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Abstract

Background—The Mediterranean and DASH diets have been shown to slow cognitive decline, however, neither diet is specific to the nutrition literature on dementia prevention.

Methods—We devised the MIND diet score that specifically captures dietary components shown to be neuroprotective and related it to change in cognition over an average 4.7 years among 960 participants of the Memory and Aging Project.

Results—In adjusted mixed models, the MIND score was positively associated with slower decline in global cognitive score (β=0.0092; p<.0001) and with each of 5 cognitive domains. The difference in decline rates for being in the top tertile of MIND diet scores versus the lowest was equivalent to being 7.5 years younger in age.

Conclusion—The study findings suggest that the MIND diet substantially slows cognitive decline with age. Replication of these findings in a dietary intervention trial would be required to verify its relevance to brain health.
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INTRODUCTION

Dementia is now the 6th leading cause of death in the U.S. and the prevention of cognitive decline, the hallmark feature of dementia, is a public health priority. It is estimated that delaying disease onset by just 5 years will reduce the cost and prevalence by half. Diet interventions have the potential to be effective preventive strategies. Two randomized trials of the cultural-based Mediterranean diet and of the blood pressure lowering DASH diet (Dietary Approach to Systolic Hypertension) observed protective effects on cognitive decline. We devised a new diet that is tailored to protection of the brain, called MIND (Mediterranean-DASH Diet Intervention for Neurodegenerative Delay). The diet is styled after the Mediterranean and DASH diets but with modifications based on the most compelling findings in the diet-dementia field. For example, a number of prospective studies observed slower decline in cognitive abilities with high consumption of vegetables, and in the two U.S. studies, the greatest protection was from green leafy vegetables. Further, all of these studies found no association of overall fruit consumption with cognitive decline. However, animal models and one large prospective cohort study indicate that at least one particular type of fruit – berries - may protect the brain against cognitive loss. Thus, among the unique components of the MIND diet score are that it specifies consumption of green leafy vegetables and berries but does not score other types of fruit. In this study, we related the MIND diet score to cognitive decline in the Memory and Aging Project (MAP) and compared the estimated effects to those of the Mediterranean and DASH diets, dietary patterns that we previously reported were protective against cognitive decline among the MAP study participants.

METHODS

Study Population

The analytic sample is drawn from the Rush Memory and Aging Project (MAP), a study of residents of more than 40 retirement communities and senior public housing units in the Chicago area. Details of the MAP study were published previously. Briefly, the ongoing open cohort study began in 1997 and includes annual clinical neurological examinations. At enrollment, participants are free of known dementia and agree to annual clinical evaluation and organ donation after death. We excluded persons with dementia based on accepted clinical criteria as previously described. Participants meeting criteria for mild cognitive impairment (n=220) were not excluded except in secondary analyses. From February 2004- 2013, the MAP study participants were invited to complete food frequency questionnaires at the time of their annual clinical evaluations. During that period, a total of 1,545 older persons had enrolled in the MAP study, 90 died and 149 withdrew before the diet study began, leaving 1306 participants eligible for these analyses. Of these, 1068 completed the dietary questionnaires of which 960 survived and had at least two cognitive assessments for the analyses of change. The analytic sample was 95% white and 98.5% non-Hispanic. The Institutional Review Board of Rush University Medical Center approved the study, and all participants gave written informed consent.
Cognitive Assessments

Each participant underwent annual structured clinical evaluations including cognitive testing. Technicians, trained and certified according to standardized neuropsychological testing methods, administered 21 tests, 19 of which summarized cognition in five cognitive domains (episodic memory, working memory, semantic memory, visuospatial ability, and perceptual speed) as described previously. Composite scores were computed for each cognitive domain and for a global measure of all 19 tests. Raw scores for each test were standardized using the mean and standard deviation from the baseline population scores, and the standardized scores averaged. The number of annual cognitive assessments analyzed for participants ranged from 2 to 10 with 52% of sample participants having 5 or more cognitive assessments.

Diet Assessment

FFQs were collected at each annual clinical evaluation. For these prospective analyses of the estimated dietary effects on cognitive change, we used the first obtained FFQ to relate dietary scores to cognitive change from that point forward. Longitudinal analyses of change in MIND diet score using all available FFQs in a linear mixed model indicated a very small but statistically significant decrease in MIND score of −0.026 (p=0.02) compared to the intercept MIND diet score of 7.37.

Diet scores were computed from responses to a modified Harvard semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) that was validated for use in older Chicago community residents. The FFQ ascertains usual frequency of intake over the previous 12 months of 144 food items. For some food items, natural portion sizes (e.g. 1 banana) were used to determine serving sizes and calorie and nutrient levels. Serving sizes for other food items were based on sex-specific mean portion sizes reported by the oldest men and women of national surveys.

MIND Diet Score

The MIND diet score was developed in three stages: 1) determination of dietary components of the Mediterranean and DASH diets including the foods and nutrients shown to be important to incident dementia and cognitive decline through detailed reviews of the literature, 2) selection of FFQ items that were relevant to each MIND diet component, and 3) determination of daily servings to be assigned to component scores guided by published studies on diet and dementia. Among the MIND diet components are 10 brain healthy food groups (green leafy vegetables, other vegetables, nuts, berries, beans, whole grains, seafood, poultry, olive oil and wine) and 5 unhealthy food groups (red meats, butter and stick margarine, cheese, pastries and sweets, and fried/fast food). Olive oil consumption was scored 1 if identified by the participant as the primary oil usually used at home and 0 otherwise. For all other diet score components we summed the frequency of consumption of each food item portion associated with that component and then assigned a concordance score of 0, 0.5, or 1. (Table 1) The total MIND diet score was computed by summing over all 15 of the component scores.
DASH and Mediterranean Diet Scores

We used the DASH diet scoring of the ENCORE trial\textsuperscript{23} in which 10 dietary components were each scored 0, 0.5, or 1 and summed for a total score ranging from 0 (lowest) to 10 (highest) diet concordance. The Mediterranean Diet Score was that described by Panagiotakos et al.\textsuperscript{3} that includes 11 dietary components each scored 0 to 5 that are summed for a total score ranging from 0 to 55 (highest dietary concordance). We used serving quantities specific to the traditional Greek Mediterranean diet\textsuperscript{3} to score concordance in contrast to the use of sex-specific within population median servings employed by other studies so that the scoring metric aligned with the actual Mediterranean diet.

Covariates—Total energy intake was computed based on responses of frequency of consumption of the FFQ food items. Non-dietary variables were obtained from structured interview questions and measurements at the participants’ annual clinical evaluations. Age (in years) was computed from self-reported birth date and date of the first cognitive assessment in this analysis. Education was based on self-reported years of regular schooling. Apolipoprotein E genotyping was performed using high throughput sequencing as previously described.\textsuperscript{24} Smoking history was categorized as never, past and current smoker. All other covariates were based on data collected at the time of each cognitive assessment and were modeled as time-varying covariates to represent updated information from participants’ previous evaluations. A variable for frequency of participation in cognitively stimulating activities was computed as the average frequency rating, based on a 5-point scale, of different activities (e.g. reading, playing games, writing letters, visiting the library).\textsuperscript{25} Hours per week of physical activity was computed based on the sum of self-reported minutes spent over the previous two weeks on five activities (walking for exercise, yard work, calisthenics, biking, and water exercise).\textsuperscript{26} Number of depressive symptoms was assessed by a modified 10-item version of the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression scale\textsuperscript{27} that has been related to incident dementia. Body mass index (weight in kg/height in m\textsuperscript{2}) was computed from measured weight and height and modeled as two indicator variables, BMI $\leq$20 and BMI $\geq$20. Hypertension history was determined by self-reported medical diagnosis, measured blood pressure (average of 2 measurements $\geq$160 mmHg systolic or $\geq$90 mmHg diastolic) or current use of hypertensive medications. Myocardial infarction history was based on self-reported medical diagnosis or interviewer recorded use of cardiac glycosides (e.g. lanoxin, digitoxin). Diabetes history was determined by self-reported medical diagnosis or current use of medications. Medication use was based on interviewer inspection. Clinical diagnosis of stroke was based on clinician review of self-reported history, neurological examination and cognitive testing history.\textsuperscript{28}

Statistical Methods—We used separate linear mixed models with random effects in SAS© to examine the relations of the MIND diet score to change in the global cognitive score and in each cognitive domain score. The basic-adjusted model included terms for age, sex, education, APOE-ε4, smoking history, physical activity, participation in cognitive activities, total energy intake, MIND diet score, a variable for time, and multiplicative terms between time and each model covariate, the latter providing the covariate effect on cognitive decline. For all analyses, we investigated both linear (MIND diet score modeled as a continuous term) and non-linear associations (MIND diet score modeled in tertiles) with the
cognitive scores. Because the study results were identical for the two sets of models, we report the effect estimates for the continuous linear term in tables and text and the tertile estimates in Figure 1. Non-static covariates (e.g. cognitive and physical activities, BMI, depressive symptoms and cardiovascular conditions) were modeled as time-varying except when they were analyzed as potential effect modifiers in which case only the baseline measure for that covariate was modeled. Tests for statistical interaction by potential effect modifiers were computed in the basic-adjusted model by modeling 2-way and 3-way multiplicative terms between MIND diet score, time, and the effect modifier, with the 3-way multiplicative term test for interaction set at $p \leq 0.05$. We compared the relative effects of the MIND, Mediterranean and DASH diet scores on cognitive decline by computing standardized $\beta$ coefficients ($\beta$ to 4 decimal places /standard error) for each diet score based on the parameter estimates of the basic model. We then performed formal statistical tests using Meng et al.’s $^{29}$ revision of Hotelling’s $^{30}$ procedure for comparing two non-independent correlation coefficients, in this case, the correlations between the diet scores and cognitive change from the basic model. To provide an estimate of the equivalent age difference in years to the difference in decline rates for tertiles 3 and 1 of the MIND diet score, we computed the ratio of the beta coefficients $\left[ \beta \text{ (time*age)} / \beta \text{ (time*tertile 3 MIND score)} \right]$ in the basic-adjusted model.

RESULTS

The analytic sample was on average 81.4 ($\pm$ 7.2) years of age, primarily female (75%) and with a mean educational level of 14.9 ($\pm$2.9) years, and was demographically comparable to the entire MAP cohort of 1,545 participants (mean age, 80.1 years; 73% female; mean education, 14.4 years). Computed MIND scores from food frequency data on MAP study participants averaged 7.4 (range: 2.5–12.5). MIND diet scores were positively correlated with both the Mediterranean ($r=0.62$) and the DASH ($r=0.50$) diet scores. MAP participants with the highest MIND diet scores tended to have a more favorable risk profile for preserving cognitive abilities including higher education, greater participation in cognitive and physical activities and lower prevalence of cardiovascular conditions. (Table 2)

The overall rate of change in cognitive score was a decline of 0.08 standardized score units (SU) per year. In mixed models adjusted for age, sex, education, total energy intake APOE-$\varepsilon$4, smoking history, physical activity and participation in cognitive activities, the MIND diet score was positively and statistically significantly associated with slower rate of cognitive decline. (Table 3) Compared to the decline rate of participants in the lowest tertile of scores, the rate for participants in the highest tertile was substantially slower. (Figure 1) The difference in rates was the equivalent of being 7.5 years younger in age. The MIND diet score was statistically significantly associated with each cognitive domain, particularly for episodic memory, semantic memory and perceptual speed. (Table 3)

The Mediterranean and DASH diets have demonstrated effects on the reduction of cardiovascular conditions and risk factors$^{31–34}$ which raises the possibility that the MIND diet association with cognitive decline may be through its effects on cardiovascular disease. To investigate potential mediation by these factors we reanalyzed the basic model for the global cognitive score and each cognitive domain score with the inclusion of terms for
hypertension, stroke, myocardial infarction, and diabetes, however, the effect estimates did not change. (Table 3)

Depression and weight have complex relations with dementia; they are known both as risk factors (depression, obesity) and as outcomes of the disease (depressive symptoms, weight loss). Both factors are also affected by diet quality. Therefore, we examined in the basic model what impact additional control for these variables might have on the observed association between the MIND diet score and cognitive decline but these adjustments also did not change the results for any of the cognitive measures (e.g. for global cognitive function \( \beta=0.00922, \ SE=0.0022, \ p<0.0001 \)).

We also investigated potential modifications in the estimated effect of the MIND diet score on cognitive decline by age, sex, APOE-\( \varepsilon4 \), education, physical activity, low weight (BMI \( \leq 20 \)), obese (BMI \( \geq 30 \)), and each of the cardiovascular-related conditions (hypertension, myocardial infarction, stroke, diabetes). However, there was no statistical evidence that the diet effect on the global or individual domain cognitive scores differed by level or presence of any of these risk factors. (Data not shown)

To examine whether the observed MIND diet–cognitive decline relation may be due to dementia effects on dietary behaviors or on reporting accuracy, we reanalyzed the data after eliminating 220 participants who had mild cognitive impairment at the baseline; the resulting decline rate for higher MIND diet score (\( \beta=0.0104, \ p<.00001 \)) was even more protective, by 9.5%, compared to that of the entire sample (\( \beta=0.0095 \)).

We also investigated the potential effects of dietary changes over time on the observed associations of baseline MIND diet score with cognitive change. We reanalyzed the data after excluding 144 participants whose MIND diet scores either improved (top 10%) or decreased (bottom 10%) over the study period. The protective estimates of effect of the MIND diet score on change in global cognitive score increased considerably (\( \beta=0.0120, \ p<0.00001 \)) in the basic-adjusted model. The estimated effects of the MIND diet on the individual cognitive domains also increased by 30–78% with the exception of visuospatial ability, which had little change (\( \beta=0.0072, \ p=0.02 \)).

In a previous study of the MAP participants, we observed protective relations of both the MedDiet and DASH diet scores to cognitive decline. A comparison of these diet components and scores is provided in eTable 1. We analyzed the data for these two diet scores in separate basic-adjusted models of the global cognitive scores and compared the standardized regression coefficients for all three diet scores. The MIND diet score was more predictive of cognitive decline than either of the other diet scores; the standardized \( \beta \) coefficients of the estimated diet effects were 4.39 for MIND, 2.46 for the MedDiet and 2.60 for DASH. The correlation between the MIND score with cognitive change was statistically significantly higher compared with that for either the MedDiet (\( p=0.02 \)) or the DASH (\( p=0.03 \)).
DISCUSSION

In this community-based study of older persons, we investigated the relation of diet to change in cognitive function using an á priori-defined diet composition score (MIND) based on the foods and nutrients shown to be protective for dementia. Higher MIND diet score was associated with slower decline in cognitive abilities. The rate reduction for persons in the highest tertile of diet scores compared with the lowest tertile was the equivalent of being 7.5 years younger in age. Strong associations of the MIND diet were observed with the global cognitive measure as well as with each of five cognitive domains. The strength of the estimated effect was virtually unchanged after statistical control for many of the important confounders, including physical activity and education as well as with the exclusion of individuals with the lowest baseline cognitive scores.

The MIND diet was based on the dietary components of the Mediterranean and DASH diets, including emphasis on natural plant-based foods and limited intake of animal and high saturated fat foods. However, the MIND diet uniquely specifies consumption of berries and green leafy vegetables, and does not specify high fruit consumption (both DASH and Mediterranean), high dairy (DASH), high potato consumption or greater than 1 fish meal per week (Mediterranean). The MIND modifications highlight the foods and nutrients shown through the scientific literature to be associated with dementia prevention. A number of prospective cohort studies found that higher consumption of vegetables was associated with slower cognitive decline with the strongest relations observed for green leafy vegetables. Green leafy vegetables are sources of folate, vitamin E, carotenoids and flavonoids, nutrients that have been related to lower risk of dementia and cognitive decline. There is a vast literature demonstrating neuroprotection of the brain by vitamin E, rich sources of which are vegetable oils, nuts, and whole grains. Dietary intakes of berries were demonstrated to improve memory and learning in animal models and to slow cognitive decline in the Nurses’ Health Study. However, the prospective epidemiological studies of cognitive decline or dementia do not observe protective benefit from the consumption of fruits in general. These dietary components have been demonstrated to protect the brain through their antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties (vitamin E), and inhibition of β-amyloid deposition (vitamin E, folate, flavonoids, carotenoids) and neurotoxic death (vitamin E, flavonoids). Studies of fish consumption observed lower risk of dementia with just 1 fish meal a week with no additional benefit evident for higher servings per week. Thus, the highest possible score for this component of the MIND diet score is attributed to one or more servings per week. Mediterranean diet interventions supplemented with either nuts or extra-virgin olive oil were effective in maintaining higher cognitive scores compared to a low-fat diet in a sub-study of PREDIMED, a randomized trial designed to test diet effects on cardiovascular outcomes among Spaniards at high cardiovascular risk. The MIND diet components directed to limiting intake of unhealthy foods for the brain target foods that contribute to saturated and trans fat intakes, such as red meat and meat products, butter and stick margarine, whole fat cheese, pastries and sweets and fried/fast foods. Fat composition that is higher in saturated and trans fats and lower in polyunsaturated and monounsaturated fats lead to blood brain barrier dysfunction and increased Aβ aggregation. Fish are a rich source of long-chain n-3 fatty acids which have
been shown to reduce Aβ formation and oxidative damage, and to increase synaptic proteins and dendritic spine density.\textsuperscript{48,49}

The study findings are supported by a number of strengths including the prospective study design with up to 10 years of follow-up, annual assessment of cognitive function using a battery of standardized tests, comprehensive assessment of diet using a validated questionnaire, and statistical control of the important confounding factors. Another important strength is that the MIND diet score was devised based on expansive reviews of studies relating diet to brain function.\textsuperscript{20–22,36} None of the studies included in these reviews were conducted in the MAP study cohort. The fact that the food components were selected independently of the best statistical prediction of the outcome in the MAP study population lends validity to the MIND diet as a preventive measure for cognitive decline with aging.

A limitation of the study is that the dietary questionnaire had few questions to measure some of the dietary components and limited information on frequency of consumption. For example, a single item each provided information on consumption of nuts, berries (strawberries), beans, and olive oil. However, this imprecision in the measurement of the MIND score would tend to underestimate the diet effect on cognitive decline. Another limitation is the self-report of diet which some studies suggest can lead to biased reporting in overweight\textsuperscript{50} and cognitively impaired\textsuperscript{51} adults. Concern that biased diet reporting could explain the findings is mitigated by the fact that statistical control for factors like obesity, education, age, and physical activity had no impact on the estimated MIND diet effect and the association remained strong in analyses that omitted the participants with MCI and whose diet scores changed over the study period. Further we observed no modification in the effect by level of these potential confounders.

The primary limitation of the study is that it is observational and thus the findings cannot be interpreted as a cause and effect relation. Replication of the findings in other cohort studies is important for confirmation of the association, however, a diet intervention trial is required to establish a causal relation between diet and prevention of cognitive decline. Further, the findings were based on an old, largely non-Hispanic white study population and cannot be generalized to younger populations or different racial/ethnic groups.

The MIND diet is a refinement of the extensively studied cardiovascular diets, the Mediterranean and DASH diets, with modifications based on the scientific literature relevant to nutrition and the brain. This literature is underdeveloped and therefore, modifications to the MIND diet score would be expected as new scientific advances are made.
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Systematic Review

We performed extensive reviews of the literature on nutrition and neurodegenerative diseases and cognitive decline to devise a brain healthy diet called MIND. The reviews included animal models, prospective epidemiological studies and randomized trials of nutrients, individual foods, and whole diets.

Interpretation

The MIND diet builds on previously tested diets, particularly the Mediterranean and DASH diets, for prevention of dementia outcomes by incorporating specific foods and intake levels that reflect the current state of knowledge in the field.

Future Directions

In the current study, the MIND diet was strongly associated with slower cognitive decline and had greater estimated effects than either the Mediterranean diet or the DASH diet. Future studies should evaluate and confirm the preventive relation of the MIND diet to cognitive change in other populations. As the field develops, the MIND dietary components should be modified to reflect new knowledge on nutrition and the brain.
Figure 1.
Rates of change in global cognitive score over 10 years for MAP participants with MIND diet scores in the highest tertile of scores (---; median 9.5, range 8.5–12.5), the second tertile of scores (…; median 7.5, range 7.0–8.0), and the lowest tertile of scores (—; median 6, range 2.5–6.5). The rates of change were based on the mixed model with MIND diet score modeled as two indicator variables for tertile 2 and tertile 3 (tertile 1, the referent) and adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking, physical activity, participation in cognitively stimulating activities, and total energy intake. For tertile 3: \( \beta = 0.0366, \text{ standard error}=0.0101, p=0.003 \) and for tertile 2: \( \beta = 0.0243, \text{ standard error}=0.0099, p=0.01 \).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MIND diet component servings and scoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green Leafy Vegetables</strong>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Vegetables</strong>&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Berries</strong>&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nuts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Olive Oil</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Butter, Margarine</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cheese</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whole Grains</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish (not fried)</strong>&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beans</strong>&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poultry (not fried)</strong>&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Red Meat and products</strong>&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fast Fried Foods</strong>&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pastries &amp; Sweets</strong>&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wine</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL SCORE**

15

<sup>a</sup> kale, collards, greens; spinach; lettuce/tossed salad

<sup>b</sup> green/red peppers, squash, cooked carrots, raw carrots, broccoli, celery, potatoes, peas or lima beans, potatoes, tomatoes, tomato sauce, string beans, beets, corn, zucchini/summer squash/eggplant, coleslaw, potato salad

<sup>c</sup> strawberries

<sup>d</sup> beans, lentils, soybeans

<sup>e</sup> tuna sandwich, fresh fish as main dish; not fried fish cakes, sticks, or sandwiches

<sup>f</sup> chicken or turkey sandwich, chicken or turkey as main dish and never eat fried at home or away from home

<sup>g</sup> cheeseburger, hamburger, beef tacos/burritos, hot dogs/sausages, roast beef or ham sandwich, salami, bologna, or other deli meat sandwich, beef (steak, roast) or lamb as main dish, pork or ham as main dish, meatballs or meatloaf

<sup>h</sup> How often do you eat fried food away from home (like French fries, chicken nuggets)?

<sup>i</sup> biscuit/roll, poptarts, cake, snack cakes/twinkies, Danish/sweetrolls/pastry, donuts, cookies, brownies, pie, candy bars, other candy, ice cream, pudding, milkshakes/frappes
Table 2
Baseline characteristics * of analyzed MAP participants according to tertile of MIND diet score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>MIND Diet Score Tertile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean years</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, percent</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE-ε4, percent</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, mean years</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Activities, mean</td>
<td>959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Energy Intake, mean kcal</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, percent never</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity, mean hours/week</td>
<td>958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive Symptoms, mean number</td>
<td>959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, percent</td>
<td>954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, percent</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease History, percent</td>
<td>959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Stroke History, percent</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Characteristics were standardized by age in 5-year categories
Table 3

Estimated effects ($\beta^*$) of the MIND diet score on the rate of change in global cognitive score and change in five cognitive domains among MAP participants over an average 4.7 years of follow-up in adjusted* mixed models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Global Cognition</th>
<th>Episodic Memory</th>
<th>Semantic Memory</th>
<th>Perceptual Organization</th>
<th>Perceptual Speed</th>
<th>Working Memory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age-Adjusted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>(0.0023)</td>
<td>(0.0027)</td>
<td>(0.0026)</td>
<td>(0.0025)</td>
<td>(0.0024)</td>
<td>(0.0024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Value</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic</strong>†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>(0.0023)</td>
<td>(0.0028)</td>
<td>(0.0027)</td>
<td>(0.0025)</td>
<td>(0.0024)</td>
<td>(0.0024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic + Cardiovascular Conditions</strong>±</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>(0.0023)</td>
<td>(0.0028)</td>
<td>(0.0027)</td>
<td>(0.0025)</td>
<td>(0.0023)</td>
<td>(0.0024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ $\beta$=beta coefficient from the model for the interaction term between MIND diet score and time

** $n$=total number of participants with complete data for model

† Basic model includes age at the first cognitive assessment, MIND diet score, sex, education, participation in cognitive activities, APOE-ε4 (any €4 allele), smoking history (current, past, never), physical activity hours per week, total energy intake, time and interaction terms between time and each model covariate

± Basic model plus history of stroke, myocardial infarction, diabetes, hypertension and interaction terms between each covariate and time

a Composite score of the following 7 instruments: Immediate memory test and delayed memory test from Story A Logical Memory subset of the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised; immediate word recall and delayed word recall of the CERAD Word List Recall; CERAD Word list Recognition; and immediate memory test and delayed memory test of the East Boston Story

b Composite score of the following 3 instruments: Verbal fluency from CERAD; 15 item version of the Boston Naming Test; and 15-item reading test

c Composite score of the 15-item version of Judgment of Line Orientation and the 16-item version of Standard Progressive Matrice
Composite score of the following 4 measures: Oral version of the Symbol Digit Modalities Test; Number Comparison; and, 2 indices from a modified version of the Stroop Neuropsychological Screening test.

Composite score of the following 3 instruments: Digit Span subtests-forward of the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised; Digit Span subtests-backward of the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised; and Digit Ordering.