrition and infection risk factors, with 14.4% (6.4 million cases, 95% CI 5.3 million–7.5 million), and child nutrition and infection risk factors, with 13.5% (6.0 million cases, 95% CI 2.6 million–9.4 million) of attributable stunting cases. Teenage motherhood and short birth intervals had the fewest attributable stunting cases, with 1.9% (0.86 million cases, 95% CI 0.77 million–0.95 million) (Table 2; Fig 2).

The risk factor cluster FGR and preterm birth was associated with the largest attributable burden of stunting in all regions. The cluster of environmental factors, most importantly unimproved sanitation, was the second leading cluster of risk factors in South Asia, sub-Saharan Africa, and East Asia and Pacific, whereas in Central Asia, Latin America and Caribbean, and North Africa and Middle East, the second leading cluster was child nutrition and infection (mostly childhood diarrhea). Central Asia had the highest proportion of stunting attributable to child nutrition and infection risk factors across all regions, at 18.9%, and sub-Saharan Africa
had the largest proportion of stunting attributable to environmental risk factors across all regions, at 27.0%. Of all stunting cases attributable to each risk factor cluster, South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa had the largest shares across all regions (ranging from 34% to 50%, and 29% to 43%, respectively, across risk factor clusters) due to having both high exposures to the selected risk factors and large numbers of stunted children.

Within these larger regions, there were important differences across sub-regions in the burden of stunting attributable to risk factors and risk factor clusters. Within the sub-Saharan Africa region, the attributable prevalence of stunting associated with unimproved sanitation in southern Africa was less than half that of central, east, and west Africa. Similarly, diarrhea was associated with almost three times the burden of stunting in Andean and central Latin America as in tropical and southern Latin America. The burden of diarrhea also differed substantially within Asia, with much smaller attributable prevalence of stunting in the East Asia sub-region (1.9 percentage points) than in the Central Asia, South Asia, and Southeast Asia sub-regions (all greater than 3.5 percentage points).

At the country level, nations with high stunting prevalence such as Niger, Burundi, Yemen, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Afghanistan, Timor-Leste, and Zambia (all with prevalence greater than 50%) had, as expected, a large burden of stunting attributable to all risk factor clusters (Fig 3; S4 Fig displays the PAFs). However, several countries with a relatively lower prevalence of

Fig 1. Risk factors ranked within each cluster by number of attributable stunting cases in children aged 2 y in 137 developing countries in 2011. Whiskers indicate 95% confidence intervals. Effects are not additive because each case of stunting can be attributed to more than one risk factor. Untreated HIV infection is not included because exposure data for all countries were not available. PAGA, preterm, appropriate for gestational age; PSGA, preterm, small for gestational age; TSGA, term, small for gestational age.

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002164.g001
stunting also had a relatively large attributable burden due to high exposure to specific risk factors. For example, Somalia had the largest prevalence of stunting attributable to discontinued breastfeeding and the second largest prevalence attributable to nonexclusive breastfeeding (0.9 and 1.4 percentage points, respectively). Malawi had the top rank for PAGA, at 4.9 percentage points, and Bangladesh had the top rank for teenage motherhood, at 0.9 percentage points (country-level PAFs and numbers of attributable stunting cases are available in S5 Text and on the study website: http://www.healthychilddev.sph.harvard.edu/).

The ranking of risk factors within each country was generally consistent with global and regional ranking of risk factors (S5 Table). Nevertheless, specific risk factors imposed a larger burden of stunting in particular countries irrespective of the burden of stunting in the country: unimproved sanitation surpassed TSGA as the leading risk factor in China and in many sub-Saharan African countries, and nonexclusive and discontinued breastfeeding had a substantially higher rank in middle-income countries (e.g., Mexico, South Africa, Iran, Turkey, and Argentina) than in low-income countries.

### Discussion

Our results suggest that a large proportion of childhood stunting in developing countries could be prevented if exposure to a few key risk factors could be eliminated. Globally, TSGA was associated with the largest stunting burden, followed by unimproved sanitation and childhood diarrhea. This pattern highlights the success of current clinical and public health interventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Maternal Nutrition and Infection</th>
<th>Teenage Motherhood and Short Birth Intervals</th>
<th>Fetal Growth Restriction and Preterm Birth</th>
<th>Child Nutrition and Infection</th>
<th>Environmental Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAF (Percent) Number Stunted (Thousands)</td>
<td>PAF (Percent) Number Stunted (Thousands)</td>
<td>PAF (Percent) Number Stunted (Thousands)</td>
<td>PAF (Percent) Number Stunted (Thousands)</td>
<td>PAF (Percent) Number Stunted (Thousands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All developing countries</td>
<td>14.4 (12.5, 16.5) 6,374 (5,331, 7,514)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.9, 2.0) 858 (774, 945)</td>
<td>32.5 (30.0, 35.2) 14,266 (12,553, 16,209)</td>
<td>13.5 (6.0, 21.3) 5,962 (2,586, 9,444)</td>
<td>21.7 (19.9, 23.5) 9,584 (8,364, 10,783)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia and Pacific</td>
<td>11.5 (10.4, 12.7) 842 (728, 955)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2, 1.4) 96 (81, 110)</td>
<td>24.0 (21.0, 26.9) 1,758 (1,477, 2,041)</td>
<td>10.9 (4.7, 17.3) 802 (347, 1,328)</td>
<td>13.8 (11.3, 16.3) 1,014 (787, 1,282)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>19.2 (16.1, 22.3) 3,200 (2,370, 4,063)</td>
<td>2.2 (2.1, 2.3) 361 (287, 437)</td>
<td>40.9 (37.5, 44.2) 6,809 (5,363, 8,329)</td>
<td>12.3 (5.2, 20.1) 2,053 (880, 3,536)</td>
<td>24.5 (22.2, 26.9) 4,082 (3,163, 4,992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Asia</td>
<td>6.5 (5.9, 7.2) 30 (24, 36)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.5, 1.7) 7 (6, 8)</td>
<td>22.6 (19.3, 25.5) 102 (83, 123)</td>
<td>18.9 (8.3, 29.1) 85 (36, 135)</td>
<td>4.2 (3.3, 5.1) 19 (14, 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Africa and Middle East</td>
<td>9.2 (8.3, 10.3) 238 (201, 280)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.7, 2.0) 48 (41, 54)</td>
<td>24.6 (22.2, 27.3) 635 (533, 743)</td>
<td>12.9 (5.7, 20.6) 333 (148, 545)</td>
<td>6.2 (5.2, 7.3) 161 (129, 195)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>12.2 (10.4, 14.1) 1,875 (1,572, 2,194)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.9, 2.1) 306 (285, 327)</td>
<td>30.6 (28.1, 33.3) 4,703 (4,257, 5,141)</td>
<td>15.4 (6.9, 24.1) 2,371 (1,066, 3,715)</td>
<td>27.0 (25.1, 29.1) 4,153 (3,777, 4,544)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and Caribbean</td>
<td>10.8 (10.0, 11.6) 189 (167, 213)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.2, 2.4) 41 (36, 46)</td>
<td>20.5 (18.4, 23.0) 359 (308, 417)</td>
<td>18.1 (7.9, 27.8) 318 (136, 495)</td>
<td>8.9 (7.9, 9.9) 156 (135, 178)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95% confidence intervals presented in parentheses.

*Untreated HIV infection is not included in the global analysis because estimates of its attributable burden were not available for all countries.

PAF, population attributable fraction.
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to prevent and manage childhood infections and improve childhood nutrition in many developing countries [43,44], but also calls for a new focus on interventions before and during pregnancy to address intergenerational effects of malnutrition among girls and women [45,46], as well as interventions to improve the environment in which mothers and families live, with specific attention to improving sanitation.

A previous analysis of FGR and preterm births in developing countries reported smaller fractions of stunting attributable to TSGA (e.g., a PAF of 16% for TSGA compared with 24% in our analysis) [20]. However, the value reported in this previous analysis may be an underestimation, as the regional and global effects were estimated using regional prevalence of stunting as opposed to estimating the attributable stunting in each country, as we did here.

The large burden of stunting attributable to FGR is perhaps unsurprising given that prenatal restricted growth is logically strongly related to postnatal restricted growth; nevertheless, our findings serve to further emphasize the importance of early intervention during pregnancy. Several recent reviews have identified maternal iron, balanced protein-energy, and multiple micronutrient supplementation as the most effective interventions to alleviate FGR [17,47]. However, providing these interventions before pregnancy or in its early months is logistically difficult because in many developing countries, the majority of pregnant women start attending antenatal clinics in their second or third trimester.

Environmental factors (i.e., unimproved water, unimproved sanitation, and biomass fuel use) had the second largest global attributable burden. Particularly, 7.2 million cases of stunting worldwide were attributable to unimproved sanitation. The attributable burden of unimproved
sanitation for stunting was larger (though not significantly) than that of childhood diarrhea, as some of the effects of improved sanitation may be through prevention of other childhood infections and improvement of maternal health and nutrition in pregnancy [48,49]. This further underscores the importance of ongoing water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) programs [50] to increase access to, and use of, safe water and sanitation for children and families worldwide.

We found that one in seven cases of stunting was attributable to child nutrition and infection risk factors. Programs to promote adequate complementary feeding [51] and rotavirus and cholera vaccines [52] may reduce the attributable burden of this group of risks. Childhood HIV infection remains a significant contributor to child mortality [53] but was not a major risk for stunting at the population level. In Swaziland and Lesotho, the countries with the highest prevalence of this risk factor, the prevalence of stunting attributable to untreated HIV infection was estimated to be 0.7 and 0.8 percentage points, respectively. We may have slightly underestimated the fraction of stunting attributable to HIV as we were only able to estimate the effect of untreated child HIV infection, due to lack of data on the growth of HIV-infected children who receive HAART before 2 y of age compared with HIV-uninfected peers in developing countries.
Nevertheless, the contribution of HIV-infected children who receive HAART before 2 y to population-level stunting is likely small, given that young children initiating HAART exhibit rapid linear growth catch-up [54]. Teenage motherhood and short birth intervals had a fairly small effect on stunting at the population level due to both lower prevalence of exposure in many developing countries and smaller effect sizes for stunting compared with other risk factors.

The global scope of this analysis and the large number of risk factors included result in several limitations. Although we included only risk factors with strong evidence of an association with stunting, causality can never be guaranteed by observational studies (which were the sources of nearly all effect sizes available for the risk factors analyzed here). Although the list of risk factors is extensive, we had to exclude several risk factors because country-level data on exposure or effect size on stunting were not available. Examples are maternal smoking, prenatal alcohol use, and illicit drug use. Similarly, global data on environmental pollutant exposures in childhood, such as lead and arsenic exposure, were not available. In addition, we had to use approximate estimates for prevalence of use of biomass fuels, maternal malaria, maternal short stature, and maternal underweight. We used the prevalence of relying mainly on biomass fuels for cooking as a proxy for all exposure to biomass fuels, underweight among women of reproductive age as a proxy for maternal underweight, height among women aged 18 to 49 y as a proxy for maternal height, and prevalence of *P. falciparum* infection among children aged 2 to 10 y as a proxy for maternal malaria prevalence. Estimates of uncertainty in the exposures to maternal malaria, maternal short stature, childhood zinc deficiency, untreated HIV infection, LBW, and PAGA were unavailable, so uncertainty in the estimates of the effect of these risk factors is underestimated. Relatedly, we did not incorporate the uncertainty from converting the prevalence of stunting among children under 5 y to the prevalence of stunting among children age 2 y. Another limitation is that we estimated the effect of six risk factors through either LBW (maternal anemia, malaria, and underweight and biomass fuel use) or diarrhea (non-exclusive and discontinued breastfeeding), which may have led to underestimation of the effect of the maternal and childhood nutrition and infection risk factor clusters. As is standard in global risk factor analyses [42], we assumed that proportional effects are fairly similar across countries. Evidence from analyses of FGR and preterm birth supports this assumption as the odds ratios for stunting across different regions were fairly similar [20]. Multi-country studies are required to evaluate variation of effect sizes for other risk factors, but such studies are currently not available. Finally, the effect sizes for most risk factors were reported as odds ratios, which overestimate the relative risk when exposure is not rare and could lead to overestimating the effect of these risk factors on stunting. Correcting this bias requires information on the incidence of stunting among unexposed children for each risk factor and in each country [55], and these data are currently not available.

Our analysis also had several major strengths. We included all major risk factors for stunting after considering an extensive set and limiting our analysis to those with convincing evidence on their effect on stunting and high-quality data on country-level exposure. We reviewed and did not include risk factors with insufficient evidence on their effect on stunting, including child HIV infection with HAART [56], maternal and childhood iodine deficiency [57], child hookworm infection [58], acute lower respiratory infection [59], and childhood malaria [60]. We also excluded risk factors for which meta-analyses of observational studies and/or randomized trials identified no significant effect on childhood stunting. These were childhood anemia [61], maternal hookworm infection [62], and vitamin A deficiency [63]. For the included risk factors, we used the most recent and reliable meta-analyses of effect sizes on stunting. We quantified uncertainty at each step of estimation and reported overall uncertainty in the final results.
Our results represent a consistent and comparable set of global estimates of the impact of 18 risk factors on stunting. FGR, unimproved sanitation, and diarrhea are the leading risk factors for stunting globally, with larger estimated impacts on stunting in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia compared with other regions. According to our findings, reducing the burden of stunting requires continuing the current efforts to diagnose and treat maternal and child infections, especially diarrhea, along with a new focus on clinical and public health interventions that focus on improving nutrition and sanitation among mothers and families.
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