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To assess behavioral experience effects on synaptic plasticity after brain damage, the present study examined the effects of complex motor skills training (the acrobatic task) on synaptic changes in layer V of the motor cortex opposite unilateral damage to the forelimb sensorimotor cortex (FLsmc). Adult male rats were given lesions or sham operations followed by 28 d of training on the acrobatic task [acrobatic condition (AC)]. As a motor activity control [motor control (MC)], lesion and sham animals were given simple repetitive exercise. Previously, FLsmc lesions and acrobatic training have independently been found to result in increases in synapse to neuron ratios in the intact motor cortex relative to controls, and both of these effects were replicated in the present study. In addition, acrobatic training after lesions significantly increased layer V synapses per neuron relative to sham–AC and lesion–MC rats. Thus, the combination of acrobatic training and lesions resulted in an enhanced synaptogenic response. Synapse subtypes were also differentially affected by the conditions. Lesion–MC and sham–AC primarily had increases in the number of synapses per neuron formed by multiple synaptic boutons in comparison to sham–MC. In contrast, lesion–AC had increases in both multiple and single synapses. Multiple synaptic spines and perforated synapses were also differentially affected by training versus lesions. On tests of coordinated forelimb use, lesion–AC rats performed better than lesion–MC rats. In addition to supporting a link between behavioral experience and structural plasticity after brain damage, these findings suggest that adaptive neural plasticity may be enhanced using behavioral manipulations as “therapy.”
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Recent findings have suggested that neocortical damage in adult animals may make connected cortical regions especially sensitive to behavioral changes (for review, see Jones et al., 1998). Unilateral damage to the forelimb representation area of the sensorimotor cortex (FLsmc) in adult rats results in structural changes in the motor cortex opposite the lesions that appear to be dependent, in part, on forelimb behavior. After the lesions, rats have impairments in the use of the forelimb opposite the lesion and develop a compensatory reliance on the ipsilateral forelimb (the “nonimpaired” limb) for postural support behaviors (Jones and Schallert, 1992a). In layer V of the motor cortex opposite the lesion, there are time-dependent increases in dendritic arborization (Jones and Schallert, 1992a), neuropil volume, synapse to neuron ratios (Jones et al., 1996), and perforated synapses and multiple synaptic boutons (MSBs; Jones, 1999). Dendritic arborization increases were prevented by restricting the movements of the nonimpaired forelimb after the lesions (Jones and Schallert, 1994). However, the dendritic effects were not reproduced in intact animals that were forced to rely on one forelimb, suggesting that the magnitude and/or time course of neuronal growth results from an interaction between lesion-induced central changes and behavioral changes.

A transient reduction in axonal processes is found in the motor cortex at time points preceding the neuronal growth, presumably a result of degeneration of transcallosal axons arising from the contralateral lesion site (Jones, 1999). Axonal degeneration has been found to result in increases in neurotrophic factors (for review, see Isackson, 1995; Ebadi et al., 1997) and cell adhesion molecules (Cotman et al., 1998), cytoskeletal restructuring (Sheppard et al., 1993; Hayes et al., 1995), and reactive changes in glia (Kelley and Steward, 1997; Ridet et al., 1997). These changes, which are likely to support the structural reorganization associated with reactive synaptogenesis, potentially make a region especially malleable in response to behaviorally driven changes in neuronal activity. If unilateral FLsmc lesions cause the opposite motor cortex to become more sensitive to behavioral changes, then it should be possible to capitalize on this sensitivity by using behavioral manipulations to enhance the structural changes.

The present study assessed the effects of complex motor skills training after unilateral FLsmc lesions on synaptic changes in layer V of the opposite motor cortex. Beginning after surgery, lesion or sham-operated male rats received 28 d of training on the acrobatic task, adapted from Black et al. (1990), which requires rats to learn a variety of coordinated movements to traverse a series of obstacles. As a motor activity control, rats received locomotor exercise requiring only simple repetitive movements. Acrobatic training has previously been found to result in an increase in synapse number per neuron in layer II/III of the motor cortex of intact adult female rats in comparison to motor controls (Kleim et al., 1996). The question of primary interest was...
whether motor skills training after unilateral FLSmc lesions would enhance, or otherwise alter, the synaptic changes found as a result of either the lesion or the motor skills training alone.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects and surgeries**

Forty male Long–Evans hooded rats between the ages of 4 and 5 months of age were used. Rats were made tame by frequent handling beginning after weaning and were housed in groups of two in transparent tub cages, receiving food and water ad libitum. Rats were randomly assigned to four groups: (1) rats receiving unilateral FLSmc lesions and postoperative training on the acrobatic task [lesion–acrobatic condition (AC); \( n = 11 \)], (2) rats with unilateral FLSmc lesions receiving postoperative simple repetitive exercise as a motor activity control [lesion–motor control (MC); \( n = 9 \)], (3) sham-operated rats receiving acrobatic task training (sham–AC; \( n = 10 \)), and (4) sham-operated motor control rats (sham–MC; \( n = 10 \)).

**Surgical methods.** Rats were anesthetized with Equithesin (150 mg/kg chloral hydrate and 34 mg/kg pentobarbital). Unilateral lesions were aimed at the FLSmc, which includes the overlapping primary somatic-sensory (SI) and primary motor (MI) representations of the forelimb, as described by Hall and Lindholm (1974). Skull was removed between 0.5 mm posterior to 1.5 mm anterior and 3.0–4.0 mm lateral to midline. After removal of dura, an uninsulated 30 gauge platinum wire electrode was lowered 1.7 mm below dura and slowly moved in 8 equally spaced traverses through the exposed cortex as 1 mA anodal constant current was delivered for 2 min. Movement of the electrode and current delivery were continuous over the 2 min. These lesions were chosen because they have previously been found to result in synaptic structural changes and increases in synapse number per neuron in the contralateral cortex (Jones et al., 1996; Jones, 1999) and thus provided a convenient model in which to assess skills training effects on lesion-induced synaptic changes. In previous examinations using cytoarchitectonics to identify sensorimotor cortical regions, these lesion procedures have been found to produce complete or near complete damage to the overlapping SI and MI area (the “overlap zone”) of the forelimb as well as considerable damage to nonoverlapping SI and MI forelimb representational areas (Barth et al., 1990; Jones and Schallert, 1992b). These lesions also result in moderate atrophy of the ipsilateral striatum and ventrobasal thalamus and neuron loss in the ipsilateral substantia nigra pars reticulata (Jones and Schallert, 1992b). Sham-operated rats received all procedures up to, but not including, removal of the skull (skull removal was not performed in sham operates because it has been found to produce behavioral and neurochemical asymmetries) (Adams et al., 1994). Atropine sulfate (0.1 mg/kg) was used preoperatively and postoperatively to counteract respiratory depressive effects of Equithesin.

**Behavioral methods**

**Motor skills training.** Rats received postoperative training on an elevated obstacle course, the acrobatic task (Fig. 1), adapted from Black et al. (1990) (see also Kleim et al., 1996). This task requires rats to learn a variety of skilled forelimb, hindlimb, and coordinated whole-body movements. In this first test of the question of whether motor skills training after FLSmc lesions may alter synaptic changes in the motor cortex, this complex task was chosen in favor of more subtle manipulations of limb use, such as reach training, to maximize the ability to determine whether motor skills training affects lesion-induced structural changes in the motor cortex. It does not permit the determination of which components of the acrobatic training are necessary for these effects.

Acrobatic rats were required to sequentially traverse a rod (2.7 cm diameter), rope (2 cm diameter), a ladder with 5 cm spaced rungs, a heavy metal link chain (2.7 × 4.3 cm links), barriers (13– to 21-cm-high), small parallel rods (1.1 cm diameter each), a grid platform (85 × 11 cm with 6.25, 8.4, and 12.25 cm² openings), and a chasm leading to a flat platform. Between 2 and 4 after surgery, rats received light training on the acrobatic task consisting of exposure to the testing room and placement on the first obstacle of the task (day 2), one heavily aided (by the experimenter) traverse of the task (day 3), and two aided traverses of the task (day 4). Subsequently, rats received full training consisting of four trials per day (days 5–13) followed by two trials per day (days 14–28). Rats were guided through the task by following the end of a marker or experimenter’s hand and, occasionally, by light prodding applied to hindquarters. Other motivation for rapid and skillful task completion were the return to the home cage and the avoidance of falling and postural instability, respectively. Most rats required occasional assistance.
on the task, such as minor postural adjustments made by the experi-
menter, for the first several days of full training. The time (latency) to
complete the task was measured on days 6–28. Latency has been reported
to be a useful measure of acrobatic task performance because it is
sensitive to both the errors and hesitancy to traverse the obstacles (Klein
et al., 1996). Latency data per each 2 d of training were pooled to
simplify the data analysis. In addition, forelimb and hindlimb foot slips
were measured on days 11, 19, and 28.
Motor control rats were yoked to acrobatic rats and were required to
run back and forth in a simple straight alley (1.2 m × 20 cm) for the
duration of each acrobatic trial. When necessary, rats were prompted to
run in the alley by chasing a pen or experimenter’s hand and by occa-
sional light prodding. The simple repetitive movements required in this
control group were not intended to reproduce the motor movements of the
acrobatic group. Instead, this group provides a control for the effects of
 locomotor exercise, exposure to the testing room, and handling. Early
in the training, 10 rats displayed symptoms of adynamia ilaeus (colonic
pseudo-obstruction) that was traced to one batch of Equithesin. These
rats were dropped from the study (and not included in n values above)
before the completion of behavioral training.

Measures of forelimb use asymmetries. To assess whether acrobatic
training influences lesion-induced asymmetries in forelimb use for pos-
tural support during exploratory movements, this behavior was measured
before surgery (“day 0”) and on several postsurgical days. Rats were
videotaped for 2 min in a transparent cage. Instances of the use of a
single forelimb and simultaneous use of both forelimbs for upright
support against the cage walls were recorded during slow-motion play-
back of videos shot 30 s blind to other experimental conditions. Single
forelimb use was considered to be any instance of support with one
forelimb when the other forelimb was not in physical contact with the
cage wall. These observations have previously been found to reveal
forelimb asymmetries after sensorimotor cortical lesions (Jones and
Schallert, 1992a, 1994; Kozlowski et al., 1996; Kawamata et al., 1997;
Schallert et al., 1997) and do not require manipulations that may con-
found behavioral experience effects. Furthermore, these behaviors are
very frequently observed and easily quantified and appear to represent
important motor behaviors displayed by the rats whenever they are active
(Jones and Schallert, 1992a). Percentage of ipsilateral forelimb use was
calculated as the number of observations of ipsilateral forelimb use
divided by the sum of total wall support observations (ipsilateral, con-
tralateral, and simultaneous bilateral support) × 100.

Tests of sensorimotor function. On day 29, rats were administered two
tests to assess acrobatic training effects on postlesion sensorimotor func-
tion. The Simultaneous Bilateral Tactile Stimulation Test measures the
responsiveness to tactile stimulation applied to the distal forelimbs (Schallert et al., 1983). Asymmetries on this test have been found to be
independent of postural-motor asymmetries and may be largely inde-
tensive to experience effects (Schallert and Whishaw, 1984; Rose et al., 1987). Thus, in the present study, this test may be
primarily sensitive to differences in the severity of the lesion. For this
test, rats were removed briefly from their home cages to apply adhesive
tape (Avery self-adhesive removable labels; 1.3 cm² area) to both fore-
limbs. Rats were returned to their cages, and the order (left vs right) and
latency to contact the stimuli were recorded for four or five trials. The
footfault test was performed to assess whether acrobatic training en-
hanced coordinated placement of the forelimbs (Barth et al., 1990). Rats
were placed on an elevated grid platform (33 × 30 cm) for 2 min. Rats
moved across the platform by placing their paws on the rungs of the grid.
Errors were measured as slips with either forelimb through the grid
openings. Although the configuration of the grid and task parameters
were different, the grid openings used for the footfault test were identical
to those used for the grid obstacle of the acrobatic task. The possibility
that the exposure to these tests on the day before perfusions contributed
to structural changes in the motor cortex cannot be ruled out. However,
because all groups received these tests, any effects of this exposure would be
expected to be equivalent between groups.

Histological methods

On postsurgical day 30, rats were anesthetized with a lethal dose of
sodium pentobarbital and perfused intracardially with 0.1 M phosphate
buffer followed by 2% paraformaldehyde (depolymerized to formalde-
hyde) and 2.5% glutaraldehyde in the same buffer (pH 7.4–7.5; 21–23°C;
all solutions). This time point was chosen because increases in motor
cortical synapses per neuron have previously been found at 30 d after
FLsmc lesions to the opposite hemisphere (Jones et al., 1996). Brains
were extracted and stored overnight in fixative. Alternating sections of 100
and 300 µm coronal sections of the cerebrum were obtained using a
vibratome. For lesion hemispheres, sets of 100 µm coronal sections were
stained with methylene blue-azure II and used to verify lesion extent.
Cytoarchitectonics was used to delineate damage to sensorimotor cortical
subregions. For the hemisphere opposite the lesion and sham proce-
dures (randomly assigned at the time of surgery), samples of the motor
cortex were prepared for quantification of neuronal and synaptic density.
A stereomicroscope with reflected light was used to locate the overlap-
ping region of the forelimb primary motor and primary somatic sensory
cortex in unstained 300 µm sections. As described previously (Jones et al., 1996), the overlap zone of the forelimb is discernible in unstained
coronal sections based on laminar differences in opacity and the distinct
cytoarchitectural characteristics of this region. The agranular cortex
opposite FLsmc lesions was assumed to correspond to the motor cortex
representation area, based on previous combined cytoarchitectural and
electrophysiological studies (Wise and Donoghue, 1986). Approximately
2-mm-wide samples from this region were removed between bregma and
1.2 mm anterior to bregma. Samples were fixed using buffered osmium
tetroxide, stained en bloc with 2% uranyl acetate, dehydrated, and
sandwich-embedded in Eponate 12 resin using standard resin embedding
procedures. Serial coronal semin厚n (0.8 µm) and ultrathin sections were
obtained from the samples using an Ultracut R microtome (Leica,
Nussloch, Germany). Semithin sections were stained with toluidine blue
and used for Layer V neuronal density estimates. Serial ultrathin (70 nm)
sections of layer V, used for electron microscopy, were mounted on
slotted grids and stained with lead citrate. These sections were cut at a
more appropriate level of tissue that is more susceptible to this sectioning,
and were assumed to be 70 nm in thickness. To ensure consistency between
groups in procedures that may affect tissue shrinkage and section thick-
ness, tissue from all groups was processed together for each step of
histological processing. All light- and electron-microscopic measure-
ments were made on tissue that was coded to conceal experimental
condition.

Stereological methods

The present investigation was concerned with changes in synapse number
and structure in the motor cortex opposite the lesion and in shams.
Because cortical volume can change, measures of synaptic density may
cannot accurately reflect changes in synapse number. Increases in the thick-
ness and volume of the cortex have been found in many studies of
experience effects on cortical structure (Rosenzweig et al., 1962; Turner
and Greenough, 1985) (for review, see Greenough and Black, 1992), and
increases in motor cortical volume have previously been found after lesion
of the opposite FL (Jones and Schallert, 1992a; Schallert et al., 1997;
1996). Neuronal density decreases when cortical volume increases and, as
thus, neuronal density measures can be used to assess changes in cortical
volume, avoiding the error-prone delineation of the boundaries of cor-
tical subregions. Estimates of the number of synapses per neuron accu-
ately reflect changes in synapse number when neuron number is stable (Jones
and Schallert, 1992a; Cragg, 1974). Because synaptic density in the
motor cortex opposite FLsmc lesions were found to be similar in magnitude
to neuronal density decreases (Jones and Schallert, 1992a; Jones et al.,
1996) suggesting that, if there is a loss of neurons resulting from the
lesions, this loss is subdetectable. Thus, in the present study, measure-
ment of synapse number per neuron was chosen as the most sensitive
method of detecting net changes in layer V synapse number. Because
modifications of neuronal and synaptic density were obtained from the
same resin-embedded samples, tissue shrinkage effects contributed
equally to synaptic density and neuronal density data and, therefore,
were unlikely to bias synapse number per neuron data.

Neuronal density measures. The density of layer V neurons in the motor
cortex opposite the lesion (presumed forelimb region) was measured
using the physical disector method (Gundersen et al., 1988) with the aid of
a computer-assisted light-microscopic stereological workstation and
NIH Image software. In this method, neuronal nuclei that are present in
one section are counted if they are not also present in an adjacent section.
Neuronal nuclei were counted using every other section of 12 serial 0.8
µm sections at 785× magnification. Layer V was identified primarily by
its characteristic large pyramidal neurons and by its position within the
neocortex. Neurons were identified by multiple criterion including the presence of a
central nucleus, a pale nucleus surrounded by perikaryal cytoplasm
and, frequently, by the oval to pyramidal morphology of the soma. Cells
with characteristics of glia were not included in the analyses (i.e., cells
with clumping of chromatin centrally or adjacent to the nuclear membrane and, typically, small nuclei and sparse or irregularly shaped surrounding perikarya. Unbiased sample frames (37,250 μm² each) were positioned within layer V using a systematic random sampling scheme that included samples throughout the dorsal to ventral depth of layer V. Six sample frames were used per section for a total of 40 samples per animal. These data are reported as the layer V sample volume per neuron (the inverse of neuronal density).

Synaptic measures. Synaptic density was measured using the physical dissector method in two sets of eight serially positioned electron micrographs (20,060× final magnification). Synapses appearing within an unbiased sample frame (93 μm²) were counted only if they were not present in an adjacent section. Axodendritic synapses were identified by the presence of at least three vesicles in the presynaptic bouton and the presence of a postsynaptic density. Synaptic density was calculated as the number of synapses counted divided by the sample volume. For each animal, the number of layer V synapses per neuron was calculated as the product of synaptic density and layer V volume per neuron (note that this synapse to neuron ratio is not a measure of the number of synapses onto layer V neurons, given the intermingling of dendritic processes across cortical layers, but rather is a sensitive indication of net changes in synapse number within layer V).

In addition to quantification of all axodendritic synapses, the density and number per neuron of synapses with perforated (or segmented) postsynaptic densities (PSDs) and synapses formed by MSBs and by multiple synaptic spines (MSSs) were obtained (Fig. 2).

MSB synapses consist of synapses formed between an individual axonal bouton and more than one dendritic processes (shafts or spines). MSS synapses are those formed between a spine and more than one axonal bouton. MSBs were chosen for quantification because they have previously been found to increase in the motor cortex after acrobatic training (Kleim et al., 1997; see also Keller et al., 1992). They have also been found to increase after several other types of behavioral manipulations (Greenough et al., 1978; Stewart and Rusak, 1995) and have been linked to synaptic efficacy increases in hippocampus (Buchs and Muller, 1996; Geinisman et al., 1996). Synapses with two or more completely separate PSDs and those with one or more clearly distinguished interruptions in an otherwise continuous PSD were classified as perforated synapses. Synapses with a continuous PSD but irregularly shaped outer edges were not included in this conservative classification, as verified by following individual PSDs through the section series.

Statistical analyses

For each anatomical variable and for tests of functional recovery, planned comparisons of the following group effects were performed: (1) lesion–AC versus lesion–MC, (2) lesion–AC versus sham–AC (3) lesion–MC versus sham–MC and (4) sham–AC versus sham–MC. Planned comparisons were performed using SAS statistical analysis software for general linear model (GLM) procedures for contrasts (SAS Institute, 1988). Performance on the acrobatic task and limb use asymmetry were analyzed using SAS GLM procedure for two-way ANOVA for the effects of group by day. Preliminary analyses in sham-operated rats of ipsilateral versus contralateral forelimbs (sides assigned at the time of surgery) revealed no significant effects for any of the behavioral measures, and these data were pooled in subsequent analyses. Post hoc comparisons were performed, when appropriate, using SAS GLM procedures for contrasts.

RESULTS

Characterization of lesion placement and extent

Based on the extent of remaining non-necrotic tissue, all lesions appeared to produce major damage to the overlapping region of the forelimb sensory and motor representation areas. In many animals (n = 5, lesion–AC; n = 5, lesion–MC), there was no discernible remaining forelimb overlap zone. In the remaining animals, only small portions of the overlap zone could be seen extending from the lateral edges of the lesions, indicating that most of this region was damaged. All lesions also produced...
considerable damage to the lateral agranular cortex and granular cortex bordering the forelimb overlap zone (and presumed to contain the nonoverlapping MI and SI representations of the forelimb, respectively) (Wise and Donoghue, 1986). Approximately half of the animals in each group \( (n = 6, \text{lesion–AC}; n = 5, \text{lesion–MC}) \) had slight damage to the medial agranular cortex. In addition, all but one animal (in the lesion–MC) had minor damage to the rostral extension of the hindlimb overlap zone. As is typical in tissue examined at relatively late time points after the lesions (Jones, 1999), there were deformations of adjacent cortex and underlying tissue that give the appearance of filling in the lesion site (Fig. 3A). Although there was variability in the medial to lateral dimensions of the damage within groups, there were no major differences in the extent of the lesions between groups, based on reconstructions of the extent of remaining non-necrotic tissue (Fig. 3B). Any region of the corpus callosum lacking a cover of cortical tissue was assumed to have sustained at least superficial damage. Given this criteria, nearly all lesions produced at least superficial damage to the corpus callosum \( (n = 9, \text{lesion–AC}; n = 8, \text{lesion–MC}) \). Of these, three lesion–AC and two lesion–MC rats had lesions that clearly protruded into the depth of the corpus callosum in at least one section. One rat had a localized penetration of the corpus callosum and superficial damage to the dorsal striatum (lesion–AC group; Fig. 3B). There were no differences in the measured anatomical or behavioral data that could be traced to variations in lesion placement or extent, including the extent of callosal damage.

**Overall synaptic changes in the motor cortex**

As shown in Figure 4A, rats with unilateral FLsmc lesions receiving simple repetitive exercise as a motor activity control (lesion–MC) had a greater number of layer V synapses per neuron (i.e., synapse to neuron ratio) in the motor cortex opposite the lesion than sham–MC \( (F_{1,36} = 4.66; p < 0.05) \). Similarly, acrobatic training in sham-operated rats (sham–AC) resulted in a significantly greater number of synapses per neuron in comparison to sham–MC \( (F_{1,36} = 4.96; p < 0.05) \). When acrobatic training was performed after the lesions (lesion–AC), there was a major elevation in synapse number per neuron that exceeded that found as a result of motor skills training alone (lesion–AC vs sham–AC; \( F_{1,36} = 5.88; p < 0.05 \)) and lesions alone (lesion–AC vs lesion–MC; \( F_{1,36} = 5.61; p < 0.02 \)).

Increases in synapse number per neuron can occur as a result of an increase in the packing density of synapses and/or if synaptic density remains unchanged in an expanded volume of cortex. Although not significant relative to acrobatic and lesion controls, subtle elevations in both volume per neuron (Fig. 4B) and synaptic density (Fig. 4C) in lesion–AC combined to result in the significant synapse number per neuron increases. In comparison to sham–MC rats, a significantly greater volume per neuron was found in lesion–MC rats \( (F_{1,36} = 15.47; p < 0.0005) \) and sham–AC rats \( (F_{1,36} = 12.74; p < 0.001) \). There were no significant effects for synaptic density for any comparison.

**Synapses formed by multiple versus single synaptic boutons**

Lesion–AC was the only group to show a significant elevation in synapses formed by single synaptic boutons. In the absence of acrobatic training, unilateral FLsmc lesions failed to result in significant changes in the number of single synapses (Table 1), consistent with previous findings (Jones, 1999). Acrobatic training in sham rats also failed to result in significant changes in this subtype of synapse (similar to the results of complex environment housing) (Jones et al., 1997). The number of single synapses in lesion–AC was significantly greater than sham–AC \( (F_{1,36} = 9.44; p < 0.005) \) and lesion–MC \( (F_{1,36} = 8.11; p < 0.01) \).

Unlike the effects on single synaptic boutons, there was no further increase in synapses formed by MSBs in lesion–AC rats in
comparison to either sham–AC (F\textsubscript{1,36} = 0.01; p > 0.05) or lesion–MC (F = 0.14; p > 0.05). Sham–AC had a significantly greater number of these synapses than sham–MC (F\textsubscript{1,36} = 5.23; p < 0.05). Lesion–MC showed an elevation in MSB synapse number per neuron that approached significance in comparison to sham–MC (F\textsubscript{1,36} = 3.76; p = 0.06). There were no significant changes in the density (number per unit volume) of single or MSB synapses for any comparison. Thus, the overall increase in synapse number per neuron in lesion–AC rats was primarily a result of a disproportionate increase in synapses formed by single synaptic boutons. Increases in MSB synapse number can result from their de novo formation and/or from a recruitment from the pre-existing pool of single synaptic boutons. If the latter predominated in the present study, then lesion–MC and sham–AC must add new single synaptic boutons (i.e., to replace those that have become MSBs). However, any addition of single synapses in these groups was not sufficient to significantly increase single synapse number per neuron.

**Synapses formed by single versus multiple synaptic spines**

As shown in Table 2, similar to the effects found for single synaptic boutons, the greatest number of single synaptic spines per neuron was found in lesion–AC rats. This increase was significant in comparison to sham–AC (F\textsubscript{1,36} = 5.15; p < 0.05). In comparison to lesion–MC, the greater number of single synaptic spines in lesion–AC approached significance (F\textsubscript{1,36} = 3.90; p = 0.056).

**Table 1. Changes in single and multiple synaptic boutons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synapses formed by</th>
<th>Single synaptic boutons</th>
<th>Multiple synaptic boutons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sham–MC</td>
<td>16.56 ± 0.92</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham–AC</td>
<td>19.09 ± 1.29</td>
<td>2.87 ± 0.42**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion–MC</td>
<td>19.30 ± 0.94</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion–AC</td>
<td>23.64 ± 1.06***</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are 10\textsuperscript{3} layer V synapses per neuron (synaptic density/neuronal density). *p < 0.005 significantly different from sham of the same training condition. **p < 0.05 significantly different from motor control of the same lesion condition.

**Table 2. Changes in single and multiple synaptic spines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synapses formed by</th>
<th>Single synaptic spines</th>
<th>Multiple synaptic spines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sham–MC</td>
<td>15.37 ± 0.94</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham–AC</td>
<td>17.89 ± 1.44</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.37*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion–MC</td>
<td>18.29 ± 0.72</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion–AC</td>
<td>21.70 ± 1.44*</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are 10\textsuperscript{3} layer V synapses per neuron (synaptic density/neuronal density). *p < 0.05 significantly different from motor control of the same lesion condition.

**Table 3. Changes in perforated synapses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All perforated synapses</th>
<th>Perforated MSB synapses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sham–MC</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham–AC</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion–MC</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.22*</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion–AC</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are 10\textsuperscript{3} layer V synapses per neuron (synaptic density/neuronal density). *p < 0.05 significantly different from sham of the same training condition.

**Acrobatic training in sham rats**

Acrobatic training in sham rats resulted in a significantly greater number of synapses per neuron formed by MSS synapses (sham–AC vs sham–MC; F\textsubscript{1,36} = 6.57; p < 0.02). The density of MSS synapses was also significantly greater (mean\textsubscript{1,36} = 5.03; p < 0.05) in sham–AC versus sham–MC. There was no further increase in MSS synapses in lesion–AC rats (F\textsubscript{1,36} = 0.29; p > 0.05). Furthermore, lesion–MC animals failed to show a significant change in MSS synapses relative to sham–MC (F\textsubscript{1,36} = 0.08; p > 0.05). These data indicate that MSS synapses are increased by acrobatic training but not by FLsmc lesions. There were no significant changes in the proportion of shaft versus spine synapses as a result of the lesions and/or training conditions.

**Synapses with perforated PSDs**

Table 3 shows the total number of synapses per neuron with perforated PSDs (“perforated synapses”). Unilateral lesions resulted in a significant elevation in the total number of perforated synapses per neuron in comparison to shams (lesion–MC vs sham–MC; F\textsubscript{1,36} = 4.36; p < 0.05). Acrobatic training resulted in
Figure 5. Performance on the acrobatic task in lesion–AC and sham–AC rats. A. Latency in seconds to complete the acrobatic task over days of training. There was a significant reduction in latency over days of training ($p < 0.0001$) but no significant differences between lesion and sham animals on this measure. The data shown are from the first traverse of the acrobatic task per training session. B, Forelimb errors in limb placement on the acrobatic task, measured as the number of foot slips per traverse. The lesions resulted in a significant increase in contralateral forelimb errors in comparison to sham ($p < 0.0005$). Ipsilateral forelimb errors were not significantly different from sham. C. There were no significant differences between lesion and sham rats in hindlimb footslips.

Figure 5A shows latency to complete the acrobatic task. There was a significant reduction in latency over days of training ($F_{(1,19)} = 11.40; p < 0.0001$). There was no significant effect for group ($F_{(1,19)} = 0.04; p > 0.05$) and no significant group by day interaction ($F_{(10,190)} = 1.31; p > 0.05$).

Figure 5B shows errors in forelimb placements on the acrobatic task. Lesion–AC rats made more errors with the contralateral (to the lesion) forelimb in comparison to forelimb errors made by sham–AC rats. Contralateral forelimb errors were significantly increased in lesion–AC in comparison to sham–AC (two-way ANOVA effects for group, $F_{(1,19)} = 19.65; p < 0.0005$). There was also a significant effect for day ($F_{(2,38)} = 4.54; p < 0.02$), but no significant group by day interaction ($F_{(2,38)} = 1.51; p > 0.05$). Thus, although lesion–AC completed the task as quickly as shams, this was accomplished with less accuracy in the use of the impaired forelimb. For ipsilateral forelimb errors, although there was a significant effect for day ($F_{(2,38)} = 7.81; p < 0.002$), there were no significant effects for group ($F_{(1,19)} = 0.60; p > 0.05$) or group by day interaction ($F_{(2,38)} = 0.19; p > 0.05$).

Figure 5C shows errors in hindlimb placements on the acrobatic task. Although lesion–AC rats tended to make more contralateral than ipsilateral hindlimb errors, there were no significant group differences in errors made with either hindlimb ($F_{(1,19)} = 1.73$ and 0.17, ipsilateral and contralateral hindlimb, respectively; $p$ values > 0.05). There was a significant reduction in errors over days of training (days, $F_{(5,175)} = 11.71$ and 11.31, ipsilateral and contralateral errors, respectively; $p$ values < 0.0001), but no significant group by day interaction effects for either hindlimb ($F_{(2,38)} = 0.89$ and 0.23, ipsilateral and contralateral hindlimb, respectively; $p$ values > 0.05).

Asymmetry in the use of the forelimbs
Figure 6 shows asymmetry in the use of the forelimbs for upright postural support behaviors. Unilateral FLsme lesions resulted in preferential use of the forelimb ipsilateral to the lesion and a proportionate reduction in the use of the contralateral (impaired) forelimb. Acrobatic training did not significantly alter this asymmetrical use of the forelimbs. In two-way ANOVA, of the percentage of use of the ipsilateral forelimb, there was a significant effect for group ($F_{(2,38)} = 7.01; p < 0.001$), day ($F_{(5,175)} = 6.01; p < 0.0001$), and group by day interaction ($F_{(15,175)} = 2.11; p < 0.02$). Similarly, for percentage of use of the contralateral forelimb, there was a significant effect for group ($F_{(2,38)} = 6.67; p < 0.001$), day ($F_{(5,175)} = 3.97; p < 0.002$), and group by day interaction ($F_{(15,175)} = 1.84; p < 0.05$). In both lesion groups, post hoc analyses revealed a significant elevation in use of the ipsilateral forelimb and a reduction in use of the contralateral forelimb in comparison to sham groups for most postsurgical days (Fig. 6).

There were no significant differences in lesion–AC versus lesion–MC in the percentage of use of either forelimb for any day of observation. In addition, the simultaneous use of both forelimbs for upright support behaviors was not significantly reduced as a result of the lesion. There were no significant group, day, or interaction effects. Pooling across postsoperative days, the mean ± SEM percentage of simultaneous use of the forelimbs was 22.94 ± 1.78 in lesion–AC, 24.70 ± 2.02 in lesion–MC, 26.72 ± 1.51 in sham–AC, and 29.48 ± 1.49 in sham–MC.

Performance on tests of functional recovery
Figure 7 shows performance on the footfault task, measured on day 29 after surgeries. In both sham and lesion groups, acrobatic
training resulted in improvements in performance, as measured by a reduction in the number of forelimb errors (foot slips), in comparison to motor controls. In lesion–AC rats, both forelimbs were improved, but the improvements were notably greater in the ipsilateral than in the contralateral forelimb. In comparison to lesion–MC, lesion–AC rats made significantly fewer errors with both the ipsilateral forelimb (\( F_{(1,36)} = 17.47; p < 0.0002 \)) and with the contralateral forelimb (\( F_{(1,36)} = 9.20; p < 0.005 \)). Similarly, sham–AC rats made fewer errors than sham–MC (\( F_{(1,36)} = 11.16; p < 0.002 \)). Both lesion groups made more errors with the contralateral forelimb in comparison to sham groups of the same training conditions (lesion–AC vs sham–AC, \( F = 9.65, p < 0.005; \) lesion–MC vs sham–MC, \( F = 5.10, p < 0.05 \)).

Although lesion–AC made fewer errors with either forelimb in comparison to lesion–MC, the acrobatic training amplified the asymmetry in forelimb errors. In lesion–AC rats, the percentage of contralateral forelimb errors [% contra errors/(contra + ipsi errors)] was 75.76 ± 6.44. In lesion–MC, the percentage of contralateral errors was 56.73 ± 3.87, which was significantly different from lesion–AC (\( F_{(1,18)} = 5.72; p < 0.05 \)).

In contrast to the training effects on the footfault task, performance on the bilateral tactile stimulation test was not affected by the acrobatic training. This test has been found to be independent of postural-motor asymmetries and experience effects (Schallert et al., 1983; Schallert and Whishaw, 1984; Rose et al., 1987) and thus, the results were expected to be primarily sensitive to differences in lesion severity rather than acrobatic training effects. Lesion animals in both training conditions showed moderate asymmetries in responding to the bilaterally applied stimuli, tend-
Changes in synapse structure and configuration
The overall increase in synapse number per neuron in lesion–AC rats was primarily a result of a disproportionate increase in “single synapses” (single synaptic spines and boutons) in comparison to the other groups. Synapses formed by MSBs were a major component of the synapse increases in lesion–MC and sham–AC rats, consistent with previous findings (Jones, 1999; Jones et al., 1997). Lesion–AC rats had no significant increase in MSBs relative to these groups but had an abundant increase in synapses formed by single synaptic boutons. Similarly, lesion–AC rats had an increase in single synaptic spines relative to the other groups and no further increase in MSSs. The addition of MSB synapses appears to be a common route of synapse addition in adult animals (Hatton, 1990; Federmeier et al., 1994; Woolley et al., 1996; Jones et al., 1997; Kleim et al., 1997), and this tendency to reuse boutons has been hypothesized to be a type of constraint on the formation of synaptic connections in adult animals (Jones et al., 1997). If so, then the increase in single synapses in lesion–AC represents a major liberation from this constraint. It may be that changes triggered by degeneration and excitotoxicity in combination with neural activity changes induced by postinjury acrobatic training are, together, a potent enough combination to promote a more major addition of boutons and spine. These findings also suggest that the combined effects of acrobatic training and cortical lesions are not merely additive in nature because additive effects would have resulted in further increases in multiple synapses in lesion–AC rats.

With the exception of increases in single synapses, the changes in lesion–AC relative to the other groups appear to reflect additive effects of the training and the lesion. Lesions, but not acrobatic training alone, resulted in an increase in perforated MSB synapses. Acrobatic training, but not lesions alone, resulted in an increase in MSSs. Lesion–AC rats had increases in both subtypes. The synapse subtypes examined in the present study have each been related to learning and/or changes in neuronal excitability. Perforated and MSB synapses have been found to increase in several models of experience-dependent brain changes (Greenough et al., 1978; Stewart and Rusanov, 1995; Jones et al., 1997; Kleim et al., 1997). Perforated synapses have been linked to synaptic efficacy increases in the hippocampus (Buchs and Muller, 1996; Geinisman et al., 1996). MSBs, and MSSs have also been implicated in changes in patterns of activity in different brain regions (Hatton, 1990; Bendre et al., 1995; Harris, 1995; Robinson and Kolb, 1997). However, the functional relevance of, e.g., a greater addition of neocortical perforated MSB versus MSS synapses remains to be understood.

Training effects on behavioral function
Acrobatic training enhanced function of the forelimbs as measured on the footfault test. In lesion–AC, performance improved in both forelimbs, but the most dramatic improvements were found in the ipsilateral rather than the contralateral (impaired) forelimb. Enhancing function of nonimpaired extremities in a manner that compensates for the impaired limb may improve net functional outcome. Schallert et al. (1997) have found that much of the measured recovery of the contralateral forelimb on the footfault task is dependent on the nonimpaired (ipsilateral) forelimb. Local anesthetization of the ipsilateral forelimb resulted in a complete reinstatement of contralateral forelimb impairments in FLsmc lesion rats demonstrating full recovery on this task when permitted to use both forelimbs normally.

The focus of the present study was on changes in undamaged motor cortex; however, the acrobatic task seems capable of influencing structural plasticity in regions of the lesion hemisphere as well as the intact hemisphere. This possibility is supported by studies on motor cortical map plasticity in which behavioral training was found to influence reorganization of perilesion cortical representation areas (Castro-Alamancos and Borrell, 1995; Nudo et al., 1996). Such changes, if they occur after acrobatic training, may contribute to the improvements in the impaired forelimb on the footfault task.

Implications for rehabilitative treatment of brain injury
It has been known for considerable time that manipulations of postinjury behavioral experience, such as complex environment housing (Rose et al., 1993), can improve functional recovery (for review, see Jones et al., 1998). The central processes underlying this improvement have been poorly understood. A major issue is whether postinjury training produces changes that are different from intact animals. For example, rehabilitative training might simply rely on learning-related processes which, while providing benefit relative to brain-injured controls, are indistinguishable from those in intact animals undergoing the same training. Findings by Kolb and Gibb (1991) supported this possibility. Complex environment housing in intact adult rats and in adult rats with bilateral frontal cortex lesions produced comparable increases in dendritic arborization in the occipital cortex. The lesion increased arborization in the sensorimotor cortex independent of the housing condition. Thus, in contrast to the present study, there were no additive or interactive structural effects of the behavioral manipulation and lesion within the same cortical region. It may be that examination of a region that has already been found to be sensitive to cortical lesion-induced behavioral changes has optimized the ability to detect these effects in the present study. Brain areas showing heightened structural plasticity in response to spontaneously occurring behavioral changes after brain damage might be particularly sensitive to training procedures that manipulate similar behaviors.

Recent findings have indicated that some types of behavioral experience early after the damage may be detrimental to functional outcome. Limb-restricting vests that forced rats to use the impaired forelimb during the first week after unilateral FLsmc lesions worsened the function of the impaired limb and increased the size of the lesion (Kozlowski et al., 1996; Humm et al., 1998). Because these effects were blocked by an NMDA receptor antagonist, they may result from a use-related exaggeration of excitotoxicity (Schallert et al., 1997). These findings suggest that rehabilitation efforts, perhaps especially those undertaken early after the injury, are made at the risk of worsening function. This poses a potential dilemma because there also may be sensitive windows for enhancing function. In the present study, behavioral training improved function of the impaired forelimb. Furthermore, there were no clear differences in the extent of damage to sensorimotor cortical subregions between the two groups and no differences in response asymmetries on the bilateral tactile stimulation test, which are likely to be sensitive to differences in lesion extent (Kozlowski et al., 1996). In contrast to forced-use procedures, the acrobatic training permitted rats to use whatever behavioral strategies came naturally to complete the task. It may be that rats naturally avoid the types of limb use that would exacerbate the damage or it may simply be that the net use of the impaired limb is much reduced during vulnerable time-periods in the acrobatic rats in comparison to rats wearing limb-restricting vests. These
findings clearly indicate that it is possible to undertake rehabilitative efforts involving the use of the impaired forelimb without worsening function of this limb.

The present study found that complex motor skills training improved functional performance and enhanced synaptogenesis in comparison to simple repetitive exercise after the cortical lesions. These findings suggest that learning new motor skills, rather than simply increasing use, may be important to optimize adaptation to the damage. However, given the complexity of the experience of acrobatic rats, the issue of which motor skills may be most effectively targeted to improve function remains a topic for future investigation. Although much interest is focused on enhancing the function of impaired modalities after brain injury, targeting nonimpaired functions for enhancement in a manner that compensates for lost function is also of major importance. The present findings support the idea that cortical regions involved in behavioral compensation after injury to a connected region may be made exceptionally labile and responsive to behavioral change. This greater lability may provide a major route for enhancing functional outcome, provided that it is appropriately capitalized on and, perhaps, capitalized on at the right time after the injury.
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