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1. INTRODUCTION

Observations of the galactic environments of cosmic explosions provide invaluable insight into their underlying progenitor populations. For example, Type Ia supernovae (SNe) originate in both star-forming and elliptical galaxies (Oemler & Tinsley 1979; van den Bergh et al. 2005; Mannucci et al. 2005; Li et al. 2011) consistent with an evolved progenitor and an event rate that traces both stellar mass and star formation (Sullivan et al. 2006). In contrast, SNe of types II and Ib/c are found to occur only in spiral and irregular galaxies, indicating that these events result from the core-collapse of young, massive stars (van den Bergh et al. 2005; Hakobyan et al. 2008; Li et al. 2011) and a rate tracing recent star formation (Kelly & Kirshner 2012; Anderson et al. 2012).

In the case of long-duration gamma-ray bursts, (GRBs; $T_{90} \gtrsim 2$ s) the link to star-forming host galaxies helped to establish that their progenitors are massive stars (Djorgovski et al. 1998; Le Floc’h et al. 2003; Fruchter et al. 2006; Wainwright et al. 2007a). Furthermore, a decade of concerted efforts to characterize the stellar populations of long GRB hosts revealed young stellar population ages of $\lesssim 0.2$ Gyr, a mean stellar mass of $\approx 2 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, and inferred UV/optical star formation rates (SFR) of $\approx 1 - 50 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (Christensen et al. 2004; Savaglio et al. 2005; Leibler & Berger 2010; Laskar et al. 2011). In addition, the spatial locations of long GRBs with respect to their host galaxy centers (with a mean of $\sim 1$ half-light radius; Bloom et al. 2002) and their concentration in bright UV regions of their hosts (Fruchter et al. 2006) provided a direct association between long GRBs and star formation.

In contrast, the origin of short GRBs ($T_{90} \lesssim 2$ s) is less clear, as the first few afterglow discoveries led to associations with both elliptical (Berger et al. 2005; Castro-Tirado et al. 2005; Gehrels et al. 2005; Hjorth et al. 2005a; Bloom et al. 2006) and star-forming (Fox et al. 2005; Hjorth et al. 2005b; Soderberg et al. 2006; Grue et al. 2006; Burrows et al. 2006) host galaxies, demonstrating that at least some short GRBs originate from older stellar populations. Studies primarily focused on the sample of bursts with sub-arcsecond localization have shown the population of hosts to be dominated by late-type galaxies, albeit with lower specific SFRs, higher luminosities, and higher metallicities than the star-forming hosts of long GRBs (Berger 2009). Modeling of the spectral energy
distributions of short GRB host galaxies has led to a broad range of inferred ages, \( \tau \approx 0.03-4.4 \text{ Gyr} \), and an average stellar mass of \( \approx 2 \times 10^{10} M_\odot \) (Leibler & Berger 2010). A detailed analysis of their sub-galactic environments with *Hubble Space Telescope* observations has demonstrated that on average, short GRBs have offsets from their hosts of \( \approx 5 \text{ kpc} \) (Fong et al. 2010), while a growing subset which lack coincident hosts may have offsets of \( \gtrsim 30 \text{ kpc} \) (Berger 2010a). Finally, an examination of short GRB locations with respect to their host light distributions revealed that they under-represent their host UV/optical light (Fong et al. 2010). These results are consistent with theoretical expectations for NS-NS/NS-BH mergers (Eichler et al. 1989; Narayan et al. 1992), with potential minor contribution from other proposed progenitors, such as the accretion-induced-collapse of a WD or NS (Qin et al. 1998; Levan et al. 2006b; Metzger et al. 2008) or magnetar flares (Levan et al. 2006b; Chapman et al. 2008).

However, the majority of short GRB host galaxy studies published thus far primarily concentrate on bursts with sub-arcsecond localization from optical afterglows. While these events have the most unambiguous associations with host galaxies, the fraction is only \( \approx 1/3 \) (23/68 to May 2012) of all short GRBs detected by the *Swift* satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004). The faintness of their optical afterglows (\( \approx 23 \text{ mag at } \approx 10 \text{ hr after the burst; Berger 2010a} \)) is likely attributed to a combination of a low energy scale (Panaiteescu et al. 2001) and circumburst densities. Therefore, if there exist correlations between these basic properties and host galaxy type, the selection by optical afterglows may affect the relative rates of short GRBs detected in early- and late-type host galaxies. An alternative route to sub-arcsecond localization is through the X-ray detection of an afterglow, which does not necessarily depend on circumburst density (Granot & Sari 2002) with *Chandra*; however, only two such cases have been reported thus far (Fong et al. 2012; Margutti et al. 2012a; Sakamoto et al. 2012).

Demographics which accurately represent the bulk of the short GRB population are imperative in understanding the link to the progenitors. In particular, the late-to-early-type host galaxy ratio will inform whether stellar mass or SFR drives the short GRB rate (Leibler & Berger 2010), and will help to constrain the delay time distribution (Zheng & Ramirez-Ruiz 2007). Furthermore, a recent study based on \( \gamma \)-ray properties (spectral hardness and duration) claims that there is a non-negligible fraction of contaminants from collapsars in the *Swift* short GRB population (Bromberg et al. 2012). Thus, an examination of how this fraction affects the environment demographics will aid in assessing the true contamination.

Fortunately, the detection of X-ray afterglows with *Swift* on-board the *Swift* satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004; Holland et al. 2010a) and the Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor (GBM) on-board *Fermi* (Bhat 2010) has allowed the burst to a ground-calculated position of \( RA=01^h03^m11.1^s \), \( \Delta Dec=-39^\circ05'29'' \) (J2000) with an uncertainty of 1.0’ radius (90% containment; Holland et al. 2010b), and the burst consisted of two pulses with a total duration of \( T_{90}=0.33 \pm 0.03 \text{s} \) (15-350 keV) and a fluence of \( f_{\gamma}=(2.3\pm 0.2) \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \) (15-150 keV; Holland et al. 2010b). *Fermi* GBM observations determined \( E_{\text{peak}}=509^{+17}_{-14} \text{ keV} \) and \( f_{\gamma}=(1.32\pm 0.05) \times 10^{-6} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \) (8-1000 keV; Bhat 2010), while *Konus-Wind* observations determined \( E_{\text{peak}}=418^{+128}_{-78} \text{ keV} \) and \( f_{\gamma}=(8.3\pm 1.5) \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \) (20-2000 keV; Golenetskii et al. 2010a). Based on the short duration and high \( E_{\text{peak}} \), this burst can be classified as a short, hard burst. The \( \gamma \)-ray properties are listed in Table 1.

### 2. Observations

#### 2.1. GRB 100625A

GRB 100625A was detected by three \( \gamma \)-ray satellites on 2010 June 25.773 UT: the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) on-board the *Swift* satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004; Holland et al. 2010a), *Konus-Wind* (Golenetskii et al. 2010a), and the Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor (GBM) on-board *Fermi* (Bhat 2010). BAT localized the burst to a ground-calculated position of \( RA=01^h03^m11.1^s \), \( \Delta Dec=-39^\circ05'29'' \) (J2000) with an uncertainty of 1.0’ radius (90% containment; Holland et al. 2010b), and the burst consisted of two pulses with a total duration of \( T_{90}=0.33 \pm 0.03 \text{s} \) (15-350 keV) and a fluence of \( f_{\gamma}=(2.3\pm 0.2) \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \) (15-150 keV; Holland et al. 2010b). *Fermi* GBM observations determined \( E_{\text{peak}}=509^{+17}_{-14} \text{ keV} \) and \( f_{\gamma}=(1.32\pm 0.05) \times 10^{-6} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \) (8-1000 keV; Bhat 2010), while *Konus-Wind* observations determined \( E_{\text{peak}}=418^{+128}_{-78} \text{ keV} \) and \( f_{\gamma}=(8.3\pm 1.5) \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \) (20-2000 keV; Golenetskii et al. 2010a). Based on the short duration and high \( E_{\text{peak}} \), this burst can be classified as a short, hard burst. The \( \gamma \)-ray properties are listed in Table 1.

#### 2.1.1. X-ray Observations

The X-ray Telescope (XRT) on-board *Swift* began observing the field at \( \delta t=43 \text{ s} \) (\( \delta t \) is the time after the BAT trigger) and detected a fading, uncatalogued X-ray source at \( RA=01^h03^m10.91^s \) and \( \Delta Dec=-39^\circ05'18.4'' \) with a final positional accuracy of 1.8’ radius (90%; Goad et al. 2007; Evans et al. 2009; Holland et al. 2010a; Table 1).

---

10 The large majority of the remaining \( \approx 40\% \) of *Swift* short GRBs lack afterglow follow-up due to observing constraints unrelated to the burst properties; see §5.

11 We present observations of two additional short GRBs, 100628A and 100702A, both with published *Swift* localizations (see Appendix). We show that the XRT afterglow of GRB 100628A is of low significance, while the XRT position of GRB 100702A is contaminated, preventing an unambiguous association with a host galaxy.
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Table 1
Short GRB Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRB</th>
<th>R.A.</th>
<th>Decl.</th>
<th>Uncert.</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>$T_{90}$ (15–350 keV)</th>
<th>$f_{\gamma}$ (15–150 keV) (erg cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRB 100625A</td>
<td>01:03:10.91$^{t}$</td>
<td>$^{\prime}$</td>
<td>39:05:18.4$^{t}$</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
<td>(2.3 ± 0.2) × 10$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRB 101219A</td>
<td>04:38:20.49$^{t}$</td>
<td>$^{\prime}$</td>
<td>02:32:23.0$^{t}$</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>(4.6 ± 0.3) × 10$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRB 110112A</td>
<td>21:59:45.8$^{t}$</td>
<td>$^{\prime}$</td>
<td>23:57:23.9$^{t}$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>(3.0 ± 0.9) × 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. — References: (1) Holland et al. (2010); (2) Kromm et al. (2010); (3) Barthelmie et al. (2011).

Figure 1. Swift/XRT light curve of GRB 100625A. The triangle is a 3σ upper limit. The entire light curve is best fit with a power law characterized by $\alpha = -1.45 ± 0.08$ (grey dashed line).

We analyze the XRT data using HEASOFT (v6.11) and relevant calibration files. We apply standard filtering and screening criteria, and generate a count rate light curve following the prescriptions from Margutti et al. (2010) and Margutti et al. (2012). Our re-binning scheme ensures a minimum signal-to-noise ratio of $S/N = 4$ for each temporal bin. To extract a spectrum, we use Cash statistics and fit the XRT data with an absorbed power law model (tbabs × ztbabs × pow) within the XSPEC routine characterized by photon index, $\Gamma$, and intrinsic neutral hydrogen absorption column density, $N_{\text{H,int}}$, in excess of the Galactic column density in the direction of the burst, $N_{\text{H,GRB}} = 2.1 × 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (typical uncertainty of $\sim 10\%$; Kalberla et al. 2005, Waktare et al. 2011). We utilize the entire PC data set ($\delta t = 60–10^{5}$ s), where there is no evidence for spectral evolution. Our best-fit spectrum (C-stat$_{v}$ = 0.92 for 95 d.o.f.) is characterized by $\Gamma = 2.5 ± 0.2$ and $N_{\text{H,int}} \lesssim 1.7 × 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (3σ) at $z = 0.452$ (see §4.1 for the redshift determination). Our best-fit parameters are consistent with the automatic spectrum fit produced by Page & Holland (2010). Applying these parameters to the data, we calculate the count rate-to-flux conversion factors, and hence the unabsorbed fluxes (Figure 1).

To quantify the decay rate, we utilize $\chi^{2}$-minimization to fit a power law to the data in the form $F_{\lambda}(t) \propto t^{\alpha_{\lambda}}$, with $\alpha_{\lambda}$ as the free parameter. The entire XRT light curve ($\delta t \approx 80–10^{5}$ s, PC mode) is best fit with a single power law with index $\alpha_{\lambda} = -1.45 ± 0.08$ ($\chi^{2}_{v} = 2.1$ for 7 d.o.f.; Figure 1).

2.1.2. Optical/NIR Observations and Afterglow Limits

The UV-Optical Telescope (UVOT) on-board Swift commenced observations at $\delta t = 56$ s but no corresponding source was found within the XRT position. The 3σ limit over $\delta t \approx 87 – 1.2 \times 10^{4}$ s in the white filter, which transmits over $\lambda = 1600–7000$ Å (Poole et al. 2008), is $\gtrsim 22.6$ mag (not corrected for Galactic extinction; Holland et al. 2010). Rapid ground-based follow-up in the optical and NIR provided early limits on the afterglow of $I \gtrsim 22.8$ mag at $\delta t \approx 17$ min (Suzuki et al. 2010) and $J \gtrsim 19.4$ mag at $\delta t \approx 8.6$ hr (Naito et al. 2010). GROND observations at $\delta t \approx 12.2$ hr place limits of $g \gtrsim 23.6$ mag, and $riz \gtrsim 23$ mag (Nicuesa Guelbenzu et al. 2012).

We obtained optical observations of GRB 100625A with the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS) mounted on the Gemini-South 8-m telescope, starting at $\delta t = 12.4$ hr in the riz filters in poor seeing conditions (Table 2). We analyze the data using the IRAF gemini package, and detect a single source within the enhanced XRT error circle in all three filters. To assess any potential fading of the source, we obtained a second set of observations at $\delta t \approx 2.1$ d, where the source is clearly extended. Digital image subtraction using the ISIS software package (Aland 2000) shows no residuals in all three filters (Figure 2). We therefore place 3σ limits of $r \gtrsim 22.6$ mag, $i \gtrsim 22.7$ mag and $z \gtrsim 22.8$ mag on the optical afterglow at $\delta t \approx 12.7$ hr (Table 2). The GMOS zeropoints are determined by sources in common with late-time IMACS observations (see below), which are calibrated to a standard star field at a similar airmass. Our limits match the GROND limits reported at $\delta t \approx 12.2$ hr (Nicuesa Guelbenzu et al. 2012).

In addition, we obtained two epochs of $J$-band observations with the Persson’s Auxiliary Nasmyth Infrared Camera (PANIC) mounted on the 6.5-m Magellan/Baade telescope at $\delta t \approx 1.6$ and $6.6$ d. We analyze the data using standard procedures in IRAF. Digital image subtraction shows no evidence for fading, with a $3\sigma$ limit of $J \gtrsim 23.9$ mag (photometrically tied to the 2MASS catalog and converted to the AB system) at $\delta t \approx 1.6$ d (Table 2).

We obtained late-time griz observations of the the field of GRB 100625A with the Inamori Magellan Areal Camera and Spectrograph (IMACS) mounted on Magellan/Baade starting on 2010 November 14.11 UT. We also obtained $K_{\text{s}}$-band observations with the FourStar Infrared Camera mounted on Magellan/Baade on 2011 December 07.16 UT (Table 2). The griz zeropoints are calculated using a standard star field at a similar airmass, while the $K_{\text{s}}$-band zeropoint is determined from point sources in common with 2MASS. Our afterglow limit and host galaxy photometry are summarized in Table 2.

We obtained a spectrum of the putative host galaxy with the Low Dispersion Survey Spectrograph 3 (LDSS3) mounted on the 6.5-m Magellan/Clay telescope on 2011 October 21.27 UT. A dithered pair of 2700 s exposures was obtained with the VPH-ALL grating, which has a wavelength coverage of 4000–10000 Å and a spectral resolution of $\approx 8$ Å. We used standard tasks in IRAF for data reduction, HeNeAr arc lamps for wavelength calibration, and observations of the smooth-spectrum standard star EG131 for flux calibration. We discuss
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1. References: (1) Holland et al. 2010; (2) Kromm et al. 2010; (3) Barthelmie et al. 2011.


2.2. GRB 101219A

GRB 101219A was detected by Swift/BAT (Gelbord et al. 2010) and Konus-Wind (Golenetskii et al. 2010b) on 2010 December 19.105 UT. BAT localized the burst at a ground-calculated position of RA=04°58′20.7″ and Dec=−02°31′37.1″ with a 1.0′ radius uncertainty (90% containment; Krimm et al. 2010a). The γ-ray light curve exhibits a double-peaked structure with $T_{50}=0.6±0.2$ s (15−350 keV) and $f_2=(4.6±0.3)×10^{-7}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ (15−150 keV; Krimm et al. 2010a). Konus-Wind observations determined $E_{\text{peak}}=490_{-79}^{+103}$ keV and $f_2=(3.6±0.5)×10^{-6}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ (20−100 keV; Golenetskii et al. 2010b). Based on the short duration and high $E_{\text{peak}}$, GRB 101219A can be classified as a short, hard burst. The γ-ray properties are listed in Table 1.

2.2.1. X-ray Observations

Swift/XRT began observing the field at $\delta t=40$ s and detected a fading, uncatalogued X-ray source at RA=04°58′20.49″ and Dec=−02°32′23.0″ with final accuracy of 1.7′′ (Goad et al. 2007; Evans et al. 2009; Table 1). We re-bin the XRT data and extract the best-fit spectrum for GRB 101219A as described in §2.1.1. We utilize the PC data set, $\delta t = 70 − 10^3$ s, where there is no evidence for spectral evolution. We find an average best-fitting spectrum characterized by $\Gamma = 1.8 ± 0.1$ and $N_{\text{H,lim}} = 6.6_{-1}^{+3}×10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ at $z = 0.718$ (C-stat$=0.97$ for 211 d.o.f.; see §2.2 for redshift determination) in excess of the Galactic absorption, $N_{\text{H, MW}} = 4.9×10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005). Our best-fit parameters are consistent with the automatic spectrum fit produced by Gelbord & Grupe (2010). Applying these parameters to the XRT data, we calculate the count rate-to-flux conversion factors, and hence the unabsorbed fluxes (Figure 3).

In addition, we obtained a 20 ks observation with the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS-S) on-board the Chandra X-ray Observatory starting at $\delta t=4.1$ days. We analyze the Chandra data with the CIAO data reduction package. In an energy range of 0.5−8 keV, we extract 4 counts in a 2.5″ aperture centered on the XRT position, consistent with the average 3σ background level calculated from source-free regions on the same chip. We take this count rate of $\lesssim2×10^{-4}$ counts s$^{-1}$ to be the 3σ upper limit on the X-ray afterglow flux at $\delta t=4.1$ days. Applying the spectrum extracted from the XRT data, this count rate corresponds to $F_X \lesssim 1.9×10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

The X-ray light curve is characterized by a steep decay and a short plateau for $\delta t < 200$ s, followed by a steady decline to the end of XRT observations at $\delta t \approx 10^3$ s. To quantify this decay rate, we utilize the single-parameter $\chi^2$-minimization method described in §2.1.1. Excluding the XRT data at $\delta t \lesssim 200$ s and the late-time upper limits, the best-fit power law index is $\alpha_X = -1.37 ± 0.13$ ($\chi^2 = 1.1$ for 5 d.o.f.). The full X-ray afterglow light curve, along with the best-fit model is shown in Figure 3.

2.2.2. Optical/NIR Observations and Afterglow Limits

UVOT commenced observations at $\delta t=67$ s. Over $\delta t=67−5500$ s, no corresponding source was found within the XRT position to a 3σ limit of $\gtrsim21.4$ in the white filter (Kuin & Gelbord 2010).

We observed the field of GRB 101219A in both $r$- and $i$-bands with GMOS on Gemini-South, and in J-band with FourStar, starting at $\delta t \approx 0.96$ hr (Table 2). We detect a single extended source within the XRT error circle in all filters. To assess any fading, we obtained additional observations in the $ri$-bands at $\delta t \approx 0.2$ d (Table 2). Digital image subtraction between these epochs does not reveal any residuals (Figure 4).
observations in the r band and δ ≳ J after the first epoch allows us to place a limit on the NIR afterglow of 9 mag at the time of the first epoch for each filter: δ ≲ 0.96 and 2.2 hr, respectively (Table 2). To assess the fading on timescales ≳ 1 day, we obtained a third set of observations in the r-band at δt ≈ 9 d. Image subtraction with each of the first and second r-band observations also show no evidence for fading (Table 2). A second set of J-band observations at δt ≈ 350 d and a clean image subtraction with the first epoch allows us to place a limit on the NIR afterglow of J ≳ 23.6 mag at δt = 1.7 hr. Finally, to complement our early optical/NIR observations, we obtained imaging of the putative host galaxy in the g- and r bands with LDSS3 starting on 2011 January 12.15 UT, and in the K_s-band with FourStar on 2011 December 07.24 UT. Our limits for the afterglow and photometry of the putative host galaxy are summarized in Table 2.

We obtained spectroscopic observations of the host on 2011 January 2.25 UT using GMOS on Gemini-North at a mean airmass of 1.2. We obtained a set of 4 × 1800 s exposures with the R400 grating and an order-blocking filter, OG515 in the nod-and-shuffle mode, covering 5860–10200 Å at a
spectral resolution of ≈7 Å. We used standard tasks in IRAF for data reduction, CuAr arc lamps for wavelength calibration, and archival observations of the smooth-spectrum standard star BD+28 4211 for flux calibration. We discuss the characteristics of the spectrum and redshift determination in §4.2.

2.3. GRB 110112A

Swift/BAT detected GRB 110112A on 2011 January 12.175 UT [Stamatikos et al. 2011], with a single spike with $T_\text{90} = 0.5 ± 0.1$ s ($15 – 350$ keV) and $f_s = (3.0 ± 0.9) \times 10^{-8}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ ($15 – 150$ keV; Barthelmy et al. 2011). The BAT ground-calculated position is RA=21$^\text{h}$59$^\text{m}$33.6$^\text{s}$ and Dec=+26$^\circ$28'10.6" with 2.6" radius uncertainty (90\% containment; Barthelmy et al. 2011). The $\gamma$-ray properties are listed in Table I.

2.3.1. X-ray Observations

XRT commenced observations of the field of GRB 110112A at $\delta t = 76$ s and located a fading X-ray counterpart with a UVOT-enhanced positional accuracy of 1.6" radius (Evans et al. 2011; Goad et al. 2007; Evans et al. 2009; Table I). We extract the XRT light curve and spectrum in the manner described in Section 2.1.1 requiring a minimum $S/N = 3$ for each bin, and use the Galactic absorption in the direction of the burst of $N_\text{H,MW} = 5.5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005). The light curve is characterized by a short plateau for $\delta t \lesssim 200$ s, followed by a steady decline (Figure 5). Performing $\chi^2$-minimization, we find the XRT light curve for $\delta t \gtrsim 200$ s is best fit with a single power law characterized by index $\alpha_X = -1.10 ± 0.05$ ($\chi^2_\text{red} = 1.0$ for 17 d.o.f.). Our best-fitting spectral parameters over the entire data set, where there is no evidence for spectral evolution, are $\Gamma = 2.2 ± 0.2$ and an upper limit of $N_\text{H,abs} \lesssim 1.6 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (3$\sigma$ at $z = 0$; C-stat = 0.82 for 156 d.o.f.).

2.3.2. Optical Afterglow Discovery

UVOT commenced observations at $\delta t = 80$ s, and no corresponding source was found within the XRT position to a 3$\sigma$ limit in the white filter of $\gtrsim 21.3$ mag using data over $\delta t = 4400 – 6100$ s (uncorrected for Galactic extinction; Breeveld & Stamatikos 2011).

We obtained $i$-band observations with ACAM mounted on the 4.2-m William Herschel Telescope (WHT) at $\delta t = 15.4$ hr. In a total exposure time of 600 s (Table 2), we detect a single source within the enhanced XRT error circle with $i = 22.77 ± 0.29$ mag, where the zeropoint has been determined using sources in common with the SDSS catalog (Figure 6). To assess any fading associated with this source or within the XRT position, we obtained $i$-band imaging with LDSS3 starting on 2011 June 27.83 UT and no longer detect any source within the error circle to $i \gtrsim 24.7$ mag, confirming that the source has faded by $\gtrsim 2$ mag. Therefore, we consider this source to be the optical afterglow of GRB 110112A.

To determine the position of the afterglow, we perform absolute astrometry using 108 point sources in common with SDSS and calculate an astrometric tie RMS of 0.11". The resulting afterglow position is RA=21$^\text{h}$59$^\text{m}$43.85$^s$ and Dec=+26$^\circ$27'23.89" (J2000) with a centroid uncertainty of 0.09" determined with Source Extractor, which, together with the astrometric tie uncertainty, gives a total positional uncertainty of 0.14". We note that this source’s position is not consistent with the R = 19.6 ± 0.3 source claimed by Xin et al. (2011). Furthermore, we do not detect any source at this position in any of our observations.

To perform a more thorough search for a coincident host galaxy, we obtained $r$-band observations with LDSS3 on 2011 June 27.83 UT and $i$-band observations with Gemini-North/GMOS on 2011 July 28.46 UT. In these deeper observations, we do not detect any sources within the XRT error circle to limits of $r \gtrsim 25.5$ mag and $i \gtrsim 26.2$ mag (Table 2). We further assess the probability of potential host galaxies outside the XRT position in §4.3.

3. AFTERGLOW PROPERTIES

We utilize the X-ray and optical/NIR observations to constrain the explosion properties and circumburst environment of GRBs 100625A, 101219A and 110112A. We adopt the standard synchrotron model for a relativistic blastwave in a constant density medium (ISM), as expected for a non-massive star progenitor (Sari et al. 1999; Granot & Sari 2002). This model provides a mapping from the broad-band afterglow flux densities to physical parameters: isotropic-equivalent kinetic energy ($E_{K,\text{iso}}$), circumburst density ($n_0$), fractions of post-shock energy in radiating electrons ($\epsilon_e$) and magnetic fields ($\epsilon_B$), and the electron power-law distribution index, $p$, with $N(\gamma) \propto \gamma^{-p}$ for $\gamma \gtrsim \gamma_{\text{min}}$. Since we have optical and X-ray observations for these three bursts, we focus on constraining the location of the cooling frequency ($\nu_c$) with...
respect to the X-ray band because it affects the afterglow flux dependence on $E_{K,iso}$ and $n_0$. For each burst, we determine this by comparing the temporal ($\alpha_X$) and spectral ($\beta_X$) indices to the closure relation $\alpha - \beta = 2$ for $\nu_c > \nu_X$, $\alpha - 3\beta/2 = 0$, while for $\nu_c < \nu_X$, $\alpha - 3\beta/2 = 1/2$. We also infer the extinction, $A_V^{host}$, by a comparison of the optical and X-ray data.

3.1. GRB 100625A

From the X-ray light curve and spectrum of GRB 100625A, we measure a temporal decay index of $\alpha_X = -1.45 \pm 0.08$ and a spectral index of $\beta_X \approx -1.5 \pm 0.2$, which gives $\alpha_X - 3\beta_X/2 = 0.79 \pm 0.34$. This indicates that $\nu_c < \nu_X$ and therefore $p = 2.7 \pm 0.2$.

From our derived value of $N_{H, int} \lesssim 1.7 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, we infer $A_V^{host} \lesssim 0.8$ mag (3$\sigma$) in the rest-frame of the burst using the Galactic $N_H$-to-$A_V$ conversion, $N_{H, int}/A_V \approx 2.0 \times 10^{21}$ (Predel & Schmidt 1995; Watson 2011). We can also investigate the presence of extinction by comparing the X-ray flux and the optical upper limit at $\delta t \approx 0.5$ d. If we assume a maximum value of $\nu_c, max \approx 2.4 \times 10^{17}$ Hz (1 keV) and extrapolate the X-ray flux density of $\approx 9 \times 10^{-6}$ mJy to the optical band using $\beta \approx (p - 1)/2 = -0.85$ to obtain the lowest bound on the expected afterglow flux in the absence of extinction, we estimate $F_{\nu, opt} \approx 0.24$ mJy ($i = 25.4$ mag). Given the observed limit of $F_{\nu, opt} \gtrsim 2.9$ mJy ($i \gtrsim 22.7$ mag), this does not conflict with this lower bound, the afterglow observations are consistent with no extinction.

We can therefore use the X-ray data and optical afterglow limits to constrain $E_{K,iso}$ and $n_0$. Assuming that the X-ray flux is from the forward shock, we can directly obtain $E_{K,iso}$ by (Granot & Sari 2002)

$$E_{K,iso, 52}^{4.7/4} \times 10^{7/4} \approx 5.7 \times 10^{-3},$$

where $E_{K,iso, 52}$ is in units of $10^{52}$ erg, $\epsilon_e$ and $\epsilon_B$ are in units of 100 in optical extinction.

3.2. GRB 101219A

From the X-ray light curve and spectrum, we measure $\alpha_X = -1.37 \pm 0.13$ and $\beta_X = -0.8 \pm 0.1$, which gives $\alpha_X - 3\beta_X/2 = 0.17 \pm 0.23$, suggesting that $\nu_c < \nu_X$. The resulting value of $p$ is $2.7 \pm 0.1$. We note that the closure relation is consistent with the alternative scenario for $\nu_c, opt < \nu_c$.

Since the optical afterglow may be subject to an appreciable amount of extinction, as suggested by the intrinsic absorption in the X-ray spectrum, the most reliable proxy for $E_{K,iso}$ and $n_0$ is the X-ray afterglow flux. Using the last XRT data point at $\delta t \approx 7 \times 10^5$ s, which has $F_{\nu,X} \approx 0.03$ mJy (1 keV), we infer the following relationship between $E_{K,iso}$ and $n_0$,

$$E_{K,iso, 52, 1}^{3.7/4} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-3},$$

where we have used $z = 0.718$. At this redshift, we find $E_{\gamma, iso} \approx 4.8 \times 10^{51}$ erg (20–100 keV using the Konus-Wind fluence). Assuming $E_{\gamma, iso} \approx E_{K,iso}$, we infer $n_0 \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^{-3}$ for $\epsilon_e = \epsilon_B = 0.1$. With these values, $\nu_c \approx 6 \times 10^{19}$ Hz (250 keV), consistent with our assumption that $\nu_c < \nu_X$. We note that this assumption is violated for $n_0 \gtrsim 4 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$. If instead we use $\epsilon_e = 0.1$ and $\epsilon_B = 0.01$, then we obtain $n_0 \approx 9 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $\nu_c \approx 3 \times 10^{19}$ Hz (80 keV), which is again self-consistent, and find this assumption is violated for $n_0 \gtrsim 0.1$ cm$^{-3}$. Therefore, the X-ray data suggest an explosion environment with $n_0 \approx 10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ cm$^{-3}$ for GRB 101219A.

We investigate the presence of extinction intrinsic to the host galaxy by comparing the X-ray and NIR observations, since the NIR data provide a stronger constraint than the optical band. Since the X-ray and NIR bands lie on the same segment of the synchrotron spectrum, the spectral slope is given by $\beta_{X,NIR} = \beta_X \approx -0.8$. At the time of our first J-band observations at $\delta t \approx 1$ hr, the X-ray flux density is $0.06$ mJy, leading to an expected J-band flux density of $F_{\nu,J} \approx 14.7$ mJy (21 mag). This is above the limit of our observations, $\lesssim 1.4$ mJy (23.6 mag), indicating that $A_J \gtrsim 2.5$ mag. Using a Milky Way extinction curve (Cardelli et al. 1989), this indicates that $A_V^{host} \gtrsim 4.2$ mag in the rest-frame of the burst. In addition, using the Galactic relation between $N_H$ and $A_V$, this implies $N_{H, int} \approx 7.5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, which does not necessarily violate our inferred value from the X-ray spectrum of $N_{H, int} = (6.6 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. Therefore, the broad-band afterglow spectrum requires an appreciable amount of extinction.

3.3. GRB 110112A

From the X-ray light curve and spectrum, we measure $\alpha_X = -1.10 \pm 0.05$ and $\beta_X = -1.2 \pm 0.2$, giving $\alpha_X - 3\beta_X/2 = 0.70 \pm 0.30$ indicating $\nu_c < \nu_X$. The resulting value of $p$ is $2.1 \pm 0.1$. From our derived value of $N_{H, int} \lesssim 1.6 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, we infer $A_V^{host} \lesssim 0.9$ mag in the rest-frame of the burst using the Galactic relation. We can measure the cooling frequency by comparing the X-ray and optical fluxes at $\delta t \approx 0.64$ d. At this time, $F_{\nu,X} \approx 6.6 \times 10^{-6}$ mJy and $F_{\nu,opt} \approx 2.8$ mJy. Using $p = 2.1$ and the location of the optical and X-ray bands, we then estimate that $\nu_c \approx 1.6 \times 10^{15}$ Hz ($\approx 7 \times 10^{-3}$ keV) which agrees with our assumption that $\nu_c < \nu_X$. The cooling frequency is dependent on a combination of physical parameters and gives the constraint:

$$E_{K,iso, 52, 1}^{0.5} \approx 5.4,$$

where we have assumed a fiducial redshift of $z = 0.5$, the median of the observed short GRB population. We then use the X-ray afterglow flux at $\delta t \approx 0.64$ d to determine $E_{K,iso}$ by
to contribute significantly to the fit. We use $\chi^2$-minimization with redshift as the single free parameter, and perform the fit on the unbinned data. The resulting best-fit redshift is $z = 0.452 \pm 0.002$ ($\chi^2 = 1.3$ for 1861 degrees of freedom), determined primarily by the location of the 4000 Å break and the main absorption features of Ca II H&K, H\beta, H\gamma, and H\delta. The shape of the break is best fit by the template with $\tau = 0.64$ Gyr (Figure 7), and poorer fits ($\chi^2 > 2$) are found for SSPs with younger or older ages. Due to the strength of the 4000 Å break, deep absorption features, lack of emission lines, and old age, we classify this host as an early-type galaxy.

We do not find an emission feature corresponding to [O II]\lambda3727. Using the error spectrum, we calculate the expected integrated flux for a 3$\sigma$ emission doublet centered at $\lambda = 3727$ Å with a width of $\approx 10$ Å. We find an expected upper limit of $F_{\text{[OII]}} \lesssim 4.3 \times 10^{-17}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which translates to $L_{\text{[OII]}} \lesssim 2.2 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ at the redshift of the burst. Using the standard relation, SFR = ($1.4 \pm 0.4$) $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ $L_{\text{[OII]}}^{1/4}$ (Kennicutt 1998), we derive a 3$\sigma$ upper limit of SFR $\lesssim 0.3$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for the host galaxy.

We use the griz\textit{JK}_s-band photometry to infer the stellar population age and mass of the host galaxy with the Maraston (2005) evolutionary stellar population synthesis models, employing a Salpeter initial mass function and a red giant branch morphology. We fix $A_V^{\text{host}} = 0$ mag as inferred from the absence of $N_{\text{HI, int}}$ (Kewley et al. 2001), $z = 0.452$ as inferred from the spectrum, and metallicity $Z = Z_\odot$, and allow the stellar population age ($\tau$) and stellar mass ($M_*$) to vary. The resulting best-fit model is characterized by $\tau \approx 0.8$ Gyr, in good agreement with the fit to the spectrum, and $M_* \approx 4.6 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. The model and broad-band photometry are shown in Figure 8.

4.2. GRB 101219A

The XRT position of GRB 101219A fully encompasses a single galaxy (G1; Figure 4). We perform the same probability of chance coincidence analysis described in §4.1 using $\delta R = 3\sigma_{\text{XRT}}$ and find $P_{\text{cc}}(< \delta R) \approx 0.06$ for G1, while the remaining bright galaxies within $5^\circ$ of the burst have $P_{\text{cc}}(<\delta R) \gtrsim 0.23$. Furthermore, a search within $5^\circ$ of the position with NED yields only galaxies with $P_{\text{cc}}(<\delta R) \approx 1$. We therefore consider G1 to be the most probable host galaxy of

4. HOST GALAXY PROPERTIES

4.1. GRB 100625A

The XRT position of GRB 100625A fully encompasses a single galaxy, which we call G1 (Figure 2). To assess the probability that the burst originated from G1, we calculate the probability of chance coincidence, $P_{\text{cc}}(< \delta R)$, at a given angular separation ($\delta R$) and apparent magnitude ($m$) for galaxies within $15^\circ$ (the field of view of our images) of the burst position (Bloom et al. 2002; Berger 2010a). For G1, we conservatively assume $\delta R = 3\sigma_{\text{XRT}} \approx 3.4''$, and calculate $P_{\text{cc}}(< \delta R) \approx 0.04$. The remaining bright galaxies in the field have substantially higher values of $P_{\text{cc}}(< \delta R) \gtrsim 0.17$, and a search for galaxies within $5^\circ$ of the GRB position using the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) yields only objects with $P_{\text{cc}} \gtrsim 0.98$. From these probabilistic arguments, we consider G1 to be the host galaxy of GRB 100625A.

To determine the host galaxy’s redshift, we fit the LDSS3 spectrum over the wavelength range of 5200–8000 Å with simple stellar population (SSP) spectral evolution models at fixed ages ($\tau = 0.29, 0.64, 0.90, 1.4$ and 2.5 Gyr) provided as part of the GALAXEV library (Bruzual & Charlot 2003); at wavelengths outside this range, the signal-to-noise is too low

$$E_{\text{K, iso}}^{1.4} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{39} \text{erg cm}^{-3} \text{yr}$$

Our final constraint comes from the optical afterglow brightness, given by

$$E_{\text{K, iso}}^{1.4} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{39} \text{erg cm}^{-3} \text{yr}$$

Assuming $e_c = 0.1$ and $z = 0.5$, we obtain the solution $E_{\text{K, iso}} = 2.5 \times 10^{39}$ erg, $n_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $e_B = 0.08$. At this redshift, $E_{\text{K, iso}} = 9.5 \times 10^{38}$ erg (determined from the Swift fluence and applying a correction factor of 5 to represent $1 - 10^4$ keV). If we consider a high-redshift origin for GRB 110112A of $z = 2$, then we infer larger energies of $E_{\text{K, iso}} \approx 3.6 \times 10^{41}$ erg and $E_{\text{K, iso}} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{35}$ erg, a lower value of $e_B \approx 0.01$, and a lower density, $n_0 \approx 0.18 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. In both cases, $\eta_c \approx 0.3$.

Figure 7. LDSS3 spectrum of the early-type host galaxy of GRB 100625A, binned with a 3-pixel boxcar (black: data; blue: error spectrum). Also shown is the best-fit SSP template (red: Bruzual & Charlot 2003) with a stellar population age of 640 Myr at a redshift of $z = 0.452 \pm 0.002$. Fits are performed on the unbinned data. The locations of the Balmer absorption lines and Ca II H&K are labelled.

Figure 8. griz\textit{JK}_s photometry for the host galaxy of GRB 100625A (black circles). The best-fit model (red squares and line: Maraston 2005) is characterized by $\tau = 0.8$ Gyr and $M_* \approx 4.6 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. The remaining bright galaxies within 5° of the burst have $P_{\text{cc}}(<\delta R) \gtrsim 0.23$. Furthermore, a search within 5° of the position with NED yields only galaxies with $P_{\text{cc}}(<\delta R) \approx 1$. We therefore consider G1 to be the most probable host galaxy of
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GRB 101219A

We examine the host spectrum of GRB 101219A to determine the redshift and physical characteristics of the stellar population. We identify two emission features in the co-added spectrum at $\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 6401.65$ Å and $\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 8599.50$ Å that are also present in the individual 2D spectra prior to co-addition. If these features correspond to [O II] $\lambda 3727$ and [O III] $\lambda 5007$, their locations give a common redshift of $z = 0.718$. Furthermore, we do not find a common redshift solution for an alternative set of features, so we consider the host galaxy to be at $z = 0.718$. In addition, we note the presence of marginal emission features at the expected locations of Hβ and [O III] $\lambda 4959$; however, these locations are contaminated by sky line residuals. Finally, we detect absorption at the locations of Hα and Hδ (Figure 3).

To determine the age and host extinction, we use stellar population spectral templates with fixed ages of $\tau = 5, 25, 100$ and 290 Myr (Bruzual & Charlot 2003) to fit the continuum; ages outside this range do not fit the overall shape of the spectrum. We apply corrections for both Galactic extinction ($A_V = 0.16$ mag at $z = 0$; Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011) and $A_V^{\text{host}}$ at $z = 0.718$ using a Milky Way extinction curve (Cardelli et al. 1989). The spectrum is best matched with the age of 25 Myr template and $A_V^{\text{host}} = 2.5$ mag. Since there is some degeneracy between age and $A_V^{\text{host}}$, imposing an older stellar population of $\tau = 100$ Myr also provides a reasonable match, but requires a smaller amount of extinction of $A_V^{\text{host}}$ $\approx$ 2 mag. Older spectral templates predict a large break at 4000 Å not seen in the spectrum, while younger templates lack the observed absorption lines. Therefore, a likely range of ages for the host galaxy is $\tau \approx 25 - 100$ Myr. Given the emission features and relatively young age, we classify this galaxy as late-type. The de-reddened spectrum for GRB 101219A, along with the 25 Myr model, is shown in Figure 9.

Figure 9. GMOS-N spectrum of the host galaxy of GRB 101219A, binned with a 3-pixel boxcar (black). The spectrum is corrected for Galactic extinction and $A_V^{\text{host}} = 2.5$ mag. The stellar population model has $\tau = 25$ Myr (red; Bruzual & Charlot 2003). The [O II] $\lambda 3727$ and [O III] $\lambda 5007$ emission features are at a common redshift of $z = 0.718$. Also labeled are the locations of the Balmer lines Hα and Hδ, and marginal emission features at Hβ and the [O III] doublet. From [O II] $\lambda 3727$ we deduce SFR = 16.0 $\pm$ 4.6 M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

(Kennicutt 1998), we derive a SFR of 16.0 $\pm$ 4.6 M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

We use the same procedure described in §4.1 to model the SED of the host galaxy to infer $\tau$ and $M_\star$. We fix $z = 0.718$ as inferred from the spectrum, $Z = Z_\odot$, and allow $\tau$, $M_\star$, and $A_V^{\text{host}}$ to vary. The resulting best-fit model is characterized by $A_V^{\text{host}} \approx 1.5$ mag, $\tau \approx 15 - 25$ Myr, and $M_\star \approx 1.4 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, which is consistent with the parameters derived from the spectrum and afterglow. The broad-band photometry and best-fit stellar population model are shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10. $grizJK_s$-band photometry of the host galaxy of GRB 101219A (black circles). The best-fit model (red squares and line; Maraston 2005) is characterized by $A_V^{\text{host}} \approx 1.5$ mag, $\tau \approx 15 - 25$ Myr and $M_\star \approx 1.4 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. The age-$A_V^{\text{host}}$ contours of 1σ (blue), 2σ (cyan), and 3σ (red) solutions are shown in the inset.

4.3. GRB 110112A

For GRB 110112A, we do not detect a source in coincidence with the optical afterglow position or within the XRT error circle to a 3σ limit of $i > 26.2$ mag in our GMOS-N image (Figure 11). To determine which sources in the field are probable hosts, we calculate $P_c(< \delta R)$ for 15 galaxies within $\sim 3'$ of the GRB position, the field of view of our
GMOS-N i-band image. These galaxies were selected by discarding noticeably fainter galaxies with increasing δR since these objects will have $P_{cc}(< \delta R) \sim 1$. We find that 9 of these galaxies have $P_{cc}(< \delta R) \lesssim 0.85$ (Figure 12). The two most probable host galaxies, G1 and G4 (Figures 11 and 12), have $P_{cc}(< \delta R) = 0.43$ and 0.54, respectively, and offsets of $\delta R = 4.8''$ and 11.1''. In addition, we search for bright galaxies within 5'' of the GRB position using NED, but all additional catalogued galaxies have $P_{cc}(< \delta R) \gtrsim 0.98$. Given the relatively high values for $P_{cc}(< \delta R)$, we do not find a convincing putative host for GRB 110112A.

It is also plausible that GRB 110112A originated from a galaxy fainter than the detection threshold of our observations. For instance, a $\approx 27$ mag host would require $\delta R \lesssim 2.0''$ while a $\approx 28$ mag host would require $\delta R \lesssim 1.3''$, to be a more probable host than G1. However, to be a $27-28$ mag galaxy convincing enough to make a host association ($P_{cc}(< \delta R) \lesssim 0.05$) would require a smaller offset of $\delta R \lesssim 0.5''$. We note that the lack of potential host is in contrast to previous "hostless" short GRBs (Berger 2010a). The high inferred density due to the bright optical afterglow (§3) is suggestive of a high-redshift origin as opposed to a progenitor system that was kicked outside of its host galaxy.

5. STELLAR POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

Of the 30 short GRBs with host associations ($P_{cc} \lesssim 0.05$; Table 3), GRB 100625A is the fifth short GRB associated with a spectroscopically-confirmed early-type host galaxy (Gehrels et al. 2005; Berger et al. 2005; Bloom et al. 2006, 2007; Fong et al. 2011), near the median redshift of the short GRB population (Figure 13). In contrast, GRB 101219A is associated with a $z = 0.718$ late-type galaxy that is actively star-forming with characteristics similar to the majority of the short GRB late-type host population (Berger 2009). Finally, GRB 110112A joins a growing number of short GRBs with sub-arcssecond positions but no obvious host galaxy to deep limits of $\gtrsim 26$ mag (Berger 2010a), although unlike previous events, the case for a large offset is less clear.

Short GRBs with sub-arcssecond positions and coincident hosts have a median projected physical offset of $\sim 5$ kpc (Fong et al. 2010) which, in the context of a NS-NS/NS-BH progenitor, can be interpreted as the result of natal kicks and moderate delay times (Fryer et al. 1999; Belczynski et al. 2006). At the inferred redshifts of GRBs 100625A and 101219A, the upper limits on the projected physical offsets set by the radii of the X-ray positions are $\lesssim 10.3$ and $\lesssim 12.3$ kpc respectively, which agree with the observed offset distribution. Assuming a fiducial redshift of $z = 0.5$, GRB 110112A would be located $29 \pm 3$ kpc away from the closest and most probable host galaxy, but this association is much less definitive ($P_{cc}(< \delta R) \approx 0.43$) than previous host-less bursts (Berger 2010a). Imaging with the Hubble Space Telescope may enable the detection of a faint coincident host. These offsets are in contrast to long GRBs which have relatively small offsets of $\approx 1$ kpc (Bloom et al. 2002; Fruchter et al. 2004). From afterglow observations, the inferred densities for these three events may span a wide range, $n_0 \sim 10^{-4} - 1$ cm$^{-3}$, while long GRBs have values of $n_0 \gtrsim 0.1$ cm$^{-3}$ (Soderberg et al. 2006).

The host galaxies of GRBs 100625A and 101219A have stellar populations that span the observed distribution of short GRB hosts. With $\tau \approx 25 - 100$ Myr and log($M_*/M_\odot$) $\approx 9.1$, GRB 101219A is at the low end of both the short GRB age and mass distributions (Leibler & Berger 2010). This host also has one of the most vigorous star formation rates reported for a short GRB host to date (Berg et al. 2009; Perley et al. 2013; Berger et al. 2012), and an appreciable extinction of $A_V^{host} \gtrsim 2$ mag. These characteristics match more closely with the median parameters observed for long GRB host galaxies (Christensen et al. 2004; Wainwright et al. 2007b; Leibler & Berger 2010). However, an independent study based on the $\gamma$-ray properties report a probability that GRB 101219A is not a collapsar of 94% (Bromberg et al. 2012). Compared to other early-type hosts, GRB 100625A has a similar age (0.6–0.8 Gyr) and SFR limit (Bloom et al. 2006; Berger et al. 2009; Leibler & Berger 2010; Fong et al. 2011), but its stellar mass, log($M_*/M_\odot$) $\approx 9.7$, is the lowest by an order of magnitude (Leibler & Berger 2010).

6. HOST GALAXY DEMOGRAPHICS

To broadly determine and utilize the short GRB host population, we expand upon the observations presented here and investigate the demographics of the bulk of the Swift short GRB sample, quantifying the fractions of events that explode in different types of environments. We divide the population...
There exists disagreement in the literature regarding the association of GRB 050813 with an early-type cluster galaxy at z = 0.72 (Berger 2005; Foley et al. 2005; Prochaska et al. 2006) or a high redshift cluster at z > 6 (Berger 2006); thus, we only display this burst for completeness but do not include it in our demographics.
into four host galaxy categories: late-type, early-type, inconclusive (coincident hosts that are too faint to classify as late- or early-type), and "host-less" (lack of coincident hosts to \( \gtrsim 26 \) mag). All late- and early-type designations are based on spectroscopic classification, with the exception of two hosts, GRBs 070729 and 111117A, which are based on well-sampled broad-band photometry (Table 3; Leibler & Berger 2010, Margutti et al. 2012a).

We then use our classifications to examine the relative rates of short GRBs detected in early- and late-type galaxies. In the absence of observational selection effects, if the overall short GRB rate tracks stellar mass alone, the relative detection rates in early- and late-type galaxies should match the distribution of stellar mass, which is roughly equal at \( z \sim 0 \) (Kochanek et al. 2001; Bell et al. 2003; Driver et al. 2007) and shows little evolution to \( z \sim 1 \) (Ilbert et al. 2010). On the other hand, if the short GRB rate depends on a combination of stellar mass and star formation, as in the case of Type Ia supernovae (Sullivan et al. 2006), we expect a distribution skewed toward star-forming galaxies, with a late-to-early-type ratio of \( > 1:1 \).

### 6.1. Environment Fractions

We first analyze the subset of bursts with sub-arcsecond localization because they have the most unambiguous associations. Of the 68 short GRBs detected with \textit{Swift} as of May 2012, there are 25 such events (Table 3), 2 of which have been localized with \textit{Chandra} (GRB 111020A: Fong et al. 2012, GRB 111117A; Margutti et al. 2012a, Sakamoto et al. 2012), an alternative route to sub-arcsecond positions in the absence of an optical afterglow. This population is divided as follows: 11 (44%) originate in late-type galaxies, 6 (24%) are in early-type galaxies, 5 (21%) have hosts of inconclusive type, and 6 (24%) are host-less (Berger 2010a and this work; Figure 14 and Table 4). From probability of chance coincidence arguments, we can assign the 6 host-less GRBs to a most probable host galaxy. Berger (2010a) investigated 5 events, finding 2 which likely originated in early-type hosts (GRBs 070809 and 090515), 1 with a late-type host (GRB 061201), and 2 with hosts of inconclusive type (GRBs 080503 and 090305). We have shown that the remaining host-less burst, GRB 110112A lacks an obvious host galaxy (§4.3), and we classify it as inconclusive.

Accounting for these host-less assignments in the distribution of galaxy types, we do not find a substantial change in the relative fractions (Figure 14). Considering the 16 bursts with definitive host types, the late-to-early-type ratio is \( 3:1 \) which deviates from the expected \( 1:1 \) distribution if the short GRB rate depends only on stellar mass. Using binomical statistics, we test the null hypothesis of a distribution that is intrinsically \( 1:1 \) and find that the observed ratio has a \( p \)-value of only 0.04, indicating that the null hypothesis is disfavored (Table 4).

Because the optical afterglow brightness depends on the circumburst density, \( n_0 \) (Granot & Sari 2002), the requirement of an optical afterglow for precise positions (with the exception of the two bursts localized by \textit{Chandra}) may affect the relative rates of short GRB detection in early- and late-type hosts if there is a correlation between average density and galaxy type. To assess this potential effect, we broaden our analysis to include bursts with a single probable host galaxy (\( P_{cc}(< \delta R) \approx 0.5 \)) within or on the outskirts of XRT error circles. This sample comprises 11 additional events with localizations of \( 1.5^{-5.5}'' \) in radius (90% containment; Table 5), bringing the total sample size to 36 bursts. Since we require sub-arcsecond localization for a burst to be classified as host-less, the relative fraction of these events is artificially diluted by the addition of bursts with XRT positions (Figure 14).

Assigning the host-less bursts to their most probable host galaxies, we recover a similar distribution to the sub-arcsecond localized sample: \( \approx 50\% \) late-type, \( \approx 20\% \) early-type, and \( \approx 30\% \) inconclusive, (Figure 14 and Table 4). Based on the 26 bursts with early- and late-type designations, this gives a late-to-early-type ratio of \( 2.3:1 \) and a low \( p \)-value of 0.04 for the null hypothesis that this distribution is drawn

\footnote{We note that two of the bursts in our sample, GRBs 050709 and 060121, were first discovered by the High Energy Transient Explorer 2 (HETE-2) satellite.}

\footnote{We exclude GRB 050813 from our sample; see Table 4.}
from an intrinsically 1:1 distribution. To directly compare this 2.3:1 ratio to the 3:1 observed ratio for sub-arcsecond localized bursts, we compute the probability of obtaining a ratio ≤2.3:1 from a population with a true ratio of 3:1 using Monte Carlo simulations for the binomial distribution. In 10^5 trials, we calculate a high probability of 0.82, suggesting that there is no bias to the environment fractions when analyzing only sub-arcsecond localized bursts.

Next, we address the remaining population of 32 Swift short GRBs excluded from the discussion thus far. The majority, 80%, are affected by observing constraints that are dependent on factors completely decoupled from any intrinsic properties of the bursts: 15 had Swift re-pointing constraints (Sun or Moon) and thus have only γ-ray positions, 7 have XRT positions that are highly contaminated (in the direction of the Galactic plane or near a saturated star, e.g., GRB 100702A, see Appendix), and 4 have XRT afterglows but so far lack adequate optical/NIR follow-up to determine the presence of a host galaxy; thus, we cannot currently distinguish between a faint coincident host and a host-less origin for these 4 bursts. The remaining 20% (6 events) have no XRT localization despite rapid Swift re-pointing constraints (Sun or Moon) and thus have only γ-ray positions, but have a low median fluence of 2×10^{-8} erg cm^{-2} compared to the rest of the population with 2×10^{-7} erg cm^{-2} (15 – 150 keV; Figure 13). Therefore, the lack of detectable emission with XRT may be related to an intrinsically lower energy scale. In summary, we do not expect the exclusion of these 32 bursts to have a substantial effect on the relative morphological fractions.

The low observed early-type fraction is likely attributed to one of two possibilities: (1) it is more challenging to identify early-type galaxies at higher redshifts, and thus a disproportionate fraction of the bursts designated as inconclusive are in fact early-type; or (2) short GRBs preferentially occur in late-type galaxies due to the intrinsic properties of their progenitors.

We explore the former option by investigating the inconclusive population in more detail. Spectral energy distributions of early-type galaxies generally lack strong emission lines, and the most prominent features, the 4000 Å break and the Ca II H&K absorption lines, are redshifted out of the range of most optical spectrographs for z ≥ 1.5, making spectroscopic identifications particularly difficult at these redshifts. However, more effective studies selecting for distant early-type field galaxies by their photometric optical/NIR colors detect a nearly constant number of early-types between z ≈ 1 – 1.5 (Stanford et al. 2004), with a typical AB color of 1 – 4 mag, depending on the choice of optical/NIR filters (Stanford et al. 2004; Tamura & Ohta 2004). Of the 10 inconclusive host galaxies, 4 have optical/NIR color information but yield only poor constraints of z ≥ 3 – 5 mag due to NIR non-detections and faint optical magnitudes, and 5 lack reported NIR observations. The only inconclusive host galaxy with multi-band detections, GRB 060121, has R – H ≈ 2.4 mag; however, the optical afterglow and objects in the vicinity are comparably red, suggesting a z ≥ 2 origin as an explanation for the red host color (Levan et al. 2006). K-band imaging to depths of ≥23 AB mag might enable progress in deducing what fraction of the inconclusive population is more likely early-type. To set an extreme upper bound on the true early-type fraction, if we assume that all inconclusive hosts are early-types, the projected early-type fraction is ~50% (Table 4).

We now turn to the second option, that short GRBs preferentially originate from late-type galaxies. While the predicted demographics of NS-NS/NS-BH merger populations are currently not well-constrained (Belczynski et al. 2006), we can use the observed short GRB population to assess the implications for the progenitors. We expect to find roughly equal early- and late-type fractions if stellar mass is the sole parameter determining the short GRB rate. However, we only observe this for z < 0.4 (6 events; Figure 13). For z > 0.4, the late-type fraction is consistently higher, with a late-to-early-type ratio of ≥2:1. These results, along with the previous finding that the short GRB rate per unit stellar mass is 2 – 5 times higher in late-type hosts (Leibler & Berger 2010), suggest that the short GRB rate is dependent upon a combination of stellar mass and star formation. In the context of NS-NS/NS-BH mergers, if the delay times of the systems which give rise to short GRBs are very long (> few Gyr), we would expect a dominant population of early-type hosts at z ~ 0. Instead, the current demographics show a preference for late-type galaxies. Along with the inferred stellar population ages from SED modeling (Leibler & Berger 2010), this suggests that the short GRB rate is dependent upon a combination of stellar mass and star formation. In the context of NS-NS/NS-BH mergers, if the delay times of the systems which give rise to short GRBs are very long (> few Gyr), we would expect a dominant population of early-type hosts at z ~ 0.

In summary, we find that unless all inconclusive hosts are early-type, the short GRB host distribution is skewed toward late-type galaxies, with the most likely ranges for the early- and late-type fractions of ≈20 – 40% and ≈60 – 80%, respectively, for the entire short GRB population. Furthermore, for most cuts on the sample we find that the null hypothesis of a 1:1 distribution can be mildly or strongly rejected.
this sample is 2.7:1 (Table 4; Figure 14) which is higher than the ratio for the exception of GRB 050724A. Since the ratio for the population (Figure 14), and calculate a late-to-early-type ratio of 1.7:1 (Table 4). Interestingly, all bursts with extended emission originate in late-type (or inconclusive) galaxies, with the exception of GRB 050724A. Since the ratio for the $P_{NC} > 0.9$ population is more skewed toward late-type galaxies with 2.7:1, the probability of obtaining a $\geq 2.7:1$ ratio in 14 events from an intrinsically 1:7:1 distribution is moderate, 0.37. This not only demonstrates no noticeable contamination to the short GRB host type distribution when including bursts with reported high probabilities of being collapsars, but also calls into question the reliability or importance of these probabilities in assessing the true population of short GRBs.

6.2. Comparison with $\gamma$-ray Properties

We next investigate whether there is contamination in our sample from collapsars by analyzing trends between morphological type and $\gamma$-ray properties. We find that bursts in early- and late-type galaxies span the entire distribution of observed $T_{90}$ for short GRBs, with a median value of 0.4 s (Figure 15). Using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test, we find that the two populations are consistent with being drawn from the same underlying distribution ($p = 0.43$). The claim becomes stronger when we compare the combined early-type and inconclusive distribution with the late-type distribution ($p = 0.94$). On the other hand, the corresponding K-S tests for the fluence distributions (Figure 15) yield marginal $p$-values of 0.05, suggesting that bursts associated with early- and late-types may not be drawn from the same underlying distribution in $f_{\gamma}$.

A recent study by [Bromberg et al. 2012] used the $\gamma$-ray properties ($T_{90}$ and spectral hardness) to derive a probability that each event is not a collapsar ($P_{NC}$), excluding 8 bursts which have reported evidence for extended emission. Of the 29 bursts that overlap in our samples, 14 have a high probability of not arising from a collapsar ($P_{NC} > 0.9$). If these probabilities are robust, and there is contamination from collapsars in our full sample, we would expect the galaxy type fractions for the population with $P_{NC} > 0.9$ to differ from the overall sample. In particular, by including only high-probability non-collapsar events, we would presumably be excluding mostly late-type galaxies since all long GRBs/collapsars are found in star-forming galaxies. Therefore, one would naively expect the late-to-early-type ratio to decrease with respect to the full sample. However, we find that the late-to-early-type ratio for this sample is 2.7:1 (Table 4; Figure 14) which is higher than the 2.3:1 ratio inferred for the sample of 36 short GRBs.

However, $P_{NC}$ values are not reported for bursts with extended emission. Thus, for a more direct comparison, we evaluate the subset of 28 short GRBs without extended emission (Figure 14), and calculate a late-to-early-type ratio of 1.7:1 (Table 4). Interestingly, all bursts with extended emission originate in late-type (or inconclusive) galaxies, with the exception of GRB 050724A. Since the ratio for the $P_{NC} > 0.9$ population is more skewed toward late-type galaxies with 2.7:1, the probability of obtaining a $\geq 2.7:1$ ratio in 14 events from an intrinsically 1:7:1 distribution is moderate, 0.37. This not only demonstrates no noticeable contamination to the short GRB host type distribution when including bursts with reportedly high probabilities of being collapsars, but also calls into question the reliability or importance of these probabilities in assessing the true population of short GRBs.

7. Conclusions

We present broad-band observations of three short GRBs: GRB 100625A associated with an early-type galaxy at $z = 0.452$, GRB 101219A associated with an active star-forming galaxy at $z = 0.718$, and GRB 110112A which has a sub-arcsecond localization from an optical afterglow but no coincident host galaxy to deep optical limits, and no convincing putative host within 5° of the burst location. These observations showcase the diversity of short GRB environments and give direct clues to the nature of the short GRB progenitor: the moderate physical offsets and low inferred densities can be interpreted as evidence for a compact binary progenitor.

We also undertake the first comprehensive study of host demographics for the full Swift short GRB population, classifying bursts by their host galaxy type. We emphasize several key conclusions:

1. The sample of sub-arcsecond localized bursts have a host galaxy distribution of $\approx 50\%$ late-type, $\approx 20\%$ early-type and $\approx 30\%$ of inconclusive type after assigning host-less bursts. The inclusion of bursts with Swift/XRT positions and convincing host associations ($P_{NC}(<6R) \lesssim 0.05$) does not affect the relative fractions.

2. The observed late-to-early-type ratio is $\gtrsim 2:1$, and most cuts to the sample demonstrate that an intrinsically 1:1 distribution is improbable. The only way to obtain equal fractions with the observed events is by assuming that all inconclusive hosts are early-type galaxies at $z \gtrsim 1$.

3. The most likely ranges for the early- and late-type fractions are $\approx 20 – 40\%$ and $\approx 60 – 80\%$. The preference toward late-type galaxies suggests that both stellar mass and star formation play roles in determining the short GRB rate. Furthermore, in the context of the NS-NS/NS-BH mergers, the observed short GRB population is not dominated by systems with very long delay times, but instead with typical delay times of $\lesssim$ few Gyr.

4. There is no clear trend between $T_{90}$ and host galaxy type, while there may be a relationship between $f_{\gamma}$ and host type. When excluding the population of bursts reported to be likely collapsars ($>90\%$ probability), the late-type fraction increases relative to the overall short GRB sample, suggesting that these probabilities are not reliable in assessing the true population.

Looking forward, our study has demonstrated that detailed observations of short GRB afterglows and environments hold the key to understanding the underlying population of progenitors. In particular, we emphasize the importance of deep NIR observations to determine the early-type fraction within the inconclusive population of hosts, and *Hubble Space Telescope*
observations of short GRBs which lack coincident host galaxies to ground-based optical limits ($\approx 26$ mag). A concerted analysis of broad-band short GRB afterglows would complement this study by providing constraints on the basic properties of the bursts (i.e., energy scale, circumburst density), and help to determine whether there are any correlations between these basic properties and galactic environment. Finally, constraints on theoretical predictions for the relative fractions of early- and late-type galaxies which host NS-NS/NS-BH mergers and their delay time distributions will enable a direct comparison to the observed short GRB population.

APPENDIX

GRB 100628A

GRB 100628A was detected by *Swift/BAT* and the Anti-Coincidence System on INTEGRAL on 2010 June 28.345 UT with $T_90 = 0.036 \pm 0.009$ s ($15 - 350$ keV), $f_\gamma = 2.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-8}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ ($15 - 150$ keV), and peak energy $E_{\text{peak}} = 74.1 \pm 11.4$ keV. The ground-calculated position is $\text{RA}=15^h03^m46.2^s$, Dec=$-31^\circ39'10.2''$ with an uncertainty of $2.1'$ ([Immler et al. 2010](#immler2010)).

**X-ray Observations**

XRT began observing the field at $\delta t = 86$ s and detected an X-ray source in coincidence with the core of a bright galaxy. The lack of fading of this source confirmed by *Chandra/ACIS-S* observations at $\delta t = 4.4$ days suggests an AGN origin ([Immler et al. 2010](#immler2010) and [Berger 2010b](#berger2010b)). Furthermore, we use binomial statistics and a 10-pixel region centered on the source to calculate the probability of a chance fluctuation, finding a high probability of 15%. Thus, this source is ruled out as the afterglow of GRB 100628A.

We re-analyze the same time interval of XRT data and use the *ximage* routine in the HEASOFT package to measure the significance of the source. In a blind search, we find a source that has a significance of $2.3\sigma$. Late-time XRT and *Chandra* observations confirm that the source has faded by a factor of $\sim 15$ from the claimed initial X-ray flux ([Berger 2010b](#berger2010b)). However, we do not include this burst in our sample of short GRBs with XRT positions due to the low significance of the initial source. We caution against classifying this burst as XRT-localized in future short GRB samples.

**Optical/NIR Observations and Afterglow Limits**

We obtained $J$-band observations of the field of GRB 100702A with *PANIC* at $\delta t = 1.3$ hr (Figure [16](#fig16)). We detect 4 sources within or near the outskirts of the XRT error circle (S1-S4 in Figure [16](#fig16)). S2 and S3 have stellar PSFs, while S1 and S4 have non-stellar PSFs. Previously reported $J$-band observations also confirm that S2 and S3 are stars ([Nicuesa Guelbenzu et al. 2012](#nicuesa2012)).

To assess any fading within the XRT position, we obtained a second set of $J$-band observations at $\delta t = 6.1$ hr. Digital image subtraction reveal no residuals to a $3\sigma$ limit of $J \gtrsim 23.3$ mag (Table [2](#table2)).

We caution that this limit only applies to $2/3$ of the error circle due to contamination from the saturated star S2 (Figure [16](#fig16)). In addition, we obtained $r$-band observations with IMACS at $\delta t = 247.3$ days and we do not detect any additional sources in or around the XRT error circle (Table [2](#table2)).

---

14 Our *PANIC* observations show that source “C” in [Nicuesa Guelbenzu et al. 2012](#nicuesa2012) is actually three blended sources, including S1. The remaining two sources are outside of the XRT error circle.
there is a brighter galaxy within the XRT error circle. Therefore, we do not include GRB 100702A in our sample of bursts with S1 and S4 to assess either source as a putative host galaxy for GRB 100702A. Source S1 is fully inside the XRT error circle while S4 lies on the outskirts of the XRT error circle. We perform PSF photometry for both sources (Table 2), and calculate their probabilities of chance coincidence: $P_{cc}(\lesssim \delta R) \approx 0.02$ for S1 and $P_{cc}(\lesssim \delta R) \approx 0.04$ for S2 using the $3\sigma$ XRT position radius of 4.5″. This analysis suggests that either source is a likely host for GRB 100702A, and we cannot currently distinguish which is more likely. We also note that the significant contamination makes it difficult to exclude the possibility that there is a brighter galaxy within the XRT error circle. Therefore, we do not include GRB 100702A in our sample of bursts with XRT localization, and consider this field to have observing constraints which prevent more in-depth analysis.
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**Figure 16.** Magellan/PANIC J-band observations of the host galaxy of GRB 100702A. The XRT error circle has a radius of 2.4″ (90% containment; black). Left: δt = 1.3 hr. Center: δt = 6.1 hr. Right: Digital image subtraction of the two epochs reveals no afterglow to a 3σ limit of $J \gtrsim 23.3$ mag.

**Probabilities of Chance Coincidence**

We calculate $P_{cc}(\lesssim \delta R)$ for S1 and S4 to assess either source as a putative host galaxy for GRB 100702A. Source S1 is fully inside the XRT error circle while S4 lies on the outskirts of the XRT error circle. We perform PSF photometry for both sources (Table 2), and calculate their probabilities of chance coincidence: $P_{cc}(\lesssim \delta R) \approx 0.02$ for S1 and $P_{cc}(\lesssim \delta R) \approx 0.04$ for S2 using the $3\sigma$ XRT position radius of 4.5″. This analysis suggests that either source is a likely host for GRB 100702A, and we cannot currently distinguish which is more likely. We also note that the significant contamination makes it difficult to exclude the possibility that there is a brighter galaxy within the XRT error circle. Therefore, we do not include GRB 100702A in our sample of bursts with XRT localization, and consider this field to have observing constraints which prevent more in-depth analysis.