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Does calcium channel blockade have a role in prevention of expression of sepsis in renal transplant recipients?

Abstract: Many antihypertensive agents have been demonstrated to assist in preservation of kidney function, among them those that modulate calcium channels. Calcium channel blockers may also be of value in protecting hemodialysis patients from complications of sepsis. In diabetic recipients of kidney transplant allografts treated with cyclosporine, calcium channel blockade has been retrospectively linked to improved graft preservation and to fewer episodes of sepsis. This brief review outlines clinical and experimental publications on potential protection from sepsis by addition of calcium channel blockers to standard antibiotic therapy in individuals who may or may not have normal kidney function, or in the presence or absence of immunosuppression. Such mechanisms include blockade of antibiotic cytosolic extrusion in the cases of Pneumococci, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Plasmodium falciparum malaria, or Schistosoma mansoni; blockade of the calcineurin/calmodulin pathway (in immunosuppressed patients allowing for lower dosage of cyclosporine); stabilization of calcium movement at the level of sarcoplasmic reticulum by which shock (vasopressor instability) is prevented; or of cytosolic calcium influx and cell death (in the case of allograft acute tubular necrosis). Given the high cost of development of new antibiotics, a role for generic calcium channel blockade in sepsis prevention should be pursued by additional studies to investigate potential links between blockade of calcium channels and expression of sepsis in at-risk populations.
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Introduction

Initial enthusiasm for calcium channel blockers in renal transplantation related to their role in control of hypertension as well as the possibility that calcium channel blockers might be organ protective. Intracellular calcium infusion related cell death (as seen in the necrotic myocardium during acute coronary occlusion) has been shown in some early studies to be attenuated by calcium channel blockade of intracellular calcium entry through slow L channels. But concern for perioperative hypotension and acute kidney injury has been an impediment to the study of calcium channel blockers in renal transplant centers, particularly as the age of the target population has increased from less than 55 years to greater than 75 years.

Our interest in calcium channel blocker relates to patients with diabetic nephropathy requiring renal replacement therapy. Prolongation of kidney transplant recipient life as well as allograft function was noted to be significantly better in the presence of calcium channel blockade when compared to the use of other non-calcium channel blocker medications. In this brief report, we outline basic research and clinical observations...
that suggest a potential benefit for chronic calcium channel blockade with respect to protection from complications from sepsis in situations of uremia, immunosuppression, and malnutrition among diverse at-risk populations.

**Bacteremia and the development of sepsis**

Bacteremia at low levels has been noted to occur with common everyday activities. The normal response to such an invasion of the organism is the stimulation of the immune system to phagocytize the bacteria and create antibodies. Pathological concentrations of the vasoconstrictor, angiotensin, can cause damage to the muscular layer of larger blood vessels and to the endothelium of smaller blood vessels.3 The activation of an inflammation cascade inhibits expression of nitric oxide synthase; the loss of control of glucose disposal, which leads to oxidative stress, disrupts calcium/potassium vascular physiology; and the pressure-induced anatomical injury during uncontrolled hypertension results in loss of vascular integrity with impaired endothelial resistance to sepsis.4,5 These mechanisms are operative in chronic loss of renal function for 35 patients who had not received calcium channel blockers.20 Since the patients reviewed in studies of angiotensin-active medications and calcium channel blockers would have had hypertension, a precise mechanism for protection from sepsis would have to include protection from injury to blood vessels supplying skin, bronchus, and urinary bladder. Consequently, researchers developed other approaches. An experimental model for testing the impact of calcium channel blockers on sepsis involving ligation of the cecum with puncture of the wall of the intestine was one such example. This model demonstrated relatively longer survival

**Mechanisms associated with prevention of sepsis**

Over 500,000 patients were listed as hypertensive in a survey of the United Kingdom General Practice database.19 The use of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors was associated with a significantly higher rate of hospitalization for sepsis as well as mortality at 30 days compared to use of angiotensin receptor blockers or calcium channel blockers.19 A retrospective cohort study of 387 patients admitted to hospital for pneumonia who were not being treated with calcium channel blockers, compared with 387 patients admitted to hospital who were treated with calcium channel blockers, revealed a significantly higher incidence of bacteremia, respiratory insufficiency, and transfer to intensive care unit for the non-treated group.19 A study of immunosuppressed recipients of kidney allografts from the pre-angiotensin receptor blocker era found a significantly higher incidence of sepsis with significantly shorter survivals of allograft function for 35 patients who had not received calcium channel blockers compared to 35 patients who did receive calcium channel blockers.20 Since the patients reviewed in studies of angiotensin-active medications and calcium channel blockers would have had hypertension, a precise mechanism for protection from sepsis would have to include protection from injury to blood vessels supplying skin, bronchus, and urinary bladder. Consequently, researchers developed other approaches. An experimental model for testing the impact of calcium channel blockers on sepsis involving ligation of the cecum with puncture of the wall of the intestine was one such example. This model demonstrated relatively longer survival
if diltiazem were injected prior to the onset of septic shock\textsuperscript{21} in association with decreased formation of oxygen radicals.\textsuperscript{22}

Specific calcium-related hypothetical mechanisms for protection from sepsis by calcium channel blockers include: (a) A decrease in cytosolic calcium of inflammation mediating cells, thereby limiting excessive cytokine responses, such as occurs in the adult respiratory distress syndrome;\textsuperscript{23} (b) an improved capacity to combat pathogens (chemotaxis, movement, adhesion, phagocytosis) through an increase in cytosolic calcium of polymorphonuclear cells and macrophages by release from intracellular stores (endoplasmic reticulum, sarcoplasmic reticulum, mitochondria) by homeostatic calcium movement by non-slow L channels;\textsuperscript{24} (c) an effect on invading pathogens to limit their capacity to select strains capable of rapid development of resistance to antibiotics. Calcium channel blockers have been studied in quinolone-resistant pneumococcal pneumonia,\textsuperscript{24} rifampicin-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis,\textsuperscript{25} quinine-resistant \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} malaria infestation,\textsuperscript{26,27} and praziquantel-resistant \textit{Schistosoma mansoni} infestation.\textsuperscript{28,29} The mechanism does involve slow L channels blocking calcium entry from the exterior. The current understanding is the invading pathogen becomes drug-resistant by quickly extruding the medication followed by selection of progressively more resistant strains as doses are increased. Calcium channel blockers have been useful through blocking the alternate channel by which the antibiotic is extruded from its interior by the invading pathogen.

**Mechanisms associated with both beneficial and pathological responses to infection**

Studies of individuals under stress related to traumatic hemorrhage, hemodialysis, or uncontrolled diabetes provide insight into mechanisms of impaired host defenses. Hyperglycemic diabetic rats had polymorphonuclear neutrophils with marginal phagocytosis associated with elevated blood glucose by Seyrek et al.,\textsuperscript{30} which subsequently showed this defect to be reversible with amlodipine in hemodialysis patients\textsuperscript{31} or with either glyburide or amlodipine in type 2 diabetic study subjects.\textsuperscript{32} The reversible cause of inhibited phagocytosis was an elevation of cytosolic calcium, which reverted to normal with blockade of intake at the slow L-type calcium channel in the cell wall.\textsuperscript{30} The measure of protection from infection noted in hemodialysis patients\textsuperscript{31} did not extend to hemodialysis catheter–related bacteremia.\textsuperscript{33} Simultaneously, the Hauser research group demonstrated that the predisposition of trauma patients to pneumonia\textsuperscript{34} with the accompanying inflammatory response syndrome (adult respiratory distress syndrome) could be reduced in the animal model with normalization of chemokine expression by use of calcium channel blockers.\textsuperscript{35} An increased prevalence of severe infections, most of which occur in the upper respiratory tract is noted in patients with low vitamin D levels with\textsuperscript{36} or without\textsuperscript{37,38} advanced kidney disease. Patients treated for sepsis in the intensive care setting may suffer the consequences of excessive inflammatory reaction as adult acute respiratory distress syndrome.\textsuperscript{23} While calcium channel blockers may decrease the complications of pneumonia by inhibiting inflammatory airway response,\textsuperscript{21–23} other systemic mechanisms may be just as important. In the murine cecal ligation/puncture sepsis model a depression of cardiac contractility associated with sepsis/bacteremia appears to be prevented by verapamil despite ongoing sepsis.\textsuperscript{39} The contribution of L-type calcium current to the action potential in septic ventricular myocytes has previously been demonstrated in pigs.\textsuperscript{40} This appears to occur at the level of the sarcoplasmic reticulum by blockade of calcium channel movement.\textsuperscript{41}

**Proposals for future studies**

Sepsis syndrome is most likely to be expressed in the geriatric, infirm (recently hospitalized), or immunologically suppressed (those with diabetes, asplenism, hepatic or renal failure, AIDS, neoplasms, or undergoing immunosuppressive therapy) populations. Demonstration that chronic calcium channel blockade may attenuate expression of sepsis in high risk populations is, at the very least, intriguing. It is doubtful that a sponsor can be found to support a placebo-controlled trial for long-term calcium channel blockade for transplant recipients to determine the effect on all healthcare outcomes, including infectious and septic events. However, there do exist transplant registries and multicenter trial databases from which to glean additional information. An effort should be made to extract information where possible through the freedom of information act to determine if indeed there is any benefit or excess risk associated with the use of calcium channel blockers in the transplant population.
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