



# Safety and Tolerability of CSL112, a Reconstituted, Infusible, Plasma-Derived Apolipoprotein A-I, After Acute Myocardial Infarction: The AEGIS-I Trial (ApoA-I Event Reducing in Ischemic Syndromes I)

## Citation

Michael Gibson, C., S. Korjian, P. Tricoci, Y. Daaboul, M. Yee, P. Jain, J. H. Alexander, et al. 2016. "Safety and Tolerability of CSL112, a Reconstituted, Infusible, Plasma-Derived Apolipoprotein A-I, After Acute Myocardial Infarction: The AEGIS-I Trial (ApoA-I Event Reducing in Ischemic Syndromes I)." *Circulation* 134 (24): 1918-1930. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.025687. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.025687>.

## Published Version

doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.025687

## Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:29739103>

## Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

## Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)



# Safety and Tolerability of CSL112, a Reconstituted, Infusible, Plasma-Derived Apolipoprotein A-I, After Acute Myocardial Infarction

## The AEGIS-I Trial (ApoA-I Event Reducing in Ischemic Syndromes I)

**BACKGROUND:** Human or recombinant apolipoprotein A-I (apoA-I) has been shown to increase high-density lipoprotein-mediated cholesterol efflux capacity and to regress atherosclerotic disease in animal and clinical studies. CSL112 is an infusible, plasma-derived apoA-I that has been studied in normal subjects or those with stable coronary artery disease. This study aimed to characterize the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of CSL112 in patients with a recent acute myocardial infarction.

**METHODS:** The AEGIS-I trial (Apo-I Event Reducing in Ischemic Syndromes I) was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging phase 2b trial. Patients with myocardial infarction were stratified by renal function and randomized 1:1:1 to CSL112 (2 g apoA-I per dose) and high-dose CSL112 (6 g apoA-I per dose), or placebo for 4 consecutive weekly infusions. Coprimary safety end points were occurrence of either a hepatic safety event (an increase in alanine transaminase >3 times the upper limit of normal or an increase in total bilirubin >2 times the upper limit of normal) or a renal safety event (an increase in serum creatinine >1.5 times the baseline value or a new requirement for renal replacement therapy).

**RESULTS:** A total of 1258 patients were randomized, and 91.2% received all 4 infusions. The difference in incidence rates for an increase in alanine transaminase or total bilirubin between both CSL112 arms and placebo was within the protocol-defined noninferiority margin of 4%. Similarly, the difference in incidence rates for an increase in serum creatinine or a new requirement for renal replacement therapy was within the protocol-defined noninferiority margin of 5%. CSL112 was associated with increases in apoA-I and ex vivo cholesterol efflux similar to that achieved in patients with stable coronary artery disease. In regard to the secondary efficacy end point, the risk for the composite of major adverse cardiovascular events among the groups was similar.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Among patients with acute myocardial infarction, 4 weekly infusions of CSL112 are feasible, well tolerated, and not associated with any significant alterations in liver or kidney function or other safety concern. The ability of CSL112 to acutely enhance cholesterol efflux was confirmed. The potential benefit of CSL112 to reduce major adverse cardiovascular events needs to be assessed in an adequately powered phase 3 trial.

**CLINICAL TRIAL REGISTRATION:** URL: <https://clinicaltrials.gov>. Unique identifier: NCT02108262.

C. Michael Gibson, MS, MD  
Serge Korjian, MD  
Pierluigi Tricoci, MD, PhD, MHS  
Yazan Daaboul, MD  
Megan Yee, MPH  
Purva Jain, MPH  
John H. Alexander, MD  
P. Gabriel Steg, MD  
A. Michael Lincoff, MD  
John J.P. Kastelein, MD, PhD  
Roxana Mehran, MD  
Denise M. D'Andrea, MD  
Lawrence I. Deckelbaum, MD  
Bela Merkely, MD, PhD  
Maciej Zarebinski, MD  
Ton Oude Ophuis, MD  
Robert A. Harrington, MD

**Correspondence to:** C. Michael Gibson, MS, MD, PERFUSE Study Group, Cardiovascular Division, Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, 330 Brookline Avenue, Overland 540, Boston, MA 02215. E-mail [mgibson@bidmc.harvard.edu](mailto:mgibson@bidmc.harvard.edu)

Sources of Funding, see page 1927

**Key Words:** alipoprotein A-I  
■ myocardial infarction

© 2016 The Authors. *Circulation* is published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited.

## Clinical Perspective

### What Is New?

- Among patients with acute myocardial infarction, 4 weekly infusions of a reconstituted infusible human apolipoprotein A-I, CSL112, are associated with a dose-dependent elevation of circulating apolipoprotein A-I and cholesterol efflux capacity without adverse hepatic or renal outcomes.

### What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Further studies are warranted to assess the clinical benefit of CSL112 in reducing cardiovascular events after acute myocardial infarction.

Despite advances in therapeutic strategies for acute myocardial infarction (MI), patients remain at a high risk for recurrent ischemic events, particularly in the immediate weeks to months after the event.<sup>1</sup> Recurrent events are most commonly the result of additional plaque rupture or erosion and are associated with significant morbidity and mortality.<sup>2,3</sup> Although they may occur at the site of the index MI vessel, they are equally likely to occur at a different site anywhere in the coronary artery tree.<sup>2</sup> Although a low level of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol is a risk factor for major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE end points),<sup>4–12</sup> it remains unclear whether raising HDL will reduce MACE end points because several therapies that raised HDL cholesterol were not associated with improved clinical outcomes.<sup>13–17</sup> These studies may have been limited by the failure to enrich for patients with high modifiable risk, off-target toxicity, or failure to raise functional HDL. Cholesterol efflux capacity, an *ex vivo* measure of HDL function, evaluates the ability of HDL to remove excess cholesterol from atherosclerotic plaque for transport to the liver. It is a correlate of MACE end points that is independent of HDL cholesterol, and it may be more viable to improve clinical outcomes by identifying pharmacotherapies that act rapidly after acute MI to improve cholesterol efflux and thereby reduce plaque burden and stabilize vulnerable plaque, rather than raising HDL alone.<sup>18–20</sup> It is important to note that the majority of the failed HDL cholesterol-raising trials evaluated long-term pharmacotherapy, and therapy was not initiated in the immediate post-MI period, a time when cholesterol efflux is significantly impaired.<sup>21–23</sup>

CSL112 is a plasma-derived apolipoprotein A-I (apoA-I), the primary functional component of HDL, reconstituted into disk-shaped lipoproteins with phosphatidylcholine and stabilized with sucrose.<sup>24</sup> Initial studies of CSL112 have demonstrated a significant dose-dependent increase in plasma apoA-I and a dose-dependent increase in total and ATP-binding cassette A1 (ABCA1)-dependent

cholesterol efflux capacity.<sup>25–27</sup> A favorable safety profile has been demonstrated in the clinical program to date, including in patients with stable atherosclerotic disease, although it has not been characterized in patients with acute MI.<sup>27</sup> A prototype formulation of CSL112 was discontinued from development because of the occurrence of transient elevations of hepatic enzymes presumed to be related to the phosphatidylcholine excipient content.<sup>28,29</sup> Risk of renal toxicity has been described with high doses of intravenous sucrose. We therefore assessed both hepatic and renal function after infusion of this lower-phosphatidylcholine- and low-sucrose-containing preparation of CSL112 in patients with MI.

The AEGIS-I trial (Apo-I Event Reducing in Ischemic Syndromes I) was a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging phase 2b clinical trial that primarily aimed to assess safety and tolerability and secondary and exploratory objectives including time to first occurrence of MACE end points and the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of 4 weekly administrations of 2 doses of CSL112 compared with placebo among patients with acute MI and either normal renal function or mild renal impairment (ClinicalTrials.gov; NCT02108262).

## METHODS

### Study Oversight

AEGIS-I was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging phase 2b trial designed in collaboration between the study sponsor (CSL Behring) and members of the executive and steering committee ([online-only Data Supplement](#)). Statistical analyses were conducted independently by the PERFUSE Study Group (Perfusion Use in Stroke Evaluation Study) using the Study Data Tabulation Model data sets. The executive committee drafted all versions of the manuscript and agreed to the content of the final version. The sponsor had the opportunity to review and comment on the final draft of the manuscript but had no editorial authority. The study design was in accordance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments and was approved by the appropriate national and institutional regulatory agencies and ethics committees. An independent data and safety monitoring board ([online-only Data Supplement](#)) monitored the trial and reviewed unblinded data.

### Study Population

Men and women at least 18 years of age with a clinical presentation consistent with a type I (spontaneous) MI within the past 7 days who had either normal renal function or mild renal impairment were enrolled. The criteria for MI were based on the third universal definition of MI.<sup>30</sup> *Normal renal function* was defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate  $\geq 90$  mL·min<sup>-1</sup>·1.73 m<sup>-2</sup>, and *mild renal impairment* was defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate  $< 90$  and  $\geq 60$  mL·min<sup>-1</sup>·1.73 m<sup>-2</sup>.

Major exclusion criteria included evidence of current hepatobiliary disease, baseline moderate or severe chronic kidney disease, history of contrast-induced acute kidney injury, or

ongoing hemodynamic instability. Among subjects who underwent angiography and were administered a contrast agent, stable renal function at least 12 hours after contrast administration (ie, no increase in serum creatinine  $\geq 0.3$  mg/dL from the precontrast value) was required for enrollment. A full list of inclusion and exclusion criteria is provided in the [online-only Data Supplement](#). An institutional review committee approved the study, and all subjects were provided written informed consent before enrollment.

## Study Protocol

The US Food and Drug Administration mandated a review of renal and hepatic safety by the data and safety monitoring board after the first 9 patients were enrolled, and after data and safety monitoring board approval, enrollment in the main study was initiated. Eligible patients were first stratified by renal function (either normal renal function or mild renal impairment) and were then randomly assigned with a 1:1:1 ratio to 1 of 3 treatment groups: low-dose CSL112 (2 g apoA-I per dose), high-dose CSL112 (6 g apoA-I per dose), or placebo. The study drug was administered as a weekly 2-hour intravenous infusion for 4 consecutive weeks (on study days 1, 8, 15, and 22; [online-only Data Supplement](#)). The active treatment period was defined as the time from the administration of the first dose of study drug (study day 1) until 1 week after the last infusion (study day 29). All patients were to complete the safety follow-up period on study day 112 (end of study visit).

Patients were routinely evaluated at predetermined intervals from screening until the final follow-up visit. Evaluations included physical examinations, serum creatinine, total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, alanine transaminase, aspartate transaminase, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatine, glucose, metabolic, cardiovascular and lipid biomarkers, markers of immunogenicity, and assessments of infusion site, bleeding, and adverse events. The occurrence of MACE end points was also monitored for all subjects for up to 1 year after randomization or until the last randomized subject completed the study day 112 visit.

Plasma concentrations of apoA-I and ex vivo cholesterol efflux were measured at several time points. In addition, a pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics substudy was conducted among 63 patients. Subjects included in the substudy were equally stratified by renal function and were randomly assigned with a ratio of 2:3:3 to placebo, low-dose CSL112 (2 g apoA-I per dose), or high-dose CSL112 (6 g apoA-I per dose), respectively. The ability of plasma to mediate cholesterol efflux from cultured J774 cells was measured as previously described.<sup>26</sup> These assays measure both total cholesterol efflux capacity and the efflux that may be attributed to the ABCA1 transporter. Both efflux measures are presented as percent of cellular cholesterol content. Additional details of the AEGIS-I trial design have previously been published.<sup>31</sup>

## Coprietary Safety End Points

The coprietary safety end points were rates of hepatotoxicity and renal toxicity. Hepatotoxicity was defined as the incidence of either alanine transaminase  $>3$  times the upper limit of normal or total bilirubin  $>2$  times the upper limit of normal that was confirmed on repeat measurement. Renal toxicity was defined

as either a serum creatinine  $\geq 1.5$  times the baseline value that was confirmed on repeat measurement or a new-onset requirement for renal replacement therapy. Both hepatic and renal safety end points were evaluated from baseline (before the first infusion) through the end of the active treatment period (study day 29). All measures for the coprietary safety end points were based on central laboratory values.

## Secondary and Exploratory End Points

Secondary and exploratory efficacy end points were assessed in the intent-to-treat population (all patients randomized, including those who did not receive study drug) and included the time to first occurrence of a MACE, which was defined as the composite of cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, or hospitalization for unstable angina, from randomization until the last treated subject completed study day 112. An independent clinical events committee that was blinded to treatment assignment adjudicated all MACE end points.

Bleeding was assessed as a secondary safety end point because the majority of subjects were anticipated to be treated with dual antiplatelet therapy after MI. Measured and baseline-corrected plasma apoA-I concentrations, pharmacodynamic characteristics of CSL112, including changes in total and ABCA1-dependent cholesterol efflux measures (ex vivo), and lipid, metabolic, and cardiovascular biomarkers were assessed. Additional prespecified end points have previously been described.<sup>31</sup>

## Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.4. All safety end points were evaluated in the safety population, which consisted of randomized subjects who received at least 1 partial dose of the study drug. In the safety population, subjects were classified according to the actual treatment they received and their true renal stratum. Efficacy end points were evaluated in the intent-to-treat population, which consisted of all randomized subjects. In the intent-to-treat population, subjects were classified according to the treatment they were randomized to and according to the renal function stratum they were randomized from, regardless of actual treatment or true renal function stratum. Additional populations such as the pharmacokinetics analysis population, pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis population, and biomarker analysis population were predefined in the study protocol.

The Newcombe-Wilson score method was used to calculate the 2-sided 95% confidence intervals of the difference in rates (CSL112 minus placebo) for the coprietary safety end points. The upper bound of the 2-sided 95% confidence interval was specified for testing the coprietary end points, comparing with the specified thresholds for hepatic and renal end points for the noninferiority assessment. This gives a 1-sided 2.5% type I error for each of the hepatic and renal end points and was based on an application of the Bonferroni method to control the overall type I error at 5%. Noninferiority criteria were prespecified to be met for the rate difference if the upper bound of the 95% confidence interval was  $\leq 4\%$  in hepatic outcomes and  $\leq 5\%$  in renal outcomes for a pairwise treatment group comparison. Bleeding rates were compared among the 3 groups. Adverse events are presented with the use of descriptive statistics in the [online-only Data Supplement](#).

Although not powered to detect differences in MACE end points, secondary and exploratory MACE outcomes were evaluated by calculating differences in time to first MACE between the treatment groups with a Cox proportional hazards model, with treatment assignment and baseline renal function stratum as covariates. A 2-sided log-rank test *P* value was calculated for each CSL112 dose versus placebo with stratification by renal function. No formal hypothesis testing for MACE end points was intended.

**RESULTS**

From January 2015 through November 2015, a total of 1258 patients in 16 countries were randomized, of whom 1244 (99.6%) received at least 1 dose of study drug and 1147 (91.2%) received all 4 infusions. A total of 680 patients (54.1%) were stratified to the normal renal function stratum, and 578 (45.9%) were stratified to the mild renal impairment stratum (Figure 1). For the index event, 61.6% of patients experienced ST-segment–elevation MI and 38.4% experienced non–ST-segment–elevation MI. The median duration from the index event to randomization was 4 days, and although 24 to 34 patients per treatment group had 1 year of follow-up, the median duration of follow-up was 7.5 months (interquartile range, 5.8–9.7 months). Baseline characteristics were well balanced among the 3 treatment groups (Table 1).

**Coprimary End Points Results**


During the active treatment period, the coprimary safety end point of hepatic impairment occurred in 0 patients (0.0%) in the placebo group, 4 of 415 patients (1.0%) in the 2-g dose group (*P*=0.12 versus placebo), and 2 of

416 patients (0.5%) in the 6-g dose group (*P*=0.50 versus placebo). Both dose comparisons with placebo were not significantly different and were within the prespecified margin of ≤4% (Table 2). There were no Hy law cases (ie, concomitant elevation of alanine transaminase/aspartate transaminase and bilirubin with no other reason to explain the combination) in the trial. Results from 2 prespecified sensitivity analyses, including patients with elevated baseline bilirubin and all elevated values regardless of confirmation values, were consistent with the results of the primary safety analysis (Table 1 in the online-only Data Supplement).

The coprimary safety end point of renal impairment occurred in 1 of 413 patients (0.2%) in the placebo group, 0 of 415 patients (0.0%) in the 2-g dose group (*P*=0.50 versus placebo), and 3 of 416 patients (0.7%) in the 6-g dose group (*P*=0.62 versus placebo). Both dose comparisons with placebo were not significantly different and were within the prespecified margin of ≤5% (Table 2). Additional prespecified exploratory safety analyses and post hoc analyses are shown in Tables II and III in the online-only Data Supplement.

**Secondary and Exploratory End Points Results**

Through 12 months of follow-up, the risk of the MACE composite secondary end point (cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, and hospitalization for unstable angina) with CSL112 therapy compared with placebo was similar (low dose [2 g], 27 of 419 [6.4%] versus placebo, 23 of 418 [5.5%]; hazard ratio, 1.18; 95% confidence interval, 0.67–2.05; *P*=0.72; and high dose [6 g], 24 of 421 [5.7%]; hazard ratio, 1.02; 95% confidence interval, 0.57–1.80; *P*=0.52; Figure 2). Similar risks among treatment groups for the exploratory MACE composite end



**Figure 1. CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) diagram.** ITT indicates intent to treat.

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics**

| Characteristic   | CSL112 2 g (n=419) | CSL112 6 g (n=421) | Placebo (n=418)   | 3-Way P Value |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Age, mean±SD, y  | 57.7±10.1          | 59.2±9.9           | 58.1±10.6         | 0.08          |
| Male sex, n (%)  | 337 (80.4)         | 323 (76.7)         | 341 (81.6)        | 0.19          |
| Race, n (%)  |                    |                    |                   | 0.57          |
| White  | 404 (96.7)         | 406 (96.7)         | 409 (97.9)        |               |
| Black  | 9 (2.2)            | 5 (1.2)            | 4 (1.0)           |               |
| Asian  | 1 (0.2)            | 4 (1.0)            | 1 (0.2)           |               |
| Other  | 4 (1.0)            | 5 (1.2)            | 4 (1.0)           |               |
| BMI, mean±SD, kg/m <sup>2</sup>                                      | 29.2±6.3           | 28.5±5.0           | 28.6±5.2          | 0.15          |
| eGFR, mean±SD, mL/min  | 86.1±16.1          | 86.6±14.9          | 87.4±15.7         | 0.49          |
| Renal function, n (%)  |                    |                    |                   | 0.70          |
| Normal renal function  | 194 (46.4)         | 183 (43.5)         | 188 (45.0)        |               |
| Mild renal impairment  | 200 (47.9)         | 219 (52.0)         | 212 (50.7)        |               |
| Moderate/severe renal impairment                                     | 24 (5.7)           | 19 (4.5)           | 18 (4.3)          |               |
| Index event, n (%)   |                    |                    |                   | 0.20          |
| STEMI  | 250 (59.7)         | 274 (65.1)         | 251 (60.1)        |               |
| NSTEMI   | 169 (40.3)         | 147 (34.9)         | 167 (40.0)        |               |
| Index interventional procedure, n (%)                                |                    |                    |                   | 0.55          |
| PCI  | 386 (92.1)         | 397 (94.3)         | 390 (93.3)        |               |
| CABG   | 2 (0.5)            | 0 (0.0)            | 1 (0.2)           |               |
| Medical therapy  | 31 (7.4)           | 24 (5.7)           | 27 (6.5)          |               |
| Medical history, n (%)   |                    |                    |                   |               |
| Prior MI   | 65 (15.5)          | 58 (13.8)          | 71 (17.0)         | 0.44          |
| Stable angina  | 65 (15.5)          | 63 (15.0)          | 58 (13.9)         | 0.79          |
| Congestive heart failure   | 24 (5.7)           | 11 (2.6)           | 18 (4.3)          | 0.08          |
| Peripheral artery disease  | 15 (3.6)           | 14 (3.3)           | 25 (6.0)          | 0.11          |
| Cerebrovascular disease  | 20 (4.8)           | 21 (5.0)           | 17 (4.1)          | 0.80          |
| Hypertension   | 269 (64.2)         | 257 (61.1)         | 240 (57.4)        | 0.13          |
| Dyslipidemia   | 222 (53.0)         | 220 (52.3)         | 222 (53.1)        | 0.96          |
| Diabetes mellitus requiring treatment                                | 104 (24.8)         | 81 (19.2)          | 95 (22.7)         | 0.15          |
| Smoking/tobacco use  | 299 (71.4)         | 292 (69.4)         | 312 (74.6)        | 0.23          |
| Timing of first infusion from angiography, n (%)                     |                    |                    |                   |               |
| 12–<24 h   | 9 (2.2)            | 6 (1.5)            | 9 (2.2)           | 0.35          |
| 24–<48 h   | 55 (13.5)          | 76 (18.5)          | 66 (16.2)         |               |
| ≥48 h  | 344 (84.3)         | 329 (80.1)         | 332 (81.6)        |               |
| Timing of first infusion from first medical contact, median (IQR), h | 103 (72.5–133.3)   | 95.5 (65.3–133.5)  | 98.5 (70.3–135.5) | 0.20          |
| Concomitant medications, n (%)                                       |                    |                    |                   |               |
| Statins  | 391 (94.2)         | 375 (90.1)         | 387 (93.7)        | 0.05          |
| High intensity or dose   | 144 (34.7)         | 132 (31.7)         | 138 (33.4)        | 0.66          |
| Low intensity or dose  | 247 (59.5)         | 243 (58.4)         | 249 (60.3)        | 0.86          |
| Other lipid-lowering agents*   | 14 (3.4)           | 11 (2.6)           | 13 (3.2)          | 0.82          |
| ACE inhibitor or ARB   | 323 (77.8)         | 325 (78.1)         | 322 (78.0)        | 0.99          |

(Continued)

**Table 1. Continued**

| Characteristic      | CSL112 2 g (n=419) | CSL112 6 g (n=421) | Placebo (n=418) | 3-Way P Value |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| β-Blockers          | 333 (80.2)         | 319 (76.7)         | 321 (77.7)      | 0.44          |
| Aspirin             | 406 (97.8)         | 394 (94.7)         | 400 (96.9)      | 0.05          |
| Antiplatelet agents | 385 (92.8)         | 395 (95.0)         | 392 (94.9)      | 0.31          |
| Anticoagulants      | 34 (8.2)           | 37 (8.9)           | 42 (10.2)       | 0.60          |

ACE indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; IQR, interquartile range; MI, myocardial infarction; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; and STEMI, ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction.

Baseline characteristics were calculated for patients at randomization. A  $\chi^2$  test was used to calculate *P* values for categorical variables; an ANOVA was used for parametric continuous variables; and a Kruskal-Wallis test was used for nonparametric continuous variables.

eGFR was calculated with the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration Equation (2009). eGFR values summarized are the values derived from central laboratory serum creatinine values at screening. When a central laboratory value is not available, local laboratory data are used.

For timing of first infusion from randomization, multiple pairwise comparisons were run: 6 g versus placebo=0.002; 2 g versus placebo=0.1059; and 6 g versus 2 g=0.3462.

\*Ezetimibe or proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitors.

points were observed, including in the traditional phase 3 end point of cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI, and stroke (Figure 3). As for the secondary MACE composite end point, the majority of additional exploratory MACE end points were similar among treatment groups. There was a difference in the number of cardiovascular-related deaths when CSL112 6-g apoA-I (n=4 [1.0%]; *P*=0.0477) was compared with placebo (n=0 [0.0%]), but this was not seen when CSL112 2g apoA-I (n=2 [0.5%]; *P*=0.32) was compared with placebo. However, the number of patients experiencing cardiovascular-related deaths was

low (Table 3). Similarly, a difference in the number of heart failure events was observed when CSL112 6-g apoA-I (n=4, 1.0%; *P*=0.2525) was compared with placebo (n=1, 0.2%) and CSL112 2g apoA-I (n=5, 1.2%; *P*=0.1205) was compared with placebo. The number of patients experiencing heart failure was low (Table 3).

The rates of all grades of Bleeding Academic Research Consortium bleeding were low and comparable among the 3 arms (Table 4). Drug hypersensitivity reactions and infusion site reactions were well balanced across groups. Overall, the rates of serious and life-

**Table 2. Coprimary Safety End Points**

| Coprimary Safety End Point | n (%)   | Difference in Rates (CSL112–Placebo) | 95% CI *    | Upper Bound of 95% CI† | P Value‡ |
|----------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|----------|
| Hepatic                    |         |                                      |             | ≤4%                    |          |
| CSL112 2 g (n=415)         | 4 (1.0) | 1.0                                  | −0.1 to 2.5 | Yes                    | 0.12     |
| CSL112 6 g (n=416)         | 2 (0.5) | 0.5                                  | −0.5 to 1.7 | Yes                    | 0.50     |
| Placebo (n=413)            | 0 (0.0) |                                      |             |                        |          |
| Renal                      |         |                                      |             | <5%                    |          |
| CSL112 2 g (n=415)         | 0 (0.0) | −0.2                                 | −1.4 to 0.7 | Yes                    | 0.50     |
| CSL112 6 g (n=416)         | 3 (0.7) | 0.5                                  | −0.7 to 1.9 | Yes                    | 0.62     |
| Placebo (n=413)            | 1 (0.2) |                                      |             |                        |          |

CI indicates confidence interval. The upper bound of the 2-sided 95% CI was specified for testing the coprimary end points, comparing with the specified thresholds for hepatic and renal end points for the noninferiority assessment. This gives a 1-sided 2.5% type I error for each of the hepatic and renal end points and was based on an application of the Bonferroni method to control the overall type I error at 5%.

Percentages are based on the number of subjects with data.


A hepatic end point of interest is defined as any subject recording 1 of the 2 following results: alanine transaminase >3 times the upper limit of normal or total bilirubin >2 times the upper limit of normal confirmed by a consecutive repeat test after at least 24 hours but within 1 week of the original test.

A renal event is defined as a serum creatinine increase of ≥1.5 times the baseline value confirmed by a repeat test after at least 24 hours but within 1 week or the need for renal replacement therapy.

\*The 95% CIs of the difference in the subject incidence rates were calculated with the Newcombe-Wilson score method.

†Yes indicates that the noninferiority criterion is met.

‡*P* values were calculated with the Fisher exact test.




**Figure 2. Time to occurrence of first major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE).**

Composite of cardiovascular (CV) death, nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI), ischemic stroke, and hospitalization for unstable angina. HR indicates hazard ratio.

threatening adverse events and serious adverse events leading to drug discontinuation were relatively low and comparable across all groups (Tables IV and V in the online-only Data Supplement).

Baseline plasma concentrations of apoA-I, cholesterol efflux capacity, and lipid and cardiovascular biomarkers were similar among the 3 treatment groups (Table 5). Infusion of CSL112 caused a dose-dependent elevation of



**Figure 3. Time to occurrence of first exploratory major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE).**

Composite of cardiovascular (CV) death, nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI), and stroke. HR indicates hazard ratio.

**Table 3. MACE End Points in the Intent-to-Treat Population**

| MACE End Point                         | 2 g (n=419),<br>n (%) | 6 g (n=421),<br>n (%) | Placebo<br>(n=418),<br>n (%) | HR (95% CI), 2 g<br>vs Placebo | P Value<br>(2 g vs<br>Placebo) | HR (95% CI),<br>6 g vs Placebo | P Value,<br>6 g vs<br>Placebo |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Composite 2 secondary                  | 27 (6.4)              | 24 (5.7)              | 23 (5.5)                     | 1.18 (0.67–2.05)               | 0.5733                         | 1.02 (0.57–1.80)               | 0.9717                        |
| Composite 1                            | 16 (3.8)              | 20 (4.8)              | 17 (4.1)                     | 0.93 (0.47–1.84)               | 0.8391                         | 1.15 (0.60–2.20)               | 0.6664                        |
| Composite 2                            | 16 (3.8)              | 20 (4.8)              | 17 (4.1)                     | 0.93 (0.47–1.85)               | 0.8393                         | 1.15 (0.60–2.20)               | 0.6660                        |
| Composite 3                            | 18 (4.3)              | 20 (4.8)              | 18 (4.3)                     | 0.99 (0.51–1.90)               | 0.9705                         | 1.09 (0.57–2.05)               | 0.7992                        |
| Composite 4                            | 34 (8.1)              | 29 (6.9)              | 31 (7.4)                     | 1.10 (0.67–1.78)               | 0.7107                         | 0.91 (0.55–1.51)               | 0.7008                        |
| Cardiovascular death                   | 2 (0.5)               | 4 (1.0)               | 0 (0.0)                      | ...                            | 0.3146                         | ...                            | 0.0477                        |
| Nonfatal MI                            | 14 (3.3)              | 13 (3.1)              | 14 (3.3)                     | 0.99 (0.47–2.09)               | 0.9828                         | 0.91 (0.43–1.93)               | 0.7944                        |
| Ischemic stroke                        | 0 (0.0)               | 3 (0.7)               | 3 (0.7)                      | ...                            | 0.1297                         | 0.99 (0.20–4.91)               | 0.9918                        |
| Hospitalization for<br>unstable angina | 13 (3.1)              | 6 (1.4)               | 7 (1.7)                      | 1.87 (0.75–4.69)               | 0.1460                         | 0.84 (0.28–2.51)               | 0.7766                        |
| All-cause mortality                    | 5 (1.2)               | 4 (1.0)               | 1 (0.2)                      | 4.95 (0.58–42.37)              | 0.1253                         | 3.94 (0.44–35.21)              | 0.2526                        |
| Noncardiovascular death                | 3 (0.7)               | 0 (0.0)               | 1 (0.2)                      | 2.92 (0.30–28.09)              | 0.2341                         | ...                            | 0.5319                        |
| Hemorrhagic stroke                     | 0 (0.0)               | 1 (0.2)               | 0 (0.0)                      | ...                            | 0.9914                         | ...                            | 0.2217                        |
| Stroke, indeterminate                  | 0 (0.0)               | 0 (0.0)               | 0 (0.0)                      | ...                            | ...                            | ...                            | ...                           |
| Any strokes                            | 0 (0.0)               | 4 (1.0)               | 3 (0.7)                      | ...                            | 0.1597                         | 1.32 (0.30–5.90)               | 0.6515                        |
| Heart failure                          | 5 (1.2)               | 4 (1.0)               | 1 (0.2)                      | 5.02 (0.59–43.01)              | 0.1205                         | 3.96 (0.44–35.41)              | 0.2525                        |
| Coronary revascularization             | 26 (6.2)              | 17 (4.0)              | 25 (6.0)                     | 1.05 (0.60–1.81)               | 0.8669                         | 0.66 (0.36–1.22)               | 0.1934                        |

CI indicates confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event; and MI, myocardial infarction. All numbers are based on a time-to-first MACE analysis in the intent-to-treat population.

Percentages are based on the number of subjects with data. The clinical events committee adjudicated all events.

The HR is based on a proportional hazards model with factors for treatment group and renal function. An HR <1 favors CSL112. A stratified log-rank  $P < 0.05$  indicates that the time to first MACE in the CSL112 arm is significantly different from that in the placebo arm. MACE composite secondary end point consists of cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, and hospitalization for unstable angina. Exploratory MACE composite end point 1 consists of cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI, and ischemic stroke. Exploratory MACE composite end point 2 consists of CV death, nonfatal MI, and any strokes. Exploratory MACE composite end point 3 consists of nonfatal MI, all-cause mortality, and any strokes. Exploratory MACE composite end point 4 consists of hospitalization for unstable angina, all-cause mortality, any strokes, heart failure, and coronary revascularization.

both apoA-I and cholesterol efflux capacity (Table 6). The 2-g dose elevated apoA-I 1.29-fold and total cholesterol efflux capacity 1.87-fold, whereas the 6-g dose elevated apoA-I 2.06-fold and total cholesterol efflux capacity 2.45-fold. Consistent with prior findings, the elevation of ABCA1-dependent cholesterol efflux capacity (3.67-fold for the 2-g dose, 4.30-fold for the 6-g dose) was substantially greater than the elevation of either apoA-I or total cholesterol efflux capacity, suggesting that CSL112 may increase not only the amount of circulating apoA-I but also the activity for ABCA1-dependent efflux on a per-apoA-I basis.<sup>26</sup> We assessed this “specific activity” of the circulating apoA-I pool for ABCA1-dependent cholesterol efflux capacity by calculating the ABCA1-dependent cholesterol efflux capacity/apoA-I ratio at the end of the infusion. Infusion of CSL112 caused a 2.51-fold increased ratio for the 2-g dose group (0.05) and a 1.78-fold increased ratio for the 6-g dose group (0.035) compared with the placebo group (0.02).<sup>26</sup> The elevation

in ABCA1-dependent efflux capacity was greater than the elevation of apoA-I. Although this ratio is not a validated measure, it could be speculated that the infusion elevates not just the quantity but also the functionality of the apoA-I pool. Indeed, the ABCA1-dependent cholesterol efflux capacity/apoA-I ratios were elevated with both doses of CSL112 compared with placebo (Table III in the online-only Data Supplement)

## DISCUSSION

Infusions of CSL112, a reconstituted plasma-derived apoA-I, at both low (2 g) and high (6 g) doses administered as 4 weekly infusions beginning within 7 days of acute MI were not associated with alterations in either liver or kidney function. This was the first study in which CSL112 was administered to patients with acute MI and the first study in which it was added to acute MI standard of care. Establishing safety and feasibility in the

**Table 4. Bleeding Academic Research Consortium Evaluation Grades for Worst Bleeding Events: Safety Population**

| Bleeding Events | CSL112 2 g (n=415), n (%) | CSL112 6 g (n=416), n (%) | Placebo (n=413), n (%) |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Type 0          | 377 (90.8)                | 378 (90.9)                | 362 (87.7)             |
| Type 1          | 19 (4.6)                  | 17 (4.1)                  | 30 (7.3)               |
| Type 2          | 16 (3.9)                  | 17 (4.1)                  | 15 (3.6)               |
| Type 3          | 2 (0.5)                   | 3 (0.7)                   | 6 (1.5)                |
| Type 3a         | 0                         | 0                         | 2                      |
| Type 3b         | 2                         | 3                         | 3                      |
| Type 3c         | 0                         | 0                         | 1                      |
| Type 4          | 0 (0.0)                   | 0 (0.0)                   | 0 (0.0)                |
| Type 5          | 1 (0.2)                   | 1 (0.2)                   | 0 (0.0)                |
| Type 5a         | 0                         | 0                         | 0                      |
| Type 5b         | 1                         | 1                         | 0                      |

Type 0 includes subjects who had no bleeding events to adjudicate. If a patient had >1 bleed, the most severe bleed was counted. Bleeding events were counted from randomization.

acute MI setting was important before the initiation of a large-scale phase 3 outcomes trial. The results from AEGIS-I suggest that the current formulation of CSL112 compared with the prototype formulation did not demonstrate a hepatic safety concern. Furthermore, infusion of CSL112 shortly after a contrast load among patients with MI was not associated with renal toxicity, demonstrating the feasibility of administering CSL112 to patients with MI with normal renal function or mild renal impairment shortly after angiography. A study in patients with MI with moderate renal impairment is ongoing.

The number of MACE end points overall was low (n=74), as was the number of subjects with complete follow-up through 1 year (89 of 1258). The statistical power to assess the secondary MACE end point was very low, ≈8.4% (Table VII in the online-only Data Supplement). MACE rates were generally comparable between groups, although cardiovascular mortality was higher in the 6-g group compared with the placebo group (4 versus 0 deaths;  $P=0.0477$ ). The calculated  $P$  value was not adjusted for the multiplicity of 32 efficacy comparisons. There was no clustering of death in proximity to the CSL112 infusion (Table VI and Figure I in the online-only Data Supplement). It should be noted that indeterminant causes of death were included as cardiovascular death. The isolated difference in mortality was inconsistent with the overall similarity in MACE rates.

Compared with placebo, CSL112 was also associated with an improvement in measures of cholesterol efflux capacity. It has been postulated that improvements in HDL function, rather than HDL concentration, may

be more important for the stabilization of atherosclerotic plaque lesions and the reduction of cardiovascular events. In the Dallas Heart Study, high cholesterol efflux capacity, a marker of effective reverse cholesterol transport, was associated with a 67% lower risk of MACE end points compared with low cholesterol efflux capacity,<sup>18</sup> an association that was independent of HDL concentrations. To date, although HDL-raising therapies have indeed increased HDL concentrations, they have had a modest or no effect on cholesterol efflux, a finding that may explain at least in part why HDL-raising therapies have failed to reduce MACE outcomes in the past.<sup>32–37</sup> In contrast, cholesterol efflux capacity was markedly elevated immediately after CSL112 infusion. In particular, ABCA1-dependent efflux, a pathway especially relevant to cholesterol-laden cells in plaque, was elevated >3-fold after infusion of CSL112. It is noteworthy that the elevation in the ABCA1-dependent efflux capacity was greater than the elevation of apoA-I, thus suggesting that infusion elevates not just the quantity but also the functionality of the apoA-I pool. Indeed, the ABCA1-dependent cholesterol efflux capacity/apoA-I ratios were elevated with both doses of CSL112 compared with placebo (Table 6). Prior mechanistic studies<sup>38</sup> have shown comparable functional changes and have determined that CSL112 elevates ABCA1-dependent efflux by remodeling endogenous HDL to form smaller, more functional HDL species with a high ability to interact with ABCA1.

The elevation of cholesterol efflux caused by CSL112 has been shown to be transient and recedes to baseline with clearance of the apoA-I.<sup>26</sup> It is not known how a transient enhancement of cholesterol efflux capacity immediately after acute MI will affect clinical outcomes compared with the sustained or long-term measures of cholesterol efflux assessed in the Dallas Heart Study.<sup>18</sup> Although MACE end points were not reduced in AEGIS-I, this phase 2b study was designed as a safety trial and was not sufficiently powered to assess efficacy (Table VII in the online-only Data Supplement). Consistent with other phase 2 safety studies, MACE end points were explored in AEGIS-I to assess the timing and frequency of events and to identify subgroups of patients at higher risk of events so that an adequately powered phase 3 study could be planned to definitively assess the efficacy. Although these analyses are exploratory, they were prespecified so as to focus the analyses for phase 3 planning.

### Limitations

The coprimary safety end points were less frequent than anticipated for the noninferiority analysis, but the very low frequency of these events suggests that there is not a clinically relevant hepatic or renal safety signal. Although several lipid and lipoprotein analyses were per-

**Table 5. Baseline Lipid and Cardiovascular Biomarkers**

| Biomarker   | CSL112 2 g     | CSL112 6 g     | Placebo        | P Value* |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Plasma biomarker  |                |                |                |          |
| ApoA-I, mg/dL   | 124.6±24.6     | 127.7±25.2     | 126.1±24.7     | 0.2155   |
| Phosphatidylcholine, mg/dL                                      | 185.9±36.6     | 190.1±39.2     | 187.3±37.7     | 0.2835   |
| Lipid biomarker   |                |                |                |          |
| Apolipoprotein B, mg/dL   | 90.8±24.3      | 92.8±25.3      | 91.9±25.4      | 0.5308   |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dL  | 164.7±39.3     | 169.3±41.0     | 166.5±41.6     | 0.2735   |
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL  | 40.2±11.0      | 41.6±10.7      | 40.8±11.0      | 0.1606   |
| Non-HDL cholesterol, mg/dL                                      | 124.2±38.9     | 127.6±40.4     | 125.8±40.9     | 0.4780   |
| LDL cholesterol, mg/dL  | 92.1±35.0      | 94.7±34.9      | 92.1±34.4      | 0.4966   |
| Triglycerides, mg/dL  | 168.8±99.5     | 168.0±91.3     | 170.2±95.1     | 0.9450   |
| Cholesterol EC  |                |                |                |          |
| Total efflux, %/4 h   | 8.4±2.3        | 8.8±2.9        | 8.8±2.7        | 0.1299   |
| ABCA1 efflux, %/4 h   | 2.6±1.7        | 2.6±1.9        | 2.8±1.9        | 0.2097   |
| Non-ABCA1 efflux, %/4 h   | 5.9±1.4        | 6.2±1.6        | 6.0±1.5        | 0.0305   |
| Total EC/apoA-I ratio, %/4 h·mg <sup>-1</sup> ·dL <sup>-1</sup> | 0.068±0.017    | 0.069±0.020    | 0.070±0.021    | 0.3304   |
| ABCA1 EC/apoA-I ratio, %/4 h·mg <sup>-1</sup> ·dL <sup>-1</sup> | 0.021±0.013    | 0.021±0.014    | 0.022±0.015    | 0.1401   |
| Cardiovascular biomarker  |                |                |                |          |
| Troponin I, pg/mL   | 7030.6±10272.5 | 7895.8±12355.1 | 7522.5±14522.7 | 0.8618   |
| Fibrinogen, mg/dL   | 481.7±122.0    | 482.2±125.0    | 476.3±125.6    | 0.7588   |
| hsCRP, mg/L   | 18.9±28.9      | 18.7±23.7      | 18.4±27.5      | 0.9677   |
| IL-6, pg/mL   | 9.2±45.8       | 8.3±21.5       | 7.4±9.8        | 0.6754   |

Values are mean±SD. All analyses were based on patients with available data.

ABCA1 denotes ATP-binding cassette A1

; apoA-I, apolipoprotein A-I; EC, efflux capacity; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; IL-6, interleukin-6; and LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

\*Treatment comparison based on ANOVA with terms for treatment group.

formed, lipoprotein(a) and apolipoprotein E were not assessed after infusion.

This phase 2 safety study was underpowered to assess efficacy and was not designed to test for efficacy. For the secondary MACE end point, the power was 8.4% to detect a clinically relevant 15% risk reduction assuming a placebo event rate of 5.5% (Table VII in the [online-only Data Supplement](#)). The statistical power of other end points can be found in the [online-only Data Supplement](#). As were many phase 2 studies, this trial was undertaken primarily to assess safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics.

## Conclusions

Four weekly infusions of CSL112, a reconstituted plasma-derived apoA-I, at both low (2 g) and high (6 g) doses beginning within 7 days of acute MI and in proximity to contrast media administration were feasible, were not associated with alterations in either liver or kidney function or other significant safety concern, and were associ-

ated with immediate enhancements in cholesterol efflux capacity. Further assessment of the clinical efficacy of CSL112 for the reduction of early recurrent cardiovascular events after acute MI is warranted in an adequately powered, multicenter, randomized phase 3 trial.

## SOURCES OF FUNDING

This study was funded by the sponsor, CSL Behring LLC.

## DISCLOSURES

All authors have received research grant support from CSL Behring. Drs D'Andrea and Deckelbaum are employees of the sponsor of the trial, CSL Behring. Dr Gibson and spouse, Dr Alexander, and Dr Tricoli received consulting monies from CSL Behring. Dr Steg has research grants from Merck, Sanofi, and Servier and speaking or consulting fees from Amarin, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers-Squibb, CSL Behring, Daiichi-Sankyo, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Lilly, Merck Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron,

**Table 6. Cholesterol Efflux, HDL Cholesterol, and ApoA-I Values Immediately After Infusion of CSL112**

| Parameter  | Arithmetic Mean±SD | Fold Elevation* |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| Total cholesterol efflux capacity, %/4 h           |                    |                 |
| CSL112 2 g (n=394)                                 | 15.8±3.8           | 1.87            |
| CSL112 6 g (n=404)                                 | 20.8±3.8           | 2.45            |
| Placebo (n=403)                                    | 8.3±2.7            | 0.94            |
| ABCA1-dependent cholesterol efflux capacity, %/4 h |                    |                 |
| CSL112 2 g (n=394)                                 | 7.9±2.6            | 3.67            |
| CSL112 6 g (n=404)                                 | 8.9±2.4            | 4.30            |
| Placebo (n=403)                                    | 2.4±1.8            | 0.82            |
| ApoA-I, mg/dL                                      |                    |                 |
| CSL112 2 g (n=402)                                 | 161±33.4           | 1.29            |
| CSL112 6 g (n=406)                                 | 263±58.2           | 2.06            |
| Placebo (n=405)                                    | 121±25.7           | 0.96            |
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL                             |                    |                 |
| CSL112 2 g (n=404)                                 | 43.9±11.8          | 1.09            |
| CSL112 6 g (n=407)                                 | 52.5±12.1          | 1.27            |
| Placebo (n=405)                                    | 39.3±10.9          | 0.97            |

All analyses were based on patients with available data. ABCA1 denotes ATP-binding cassette A1; apoA-I, apolipoprotein A-I; and HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

\*Fold elevation compared with baseline, calculated as a geometric mean of the individual patient ratios.

Sanofi, Servier, and The Medicines Company. Dr Harrington has research grants and contracts from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, *Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute*, Duke, Harvard, Astra, CSL, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Portola, Sanofi-Aventis, and The Medicines Company; has received consulting and advisory fees from Adverse Events, Amgen, Element Science, Gilead, Merck, MyoKardia, The Medicines Company, Vida Health, and WebMD; and is on the board of directors for the American Heart Association, SHC, Scanadu (mobile health), and SignalPath (software).

## AFFILIATIONS

From PERFUSE Study Group, Cardiovascular Division, Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA (C.M.G., S.K., Y.D., M.Y., P.J.); Duke Clinical Research Institute, Cardiovascular Division, Department of Medicine, Duke University Health, Durham, NC (P.T., J.H.A.); INSERM-Unité 1148, France Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Bichat, France Université Paris-Diderot, Sorbonne-Paris Cité, France National Heart and Lung Institute, Paris, France (P.G.S.); Imperial College London, UK Institute of Cardiovascular Medicine and Science, and Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK (P.G.S.); Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH (A.M.L.); Department of Vascular Medicine, Academic Medical Center, University of

Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (J.J.P.K.); Cardiovascular Institute, Mount Sinai Medical Center, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York (R.M.); CSL Behring, LLC, King of Prussia, PA (D.M.D., L.I.D.); Heart and Vascular Center, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary (B.M.); Department of Cardiology, Warsaw Medical University, Warsaw, Poland (M.Z.); Department of Cardiology, Canisius Wilhelmina Ziekenhuis, Nijmegen, the Netherlands (T.O.O.); and Department of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA (R.A.H.).

## FOOTNOTES

Received September 26, 2016; accepted October 22, 2016.

The online-only Data Supplement is available with this article at <http://circ.ahajournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.025687/-/DC1>.

*Circulation* is available at <http://circ.ahajournals.org>.

## REFERENCES

1. Fox KA, Dabbous OH, Goldberg RJ, Pieper KS, Eagle KA, Van de Werf F, Avezum A, Goodman SG, Flather MD, Anderson FA Jr, Granger CB. Prediction of risk of death and myocardial infarction in the six months after presentation with acute coronary syndrome: prospective multinational observational study (GRACE). *BMJ*. 2006;333:1091–1094. doi: 10.1136/bmj.38985.646481.55.
2. Stone GW, Maehara A, Lansky AJ, de Bruyne B, Cristea E, Mintz GS, Mehran R, McPherson J, Farhat N, Marso SP, Parise H, Templin B, White R, Zhang Z, Serruys PW; PROSPECT Investigators. A prospective natural-history study of coronary atherosclerosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:226–235. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1002358.
3. Shotan A, Blondheim DS, Gottlieb S, Kazatsker M, Frimerman A, Shochat M, Garty M, Boyko V, Behar S, Meisel SR; Working Group on Intensive Cardiac Care; Israel Heart Society; Acute Coronary Syndrome Israeli Survey Investigators. Comparison of outcome of recurrent versus first ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (from national Israel surveys 1998 to 2006). *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;107:1730–1737. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2011.02.332.
4. Anderson KM, Odell PM, Wilson PW, Kannel WB. Cardiovascular disease risk profiles. *Am Heart J*. 1991;121(pt 2):293–298.
5. Wallis EJ, Ramsay LE, UI Haq I, Ghahramani P, Jackson PR, Rowland-Yeo K, Yeo WW. Coronary and cardiovascular risk estimation for primary prevention: validation of a new Sheffield table in the 1995 Scottish Health Survey population. *BMJ*. 2000;320:671–676.
6. Anderson KM, Wilson PW, Odell PM, Kannel WB. An updated coronary risk profile: a statement for health professionals. *Circulation*. 1991;83:356–362.
7. Kannel WB, Dawber TR, Kagan A, Revotskie N, Stokes J 3rd. Factors of risk in the development of coronary heart disease—six-year follow-up experience: the Framingham Study. *Ann Intern Med*. 1961;55:33–50.
8. Assmann G, Cullen P, Schulte H. Simple scoring scheme for calculating the risk of acute coronary events based on the 10-year follow-up of the Prospective Cardiovascular Münster (PROCAM) study. *Circulation*. 2002;105:310–315.
9. Ferrario M, Chiodini P, Chambless LE, Cesana G, Vanuzzo D, Panico S, Segà R, Pilotto L, Palmieri L, Giampaoli S; CUORE Project Research Group. Prediction of coronary events in a low incidence population: assessing accuracy of the CUORE Cohort Study prediction equation. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2005;34:413–421. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyh405.

10. Conroy RM, Pyörälä K, Fitzgerald AP, Sans S, Menotti A, De Backer G, De Bacquer D, Ducimetière P, Jousilahti P, Keil U, Njølstad I, Oganov RG, Thomsen T, Tunstall-Pedoe H, Tverdal A, Wedel H, Whincup P, Wilhelmson L, Graham IM; SCORE Project Group. Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: the SCORE project. *Eur Heart J*. 2003;24:987–1003.
11. Woodward M, Brindle P, Tunstall-Pedoe H; SIGN Group on Risk Estimation. Adding social deprivation and family history to cardiovascular risk assessment: the ASSIGN score from the Scottish Heart Health Extended Cohort (SHHEC). *Heart*. 2007;93:172–176. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2006.108167.
12. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Vinogradova Y, Robson J, May M, Brindle P. Derivation and validation of QRISK, a new cardiovascular disease risk score for the United Kingdom: prospective open cohort study. *BMJ*. 2007;335:136–148. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39261.471806.55.
13. Landray MJ, Haynes R, Hopewell JC, Parish S, Aung T, Tomson J, Wallendszus K, Craig M, Jiang L, Collins R, Armitage J. Effects of extended-release niacin with laropiprant in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371:203–212.
14. Barter PJ, Caulfield M, Eriksson M, Grundy SM, Kastelein JJ, Komajda M, Lopez-Sendon J, Mosca L, Tardif JC, Waters DD, Shear CL, Revkin JH, Buhr KA, Fisher MR, Tall AR, Brewer B; ILLUMINATE Investigators. Effects of torcetrapib in patients at high risk for coronary events. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357:2109–2122. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0706628.
15. Boden WE, Probstfield JL, Anderson T, Chaitman BR, Desvignes-Nickens P, Koprowicz K, McBride R, Teo K, Weintraub W. Niacin in patients with low HDL cholesterol levels receiving intensive statin therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:2255–2267.
16. Schwartz GG, Olsson AG, Abt M, Ballantyne CM, Barter PJ, Brumm J, Chaitman BR, Holme IM, Kallend D, Leiter LA, Leitersdorf E, McMurray JJ, Mundt H, Nicholls SJ, Shah PK, Tardif JC, Wright RS; dal-OUTCOMES Investigators. Effects of dalcetrapib in patients with a recent acute coronary syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367:2089–2099. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1206797.
17. Tardif JC, Ballantyne CM, Barter P, Dasseux JL, Fayad ZA, Guertin MC, Kastelein JJ, Keyserling C, Klepp H, Koenig W, L'Allier PL, Lespérance J, Lüscher TF, Paolini JF, Tawakol A, Waters DD; Can HDL Infusions Significantly Quick Atherosclerosis Regression (CHI-SQUARE) Investigators. Effects of the high-density lipoprotein mimetic agent CER-001 on coronary atherosclerosis in patients with acute coronary syndromes: a randomized trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:3277–3286. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu171.
18. Rohatgi A, Khera A, Berry JD, Givens EG, Ayers CR, Wedin KE, Neeland IJ, Yuhanna IS, Rader DR, de Lemos JA, Shaul PW. HDL cholesterol efflux capacity and incident cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371:2383–2393. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1409065.
19. Saleheen D, Scott R, Javad S, Zhao W, Rodrigues A, Picataggi A, Lukmanova D, Mucksavage ML, Luben R, Billheimer J, Kastelein JJ, Boekholdt SM, Khaw KT, Wareham N, Rader DJ. Association of HDL cholesterol efflux capacity with incident coronary heart disease events: a prospective case-control study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2015;3:507–513. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(15)00126-6.
20. Siddiqi HK, Kiss D, Rader D. HDL-cholesterol and cardiovascular disease: rethinking our approach. *Curr Opin Cardiol*. 2015;30:536–542. doi: 10.1097/HCO.0000000000000211.
21. Ray KK, Ditmarsch M, Kallend D, Niesor EJ, Suchankova G, Upmanyu R, Anzures-Cabrera J, Lehnert V, Pauly-Evers M, Holme I, Štásek J, van Hoesen MW, Jones P; dal-ACUTE Investigators. The effect of cholesteryl ester transfer protein inhibition on lipids, lipoproteins, and markers of HDL function after an acute coronary syndrome: the dal-ACUTE randomized trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:1792–1800. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu105.
22. Bounafaa A, Berrougui H, Ikhlef S, Essamadi A, Nasser B, Benis A, Yamoul N, Ghalil N, Khalil A. Alteration of HDL functionality and PON1 activities in acute coronary syndrome patients. *Clin Biochem*. 2014;47:318–325. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2014.09.001.
23. Shao B, Tang C, Sinha A, Mayer PS, Davenport GD, Brot N, Oda MN, Zhao XQ, Heinecke JW. Humans with atherosclerosis have impaired ABCA1 cholesterol efflux and enhanced high-density lipoprotein oxidation by myeloperoxidase. *Circ Res*. 2014;114:1733–1742. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.303454.
24. Diditchenko S, Gille A, Pragst I, Stadler D, Waelchli M, Hamilton R, Leis A, Wright SD. Novel formulation of a reconstituted high-density lipoprotein (CSL112) dramatically enhances ABCA1-dependent cholesterol efflux. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2013;33:2202–2211. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.113.301981.
25. Easton R, Gille A, D'Andrea D, Davis R, Wright SD, Shear C. A multiple ascending dose study of CSL112, an infused formulation of ApoA-I. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;54:301–310. doi: 10.1002/jcph.194.
26. Gille A, Easton R, D'Andrea D, Wright SD, Shear CL. CSL112 enhances biomarkers of reverse cholesterol transport after single and multiple infusions in healthy subjects. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2014;34:2106–2114. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.114.303720.
27. Tricoci P, D'Andrea DM, Gurbel PA, Yao Z, Cuchel M, Winston B, Schott R, Weiss R, Blazing MA, Cannon L, Bailey A, Angiolillo DJ, Gille A, Shear CL, Wright SD, Alexander JH. Infusion of reconstituted high-density lipoprotein, CSL112, in patients with atherosclerosis: safety and pharmacokinetic results from a phase 2a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2015;4:e002171. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.115.002171.
28. Herzog E, Pragst I, Waelchli M, Gille A, Schenk S, Mueller-Cohrs J, Diditchenko S, Zanon P, Cuchel M, Seubert A, Rader DJ, Wright SD. Reconstituted high-density lipoprotein can elevate plasma alanine aminotransferase by transient depletion of hepatic cholesterol: role of the phospholipid component. *J Appl Toxicol*. 2016;36:1038–1047. doi: 10.1002/jat.3264.
29. Tardif JC, Grégoire J, L'Allier PL, Ibrahim R, Lespérance J, Heinenon TM, Kouz S, Berry C, Basser R, Lavoie MA, Guertin MC, Rodés-Cabau J; Effect of rHDL on Atherosclerosis-Safety and Efficacy (ERASE) Investigators. Effects of reconstituted high-density lipoprotein infusions on coronary atherosclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2007;297:1675–1682. doi: 10.1001/jama.297.15.jpc70004.
30. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Simoons ML, Chaitman BR, White HD, Thygesen K, Alpert JS, White HD, Jaffe AS, Katus HA, Apple FS, Lindahl B, Morrow DA, Chaitman BR, Clemmensen PM, Johanson P, Hod H, Underwood R, Bax JJ, Bonow RO, Pinto F, Gibbons RJ, Fox KA, Atar D, Newby LK, Galvani M, Hamm CW, Uretsky BF, Steg PG, Wijns W, Bassand J-P, Menasche P, Ravkilde J, Ohman EM, Antman EM, Wallentin LC, Armstrong PW, Simoons ML, Januzzi JL, Nieminen MS, Gheorghide M, Filippatos G, Luepker RV, Fortmann SP, Rosamond WD, Levy D, Wood D, Smith SC, Hu D, Lopez-Sendon J-L, Robertson RM, Weaver D, Tendera M, Bove AA, Parkhomenko AN, Vasilieva EJ, Mendis S. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60:1581–1598.
31. Gibson CM, Korjian S, Tricoci P, Daaboul Y, Alexander JH, Steg PG, Lincoff AM, Kastelein JJ, Mehran R, D'Andrea D, Merkely B, Zarebinski M, Ophius TO, Harrington RA. Rationale and design of Apo-I Event Reduction in Ischemic Syndromes I (AEGIS-I): a phase 2b, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging trial to investigate the safety and tolerability of CSL112, a reconstituted, infusible, human apoA-I, after acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J*. 2016;180:22–28. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2016.06.017.
32. Chapman MJ, Le Goff W, Guerin M, Kontush A. Cholesteryl ester transfer protein: at the heart of the action of lipid-modulating therapy with statins, fibrates, niacin, and cholesteryl ester transfer

- protein inhibitors. *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31:149–164. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehp399.
33. AIM-HIGH Investigators, Boden WE, Probstfield JL, Anderson T, Chaitman BR, Desvignes-Nickens P, Koprowicz K, McBride R, Teo K, Weintraub W. Niacin in patients with low HDL cholesterol levels receiving intensive statin therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:2255–2267. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1107579.
  34. Bays HE, Shah A, Lin J, Sisk CM, Dong Q, Maccubbin D. Consistency of extended-release niacin/laropiprant effects on Lp(a), ApoB, non-HDL-C, ApoA1, and ApoB/ApoA1 ratio across patient subgroups. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs*. 2012;12:197–206. doi: 10.2165/11631530-000000000-00000.
  35. Maccubbin D, Bays HE, Olsson AG, Elinoff V, Elis A, Mitchel Y, Sirah W, Betteridge A, Reyes R, Yu Q, Kuznetsova O, Sisk CM, Pasternak RC, Paolini JF. Lipid-modifying efficacy and tolerability of extended-release niacin/laropiprant in patients with primary hypercholesterolaemia or mixed dyslipidaemia. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2008;62:1959–1970. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2008.01938.x.
  36. Fayad ZA, Mani V, Woodward M, Kallend D, Abt M, Burgess T, Fuster V, Ballantyne CM, Stein EA, Tardif JC, Rudd JH, Farkouh ME, Tawakol A; dal-PLAQUE Investigators. Safety and efficacy of dalcetrapib on atherosclerotic disease using novel non-invasive multimodality imaging (dal-PLAQUE): a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2011;378:1547–1559. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61383-4.
  37. Nicholls SJ, Ruotolo G, Brewer HB, Kane JP, Wang MD, Krueger KA, Adelman SJ, Nissen SE, Rader DJ. Cholesterol efflux capacity and pre-beta-1 HDL concentrations are increased in dyslipidemic patients treated with evacetrapib. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;66:2201–2210. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.09.013.
  38. Didichenko SA, Navdaev AV, Cukier AM, Gille A, Schuetz P, Spycher MO, Théron P, Chapman MJ, Kontush A, Wright SD. Enhanced HDL functionality in small HDL species produced upon remodeling of HDL by reconstituted HDL, CSL112: effects on cholesterol efflux, anti-inflammatory and antioxidative activity. *Circ Res*. 2016;119:751–763. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308685.