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A B S T R A C T

Background: Low back pain is a highly prevalent health problem around the world, affecting 50% to 85% of people at some point in life. The purpose of this systematic review is to summarize the previous proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies on brain chemical changes in patients with chronic low back pain (CLBP).

Methods: We identified relevant studies from a literature search of PubMed and EMBASE from 1980 to March 2016. Data extraction was performed on the subjects’ characteristics, MRS methods, spectral analyses, cerebral metabolites and perceptual measurements.

Results: The review identified 9 studies that met the inclusion criteria, comprised of data on 135 CLBP subjects and 137 healthy controls. Seven of these studies reported statistically different neurochemical alterations in patients with CLBP. The results showed that compared to controls, CLBP patients showed reductions of 1) N-acetyl-aspartate (NAA) in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), right primary motor cortex, left somatosensory cortex (SSC), left anterior insula and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC); 2) glutamate in the ACC; 3) myo-inositol in the ACC and thalamus; 4) choline in the right SSC; and 5) glucose in the DLPFC.

Conclusion: This review provides evidence for alterations in the biochemical profile of the brain in patients with CLBP, which suggests that biochemical changes may play a significant role in the development and pathophysiology of CLBP and shed light on the development of new treatments for CLBP.

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
1. Introduction

Low back pain (LBP) is a highly prevalent health problem around the world (Kamper et al., 2015), affecting 50% to 85% of people at some point in life (Becker et al., 2010). According to the Global Burden of Disease Study in 2013, LBP ranked first in the top ten causes of years lived with disability (YLDs) (Vos et al., 2015). When LBP persists for >6 months (Koes et al., 2006; Van Tulder et al., 2003), it is classified as chronic low back pain (CLBP), which leads to considerable health care costs (Dagenais et al., 2008). Since the precise causes and origins of CLBP are unknown to 90% of patients (Koes et al., 2006), therapeutic methods targeting LBP symptoms are often nonspecific and ineffective.

Recently, neuroimaging techniques have been used to advance our understanding of back pain mechanisms (Giesecke et al., 2004; Kobayashi et al., 2009; Lloyd et al., 2008). In vivo magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) is a non-invasive brain imaging method that can explore metabolic concentrations within certain brain regions. MRS detects radiofrequency signals generated by the magnetic nuclear spins of magnetically active nuclei such as protons, phosphorus, carbon and fluorine, which are excited by external magnetic fields (Glunde and Bhujwalla, 2011; Glunde et al., 2011). Compared with other nuclei, proton nuclei (1H) are widely used in MRS studies because of their high magnetic sensitivity and natural abundance in tissues (Bulik et al., 2013; Soares and Law, 2009). Another benefit of in vivo 1H MRS is that it can be conducted in clinical MRI scanners without any additional hardware (Malet-Martino and Holzgrabe, 2011).

Unlike traditional functional MRI, which obtains a spatial map of brain activity, 1H MRS voxel placement generates a graphical spectrum of specific regions of the brain (Harris and Clauw, 2012). The peaks on the spectrum represent various cerebral metabolites, including N-acetyl-aspartate (NAA), choline (Cho), creatine (Cr), myo-inositol (mI), glutamate (Glu), glutamine (Gln), gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glucose (Glc). Concentration changes of these metabolites are associated with numerous neurological diseases (Aguilà et al., 2015; Bustillo et al., 2010; Vrenken et al., 2005), and can sometimes be detected prior to the onset of symptoms (Godbolt et al., 2006).

Over the past two decades, a growing number of proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H MRS) studies have been applied to investigate biochemical changes in individuals with CLBP. Although results from these studies have shown that CLBP patients exhibit altered 1H MRS signal changes in different brain regions, some of the results obtained appear contradictory. Therefore, we performed this systematic review of previous 1H MRS studies on CLBP to summarize the biochemical changes in brain regions of interest and to explore potential reasons for those inconsistent findings.

2. Material and methods

We conducted a systematic search of published studies in PubMed and EMBASE from 1980 to March 2016. The Medical Subject Headings were “magnetic resonance spectroscopy” and “back pain”. The search strategy also included key words such as “magnetic resonance spectroscopy”, “MRS”, “spectroscopy” and “back pain” to identify relevant studies. No language restrictions were applied. The reference lists of the selected articles were also reviewed to search for additional relevant studies. The protocol for this review was registered on PROSPERO (http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO; CRD42016045845).

Titles and abstracts of potentially relevant studies were examined to determine whether they fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: (1) Studies compared LBP patients with healthy controls, and (2) studies employed 1H MRS to measure metabolite concentrations in the brain. We excluded studies that (1) did not present original data, and (2) did not recruit LBP patients. After reviewing the full texts, one study was excluded because half of the patients in the study did not present with low back pain symptoms.

Data extraction was performed for subject and control numbers, gender, age, diagnostic tools, duration of LBP symptoms, comorbidities with LBP, medications, clinical measurements, metabolites studied, brain regions of interest, voxels of interest, MR scanner devices, magnetic field strengths, MRS sequences, repetition times, echo times, spectral analysis software, metabolite quantification methods (absolute quantification or ratios to Cr), and data inclusion criteria.

3. Results

Our literature search yielded 271 potentially relevant studies on patients with CLBP and healthy controls. 262 studies were excluded for reasons listed in Fig. 1. Tables 1 and 2 and Fig. 2 summarize the characteristics of the 9 included studies.

3.1. Subjects

The 9 studies recruited a total of 135 CLBP subjects and 137 healthy controls. All of the studies except for one study (Siddall et al., 2006) reported subject numbers by sex, which showed that the majority of subjects were females. For diagnosis of CLBP, three of the included studies adopted the diagnostic criteria of Merskey and Bogduk (Grachev et al., 2000, 2002, 2003), and two studies utilized classification from the International Association for the Study of Pain (Grachev et al., 2002; Siddall et al., 2006). All of the patients presented with LBP for >6 months.
Four out of nine studies mentioned the comorbidities of CLBP; one study was associated with anxiety (Grachev et al., 2002), another focused on depression (Grachev et al., 2003), one study reported seven patients with radiating leg pain, and another study (Sharma et al., 2012) reported subjects with radiating pain in the buttocks and left leg. About 30% of all patients refrained from medication for at least 24 h before the study.

### 3.2. MRS method

Two studies collected data using multi-voxel MR spectroscopy imaging (Sharma et al., 2012, 2011), whereas seven used single voxel spectroscopy (SVS) locations to analyze individual data (Grachev et al., 2002, 2003; Gussew et al., 2011, 2015; Janetzki et al., 2016; Siddall et al., 2006). Five studies were performed using a 3.0 T MRI scanner (Gussew et al., 2015, 2011; Janetzki et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2012, 2011), and four studies utilized a 1.5 T scanner (Grachev et al., 2000, 2002, 2003; Siddall et al., 2006). Four studies used stimulated echo acquisition mode sequences (STEAM) (Grachev et al., 2000, 2002, 2003; Siddall et al., 2006), five studies used point resolved spectroscopy sequences (PRESS) (Gussew et al., 2015, 2011; Janetzki et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2012, 2011) and two also used MEscher-GArwood Point RESolved Spectroscopy (MEGA-PRESS) (Gussew et al., 2015; Janetzki et al., 2016). Repetition times ranged from 500 to 2500 ms. Echo times ranged from 12 to 68 ms.

### 3.3. Spectral analysis

Metabolite concentrations were calculated using LCModel in four studies (Gussew et al., 2015, 2011; Sharma et al., 2012, 2011), jMRUI package in one study (Gussew et al., 2015), and Scion Image analysis.
package in three studies (Grachev et al., 2000, 2002, 2003). Statistical Classification Strategy was specified in one study (Siddall et al., 2006). Data inclusion criteria of two of the studies were (1) total brain volume > 75%, (2) a SNR > 10, and (3) Cramer-Rao bounds for each neurochemical ~20% (Sharma et al., 2012, 2011). In one study, statistical analysis was only conducted for data that exhibited (1) FWHM\textsubscript{NAA} < 10 Hz, and (2) CRLB\textsubscript{Gln} < 30% for Gln and Glx concentrations (Gussew et al., 2011).

3.4. Cerebral metabolites

Cerebral metabolites were reported as a ratio to Cr in five studies (Grachev et al., 2000, 2002, 2003; Gussew et al., 2015; Janetzki et al., 2016) and absolute concentrations in three studies (Gussew et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2012, 2011). Three studies determined the absolute concentrations; two studies utilized LCModel to calculate the metabolite concentrations and corrected the LCModel output for the estimated brain tissue fraction (Sharma et al., 2012, 2011), while the other study used the water signal reference method that multiplied the ratio of metabolic intensities to water signal intensities (assessed by LCModel) with the water concentration in brain tissue and corrected for factors such as relaxation (Gussew et al., 2011).

3.4.1. NAA

Studies reported reductions of NAA in five brain regions, including the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), right primary motor cortex (M1), left somatosensory cortex (SSC), left anterior insula (aI) and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (Grachev et al., 2000; Gussew et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2012, 2011). There were no significant differences in concentrations between patients and controls in the left M1, right SSC, thalamus, cingulate, insula, sensorimotor cortex (SMC), orbital frontal cortex (OFC), and visual cortex (VC) (Grachev et al., 2000; Sharma et al., 2012, 2011).

3.4.2. Glu, Gln, Glx and GABA

One study observed a significant decrease in Glu in patients’ ACC (Gussew et al., 2011). There were no significant changes of Glu/GABA ratios in the ACC and insula (Janetzki et al., 2016). In the SSC, Glx concentrations were not significantly changed (Sharma et al., 2011). Additionally, patients did not show any comparable Glx and GABA interrelations in the ACC, left insula, and posterior cortex (Gussew et al., 2015).

3.4.3. mI

Four studies investigated alterations of mI; it was reduced in the ACC and thalamus (Gussew et al., 2011), whereas in the DLPFC, OFC, cingulate and M1, concentrations of mI were not significantly different between CLBP and control groups (Grachev et al., 2002; Sharma et al., 2012). In one study targeted at the SSC, the authors found significant correlations between mI in the left and right SSC of CLBP patients when compared to healthy controls (Sharma et al., 2011).

3.4.4. Cho

Three studies investigated Cho changes in CLBP patients; there were no significant changes in the regions of DLPFC, thalamus, cingulate, insula, SMC, OFC, VC, left aI, left thalamus and ACC (Grachev et al., 2000; Gussew et al., 2011). Decreased Cho was observed in the right SSC in CLBP patients as compared to controls in one study (Sharma et al., 2011).

3.4.5. Glc

One study investigated the Glc changes in CLBP patients, and reported reductions of Glc in the DLPFC (Grachev et al., 2000).

3.4.6. Cr

One study investigated the Cr changes in CLBP patients, and reported no significant changes in Cr between groups in the left aI, left thalamus and ACC (Gussew et al., 2011).

Studies demonstrated neurochemical alterations in six brain regions of CLBP patients compared to healthy controls: 1) decreased N-acetylaspartate (NAA) and glucose (Glc) in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC); 2) significantly decreased Glu and reduced NAA and myo-inositol (ml) in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC); 3) reduced NAA in the left anterior insula (aI); 4) decreased MI in the thalamus (Th); 5) significantly decreased NAA in the left somatosensory cortex (SSC) and decreased choline (Cho) in the right SSC; and 6) decreased NAA in the right primary motor cortex (M1).

3.5. Association between the clinical measurements and the cerebral metabolites

3.5.1. Pain

Several studies utilized the visual analog scale (VAS) to rate patients’ pain intensity, and six studies also measured pain using the Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ) (Grachev et al., 2000, 2002, 2003; Sharma et al., 2012, 2011; Siddall et al., 2006). NAA levels in SSC were found to be negatively correlated with pain duration (Sharma et al., 2011). Reductions of NAA in the right DLPFC were negatively associated with pain behavior (as measured by total SF-MPQ scores) (Grachev et al., 2003). In addition, studies also showed that pain intensity was positively correlated with Glu levels in the insula and Glx levels in the right SSC, while pain intensity was negatively correlated with GABA concentrations in the insula (Janetzki et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2011). No significant correlations were detected between neurochemicals (NAA, ml) and pain characteristics (VAS and SF-MPQ) in the M1 (Sharma et al., 2012).

3.5.2. Anxiety

In addition to CLBP related measurements, two studies used the State–Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) to measure anxiety states (Grachev et al., 2000, 2002). One study found significant increases of NAA in the OFC of high anxiety controls compared to high anxiety.
CLBP patients, and of low anxiety CLBP patients compared to high anxiety CLBP patients (Grachev et al., 2002). Another study using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) reported anxiety as a causal variable for reduced Glu in the ACC (Janetzki et al., 2016).

3.5.3. Depression

Participants in three studies (Grachev et al., 2003; Sharma et al., 2012, 2011) completed the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). NAA levels in the right DLPPC were negatively correlated with depressive symptoms as measured by BDI scores (Grachev et al., 2003). One study used the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (Janetzki et al., 2016), and found depression and age could predict reduced GABA in the ACC.

4. Discussion

In this study, we reviewed the previous 1H MRS studies on CLBP to summarize the biochemical changes in CLBP patients. Seven of the nine studies reviewed in this paper reported statistical differences in brain metabolites in the thalamus, insula, SSC, ACC, DLPPC and M1 between healthy controls and patients, indicating brain chemical changes in brain regions associated with pain processing (Apkarian et al., 2005; Mouraux et al., 2011; Schmidt-Wilcke, 2015).

Additionally, we found reduction of NAA in five brain regions of CLBP patients, and studies focused specifically on comorbid anxiety and depression (Grachev et al., 2002, 2003) found exaggerated effects (Wand et al., 2011). NAA is the acetylated form of the amino acid aspartate, and is present in very high concentrations in brain neurons (Stagg and Rothman, 2013). It has been recognized as a neuronal marker (Baslow et al., 2007; Govindaraju et al., 2000), but its function remains controversial. One hypothesis proposed for the role of NAA in the nervous system is that NAA serves as an immediate precursor for the neurotransmitter N-acetylaspartylglutamate, and NAA is reportedly reduced in neurodegenerative diseases (Moffett et al., 2007), which we ascribe to neuronal loss and degeneration. In addition, several studies suggesting that significant brain atrophy exists in the right thalamus (Apkarian et al., 2004), SSC (Schmidt-Wilcke et al.) and DLPPC (Apkarian et al.; Schmidt-Wilcke et al., 2006) of CLBP patients seem to provide fairly compelling evidence to this assumption. However, another documented function of NAA is that it is involved in brain glucose consumption (O’Neill et al., 2000), which is also decreased in the DLPPC of CLBP patients. We thus believe that reduced NAA in low back pain patients may indicate that energy metabolism is altered as well.

We also found a reduction of ml in CLBP patients. ml is primarily present in glial cells (Chang et al., 2013) and has been proposed as a marker for the density of glial cells (Govindaraju et al., 2000). Studies on the biosynthesis of ml reported that it’s generated in the phosphoinositide (PI) second messenger system from a key molecule in cellular signal transduction phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) (Coupland et al., 2005). Thus, a decrease in ml may suggest a loss of glial cells or an alteration in glial metabolism caused by LBP.

The lower Cho concentration in the SSC of patients with LBP was an unexpected finding because Cho levels are elevated in most neurologically diseases, including neoplasms, demyelination and gliosis (Glunde et al., 2006; Inglese et al., 2003). Cho is a precursor of acetylcholine (Ach) (Sarter and Parikh, 2005), which is a component of cell membranes. Therefore, we think the low concentration of Cho in the SSC can be explained by an increased uptake of Cho for the building of cell membranes (Gustafsson et al., 2007) and for maintaining cell membrane integrity.

Results from our study showed evidence of decreased Glu in the ACC of patients with CLBP, which is the most abundant excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain (Yang et al., 2011). In contrast to the results of Gussew and colleagues (Gussew et al., 2011), Grachev and colleagues (Grachev et al., 2000) reported no changes in Glu in the cingulate of CLBP patients. This contradictory finding could be attributed to the methodological differences between the two studies. Gussew and colleagues investigated absolute neurochemical concentrations of Glu while Grachev and colleagues focused on Glu ratios to creatine. In addition, patients in the studies differed in pain intensities and duration of pain symptoms, which may also influence the results.

The Cr ratio method was utilized for spectral quantification in the five studies. The Cr peak is relatively stable, and therefore is commonly used as a concentration reference for comparison. One study indicated no significant differences in Cr resonance in the left al, left thalamus and ACC. However, this approach should be used with caution because some studies reported alterations of this metabolite in several diseases (Govindaraju et al., 2000). Thus, further investigations on Cr concentration in CLBP patients in different brain regions are needed.

No significant differences were found in excitatory neurotransmitter GABA levels in CLBP patients compared with healthy controls, despite the role of GABA receptors in the modulation of pain perception (Goudet et al., 2009). This lack of significant findings may be due to extremely low concentrations of GABA in the brain and spectral resonance overlaps with peaks of other metabolites, which cannot be resolved unless spectral editing sequences like MEGA-PRESS (Mullins et al., 2014) are utilized.

There are several limitations in our study. First, there are relatively few subjects in each cohort, and confounding factors, such as anxiety and depression, made it difficult to identify specific biochemical markers of CLBP. Secondly, in eight studies, almost half of the patients had received prior treatment for CLBP. Although some of the patients refrained from medications for at least 24 h before the study, it’s not clear whether this eliminates the influence caused by long-term medication use. Finally, although these MRS studies have detected neurochemical alterations in these brain regions, the underlying causes of these metabolic changes are not fully understood. Therefore, further investigation is needed to explore the pathophysiological relationship between the neurochemical alterations and CLBP.

5. Conclusion

In summary, our study provides evidence for alterations in the biochemical profile of the brain in patients with CLBP, which suggest that biochemical changes may play a significant role in the manifestation of CLBP. Future studies need to emphasize therapeutic response and the relationships between brain metabolites and functions.
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