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ABSTRACT

Atomic layer deposition of alumina enhanced the molecule sensing characteristics of fabricated nanopores by fine-tuning their surface properties, reducing 1/f noise, neutralizing surface charge to favor capture of DNA and other negative polyelectrolytes, and controlling the diameter and aspect ratio of the pores with near single Ångstrom precision. The control over the chemical and physical nature of the pore surface provided by atomic layer deposition produced a higher yield of functional nanopore detectors.

Nanopore sensors, whose ionic conductivity can be diminished by the passage of target molecules, can transduce the passage of a single macromolecule into a discrete electrical signal whose characteristics reveal some of the translocating molecule’s properties. But despite the stability, tunability, and other potential advantages that fabricated solid state nanopores may offer, the ion beam, electron beam, or chemical etch fabrication conditions used to create nanopores usually yield uncharacterized and possibly unfavorable surface properties that can interfere with the pore’s sensing abilities.

Nanopores are often created in an insulating membrane. To respond to single molecules in a high throughput, selective, and sensitive manner, the properties of both the membrane and the nanopore must be carefully selected. For example, the surface properties of the pore and its immediate surroundings should not repel the molecules that are to be detected, and the limiting aperture of the pore must have a diameter large enough to allow the molecules to translocate, but small enough to optimize signal response to the molecules’ presence. While it is evident that both the membrane surface properties and the nanopore dimensions are critical, there have been impediments to achieving simultaneous control of both surface properties and nanopore size because the choice of membrane material is usually limited by the technical features of the fabrication processes. The surface chemistry of the chosen membrane may not be ideal for the application of interest. Furthermore, the best fabrication methods that have been used to control final pore size, such as counting transmitted Ar⁺ ions or direct visualization in an electron beam, inevitably produce variable modifications of the membrane’s surface charge or other characteristics. The resulting surface may make the pore unfavorable or inhibitory to probing molecules and may produce electrical noise that degrades the desired signal.

Here, we show that atomic layer deposition (ALD) of a highly conformal thin film of Al₂O₃ can provide a finishing step to fine-tune both the surface properties and the sizes of fabricated nanopores.

As previously shown, when DNA was driven through such a nanopore by a voltage bias, temporary blockages of the ion current signals revealed the presence and characteristic features of a translocating molecule. But disappointingly, reasonably high throughput DNA translocation (> 1 molecule/10 s from a solution containing 5 µg/mL of λ-DNA with a 200 mV bias) was observed in only a small percentage of our fabricated nanopores. We reasoned that this irreproducible, but generally low-throughput behavior might be due to variability in the ion selective properties of the nanopore which could, in many cases, be rejecting the polyamionic DNA.

To test this hypothesis, we determined the ion selectivity of our nanopores to assess their anion or cation permeability. The predominant current carriers in our buffer solution were...
Figure 1. $I-V$ curves of ion-sculpted nanopores (both with diameters $\sim$14 nm) in 1 M KCl at pH 8.0 (circles) and at pH 2.0 (squares), without (open circles and squares) and with (filled circles and squares) coating by ALD of 3 nm of Al$_2$O$_3$. The current is normalized to the maximum current ($I_{\text{max}}$) observed at $-600$ mV. Only the uncoated nanopore (open symbols) exhibited rectification, strongly at pH 8.0 (circles), less strongly at pH 2.0 (squares). The uncoated pore's cation selectivity was 94.5% at pH 8.0 and 80.1% at pH 2.0; the coated pore’s cation selectivity was 50.5% at pH 8.0, and 48.7% at pH 2.0. Inset (axes as in main figure): $I-V$ curves of an ion-sculpted nanopore, at pH 8.0 (solid line) and at pH 2.0 (dashed line) but after overcoating 3 nm Al$_2$O$_3$ with 3 nm silica (see Methods). Final pore diameter, $\sim$17 nm. Cation selectivity $\sim$75.7% at pH 8; 49.0% at pH 2.0.

potassium and chloride ions. The permeability ratio ($P_{K^+}/P_{Cl^-}$), and hence the cation selectivity $P_{K^+}/(P_{K^+}+P_{Cl^-})$, was calculated from reversal potential measurements using the Goldman–Hodgkin–Katz (GHK) equation:

$$\frac{P_{K^+}}{P_{Cl^-}} = \frac{[a_{K^+}]_t - [a_{Cl^-}]_t \, e^{V_{\text{rev}}/FRT}}{[a_{K^+}]_t - [a_{Cl^-}]_t \, e^{V_{\text{rev}}/FRT}}$$

where $V_{\text{rev}}$ is the reversal potential, $a_X$ is the activity of ion X, subscripts c and t refer to the cis and trans chambers, and other symbols have their usual meanings. The reversal potential, $V_{\text{rev}}$, was determined by subtracting the zero-current electrical potential under symmetric conditions (1 M KCl on both sides of the nanopore) from that under asymmetric conditions (0.2 M KCl on the cis side of the nanopore, 1.0 M KCl on the trans side). At pH 8.0 (the pH used for our standard DNA translocation experiments), $P_{K^+}/(P_{K^+}+P_{Cl^-}) = 71 \pm 10.3$% for 10 ion-sculpted nanopores (all $\sim$13 $\pm$ 2 nm in diameter). This clear cation selectivity and large nanopore-to-nanopore variability ($\pm$ 10.3%) were consistent with our observation that only a few of our nanopores allowed high throughput translocation of the anionic DNA polymers.

The current vs voltage plots ($I-V$ plots) of many ion beam sculpted nanopores were nonlinear, i.e., their conductance was rectified. Rectification and selectivity altered in concert, and both were modulated by pH (Figure 1). In low pH conditions, where negatively charged surface sites were likely to have been protonated, the pores exhibited less rectification and less selectivity. Similar phenomena have been noted in track-etched nanopores in poly(ethylene terephthalate),$^5$,$^{10}$ glass pipets with tapering small tips (20–100 nm),$^{11,12}$ and biological channels.$^{13,14}$ Uneven electrostatic field effects from inhomogeneous surface charge distribution or asymmetric geometry are believed to be responsible for current rectification.$^9$

Together, all of these observations led us to conclude that the variable cation selectivity we measured was due to variable surface charge. To enter a negatively charged pore, DNA molecules would have to overcome not only electrostatic repulsion but also any electroosmotic flow caused by the negatively charged sidewalls of our nanopore. Such electroosmotic flow would oppose the electrophoretic force driving the DNA through the nanopore.

A further difficulty we noted when measuring the electrical properties of a large proportion of our nanopores was dominant low frequency conductance fluctuations whose powers decreased linearly with increasing frequency (Figure 2a). Such noise is observed in many biological and physical systems and is commonly referred to as 1/f noise.$^{15,16}$ This noise, of unidentified origin, made it difficult or impossible to detect the current blockages due to true DNA translocation. The spectral density of the 1/f noise was proportional to the square of the applied voltage bias across the nanopore (Figure 2b), as expected from Hooge’s model.$^{16}$ Although there was no apparent correlation between the 1/f noise level and the cation selectivity of the nanopore, the fact that 1/f noise has been attributed to charge fluctuations in other systems$^{17–19}$ reinforced our misgivings about the unknown and possibly variable state of our nanopore surface. Our nanopores had been fabricated by the interactions of a Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane with an unknown number of Ga$^+$ ions during FIB drilling, an unknown number of Ar$^+$ ions during ion beam sculpting, and, for pores verified by TEM imaging, an uncertain exposure to an electron beam.

We reasoned that atomic layer deposition (ALD) from a chemical vapor could be an ideal method to coat the entirety of our nanopore surfaces with a homogeneous film of known composition. ALD can yield highly conformal step coverage of many different materials, even over high-aspect-ratio structures (aspect ratios > 100) with precise thickness control.$^{7,20}$ Aluminum oxide was chosen as our coating material because it has a nominal isoelectric point at pH 9.0$^{21}$ and should therefore not present a negatively charged surface that repels anionic DNA at pH 8.0. Al$_2$O$_3$ is a thermally and chemically stable insulating dielectric material that inhibits direct electron tunneling and exhibits negligible ion diffusion. Indeed, in contrast to the uncoated nanopores at pH 8.0, the ALD-Al$_2$O$_3$ coated pores were not ion selective ($P_{K^+}/(P_{K^+}+P_{Cl^-}) = 51.4 \pm 1.3$% for 10 ion-sculpted ALD-Al$_2$O$_3$ coated nanopores, all $\sim$13 $\pm$ 2 nm in diameter after 3 nm alumina coating) and, as expected from the absence of ion selectivity, these ALD-Al$_2$O$_3$ coated nanopores were not rectifying (Figure 1). As anticipated given the lack of ion selectivity, high throughput DNA translocation was observed in all of our ALD-Al$_2$O$_3$ pores and, in addition, 1/f noise was gratifyingly insignificant at all voltage levels, ensuring sufficient signal-to-noise ratio for detecting DNA translocation (Figure 3).
To determine if the absence of pore rectification after ALD-Al₂O₃ coating was truly correlated with the observed absence of surface charge and selectivity, or simply a coincidental consequence of ALD treatment, several ALD-Al₂O₃ coated nanopores were overcoated with silica by ALD treatment. Since silica is known to be negatively charged at pH 8.0 and lose this charge only at pH < 3 [21], these pores exhibited cation selectivity (P⁺/[P⁺ + PCl⁻] averaged 60% for three 18 nm diameter pores), and this selectivity was indeed correlated with the reappearance of pH-sensitive rectification (Figure 1, inset).

As already demonstrated in other applications [7,20], ALD from the vapor phase proved itself to be highly conformal: a square FIB-drilled pore in Si₃N₄ maintained its square contours even after 500 cycles of Al₂O₃ deposition (Figure 3). Because ALD can incrementally and uniformly add material to all exposed surfaces, including the side walls lining the diameter of a nanopore, it is an atomically precise method of creating a nanopore, shrinking an oversized pore to a preferred smaller diameter (Figure 3).

Power spectra of ion-sculpted nanopores without (upper curve) and with (lower curve) ALD of 3 nm Al₂O₃ (both pore diameters ~10 nm). Both measurements in buffered 1 M KCl, pH 8.0, at 200 mV. Note the 1/f fitting (dotted line). (b) Noise level (at 10 Hz where 1/f noise dominates) increases with applied voltage level for the nanopore without coating (circles). The data are fitted by S₁ = A₀ * Voltage¹.² (curve). On the other hand, 1/f noise is not significant at all voltage levels for the ALD nanopore (squares).

Translocation of bacteriophage lambda DNA (48.5 kbp) through nanopores (diameters ~15 nm). (a) An Al₂O₃ coated FIB pore: final diameter ~15 nm, length ~40 nm. Each current blockage event represents a single DNA molecule passing through the pore. Two such events are enlarged from the several-second recording (arrows) and displayed in large scale. (b) An Al₂O₃ coated FIB pore: final diameter ~15 nm, length ~250 nm. The DNA translocates in similar time duration but causes smaller current blockage because of greater pore length of the FIB-coated pore. The enhanced portion of some blockages (within the dashed elipses) reflects a portion of the translocating DNA molecule that is folded on itself, such that two strands of the double-helix occupy the nanopore simultaneously [8]. Translocation was driven by a 300 mV voltage bias.

To determine if the absence of pore rectification after ALD-Al₂O₃ coating was truly correlated with the observed absence of surface charge and selectivity, or simply a coincidental consequence of ALD treatment, several ALD-Al₂O₃ coated nanopores were overcoated with silica by ALD treatment [22]. Since silica is known to be negatively charged at pH 8.0 and lose this charge only at pH < 3 [21], these pores exhibited cation selectivity (P⁺/[P⁺ + PCl⁻] averaged 60% for three ~18 nm diameter pores), and this selectivity was indeed correlated with the reappearance of pH-sensitive rectification (Figure 1, inset).

As already demonstrated in other applications [7,20], ALD from the vapor phase proved itself to be highly conformal: a square FIB-drilled pore in Si₃N₄ maintained its square contours even after 500 cycles of Al₂O₃ deposition (Figure 4a, b). Because ALD can incrementally and uniformly add material to all exposed surfaces, including the side walls lining the diameter of a nanopore, it is an atomically precise method of creating a nanopore, shrinking an oversized pore to a preferred smaller diameter (Figure 4c–f). We found that under our conditions (see Methods) the deposition rate of Al₂O₃ was 0.099 ± 0.012 nm (n = 31) per reaction cycle, independent of the total number of cycles (20–500 cycles).
were used for this calibration). This deposition rate was in line with other measurements for deposition of metal oxides on silicon surfaces (e.g., Al₂O₃,²³ HfO₂²⁰). In 14 independent trials on plain FIB-drilled nanopores and 17 trials on ion beam sculpted nanopores, we found that all of the pores had been closed down to their predicted size while still maintaining their initial shapes. Thus, starting with a 2 nm diameter ion beam sculpted nanopore, one can, in principle, reproducibly adjust its diameter to 1 nm by 5 cycles of Al₂O₃ layer deposition with an error of only ± 0.12 nm. Furthermore, although our unoptimized error rate for Al₂O₃ ALD on Si₃N₄ was ±12%, improvements should yield error rates commensurate with the ±2% achieved for HfO₂ and ZrO₂ on silicon substrate.²⁰ But however precise the deposition rate, it is important to realize that, because deposition by ALD occurs on all exposed surfaces, the length of a nominally cylindrical 2 nm diameter pore through a 5 nm thick membrane would be increased from 5 nm to ~6 nm as the pore diameter was decreased from 2 to 1 nm. Such increases in the length of the pore may be desirable or undesirable, depending on the particular application. Both “short” and “long” nanopores detect single DNA molecules as an ionic current blockade during translocation of a polymer (Figure 3), but, as expected from simple Ohm’s law considerations, the blockages in a short nanopore were greater than the current blockages during translocation of a polymer through a longer nanopore of similar diameter (compare Figure 3A and 3B). Ion beam sculpting alone usually produces nanopores that are ~5–40 nm long, depending on the pore size and the ion beam sculpting conditions.

Our results demonstrate a strategy of using atomic layer deposition to improve or create a single-molecule sensor by precisely adjusting a pore’s diameter while simultaneously modifying the product’s critical surface properties in a well controlled manner. Starting with large pores of any shape, correspondingly shaped single-nanometer sized, high aspect ratio channels can be produced by ALD. Alternatively, starting with a small ion beam sculpted nanopore of known diameter in a thin membrane, a short, molecularly controlled manner. Starting with large pores of any shape, correspondingly shaped single-nanometer sized, high aspect ratio channels can be produced by ALD. Alternatively, starting with a small ion beam sculpted nanopore of known diameter in a thin membrane, a short, molecularly sized nanopore can be fashioned with atomic precision without the need for final TEM verification. Our results show that an Al₂O₃ deposited film can passivate a nonideal surface to eliminate unwanted selectivity, decrease 1/f noise, and serve as a protective coating because of its hardness and stability. Using ALD techniques, other dielectrics can be utilized to fine-tune nanopore size while simultaneously producing homogeneous surfaces with a range of different charges and other properties⁶⁻²¹ to meet the requirements of many different applications.

**Methods. Nanopore Fabrication.** Nanopores were fabricated as described² in 25 μm × 25 μm, free-standing, stoichiometric, low-pressure chemical vapor deposited (~200 nm thick Si₃N₄ membranes that were supported on a 12 mm × 6 mm × 0.4 mm N-type, phosphorus-doped, silicon substrate (100) frame. A 70–100 nm diameter pore was initially drilled at the center of this membrane using a focused ion beam machine (FIB, Micron 9500). This large pore was subsequently sculpted with feedback control using a 3-kV Ar⁺ ion beam, during which process the pore size was continuously monitored by counting the Ar⁺ flux through the pore. The Ar⁺ ion beam stimulated lateral atomic flow of Si₃N₄ to create a thin film of Si₃N₄ material that defines a nanopore at one end of the cylindrical FIB bore. The final product was a nanopore in a ~5–40 nm thick film of Si₃N₄ across one end of the 200 nm-long FIB channel.² In general, the pore thickness was proportional to the pore diameter. For example, the thickness, or length, of a 5 nm diameter pore was about 5 nm.

**ALD.** Atomic layer deposition of Al₂O₃ was carried out in a homemade flow reactor at 225 °C using electronically controlled valves as previously reported.²⁰ To generate reactive hydroxylated surfaces, all samples were treated by UV/ozone for 10 min immediately before placement in the flow reactor. Metal precursor, trimethylaluminum [Al(CH₃)₃], was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. Water vapor was used as the oxygen source to form Al₂O₃. One ALD reaction cycle is defined as 1 s of Al(CH₃)₃ vapor flow into the reaction chamber followed by 5 s nitrogen purge, and then 1 s flow of water vapor followed by another 5 s nitrogen purge. Silica was deposited as a nanolaminate as described.²²

**Nanopore Setup and Data Acquisition.** The solution on top of the nanopore (cis side) was confined either by a small chamber made of poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) or a glass capillary tube equipped with a grounding Ag/AgCl electrode. The circuit was completed by a positively biased Ag/AgCl electrode in a PDMS chamber (trans side) underneath the nanopore chip. The Ag/AgCl electrodes contacted the buffered KCl solutions surrounding and within the nanopore. The contact was through a 1 M KCl buffered agarose gel bridge. All experiments were performed at room temperature. The signals were acquired in an event-driven mode at a 10 μs sampling rate and low-pass filtered at 10 kHz using an Axopatch 200B.

**Solutions and Reagents.** The standard buffer solution contained 1 M (or 0.2 M) KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, (pH 8.0). To record DNA translocation events, 5 μg/mL bacteriophage λ dsDNA (New England Biolabs) was added to the cis side of the nanopore. For experiments requiring pH 2.0 solutions, the 10 mM Tris was replaced by 10 mM phosphate.
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