Ps1-10bzj: A Fast, Hydrogen-Poor Superluminous Supernova in a Metal Poor Host Galaxy

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

|Approved for open access by: | |
| Time Grant: | |
| Effective: | |
| Date: | |

| Published Version | doi:10.1088/0004-637x/771/2/97 |
| Citable link | http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:30485096 |
| Terms of Use | This article was downloaded from Harvard University’s DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP |
PS1-10BZJ: A FAST, HYDROGEN-POOR SUPERLUMINOUS SUPERNOVA IN A METAL POOR HOST GALAXY
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

We present observations and analysis of PS1-10bzx, a superluminous supernova (SLSN) discovered in the Pan-STARRS Medium Deep Survey at a redshift $z = 0.650$. Spectroscopically, PS1-10bzx is similar to the hydrogen-poor SLSNe 2005ap and SCP 06F6, though with a steeper rise and lower peak luminosity ($M_{bol} \sim -21.4$ mag) than previous events. We construct a bolometric light curve, and show that while PS1-10bzx’s energetics were less extreme than previous events, its luminosity still cannot be explained by radioactive nickel decay alone. We explore both a magnetar spin-down and circumstellar interaction scenario and find that either can fit the data. PS1-10bzx is located in the Extended Chandra Deep Field South and the host galaxy is imaged in a number of surveys, including with the \textit{Hubble Space Telescope}. The host is a compact dwarf galaxy ($M_B \approx -18$ mag, diameter $< 800$ pc), with a low stellar mass ($M_* \approx 2.4 \times 10^7 M_\odot$), young stellar population ($\tau_\star \approx 5$ Myr), and a star formation rate of $\sim 2 - 3 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. The specific star formation rate is the highest seen in an SLSN host so far ($\sim 100$ Gyr$^{-1}$). We detect the [O III] $\lambda$4363 line, and find a low metallicity: $12 + \log(O/H) = 7.8 \pm 0.2 (\approx 0.1 Z_\odot)$. Together, this indicates that at least some of the progenitors of SLSNe come from young, low-metallicity populations.

\textit{Subject headings:} supernovae: general, supernovae: individual (PS1-10bzx)

1. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of “superluminous” supernovae (SLSNe), with peak luminosities $30 - 100$ times brighter than normal supernovae and radiated energies $\gtrsim 10^{51}$ erg, is one of the most unexpected results from studying the host environments. Of the 10 properties will be important in further shedding light on the classes remains unclear. Exploring the diversity of SLSNe and mapping the distribution of explosion properties will be important in further shedding light on the possible energy sources. Another clue to the progenitor systems could come from studying the host environments. Of the 10.

2005ap-like H-poor SLSNe published prior to this paper, only five have detected host galaxies (Neill et al. 2011; Leloudas et al. 2012; Berger et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2013). The host galaxy of SN 2010gx is the only one that has been studied in detail so far, and is a dwarf galaxy with a low metallicity ($Z = 0.06 Z_\odot$), leading to speculation of whether metallicity plays a role in the progenitor channel (Chen et al. 2013). Increasing and characterizing the sample of SLSN host galaxies is essential for testing this hypothesis, and constraining the possible progenitors to these extreme explosions.

Here, we present the discovery and analysis of PS1-10bzj, a hydrogen-poor SLSN at $z = 0.650$ from the Pan-STARRS Medium-Deep Survey (PS1/MDS). We present a comprehensive study of the SN and its host environment. The discovery and observations of PS1-10bzj are described in Section 2. We analyze the properties of the supernova, including temperature evolution, bolometric light curve, possible models, and spectral modeling, in Section 3. Since PS1-10bzj is located in the Extended Chandra Deep Field South (ECDF-S), its host galaxy is detected in the GEMS, GaBoDs and MUSYC surveys (Rix et al. 2004; Taylor et al. 2009; Cardamone et al. 2010), as well as in the PS1 pre-explosion images. The host galaxy properties, including metallicity, star formation rate, stellar mass and population age, are analyzed in Section 4. We place this SN in a broader context, comparing it to previous events, and summarize our results in Section 5. All calculations in this paper as well as in Sections 3 and 6. We place this SN in a broader context, comparing it to previous events, and summarize our results in Section 4. We place this SN in a broader context, comparing it to previous events, and summarize our results in Section 4. All calculations in this paper assume a ΛCDM cosmology with $\Omega_M = 0.27$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$ (Komatsu et al. 2011).

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. PS1 Survey Summary

The PS1 telescope on Haleakala is a high-etendue wide-field survey instrument with a 1.8-m diameter primary mirror and a 3.3° diameter field of view imaged by an array of sixty 4800 × 4800 pixel detectors, with a pixel scale of 0.258′′ (Kaiser et al. 2010; Tonry & Onaka 2009). The observations are obtained through five broad-band filters (gp, r′, i′, z′, y′), with some differences relative to the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS); the gp filter extends 200 Å redward of g SDSS to achieve greater sensitivity and lower systematics for photometric redshifts, and the z′ filter terminates at 9300 Å, unlike z SDSS which is defined by the detector response (Tonry et al. 2012). PS1 photometry is in the “natural” PS1 magnitude system, $m = -2.5 \log(F_r) + m'$, with a single zero-point adjustment ($m'$) in each band to conform to the AB magnitude scale, determined with PS1 observations of HST CalSpec spectrophotometric standards (Bohlin et al. 2001). Magnitudes are interpreted as being at the top of the atmosphere, with 1.2 airmasses of atmospheric attenuation included in the system response function (Tonry et al. 2012).

The PS1 MDS consists of 10 fields (each with a single PS1 imager footprint) observed in gp, r′, i′, z′, y′ with a typical cadence of 3 d in each filter, to a 5σ depth of ~ 23.3 mag; y′ is observed near full moon with a typical depth of ~ 21.7 mag. The standard reduction, astrometric solution, and stacking of the nightly images is done by the Pan-STARRS1 IPP system (Magnier 2006; Magnier et al. 2008) on a computer cluster at the Maui High Performance Computer Center. The nightly Medium Deep stacks are transferred to the Harvard FAS Research Computing cluster, where they are processed through a frame subtraction analysis using the photpipe pipeline developed for the SuperMACHO and ESSENCE surveys (Rest et al. 2003; Garg et al. 2007; Miknaitis et al. 2007), which was further improved in order to increase the accuracy (A. Rest et al, in preparation, D. Scolnic et al., in preparation). The discovery and data presented here are from the photpipe analysis.

2.2. Photometry

PS1-10bzj was discovered in PS1 MD02 data on the rise on UT 2010 Dec 16, at coordinates RA=03h31m39.826s, Dec=+27°47′42.17″ (J2000). Spectroscopic follow-up confirmed it to be at redshift $z = 0.650$ from host galaxy emission lines, placing the peak observed absolute magnitude at $\lesssim 21$ mag, thus classifying it as “superluminous”. The transient was detected in gp, r′, i′, z′ until PS1 stopped observing the field in early 2011 February. All photometry is listed in Table 1 and is corrected for foreground extinction with $E(B-V) = 0.008$ mag (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011). When PS1 resumed observing this field in late 2011 September, PS1-10bzj had faded below the detection limit of ~ 23.5 mag.

In addition to the PS1 photometry, griz images were obtained along with spectroscopic observations with the Low Dispersion Survey Spectrograph (LDSS3) on the 6.5-m Magellan-Clay telescope, the Inamori-Magellan Areal Camera and Spectrograph (IMACS) (Dressler et al. 2006) on the 6.5-m Magellan-Baade telescope, and the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS; Hook et al. 2004) on the 8-m Gemini-South telescope, allowing us to extend the light curve until early 2011 April. These images were reduced using standard routines in IRAF10 and transient flux was determined by subtracting the PS1 pre-explosion template images using ISIS (Alard & Lupton 1998) to correct for galaxy contamination, and measuring the flux in the difference image using aperture photometry. For the LDSS3 and IMACS images, calibrations were obtained either from observations of standard fields on the same night, or from the PS1 catalogs of stars in the field of PS1-10bzj corrected to the SDSS system by the relations in (Tonry et al. 2012). In the case of Gemini, archival zeropoints were used for calibration, after verifying that they produce consistent results with the PS1 catalog.

In general the slight difference between the PS1 filter set and griz would not introduce any significant errors. At the particular redshift of PS1-10bzj, however, the [O III] $\lambda$5007 galaxy emission line is located at the edge between the i and z bands, contributing primarily to the z′-filter in the PS1 photometric system, but to the i-band filter in the SDSS system used at Magellan and Gemini. This line contributes a substantial fraction of the galaxy flux (see Section 3). Therefore, non-PS1 i and z fluxes were either determined by subtracting the galaxy templates taken at Gemini and Magellan after the supernova had faded (Section 3), or corrected accord-

10 IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
able to constrain the rise time, particularly in bands, which indicates temperature evolution. We note the rapid rise time and the faster fall-off in the bluer bands, which indicates temperature evolution.

Figure 1 shows the observed light curves. Since PS1 was observing this field prior to the detection, we are able to constrain the rise time, particularly in $i_p$-band, where PS1-10bzj brightened by $> 1.2$ mag in 12 days in the observed frame, corresponding to just 7 days in the rest frame. We also note that the later peak times in the redder bands indicate temperature evolution. Since the best-fit peak is different in different bands, we fit a low-order polynomial to our constructed bolometric light curve (Section 3.2) to determine the time of maximum light as UT 2011 January 02.65 (MJD 55563.65) ±2 d. All phases listed are in rest-frame days with respect to this zeropoint.

### 2.3. Spectroscopy

We obtained four epochs of spectroscopy of PS1-10bzj. Details are given in Table 2. Our initial spectra were taken on 2011 January 18, 25 and 28 GMOS observations were acquired in red setups either at low airmass (January 28) or near the parallactic angle (April 2 and 3), so their spectral slopes are reliable. Our last GMOS observations on April 2 and 3 were obtained in nod-and-shuffle mode (Glazebrook & Bland-Hawthorn 2001). An error resulted in the object being nodded off the slit for half of the April 2 observations. The exposure time quoted in Table 2 reflects only the on-slit time. The April 2 and 3 data were combined into a single spectrum.

The spectra from January 18, 25 and 28 are shown in Figure 2. The April 2 spectrum is dominated by host galaxy light, and is shown in Section 4. All of our spectra show a number of narrow emission lines originating in the host galaxy, allowing us to determine a consistent redshift of $z = 0.650$ for PS1-10bzj.

### 2.4. Host Galaxy Photometry

The host galaxy of PS1-10bzj is located in the ECDF-S and so photometry from a number of other surveys is also available. From the GEMS survey, there is Hubble Space Telescope (HST) imaging with the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) in F606W and F850LP (Rix et al. 2004), and we retrieved the reduced images from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes. From the GaBoDs survey (Taylor et al. 2009), there are detections in $UBVRi'z'$ (and non-detections in $JHK$). In addition, the MUSYC survey (Cardamone et al. 2010) provides imaging in 18 narrow-band filters. This field is also covered by the Spitzer Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) SIMPLE survey (Damen et al. 2011), but the galaxy is not detected in their catalog. Archival photometry of the host from the catalogs of these surveys is also included in Table 3.
The various photometric measurements agree well in the bluer filters, but in the different i- and z-bands there is considerable discrepancy (e.g. the $i_{P1}$ measurement is $\sim 0.6$ mag fainter than the GMOS i-band, while the $z_{P1}$ measurement is $\sim 0.9$ mag brighter than the corresponding z filter). This is explained by the redshifted [O III] $\lambda$5007 emission line, located near the edge between i and z. The flux we measure in this line from the spectra (Section 3) is consistent with the differences in photometry. The effect of this line is also clearly seen in the narrow-band photometry in the IA827 filter.

3. Supernova Properties

3.1. Spectroscopic and Light Curve Comparisons

Given the redshift of $z = 0.650$, we find that PS1-10bzj reached a peak absolute magnitude of $-21.17 \pm 0.15$ mag in $g_{P1}$. This is luminous enough to be classified as “superluminous” according to the definition suggested in Gal-Yam (2012). Figure 2 shows our spectra of PS1-10bzj, compared to hydrogen-poor SLSNe PS1-10ky (Chomiuk et al. 2011) and SN 2010gx (Pastorello et al. 2010; Quimby et al. 2011). Our first spectrum shows a blue continuum with broad UV features that are characteristic of the class of hydrogen-poor SLSNe (Quimby et al. 2011; Chomiuk et al. 2011). These features are also visible in the blue GMOS spectrum taken 7 rest-frame days later. In the red GMOS spectrum on day 16, a number of broad, low amplitude features have also developed, similar to the features seen in SN 2010gx at late time. The combination of its luminosity and spectral features unambiguously establishes PS1-10bzj as another member of the class of 2005ap-like, hydrogen-poor SLSNe.

Figure 3 shows the light curve of PS1-10bzj in absolute magnitude versus rest frame phase compared to a few other hydrogen-poor SLSNe: PS1-10ky and PS1-10awh (Chomiuk et al. 2011), SN 2010gx (Pastorello et al. 2010; Quimby et al. 2011) and PTF09cnd (Quimby et al. 2011). We do not carry out detailed k-corrections due to the uncertainties in the spectral energy distributions (SEDs), but have picked bands at similar effective wavelengths as indicated on the plots to facilitate comparisons. With a fast rise time and slower decline, PS1-10bzj does not show the clearly symmetric light curve behavior seen in previous hydrogen-poor SLSNe (Quimby et al. 2011), though we note that the rise time is less well constrained in the bluer bands due to shallower limits prior to detection. In general, the light curve of PS1-10bzj exhibits similar timescales to SN 2010gx and PS1-10ky, but has a flatter peak and is fainter overall.

3.2. Temperature Evolution and Bolometric Light Curve

We determine blackbody temperatures by fitting Planck functions to the broadband photometry, using a $\chi^2$-minimization procedure. For the PS1 photometry, where different bands are observed on consecutive rather than the same night, we first interpolate the photometry to a common time by fitting a low-order polynomial to the nearby light curve points. The SED fits are shown in Figure 2 with the model temperatures and radii indicated. These numbers should be interpreted with some caution, as especially at later times the spectrum clearly deviates from that of a blackbody. In addition, by the
time of the first spectrum the broad UV absorption features is clearly affecting the $g$-band flux, so that the temperature inferred from photometry is lower than that found by fitting to the spectrum.

The resulting blackbody temperatures and radii from all the fits to the photometry is shown in the top two panels of Figure 5. Prior to peak, we can only place a lower limit on the temperature of $\sim 15,000$ K, since the peak of the blackbody curve is bluewards of the observed photometry and we are essentially fitting the Rayleigh-Jeans tail. After the peak, we find a clear decline in temperature and increase in radius. The best-fit straight line to the estimated blackbody radius (Figure 5) corresponds to an expansion velocity of $11,000 \pm 2000$ km s$^{-1}$, in good agreement with velocities derived from spectroscopic features (Section 3.3). We note that dividing the estimated radius at peak by the velocity gives a timescale of $\sim 23$ days, consistent with the observed photometric rise.

To construct a bolometric light curve, we first sum the observed flux by trapezoidal integration, interpolating to the edges of the observed bands. Since this only takes into account the flux in the observed wavelength range, it should be considered a strict lower limit of the total radiated power. Integrating this luminosity over the time period we observed the SN indicates a lower limit of the radiated energy $E_{\text{rad}} \gtrsim (2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{50}$ erg. The resulting light curve is shown as open red circles in the bottom panel of Figure 5.

To improve this estimate, following Chomiuk et al. (2011) we also include a blackbody tail redwards of the observed bands, using the temperatures determined by our blackbody fits. The resulting pseudo-bolometric light curve is shown as the black, filled circles the bottom panel of Figure 5. We also include the early $i_P$ detection, assuming the same bolometric correction as the next light curve point. PS1-10bzj reached a peak bolometric magnitude $\Delta_M = -21.4 \pm 0.2$ mag, and an estimated total radiated energy of $E_{\text{rad}} \gtrsim (3.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{50}$ ergs. As expected from the light curve, this is significantly less luminous than previous events - for example, PS1-10awh and PS1-10ky reached peak bolometric magnitudes of $-22.2$ mag and $-22.5$ mag respectively (Chomiuk et al. 2011). While the spectroscopic features clearly identify PS1-10bzj as a member of the same class of objects, it is one of the least luminous hydrogen-poor SLSNe discovered to date.

3.3. Line Identifications

We used the supernova spectrum synthesis code SYNOW to obtain line identifications and estimates of the expansion velocities, including manual and automated procedures employing the recently updated versions of the software SYN++ in combination with SYNAPPS11. The basic assumptions of SYNOW include spherical symmetry, velocity proportional to radius, a sharp photosphere, line formation by resonant scattering treated in the Sobolev approximation, local thermodynamic equilibrium for the level populations, no continuum absorption, pure resonance scattering, and only thermal excitations. Fits are constrained by how we are able to best match absorption minimum profiles, as well as the relative strengths of all

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{Spectral energy distribution fits to the photometry. The best-fit blackbody temperatures and radii are indicated in the individual panels. The concurrent spectrum is shown with the photometry in the fourth panel; we adopt the temperature derived from the spectrum rather than from the photometry for this date.}
\end{figure}

the features (see Branch et al. 2002 for more description of fitting parameters and Thomas et al. 2011 for software details).

The fit to the 2011 January 13 spectrum (phase +7 d) is shown in the left panel of Figure 6. The photospheric velocity is set at $13,000$ km s$^{-1}$, and the temperature to $15,000$ K. A maximum cut-off velocity of $40,000$ km s$^{-1}$ was used for all ions, with the minimum velocity set to $13,000$ km s$^{-1}$. These parameters are comparable to the ions and associated velocities identified in other SLSNe (e.g., Quimby et al. 2011; Chomiuk et al. 2011). Two strong features observed around 2440 and 2650 Å are fit reasonably well with Si III and Mg II, respectively. Introduction of Fe II improves the fit around the Si III line, as seen in the inset. Without Fe II, the red wing of the absorption could not be fit with Si III alone.

Additional weaker features with less certain identifications are also seen. A sharp cut-off around 3000 Å is likely attributable in part to Si III, and we fit absorption features around 4230 and 4490 with O II. We include in the synthetic spectrum C II, which is cut off to the blue of Si III, but is seen in the other SLSNe and in the later spectrum of this object.

The 2011 January 25 and 28 spectra were combined to fit a single phase +15 d spectrum, shown in the right panel of Figure 6. The photospheric velocity is set at

Software was retrieved from https://c3.lbl.gov/es/
Ib SN 2008D, identified as the same feature as seen in SN also suggested by a transient “W”-shaped feature near The connection between H-poor SLSNe and SNe Ibc is also modelled with a blend of O III, N III and C III; later modeling by Quimby et al. (2011) of SN 2005ap and other H-poor SLSNe updated the identification of the “W”-feature to O II. The presence of this transient feature in SN 2008D thus provides an additional link between Type Ibc SNe and SLSNe. A basic question is whether the SLSNe are truly distinct objects from normal Type Ic SNe, or whether there is a smooth continuum between the two. With its comparatively low peak luminosity, PS1-10bzj is closer in luminosity to luminous Type Ic SNe like SN 2010ay, which peaked at $M_r = -20.2$ (Sanders et al. 2012), than to the prototype SLSNe 2005ap and SCP 06F6. From this perspective, it is interesting to note that at least over the time we were following it, the spectral features in PS1-10bzj do not look like SN Ic features, including objects like SN 2010ay.

3.4. Light Curve Model Fits

The optical luminosity of most canonical Type I SNe (i.e. type Ia, Ib and Ic) is powered by the radioactive decay of $^{56}$Ni, with the shape of the light curve primarily dictated by three parameters: the nickel mass ($M_{\text{Ni}}$) which sets the total luminosity, the total kinetic energy ($E_{\text{K}}$), and ejecta mass $M_{\text{ej}}$, which sets the characteristic time of photon diffusion $\tau_T \propto M_{\text{ej}}^{3/4} E_{\text{K}}^{-1/4}$ and essentially determines the width of the light curve (Arnett 1982). Measurements of the photospheric velocity ($v_{\text{ph}}$) from the spectra constrain $\sqrt{E_{\text{K}}/M_{\text{ej}}}$, so that all three parameters can be determined based on observable quantities. We fit our bolometric light curve of PS1-10bzj using the models of Valenti et al. (2008) and Drout et al. (2011); see Figure 7. The light curve can be reasonably fit with $M_{\text{Ni}} \approx 6 - 8$ M$_\odot$, with the best-fit model having $M_{\text{Ni}} = 7.2$ M$_\odot$ and $\tau_T \approx 19$ d. Using the photospheric velocity derived from the spectrum near peak, $v_{\text{ph}} = 13,000$ km s$^{-1}$, yields $M_{\text{ej}} \sim 5 - 11$ M$_\odot$, with 8.5 M$_\odot$ for the best-fit model. Therefore, if PS1-10bzj were powered by radioactive decay, it would require a $^{56}$Ni mass of $\gtrsim 10$ times what is observed in typical Type Ibc or Ic-BL SNe (0.2 – 0.5 M$_\odot$; Drout et al. 2011). In addition, the ejecta would have to be $75 - 100\%$ $^{56}$Ni by mass, a fraction seen in no observed SNe, including proposed pair-instability SNe like SN 2007bi where the inferred Ni mass was several M$_\odot$ (Gal-Yam et al. 2009). A composition of $> 75\%$ Ni would also result in a large amount of line-blanketing in the rest-frame UV, which is not seen. Radioactive decay, then, is unlikely to be the main contributor to the luminosity. This is consistent with what is found for other hydrogen-poor SLSNe (Chomiuk et al. 2011; Quimby et al. 2011).

Since nickel decay is unlikely, other explanations have been proposed for the extreme luminosity of SLSNe. One possibility is energy injection by a central engine, such as the spin-down of a newborn magnetar (Kasen & Bildsten 2010; Woosley 2010). We fit our bolometric light curve with the model of Kasen & Bildsten (2010), following the procedure outlined in Chomiuk et al. (2011). Our assumptions include magnetic dipole spin-down, an opacity of $\kappa = 0.2$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$, and a supernova energy of $10^{51}$ erg; we vary the ejecta mass, the magnetar spin ($p$), and the magnetic field ($B$). With these assump-

Figure 5. Top panel: temperature evolution of PS1-10bzj, as determined from fitting a blackbody curve to the observed photometry. The uncertainty at early times is largely due to the peak of the blackbody being bluerward of our bluest bands; see Figure 4. Middle panel: radius of PS1-10bzj, as measured from the same blackbody fit to photometry as the temperature. The best-fit straight line (dashed) corresponds to an expansion velocity of 11,000 km s$^{-1}$. Bottom panel: estimated bolometric light curve of PS1-10bzj. The open red circles show observed flux only, while the black filled circles include the observed flux plus a blackbody tail in the red.

11,000 km s$^{-1}$ and the temperature to 11,500 K. The maximum cutoff velocity was once again set to 40,000 km s$^{-1}$ for all ions, which were fitted with minimum velocities ranging between 11,000 and 15,000 km s$^{-1}$. We observe the same absorption features associated with Si III, Mg II, and C II. Again, including Fe II substantially improves the fit in this region; the contribution from Fe II only to the fit is shown in the inset. However, the lack of additional lines elsewhere in the spectrum at this temperature, as well as the noise at the bluest wavelengths, prevent determining the relative strengths accurately. Additional weaker features are fit with Ca II and Si II. The O II seen in the earlier spectrum no longer appears to be a conspicuous contributor to the spectrum.

Two other SLSNe have shown significant spectral evolution post-peak: in both SN 2010gx and PTF09cd (Pastorello et al. 2010; Quimby et al. 2011), the spectra evolved to look like normal Type Ic SNe at late times. The connection between H-poor SLSNe and SNe Ibc is also suggested by a transient “W”-shaped feature near 4200 Å seen in early spectra of the well-observed Type Ib SN 2008D, identified as the same feature as seen in SN 2005ap (Modjaz et al. 2009; Quimby et al. 2007). Following Quimby et al. (2007), Modjaz et al. (2009) modeled this feature with a blend of O III, N III and C III; later modeling by Quimby et al. (2011) of SN 2005ap and other H-poor SLSNe updated the identification of the “W”-feature to O II.
One caveat is that our modeling of the spectra support a reasonable fit to the observed properties of PS1-10bzj. The predicted temperatures for total energy, as the magnetar contribution scales as \( \sim \) \( \frac{\rho_{\text{w}}}{\kappa} \) \( \pi v \), and \( \rho_{\text{w}} = D r^{-2} \), as expected from a steady wind, so that \( D = M^4/4\pi v_{\text{w}} \equiv 5 \times 10^{10} D_{\ast} \) in cgs units. The rise-time can be roughly equated to the diffusion time, \( t_d = 6.6\kappa D_{\ast} d \), where \( \kappa \) is the opacity in units of 0.34 cm\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). We use \( \kappa = 0.59 \), as expected for an ionized He-rich wind. Taking the rise-

Figure 6. SYNOW fits to the spectra of PS1-10bzj. Left: the 2011 January 13 (phase +7 d) spectrum. The strong UV features are well fit with Si \( \text{III} \) and Mg \( \text{II} \); minor features near 4500Å could be due to O \( \text{II} \). The shape of the Si \( \text{III} \) feature is better fit when including Fe \( \text{II} \), as illustrated in the inset, which shows the fit without including this ion. Right: fit to combined 2011 January 25+28 (phase +15 d) spectrum. Minor features in the optical are fit with Ca \( \text{II} \). Fe \( \text{II} \) only and Mg \( \text{II} \) and Si \( \text{II} \). The fit includes a substantial contribution from Fe \( \text{II} \) (shown in the inset), but the overlap of these features with the Mg and Si features and lack of features elsewhere prevent us from determining the relative strengths accurately.

Figure 7. Model of radioactive Nickel decay, following Drout et al. (2011). The light curve can be reasonably fit with Ni masses in the range \( 6 - 8 \, M_\odot \), but require the ejecta composition to be \( 75 - 80\% \) Ni to simultaneously fit the peak luminosity and the light curve width. The inset shows a best-fit model, with \( M_{\text{ej}} = 7.2, M_{\text{Ni}} = 8.5 \) and \( M_{\text{Ni}} = 5.7, M_{\text{Ni}} = 4.8 \) and \( M_{\text{Ni}} = 8.0, M_{\text{Ni}} = 10.7 \) as indicated. The shape of the Si \( \text{III} \) feature is better fit with a higher spin period (and so a lower spin of \( 1 \, M_\odot \) and the light curve can be reasonably fit within the uncertainties. We note that the inferred ejecta masses are similar to what is seen in normal Type Ibc SNe (Drout et al. 2011).

The magnetar model predicts that the ejecta will be swept up into a dense shell, which then expands at a constant velocity. For the range of models that fit the light curve, those with higher spin periods (and so a lower total energy, as the magnetar contribution scales as \( p^{-2} \)) generally have lower inferred velocities, in better agreement with the velocities inferred by the spectra. The 2 \( M_\odot \) model shown in Figure 8 has the swept-up shell expanding at 11,000 km s\(^{-1}\), in good agreement with the observed velocities. The predicted temperatures for this model also match the observed temperatures within the errors. A simple magnetar model thus provides a reasonable fit to the observed properties of PS1-10bzj. One caveat is that our modeling of the spectra support a slightly declining, rather than constant photospheric velocity. The rapid evolution of the spectrum is also challenging to explain in the context of this model.

A third proposed mechanism for powering SLSNe is interaction with opaque, circumstellar material. This leads to efficient conversion of the kinetic energy to radiation, with the resulting lightcurve being due to shock breakout through this opaque wind. This class of models has been applied both to superluminous SNe IIn such as SN 2006gy, and to SN 2005ap-like objects (Smith & McCray 2007; Smith et al. 2010; Chevalier & Irwin 2011; Balberg & Loeb 2011; Ginzburg & Balberg 2012; Chatzopoulos et al. 2012). The light curve of the hydrogen-poor and superluminous SN 2006gz, in particular, showed a “dip” feature on the rise that has been interpreted as a signature of shock breakout (Leloudas et al. 2012; Moriya & Maeda 2012).

We can use the observed properties of PS1-10bzj and the analytical relations of Chevalier & Irwin (2011) to estimate the physical conditions required in the interaction scenario. Assuming a wind density profile \( \rho_w = D r^{-2} \), as expected from a steady wind, so that \( D = M^4/4\pi v_{\text{w}} \equiv 5 \times 10^{10} D_{\ast} \) in cgs units. The rise-time can be roughly equated to the diffusion time, \( t_d = 6.6\kappa D_{\ast} d \), where \( \kappa \) is the opacity in units of 0.34 cm\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). We use \( \kappa = 0.59 \), as expected for an ionized He-rich wind. Taking the rise-

Figure 8. Magnetar model fit to the bolometric light curve, with \( M_{\text{ej}} = 2 \, M_\odot \), \( B = 3.5 \times 10^{14} \, G \), and \( p = 4 \) ms. While the observed light curve can be fit with a range of parameters within the uncertainties, the model shown also predicts a velocity and temperature evolution that agrees with the observed data.
time of PS1-10bzj to be \( \sim 20 \) days, we find that \( D_v \approx 5.1 \), which gives a total required wind mass of \( \sim 3.5 \, M_\odot \), using the radius at peak to be \( \sim 2.2 \times 10^{15} \) cm. Using \( E_{\text{rad}} \approx 3.5 \times 10^{50} \) erg, we find that the associated supernova energy is \( 2.2 \times 10^{51} (M_{\text{ej}}/10 M_\odot)^{1/2} \) erg , and corresponding diffusion radius \( R_d \approx 1 \times 10^{15} \) cm, assuming an ejecta mass of \( 10 M_\odot \) and using Equations (5) and (3) in Chevalier & Irwin (2011) respectively. The predicted velocity of the photosphere, using Equation 3 in Chen et al. (2013). In terms of the luminosity function preference for low-luminosity galaxies (Neill et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2013), have been seen for other SLSN hosts, which seem to show a case of PS1-10bzj there is a wealth of data on the host galaxy, allowing for a detailed study. In addition to studying the SN explosion itself, additional clues to the nature of the progenitors come from studying the host environments of the SLSNe. In the case of PS1-10bzj there is a wealth of data on the host galaxy, allowing for a detailed study.

4.1. Luminosity and Size

The absolute magnitude of the host is \( M_B = -18.0 \) mag, corrected for cosmological expansion and foreground extinction. This is similar to what has been seen for other SLSN hosts, which seem to show a preference for low-luminosity galaxies (Neill et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2013). In terms of the luminosity function at \( z \sim 0.7 \) (Ilbert et al. 2003; Willmer et al. 2006), this corresponds to a 0.05\( L_\odot \) galaxy.

The host galaxy of PS1-10bzj is unresolved in all our ground-based images (with seeing down to \( \sim 0.6'' \)). Since the field was covered by the GEMS survey, we also have available HST/ACS images in F606W and F850LP (Rix et al. 2004), shown in Figure 9. Even in these images, the host is not obviously resolved with a FWHM of \( \sim 0.12'' \) (whereas the mean FWHM of stars in the images is \( \sim 0.10'' \)). At \( z = 0.650 \), this corresponds to an upper limit on the galaxy diameter of \( \lesssim 800 \) pc. We note that it is possible that what we see in the HST images is only one bright knot of star formation, and the galaxy itself could be more extended. Nevertheless, the combination of luminosity and size establishes the host as a compact dwarf galaxy.

4.2. Stellar Mass and Population Age

To determine the stellar mass (\( M_* \)) and population age (\( \tau_* \)) of the host we fit the SED with the Maraston (2005) evolutionary stellar population synthesis models, using a Salpeter initial mass function and a red horizontal branch morphology. Since the model only accounts for the continuum emission, and the flux in the host emission lines is substantial, we restrict our fit to the MUSYC narrow-band filters without significant emission lines. The fit to the SED is shown in Figure 10. We find that the host SED is well fit with a stellar population age of \( \tau_* \approx 5 \) Myr, yielding a stellar mass of \( M_* \approx 2.4 \times 10^7 M_\odot \). This assumes \( A_V = 0 \), measured from the Balmer decrement (Section 4.3).

Another estimate of the stellar population age comes from the H\( \beta \) equivalent width (EW). While we do not have a galaxy-only spectrum, the 2011 April 3 Gemini spectrum (Figure 11) does not show any broad supernova features and is dominated by galaxy light (\( \gtrsim 50\% \), estimated from pre-explosion galaxy photometry). It can therefore be used to determine a lower limit on the H\( \beta \) EW, which we find to be \( W_\beta \approx 61 \) A. This value yields a young stellar population age of \( \lesssim 5 \) Myr for a metallicity \( Z = 0.2 - 0.4 Z_\odot \), using the fits in Levesque et al. (2010a) to the models of Schaerer & Vacca (1998). This value is in excellent agreement with the stellar population age inferred from SED modeling.

4.3. Metallicity

While all of our spectra include contributions from both the galaxy and the SN, they clearly exhibit narrow emission lines originating in the host galaxy. Figure 11 shows the 2011 April 3 GMOS spectrum, which is dominated by galaxy light, with the strongest emission lines marked. We measure the line fluxes in all of our spectra by fitting Gaussian profiles (Table 4). With the exception of the [O III] \( \lambda4363 \) line, which was only robustly...
detected in the 2011 January 28 GMOS spectrum, we use the weighted average of the three measurements for line diagnostics. Absolute flux calibration is based on the 2011 January 13 LDSS3 spectrum, by scaling synthetic photometry from the spectrum to photometry obtained the same night. The GMOS spectra were then scaled according to the flux in the [O III] doublet.

None of our spectra cover Hα, which is located at 1.083 μm at this redshift. We therefore use the Balmer decrement as measured from Hγ/Hβ to estimate reddening, assuming a Case B recombination value of 0.469 (Osterbrock 1989). Since our measured value of 0.48 ± 0.03 is consistent with no reddening, we conclude that the host galaxy extinction is minimal.

We detect the auroral [O III] λ4363 line in the 2011 January 28 GMOS spectrum at 3.5σ significance, shown in the inset of Figure 11. Assuming an electron density n_e = 100 cm⁻³, we calculate an electron temperature of T_e(O⁺⁺) = 16,200 ± 1,700 K from the ratio of [O III] λ4363 to [O III] λλ5007, 4959, using the IRAF task “tenden.” This result is not sensitive to the exact choice of density since T_e is insensitive to small changes in density (Kewley et al. 2006); for example, we find identical results when doubling the assumed electron density to 200 cm⁻³. Using the relation from Shi et al. (2006), this gives an electron temperature metallicity of 12+ log(O/H) = 7.8 ± 0.2. This translates to Z = 0.13Z⊙, using the solar abundance of Asplund et al. (2009). This low abundance is consistent with the inferred young stellar population age and low stellar mass.

For comparison, we also estimate the oxygen abundance using the R₂₃ diagnostic with the calibration of Kobulnicky & Kewley (2004). We measure R₂₃ = ([O III]λλ5007, 4959 + [O II]λλ3727)/Hβ = 9.25 ± 0.36, and an ionization parameter of y = log([O III]λλ5007, 4959)/[O II]λλ3727) = 0.95 ± 0.03. Using the iterative scheme in Kobulnicky & Kewley (2004), and assuming the lower metallicity branch based on the presence of the [O III] λλ4363 line, this method gives a metallicity 12 + log(O/H) ≈ 8.3. This is 0.5 dex higher than the result from the direct method, but we note that this discrepancy is not unusual; theoretical strong-line indicators are known to be offset from the direct method, with the difference being larger at the lower-metallicity end (Bresolin et al. 2009). A similar discrepancy is seen in the host galaxy of SN 2010gx, where Stoll et al. (2011) found 12 + log(O/H) = 8.36 using the Kobulnicky & Kewley (2004) calibration, while the direct method yields 12 + log(O/H) = 7.46 (Chen et al. 2013). Still, strong-line metallicity indicators provide a useful basis for comparison, since direct metallicity indicators are otherwise mostly only available for low-redshift samples.

4.4. Star Formation Rate

We estimate the SFR of the host galaxy from the [O II] λλ3727 line flux, using the metallicity-dependent relation in Kewley et al. (2004). Using the metallicity and ionization parameter we determined from the R₂₃ method, we find SFR ≈ 2 M⊙ yr⁻¹. Alternatively, since the Hγ/Hβ ratio indicates no extinction, we can use the Hβ flux to predict the Hα flux, assuming a ratio Hα/Hβ = 2.85 according to case B recombination. Using SFR = 7.9 × 10⁻⁴²L_Hα (erg s⁻¹), we find SFR ≈ 4.2 M⊙ yr⁻¹, in reasonable agreement with the [O II] λλ3727 estimate.

A complementary method to calculating the SFR is to use the galaxy UV continuum flux. At this redshift, the UBV filters sample rest-frame 2300–2900 Å, allowing us to use the relation from Kennicutt (1998): SFR = 1.4 × 10⁻²⁸L_V. This yields SFR ≈ 2–3 M⊙ yr⁻¹, also in good agreement with the estimates from emission lines.

Combining the stellar mass with the SFR, we calculate a specific star formation rate (sSFR) of ~ 100 Gyr⁻¹. This is significantly higher than the ~ 2.6 Gyr⁻¹ measured in the host of SN 2010gx (Chen et al. 2013), and also higher than the ~ 10 Gyr⁻¹ in the host of PS1-10bam (Berger et al. 2012). The basic picture of a low metallicity, low mass and highly star-forming dwarf galaxy is similar to what has been seen for other SLSNe.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. The Diversity of SLSNe

As was shown by Quimby et al. (2011), the hydrogen-poor SLSNe form a spectroscopic class, though with a range of light curve properties. Figure 12 shows the distribution of peak absolute magnitudes of all published 2005ap-like hydrogen-poor SLSNe, corrected for cosmological expansion by $M = m - 5 \log (d_L(z)/10pc) + 2.5 \log (1+z)$. Due to the lack of SED information in several objects, we do not carry out full k-corrections, but note that where multiband photometry is available the observed peak is at a rest-frame wavelength of ~ 3000 – 4000 Å. SN 2006gz is not included in this
plot, as it was only observed on the rise and so the peak magnitude is not well constrained. Most of the hydrogen-poor SLSNe peak near $M \approx -22$ mag, with a tail to higher luminosities. The apparent lack of lower-luminosity objects is likely due, at least in part, to the flux-limited surveys (and spectroscopic follow-up) so that there is a bias toward finding brighter objects. PS1-10bzj is both the lowest-luminosity and one of the lowest redshift SLSNe found in PS1/MDS, for example. Recently, Quimby et al. (2013) found that the distribution of hydrogen-poor SLSNe seems to be narrowly peaked, also when taking the effects of flux-limited selection into account. If so, an event like PS1-10bzj would be intrinsically rarer than the $-22$ mag objects, at the low-luminosity tail of the distribution.

The timescales seen in SLSNe also vary by a factor of several, with rise times varying from $\sim 20$ d in the case of PS1-10bzj, to $\gtrsim 50$ d in PTF09cnd (Quimby et al. 2011). If the faster timescales are typical for the lower-luminosity end of the distribution, it may present an additional selection bias against the fainter objects, as the timescales are approaching those of normal SNe and so the objects stand out less amongst the more common normal SNe.

Finding fainter hydrogen-poor SLSNe is particularly interesting because this class is linked to Type Ic SNe through the late time spectroscopic evolution of a few objects. A basic question is whether they are truly discrepant populations, or whether there is a smooth transition between the two. There is a luminous tail to the Type Ic distribution: for example SN 2010ay reached a peak luminosity of $-20.2$ mag, though still had a light curve consistent with being powered by nickel decay and did not show the spectroscopic features typical of SLSNe (Sanders et al. 2012). If the dearth of intermediate-luminosity objects represents a real cutoff rather than a selection effect, this places constraints on any proposed mechanism for powering the SLSNe. Such a low-luminosity cutoff is not predicted by theoretical models of SLSNe: for example the magnetar models presented in Kasen & Bildsten (2010) can reproduce a wide range of luminosities and timescales.

We also note that PS1-10bzj only has a few, early light curve points that are brighter than $-21$ mag, but is clearly a spectroscopic member of the class of 2005ap-like hydrogen-poor SLSNe. This suggests that a definition based on a luminosity cut, as was suggested in Gal-Yam (2012), is artificial and that this class of objects is better defined by spectroscopic features.

5.2. The Host Galaxy Environments

Of the 10 2005ap-like hydrogen-poor SLSNe published prior to this work, only five have detected host galaxies and the upper limits on the undetected ones are $M_r \gtrsim -18$ mag (Neill et al. 2011). It has been speculated that this preference for low-luminosity environments is really a preference (and perhaps requirement) for low-metallicity environments (Neill et al. 2011; Stoll et al. 2011). This is supported by the host of SN 2010gx, the first SLSN host galaxy with a direct metallicity measurement, with $Z = 0.06 Z_\odot$ (Chen et al. 2013). The low metallicity of 0.13 $Z_\odot$ for the host of PS1-10bzj follows the same trend.

To put these galaxy measurements in context, in Figure 13 we plot different properties of the two SLSN host galaxies, compared to other galaxy samples. The top left panel shows a mass-metallicity ($M - Z$) plot, with metallicity measured by the $R_{23}$ method from Kobulnicky & Kewley (2004) to facilitate comparison to different samples, including core-collapse SNe (Kelly & Kirshner 2012) and GRB host galaxies (Levesque et al. 2010; Leibler & Berger 2010; Modjaz et al. 2008). We plot here also the host of the superluminous SN 2007bi, with $R_{23}$ metallicity from Young et al. (2010), and mass we estimated from the SDSS photometry of this host. It is worth noting that all three SLSN hosts have very similar $R_{23}$ metallicities. They are all less massive and more metal-poor than the core-collapse SN hosts, but occupy a similar region as the gamma-ray burst (GRB) host galaxies. One important caveat here is that the core-collapse SN hosts are generally at low redshift and contain a mix of hosts from targeted and untargeted surveys, so we would not necessarily expect them to follow the same $M - Z$ relation.

The remaining three panels plot metallicity as measured by the $T_e$ method, against either luminosity, mass,
or the combination of mass and SFR that minimizes scatter in metallicity (the so-called Fundamental Relation or FMR; Mannucci et al. 2010; Andrews & Martini 2013). The host of PS1-10bzj is consistent with each of the nearby relations within its uncertainties, indicating that it is not an unusually metal-poor galaxy given its mass, luminosity and SFR. The similarity to GRB hosts may indicate that the two phenomena happen in similar environments, but the sample sizes here are small. The host of SN 2010gx stands out as more extreme than the host of PS1-10bzj in terms of metallicity, and falls below the nearby/SDSS relations in each case. The two SLSN hosts are the most separated on the FMR plot, due to the larger sSFR of the PS1-10bzj host. As such, the most striking common factor between the two galaxies is their low metallicities.

We note that if low metallicity is an important factor in producing this type of SLSN, this may present a challenge for models where the luminosity of the SN is powered by interaction with a dense wind. In particular, the mass loss would be unlikely to be driven by metal-line winds and so a different mass-loss mechanism would be required.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We show that PS1-10bzj is a hydrogen-poor superluminous supernova, spectroscopically similar to the objects described in Quimby et al. (2011) and Chomiuk et al. (2011). Compared to previous events, it has the fastest rise time and lowest peak luminosity. From our reconstructed bolometric lightcurve, we estimate the to-rise time and lowest peak luminosity. From our reconstruction, the bolometric magnitude at peak to be about $-21.4$ mag. A magnetar model can fit the observed light curve, velocities and temperatures. Proposed interaction scenarios for SLSNe can also match the observed energetics but would require at least $3 M_\odot$ of hydrogen-poor circumstellar material. The lack of intermediate-width lines in the spectra, like with other SLSNe, also speaks against this model. A normal, Ni-decay Ic model would require $M_{\text{Ni}} \approx 7 M_\odot$ and the ejecta composition to be $\gtrsim 80\%$ Ni by mass, so although PS1-10bzj shows less extreme energetics than other hydrogen-poor SLSNe, radioactive decay is unlikely to be the primary energy source.

Like SN2010gx and PTF09cnd, PS1-10bzj developed a number of spectral features after peak. Our model fits these features with intermediate-mass elements Mg, Ca and Si, and Fe is also likely. We do not have the spectroscopic coverage to determine whether these features at late times evolved into a more typical Type Ic SN spectrum, as was seen in the other two objects. However, PS1-10bzj is interesting in the comparison to Type Ic SNe in the sense that it extends the distribution of SLSNe towards lower luminosities. Continuing to map out the low-luminosity tail of the SLSN population will be necessary to determine whether the two classes represent truly distinct phenomena, or whether there is a smooth continuum between them. If the timescales of PS1-10bzj are typical for the lower-luminosity objects, this may present a challenge for finding such events as they will not stand out photometrically as much as higher-luminosity events and will require spectroscopic confirmation.

The host galaxy of PS1-10bzj is detected both in our PS1 template images and in catalogs covering the ECDF-S. Combining this photometry with emission line measurements, we find that the host is a low luminosity ($M_B \approx -18$ mag; $L \approx 0.05 L_\odot$), low metallicity ($Z = 0.13 Z_\odot$), low stellar mass ($M_* \approx 2.4 \times 10^7 M_\odot$) galaxy. It is forming stars at a rate of $\sim 2-3 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$, resulting in a high sSFR (100 Gyr$^{-1}$). Archival HST imaging further reveal the host to be compact, with a physical diameter $\lesssim 800$ pc. While the metallicity is not as low as the host galaxy of the superluminous SN 2010gx, the discovery of a second low metallicity host galaxy supports the hypothesis that metallicity may be important in the progenitor channel of SLSNe. Compared to the host of SN 2010gx, the host of PS1-10bzj has a higher SFR, and is generally consistent with the $M-Z$ relation for star-forming galaxies at lower redshifts (Mannucci et al. 2010; Andrews & Martini 2013). Further increasing the sample of SLSNe with well-studied host galaxies will be necessary to assess whether this metallicity trend holds, and shed light on the nature of these extreme explosions.
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In rest-frame days relative to maximum light on UT 2011-01-0 2.7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MJD</th>
<th>Phase (days)</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>AB Magnitude</th>
<th>Telescope/Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55569.4</td>
<td>−32.9</td>
<td>gp1</td>
<td>&gt; 23.74</td>
<td>PS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55569.4</td>
<td>−32.9</td>
<td>rp1</td>
<td>&gt; 23.74</td>
<td>PS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55569.4</td>
<td>−32.9</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>&gt; 23.74</td>
<td>PS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55648.9</td>
<td>+51.7</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>&gt; 22.07</td>
<td>Gemini-S/GMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55648.9</td>
<td>+51.7</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>&gt; 22.07</td>
<td>Gemini-S/GMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55648.9</td>
<td>+51.7</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>&gt; 22.07</td>
<td>Gemini-S/GMOS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

PS1-10bzj Photometry

Table 2

Log of Spectroscopic Observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UT Date (YYYY-MM-DD)</th>
<th>Epoch (days)</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Wavelength Range (Å)</th>
<th>Slit (°)</th>
<th>Grating</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Exp. time (s)</th>
<th>Mean Airmass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011-01-13.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>LDSS3</td>
<td>3540–9450</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>VPH-all</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>3900</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-01-25.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>GMOS-S</td>
<td>3520–4140</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>R400</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-04-02.0</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>GMOS-S</td>
<td>5530–9830</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>R400</td>
<td>OG515</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-04-03.0</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>GMOS-S</td>
<td>5530–9830</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>R400</td>
<td>OG515</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In rest-frame days, relative to maximum light on UT 2011-01-02.7.
### Table 3
PS1-10bzj Host Galaxy Photometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UT Date</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>AB Magnitude</th>
<th>Telescope/Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gP1</td>
<td>24.37 ± 0.13</td>
<td>PS1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rP1</td>
<td>24.00 ± 0.12</td>
<td>PS1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iP1</td>
<td>23.76 ± 0.10</td>
<td>PS1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zP1</td>
<td>22.73 ± 0.05</td>
<td>PS1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yP1</td>
<td>&gt; 21.7</td>
<td>PS1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-11-29</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>24.37 ± 0.08</td>
<td>Gemini-S/GMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-10-21</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>24.00 ± 0.18</td>
<td>Magellan/LDSS3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-09-20</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>23.76 ± 0.10</td>
<td>Gemini-S/GMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-07-19</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>23.54 ± 0.15</td>
<td>Magellan/IMACS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-12-04</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>&gt; 23.8</td>
<td>Magellan/FourStar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12-07</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>&gt; 22.7</td>
<td>Magellan/FourStar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F606W</td>
<td>24.13 ± 0.05</td>
<td>HST/ACS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F850LP</td>
<td>23.63 ± 0.06</td>
<td>HST/ACS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U38</td>
<td>24.89 ± 0.08</td>
<td>ESO MPG 2.2m/WFI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>24.86 ± 0.04</td>
<td>ESO MPG 2.2m/WFI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>24.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>ESO MPG 2.2m/WFI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>24.44 ± 0.02</td>
<td>ESO MPG 2.2m/WFI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>24.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>ESO MPG 2.2m/WFI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>23.43 ± 0.05</td>
<td>ESO MPG 2.2m/WFI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>23.90 ± 0.13</td>
<td>CTIO 4m/Mosaic-II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA427</td>
<td>24.25 ± 0.07</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA445</td>
<td>24.49 ± 0.07</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA464</td>
<td>24.59 ± 0.14</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA484</td>
<td>24.45 ± 0.03</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA505</td>
<td>24.42 ± 0.06</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA527</td>
<td>24.53 ± 0.03</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA550</td>
<td>24.41 ± 0.05</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA574</td>
<td>24.42 ± 0.06</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA598</td>
<td>24.21 ± 0.02</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA624</td>
<td>23.84 ± 0.02</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA651</td>
<td>24.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA679</td>
<td>24.59 ± 0.03</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA709</td>
<td>24.64 ± 0.12</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA738</td>
<td>24.58 ± 0.04</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA767</td>
<td>24.62 ± 0.10</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA797</td>
<td>23.76 ± 0.06</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA827</td>
<td>22.25 ± 0.03</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA856</td>
<td>24.68 ± 0.16</td>
<td>Subaru/Suprime-Cam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Data from GEMS survey catalog ([Rix et al. 2004](#))
- Data from GaBoDs survey catalog ([Taylor et al. 2009](#))
- Data from MUSYC survey catalog ([Cardamone et al. 2010](#))

### Table 4
Host Galaxy Emission Line Fluxes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Jan 13</th>
<th>Jan 28</th>
<th>Apr 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O III(\lambda 5007)</td>
<td>6.43 ± 0.18</td>
<td>6.36 ± 0.07</td>
<td>6.29 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O III(\lambda 4959)</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.14</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\beta</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O III(\lambda 4363)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\gamma</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\delta</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He + [Ne III](\lambda 3968)</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\epsilon</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.05</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ne III](\lambda 3869)</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O II(\lambda 3727)</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>