Predictors of Timely Access of Oncology Services and Advanced-Stage Cancer in an HIV-Endemic Setting

CAROLYN A. BROWN,a,b GITA SUNEJA,a NEOTAPELA,d,e ABIGAIL MAPES,a MALEBOGO PUSOENTSI,a MOMPATI MMALANE,b RYAN HODGEMAN,a MATTHEW BOYER,a,b ZOLA MUSIMAR,e DOREEN RAMOGOLA-MASURE,b SURBHI GROVER,1 MEMORY NSINGO-BVOCHORA,1 MUKENDI KAYEMBE,k JASON Efstathiou,1 SHAHIN LOCKMAN,a,m,n SCOTT DRYDEN-PETERSONm,n MATTHEW BOYER,a,g ZOLA MUSIMAR,e DOREEN RAMOGOLA-MASURE,b SURBHI GROVER,1 MEMORY NSINGO-BVOCHORA,1 MUKENDI KAYEMBE,k JASON Efstathiou,1 SHAHIN LOCKMAN,a,m,n SCOTT DRYDEN-PETERSONm,n

aBotswana Harvard AIDS Institute, Gaborone, Botswana; bEmory Rollins School of Public Health, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; cDepartment of Radiation Oncology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA; dUniversity of Botswana School of Medicine, Gaborone, Botswana; eDepartment of Oncology, Princess Marina Hospital, Gaborone, Botswana; fMinistry of Health Botswana, Gaborone, Botswana; gJoan C. Edwards School of Medicine, Marshall University, Huntington, West Virginia, USA; hBotswana-UPenn Partnership Health Program, Gaborone, Botswana; iDepartment of Radiation Oncology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; jDepartment of Oncology, Gaborone Private Hospital, Gaborone, Botswana; kAnatomic Pathology, National Health Laboratory, Gaborone, Botswana; lDepartment of Radiation Oncology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; mDivision of Infectious Diseases, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; nHarvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Disclosures of potential conflicts of interest may be found at the end of this article.

Key Words. HIV • Botswana • Cancer • Timely oncology care • Advanced-stage cancer

ABSTRACT

Background. Three-quarters of cancer deaths occur in resource-limited countries, and delayed presentation contributes to poor outcome. In Botswana, where more than half of cancers arise in HIV-infected individuals, we sought to explore predictors of timely oncology care and evaluate the hypothesis that engagement in longitudinal HIV care improves access.

Methods. Consenting patients presenting for oncology care from October 2010 to September 2014 were interviewed and their records were reviewed. Cox and logistic models were used to examine the effect of HIV and other predictors on time to oncology care and presentation with advanced cancer (stage III or IV).

Results. Of the 1,146 patients analyzed, 584 (51%) had HIV and 615 (54%) had advanced cancer. The initial clinic visit occurred a mean of 144 days (median 29, interquartile range 0–185) after symptom onset, but subsequent mean time to oncology care was 406 days (median 160, interquartile range 59–653). HIV status was not significantly associated with time to oncology care (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 0.91, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.79–1.06). However, patients who reported using traditional medicine/healers engaged in oncology care significantly faster (aHR 1.23, 95% CI 1.09–1.40) and those with advanced cancer entered care earlier (aHR 1.48, 95% CI 1.30–1.70). Factors significantly associated with advanced cancer included income <$50 per month (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.35, 95% CI 1.05–1.75), male sex (aOR 1.45, 95% CI 1.12–1.87), and pain as the presenting symptom (aOR 1.39, 95% CI 1.03–1.88).

Conclusion. Longitudinal HIV care did not reduce the substantial delay to cancer treatment. Research focused on reducing health system delay through coordination and navigation is needed. The Oncologist 2016;21:731–738

Implications for Practice: The majority (54%) of patients in this large cohort from Botswana presented with advanced-stage cancer despite universal access to free health care. Median time from first symptom to specialized oncology care was 13 months. For HIV-infected patients (51% of total), regular longitudinal contact with the health system, through quarterly doctor visits for HIV management, was not successful in providing faster linkages into oncology care. However, patients who used traditional medicine/healers engaged in cancer care faster, indicating potential for leveraging traditional healers as partners in early cancer detection. New strategies are urgently needed to facilitate diagnosis and timely treatment of cancer in low- and middle-income countries.

INTRODUCTION

The global burden of cancer is increasing, with a more than 50% increase in cancer deaths since 1990 [1]. The increasing global cancer burden is caused partly by population growth and demographic shifts in many developing countries and partly by modifiable risk factors associated with cancer such as smoking and obesity [2–4]. Strikingly, more than half of new cancer deaths occur in resource-limited countries, and delayed presentation contributes to poor outcome. In Botswana, where more than half of cancers arise in HIV-infected individuals, we sought to explore predictors of timely oncology care and evaluate the hypothesis that engagement in longitudinal HIV care improves access.
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cancer diagnoses and 72% of cancer deaths occur in resource-limited settings [5]. For women in Africa, the lifetime risk of dying from cancer by the age of 65 is almost double that of western European women [6].

Botswana, a middle-income country in southern Africa, faces a multifaceted challenge in controlling cancer nationally. Demographic shifts resulting in lifestyle changes that often carry carcinogenic risks [5] have been compounded by a severe HIV epidemic—22% of adults (age 15–49) are infected [7]—further raising risks of cancer, particularly immune- and infection-mediated cancers [8]. Botswana has achieved higher HIV treatment coverage than nearly all high-income countries [9], but despite this robust access to combination antiretroviral therapy (ART), HIV-infected individuals remain three to five times more likely to develop cancer than age-matched uninfected counterparts [9, 10]. Individuals receiving ART are seen quarterly by a doctor and present to clinics monthly for medication refills. The HIV-infected population in Botswana provides an opportunity to evaluate the impact of engagement in regular medical care on the timeliness of oncology care.

Early detection and prompt treatment are critical to improving health outcomes in cancer patients [11, 12]. Previous work has targeted a reduction in time to diagnosis to improve survival [13]. In more developed settings, the median time from symptom onset to cancer treatment ranges from 3 to 5 months, with delays being both patient and system driven and varying by cancer type [14–16]. However, resource-limited settings often lack screening infrastructure, sufficient treatment centers, and therapeutic options, and patients tend to be diagnosed with later-stage cancers, which cumulatively has negative effects on survival [2, 17]. In addition, in settings suffering from high HIV prevalence (such as Botswana), it is important to understand the potential role of HIV and related factors in shaping cancer care trajectories and outcomes.

We sought to describe barriers and facilitators to timely oncology care in a resource-limited country with high HIV burden. We hypothesized that longitudinal care of HIV-infected individuals would facilitate care and reduce delays to initiation of specialized oncology treatment care.

**Methods**

**Sampling and Data Collection**

The data analyzed were taken directly from a prospective cohort of patients entering specialized cancer treatment in Botswana (the Botswana Prospective Cancer Cohort [BPCC]), which has previously been described [18]. We included adult patients enrolled at Princess Marina Hospital (October 2010 to September 2014) and Gaborone Private Hospital (November 2012 to September 2014). These hospitals provide oncology care for the 1.3 million residents living in southern Botswana, or 65% of the national population. Consent-seeking patients are interviewed about demographic characteristics and cancer risk factors, medical records are abstracted, data regarding symptom/treatment history and potential cancer risk factors are collected, and patients are followed prospectively. History of symptoms of cancer and diagnostic evaluation is obtained retrospectively at the time of enrollment. Study staff conduct HIV testing for patients who have not received an HIV test in the previous 6 months, unless they refuse.

To develop an estimate of the cancer cases arising during the study period, we used the Botswana National Cancer Registry, a high-quality registry as noted by the African Cancer Registry Network [19]. We obtained the number of monthly recorded cancer cases in the catchment of the study facilities [20], and we assumed that this number remained constant during the study period. We also assumed that if all cases obtained specialized cancer care that the cases would be split 70:30 between Princess Marina Hospital and Gaborone Private Hospital (estimated from BPCC enrollment distribution).

**Analytical Methods**

**Covariate Descriptions**

Thirteen covariates from the cohort baseline survey were chosen for analysis and are described as follows. For the purposes of this analysis, participants earning an income of less than 500 pula/month (currently approximately US$52/month) were classified as below poverty level, which best approximates “moderate poverty” of US$2/day as designated by the World Bank [21]. Other covariates included education level, HIV status, biological sex, marital status, setting of residence, use of traditional medicine, and family history of cancer. Covariates regarding participants’ health and cancer included site of cancer, initial presenting symptom, restricted function (low performance status), bodily pain at time of enrollment in cancer care, and cancer stage at enrollment in cancer care. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status was assessed by trained research assistants and assigned on a 6-point scale, from 0 (asymptomatic) to 5 (death) [22]. Patients who were classified as symptomatic (1 or higher) at the time of entry into oncology care were considered to have restricted function, as we sought to identify the association of any symptom progression (versus no symptoms) with entry into care. Cancer diagnosis was made using a variety of available information, including histology of primary site (815), histology of metastasis (14), cytology/hematology (21), clinical assessment only (125), clinical assessment and radiology report (14), autopsy with histology (5), biochemical/immunological test (1), and surgical report (2). Cancers were staged according to the tumor-node-metastasis staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer [23]. Staging evaluation varied by cancer site, but routinely included an exam and imaging with chest x-ray and abdominal ultrasound. Cancers were categorized as localized (stages I and II) and advanced (stages III and IV). Kaposi sarcoma categorized as poor prognosis by the AIDS Clinical Trials Group was considered advanced [24]. Unstaged esophageal cancers that were deemed inoperable were classified as advanced. For univariate analyses including HIV status, participants with unknown HIV status were excluded. For analyses in which HIV status is included as a control, patients who were HIV uninfected or with unknown HIV status were combined to represent those with no known HIV infection. In those cases, sensitivity analyses resulted in similar effect estimates and justified the inclusion of participants with unknown HIV status with those confirmed to be HIV negative.

**Time to Enrollment in Oncology Care**

Four interval periods described the time from cancer symptom onset to treatment. These four time frames include (a) onset of
first cancer symptom to first local clinic visit; (b) first local clinic visit to cancer diagnosis; (c) cancer diagnosis to cancer treatment initiation; and (d) summation of the previous three (onset of first cancer symptom to cancer treatment initiation) (see Fig. 1). The fourth interval, days until treatment, was the primary outcome. Enrollment in oncology care was defined as enrollment into the BPCC cohort, as patients are recruited from their initial visits with specialized oncology providers. Negative time intervals, indicating inconsistent chronology obtained during interviews, were excluded from analysis, and intervals were truncated at 95% to minimize the impact of several extreme values.

**Analytic Methods**
Data were analyzed using Stata statistical software version 12.1 (College Station, TX, http://www.stata.com). The primary outcome in these analyses was days from onset of first cancer symptom to enrollment in oncology care. Differences in median time delays by HIV status were tested using Wilcoxon rank sum. Individual tests were run for the four different time intervals, stratified by each covariate above to assess associations between delays in care and participant characteristics.

Survival analysis was conducted with time to the primary outcome defined as the number of days from first cancer symptom to enrollment in oncology care. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were generated for all covariates, and univariate log-rank tests were assessed for each. All covariates with p value < 0.20 in univariate analysis were included in the model building for a Cox proportional hazards model. This Cox regression included the covariates HIV, use of traditional medicine, biological sex, marital status, age, and pain as initial symptom. Interaction terms were tested to assess differences by biological sex in the association between other covariates and odds of presenting with advanced cancer.

**Factors Associated With Advanced Cancer**
Stepwise backward selection was used to fit a logistic regression model examining factors associated with advanced cancer at enrollment in oncology care. Type I error was set to α = 0.05, and all covariates were assessed. The final model included poverty, cancer site, biological sex, marital status, age, and pain as initial symptom. Interaction terms were tested to assess differences by biological sex in the association between other covariates and odds of presenting with advanced cancer.

**Ethics**
The study received approval for research on human subjects from the Health Research Development Committee (Ethics Committee) at the Botswana Ministry of Health and the institutional review board of the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health. Participants provided written informed consent.

**RESULTS**

**Demographics**
A total of 1,146 patients presenting for specialized cancer care were included in the analysis of an estimated 2,947 cancers that would be expected to have arisen in the catchment during the study period. Enrolled patients included 584 (51.0%) HIV infected, 417 (36.4%) HIV uninfected, and 145 (12.6%) with unknown HIV status (never tested, refused testing, or unwilling to report). Of HIV-infected patients, 424 (72.6%) were receiving ART at time of enrollment, 56 (9.6%) were not on ART, and 104 (17.8%) had insufficient documentation to determine ART status. Compared with HIV-uninfected participants, HIV-infected patients were younger (p < .001) and more likely to have monthly income of more than 500 pula (p = .05), to have no reported traditional medicine use (p < .01), to be married (p < .001), to have had at least some secondary-level education (p < .001), and to be employed (p = .001). Key characteristics are summarized by HIV status in Table 1.

**Time to Enrollment in Oncology Care**
There were no significant differences in the median time from onset of cancer symptoms to enrollment in specialized care between individuals who were HIV infected versus HIV uninfected: median 389 days (interquartile range [IQR] 196–1,002) and 414 days (IQR 193–1,040), respectively (p = .29) (Table 1). There was no significant difference in time to entry into oncology care by HIV status (adjusted hazard ratio
Table 1. Participant characteristics by HIV status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable and category</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>HIV-positive</th>
<th>HIV-negative/unknown</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>1,145</td>
<td>5834 (51)</td>
<td>562 (49)</td>
<td>.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median time from symptom to treatment (days)</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (yrs)</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>205 (35.2)</td>
<td>185 (32.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>378 (64.8)</td>
<td>377 (67.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>361 (62.1)</td>
<td>193 (35.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or cohabiting</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>173 (29.8)</td>
<td>247 (44.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10 (1.7)</td>
<td>12 (2.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>37 (6.4)</td>
<td>98 (17.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>66 (11.4)</td>
<td>146 (26.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>209 (36.0)</td>
<td>225 (40.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>247 (42.5)</td>
<td>103 (18.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>59 (10.2)</td>
<td>76 (13.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>267 (46.0)</td>
<td>178 (32.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>314 (54.0)</td>
<td>372 (67.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 500 pula/mo</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>333 (57.3)</td>
<td>370 (67.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 500 pula/mo</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>248 (42.7)</td>
<td>180 (32.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of traditional medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>180 (30.9)</td>
<td>220 (39.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never uses</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>402 (69.1)</td>
<td>331 (60.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City/town</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>123 (21.2)</td>
<td>79 (14.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village/farm</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>458 (78.8)</td>
<td>471 (85.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer stage at presentation(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>331 (56.7)</td>
<td>284 (50.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not advanced</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>253 (43.3)</td>
<td>278 (49.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No/don’t know</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>491 (84.5)</td>
<td>424 (77.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>90 (15.5)</td>
<td>126 (22.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance status(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not poor</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>60 (10.3)</td>
<td>54 (9.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1,022</td>
<td>524 (89.7)</td>
<td>498 (90.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n (crude %) unless noted otherwise. p values reflect chi-square differences between HIV-positive and HIV-negative/unknown groups for each.

\(^a\) Cancers were staged according to the tumor-node-metastasis staging system, maintained by the Union for International Cancer Control and the American Joint Committee on Cancer [26]. Cancers stage III or IV were categorized as advanced, and cancers stage I or II were considered not advanced. Kaposi sarcoma and esophageal cancers were automatically considered advanced because of disease characteristics.

\(^b\) Performance status was assessed by trained research assistants and assigned on a 6-point scale, from 0 (asymptomatic) to 5 (death). Patients who were classified as 1 or higher (symptomatic) at the time of entry into oncology care were considered to have poor performance status.

\([aHR] 0.96, 95\% confidence interval [CI] 0.82–1.12; p = .58\) (Fig. 2), and HIV status was not associated with any of the four time intervals. Use of traditional medicine \((aHR 1.23, 95\% CI 1.09–1.40, p = .001)\) and advanced-stage cancer \((aHR 1.48, 95\% CI 1.30–1.70, p < .001)\) were associated with a shorter time to initiation of oncology care (Table 2).

The interaction term used to test for confounding of ART treatment on the relationship between HIV status and days from initial symptom to entry into specialized oncology care showed that there was no difference in time to entry into care for those engaged in ART \((p = .69)\).

Mean and median days of the four time intervals were calculated for all participants, with longest delays seen between the first clinic visit and cancer diagnosis (Fig. 1). Median time from cancer symptom onset to cancer treatment initiation was 401 days, or approximately 13 months. Median
time from cancer symptom onset to cancer treatment initiation is shown by cancer site in Table 3.

Factors Associated With Advanced Cancer
The majority (54%) of patients in this cohort presented with advanced cancer despite universal access to free health care. Bivariate analyses for factors associated with advanced stage can be seen in Figure 3, which depicts a forest plot with unadjusted odds ratios (ORs). As seen in the multivariate analysis depicted in Table 4, when holding included factors constant, those with household income less than 500 pula per month had 35% higher odds of presenting with advanced cancer (aOR 1.35, 95% CI 1.05–1.75, p = .019). Men had 45% greater odds than women of presenting with advanced cancer (aOR 1.45, 95% CI 1.12–1.87, p = .005), and those married or cohabiting had 27% decreased odds (aOR 0.73, 95% CI 0.57–0.94, p = .016). Finally, those patients who reported pain as the first cancer symptom had 1.39 times the odds of presenting with advanced cancer (aOR 1.39, 95% CI 1.03–1.88, p = .032). Interaction terms between biological sex and other model covariates were all nonsignificant.

**DISCUSSION**
Among patients needing specialized oncology care in Botswana, substantial delays were encountered, irrespective of

---

**Table 2.** Factors associated with time to enrollment in oncology care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Unadjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>aHR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>1.17 (1.03–1.31)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.82–1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of traditional medicine</td>
<td>1.18 (1.04–1.33)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.09–1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/severe pain at presentation</td>
<td>1.07 (0.95–1.22)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.90–1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income at least 500 pula/mo</td>
<td>0.95 (0.84–1.07)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.86–1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced cancer</td>
<td>1.70 (1.51–1.93)</td>
<td>1.48 (1.30–1.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at enrollment</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99–1.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cancer site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin lymphoma or Hodgkin disease</td>
<td>3.39 (2.59–4.44)</td>
<td>3.28 (2.48–4.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaposi sarcoma</td>
<td>2.04 (1.68–2.49)</td>
<td>1.65 (1.31–2.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and neck</td>
<td>2.41 (1.85–3.14)</td>
<td>2.24 (1.69–2.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervix</td>
<td>1.93 (1.61–2.31)</td>
<td>1.86 (1.53–2.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>2.04 (1.67–2.49)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.45–2.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal</td>
<td>3.44 (2.48–4.79)</td>
<td>3.07 (2.15–4.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum, anus, penis, or other female genital</td>
<td>1.73 (1.35–2.22)</td>
<td>1.70 (1.31–2.21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factors included in the Cox proportional hazards survival analysis, with endpoint being entry into specialized oncology care. Adjusted hazard ratios are adjusted for all variables included in the table.

Abbreviations: aHR, adjusted hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

---

**Figure 2.** Kaplan-Meier failure curve for time from symptom onset to enrollment in specialized oncology care by HIV status. The x-axis is truncated at 5 years to show effect. Patients with unknown HIV status were excluded. Differences were not significant, p > .05.

---

Both the use of traditional medicine and advanced cancer upon diagnosis were associated with decreased delays into specialized oncology care. Patients who reported ever using traditional medicine experienced less time to treatment initiation. This finding challenges assumptions that use of traditional healers hinders entry into formalized medical care [28, 29]; a possible explanation is that consideration of traditional medicine use is a marker of health-seeking behavior more generally. Patients with rapidly progressing symptoms and advanced disease entered into specialized care earlier, perhaps because the patients were more likely to seek care and/or the local clinics were more likely to refer the patient to specialized oncology departments; however, this association should be examined further. These findings may suggest an issue around cancer symptom recognition at the clinic level and triage of cancer care based on presenting symptoms. The patients receiving the most timely care are those with advanced disease and symptom progression. Although it is essential to continue to diagnose and quickly treat these patients, additional efforts are needed to identify disease among patients with early-stage cancers to prevent disease progression and improve outcomes among the larger population.

Finally, considering the role of early detection on favorable treatment outcomes, we assessed factors associated with advanced cancers. Men and patients citing pain as the first cancer symptom were more likely to present with advanced disease. Other studies have demonstrated sex differences in health-seeking behaviors and cancer outcomes among cancer patients [13, 30, 31], with men more likely to use gender-specific rationales to explain reluctance in seeking care [13]. Investigation into gender dynamics in Botswana and the ways in which these impact health and cancer outcomes could further elucidate these findings. Participants reporting income less than 500 pula per month were more likely to present with advanced disease, which corroborates existing data linking low income and socioeconomic status to worse cancer outcomes and late-stage presentation in various settings [32–36]. Targeted screening and improved access to care for patients with low socioeconomic status is critical for more rapid diagnosis of cancer and improved treatment outcomes in Botswana.

There are several limitations of these analyses. A key limitation is that only patients who receive some specialized oncology care are enrolled into the cohort (i.e., not patients treated for Kaposi sarcoma with ART alone in their HIV clinic), as recruitment occurs within these oncology clinics. The design does not allow assessment of barriers experienced by patients who never receive a diagnosis or the large fraction who never present to oncology care. Future work is urgently needed to describe the experiences of this group and potential strategies for engagement. Some patients may have received surgical care after diagnosis and before entering into specialized oncology care clinics, so days to treatment initiation in these cases may be slightly overestimated, although likely only by several days to a couple of weeks. Another limitation is that presenting symptom and date of presenting symptom were collected retrospectively and were most commonly self-reported. Validation occurs through examination of patient medical records, but the effect of recall bias should be considered for these two factors. Finally, at the time of analysis, the cohort was not yet enrolling patients

### Table 3. Median days to enrollment in oncology care by cancer site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer site</th>
<th>Median days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin lymphoma or Hodgkin disease</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaposi sarcoma</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and neck</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervix</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum, anus, penis, or other female genital</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HIV status. HIV positivity was higher in this cohort than in the general population, as would be expected because of established links between HIV and cancer [8], but HIV positivity was not significantly associated with decreased time to entry into specialized cancer care. Notably, less than half the expected number of cancer cases in the catchment population were enrolled in the BPCC, suggesting that large proportions of patients with cancer never receive any specialized cancer care.

These findings refute the hypothesis that HIV-positive patients enter into specialized cancer care with fewer delays, in spite of regularly scheduled appointments with health care professionals for ART review. This finding is surprising, as Botswana national HIV treatment guidelines [25] advise vigilance for cancer. Possible reasons for lack of impact of longitudinal care could be low clinical suspicion that hinders earlier detection of cancer among HIV-infected patients, unfamiliarity with cancer symptoms among health care providers or patients, or conflating of cancer symptoms with HIV outcomes.

Studies in other settings have demonstrated the importance of cancer detection in HIV patients and have documented that HIV-infected patients presenting with symptoms of lung cancer to HIV clinics experience delays in cancer diagnosis because cancer is not entertained as a likely etiology [26, 27]. We expect this may be the case for some HIV-associated cancers in Botswana, especially when symptoms overlap with more common AIDS-defining conditions such as tuberculosis. Regular ART review poses a unique place to implement routine point-of-care cancer screening and oncology referral for HIV-infected patients, but hinges on the training and awareness of health care professionals. Although time from symptom onset to entry into oncology care was not affected by HIV status, these findings nonetheless demonstrate the need for faster diagnosis and referral to oncology for all cancer patients in Botswana.

Median time from cancer symptom onset to cancer treatment initiation was substantially longer among this population than in other settings. This population experienced a median delay of 13 months, contrasting with more developed areas that have reported time frames of approximately 3–5 months [14–16]. The greatest time interval was found to be between first clinic visit and cancer diagnosis, indicating the importance of addressing delays once patients have entered into health care as a result of their cancer symptoms. Most of this time is likely to be accounted for by care patients receive at local clinics, although some may be accounted for by care received in larger referral hospitals, and future research should delineate more precisely in which clinic settings these delays occur.
in Francistown, so the data may be most reflective of Gaborone and surrounding areas.

Despite these limitations, these data are the first to identify factors associated with delayed entry into cancer care and presentation with advanced-stage disease in Botswana. To improve cancer outcomes in Botswana, increased emphasis should be placed on screening and early detection of symptoms. Training clinic staff about cancer symptoms and disease progression may lead to increased rates of referral and improved outcomes for cancer patients. Additionally, public health awareness targeted to patients, particularly those at higher risk of cancer due to HIV infection, family history, or engagement in risky health behaviors, should be promoted. Because of the finding that the longest delays were encountered after the initial clinic visit, efforts to promote cancer symptom awareness among health care staff should focus largely on decentralized clinic settings. Additionally, the possibility of including traditional healers in educational campaigns about cancer symptoms and linkages to specialized oncology care could be explored in Botswana, as traditional healers could be leveraged as referral tools and partners in early cancer detection. Interestingly, HIV status did not play a role in timeliness of cancer care, demonstrating that despite a successful, comprehensive ART program in Botswana, regular clinic visits for people living with HIV do not necessarily translate into improved health outcomes for other conditions such as cancer.

Substantial delays in entry into oncology treatment were encountered in Botswana, but by implementing targeted screening interventions for those most vulnerable and promoting cancer symptom awareness among health care providers, cancer treatment outcomes and survival could improve drastically.
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**Figure 3.** Factors associated with advanced cancer at presentation. Unadjusted ORs; bars represent 95% CIs. Cancers stage III or IV according to the tumor-node-metastasis staging system were categorized as advanced, and cancers stage I or II were considered not advanced. Kaposi sarcoma and esophageal cancers were automatically considered advanced because of disease characteristics.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; hx, history; mod/sev, moderate to severe; OR, odds ratio.

**Table 4.** Factors associated with advanced cancer at presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>aOR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income less than 500 pula/mo</td>
<td>1.35 (1.06–1.72)</td>
<td>1.35 (1.05–1.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>1.41 (1.10–1.81)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.12–1.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or cohabiting</td>
<td>0.75 (0.59–0.96)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.57–0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain was initial cancer symptom</td>
<td>1.50 (1.11–2.01)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.03–1.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at enrollment</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99–1.01)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99–1.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted ORs are adjusted for all variables included in table.

Abbreviations: aOR adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; OR odds ratio.