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Phylogenetic relationships among species of *Phillipsia* inferred from molecular and morphological data
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**Abstract:** The internal transcribed spacers (ITS) of the nuclear ribosomal DNA have been sequenced from 29 collections of *Phillipsia*, mainly from the New World. The *P. domingensis* complex, collections with a range of colors but otherwise referable to *P. domingensis* s.l. based on spore ornamentation, were studied. Three distinctive species of *Phillipsia* also were included. The sequences were analysed to infer phylogenetic relationships within *Phillipsia*, using parsimony. Morphological features were studied separately, and then evaluated in the context of the ITS phylogeny. Four distinct rDNA lineages, supported by ascospore ornamentation, were identified: the *P. cris-pata*, the *P. domingensis*, the *P. olivacea* and the *P. carnicolor* lineages. SEM photographs of the ascospores are presented. *Phillipsia hufelandii* and another yellow form were nested within the *P. domingensis* complex, of those with reddish hymenial colors. Color has been emphasized in taxonomy of *Phillipsia*, but these results suggest that individuals with strikingly different coloration may be closely related. Levels of ITS sequence divergence in the *P. domingensis* lineage were low. Based on these data, and morphology as studied thus far, there is no justification for recognizing segregate species within the *P. domingensis* complex. The Old World collections of the *P. domingensis* complex were nested within the New World collections, which implies that the *P. domingensis* lineage is geographically widespread. *Phillipsia rugos-pora* is placed in synonymy with *P. olivacea* and a detailed description of this taxon is given. A lectotype is designated for *P. olivacea*.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Phillipsia* Berk. is one of the more conspicuous genera within the Sarcoscyphaceae (Pezizales, Ascomycota). The species produce large, fleshy, brightly colored apothecia on decaying angiosperm wood and are presumed saprobes. *Phillipsia* is distinguished from other members of the family by ascis with an internal eccentric thickened apical pad, by ellipsoid, inequilateral in profile view or symmetrical, successively maturing ascospores, which are smooth, wrinkled or ornamented with cyanophobic, parallel, longitudinal ridges, and by a rather poorly differentiated, thin outer excipulum of dense *textura intricata* or *textura prismatica*, arranged with the long axes of the cells parallel to the exterior. Although none of these characters are confined to *Phillipsia* this combination of characters is unique. The cyanophobic ridges have been shown [in *P. domingensis* (Berk.) Berk. and *Wynnea americana* Thaxter] to originate from the primary wall; no secondary wall material forms (Li and Kimbrough 1996). This feature has only been demonstrated in the Sarcoscyphaceae and distinguishes the family from others of the Pezizales. *Phillipsia* is restricted to the subtropical and tropical regions of both the Old and New World. The genus has been reviewed by several authors (Boedijn 1933, Denison 1969, Le Gal 1953, Moravec 1997, Rifai 1968, Romero and Gamundi 1986), but no world-wide treatment of *Phillipsia* has been undertaken. While the generic delimitation has not been controversial (but see Moravec 1997 and Rifai 1968), there is confusion as to species limits. Many species have been collected only rarely (many are represented by a single apothecium), and many descriptions are vague. Thirtyone specific epithets have been used in *Phillipsia* at one time or another. However, the number of species recognized in taxonomic treatments varies, mainly due to difficulties that center on species delimitation in what we refer to here as the *Phillipsia domingensis* complex.

**Taxonomic history of Phillipsia.—**The genus was introduced by Berkeley (1881) for seven species, allied by their firm flesh and somewhat cortik consistency. It is unclear what Berkeley judged as being the distinctive features of the genus which he described as “Con-
hymenium semper apertum.” Massea (1896) redescribed two of Berkeley’s type specimens and emended the generic description. However, he still included species now referred to Cookeina Kuntze and Sarcoscypha (Fries) Boudier. Not until the publications by Seaver (1928) and Boedijn (1933) did Phillipsia get its modern circumscription. Phillipsia domingensis, the most commonly identified taxon in Phillipsia, was designated as the type species (Seaver 1927). The name Phillipsia is conserved against the earlier homonym, Phillipsia C. Presl, which is a fossil (ICBN, App. IIIA).

Boedijn (1933) treated species from Indonesia, and suggested that there were but two species in the genus, P. domingensis and P. dochmia (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Seaver, and indicated that other described species were likely synonyms of P. domingensis. Seaver (1928) similarly placed several names in synonymy with P. domingensis. Several of their synonyms have subsequently been used to recognize independent taxa. Seaver described two new species from the Caribbean, P. gigantea Seaver (1925) and P. chardoniana Seaver (1928). Later Boedijn (1940) transferred one species, Humaria umbilicata Penz. & Sacc. to Phillipsia. Le Gal (1953) provided the first and most useful synopsis of the genus. She recognized 13 species, largely by narrowing the broad concept of P. domingensis, based on studies of the type material. Full descriptions were given only for the five taxa from Madagascar, including one new species, P. carnicolor Le Gal. Denison (1969) accepted many of the taxa segregated by Le Gal. He treated ten species from Central America and the Caribbean (including six of those recognized by Le Gal). Five of these species he reported and described from Central America, two of which were new, P. lutea Denison and P. costaricensis Denison. Rifai (1968) treated the Australasian taxa, including four species, two of which were new combinations in the genus, P. hartmannii (Phil.) Rifai and P. minor (Wakef.) Rifai. At the same time he segregated the genus Aurophora Rifai, with P. dochmia as type, on the basis of its fan-shaped apothecia and gelatinous tissue of the medullary excipulum. Though he did not make the combinations he stated that P. inequalis (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Berk. and P. hirneloides (Berk.) Berk. were closely related. Paden (1974, 1977) described two new species from Central America, P. rugospora Paden and P. guatemalensis Paden, and gave detailed information on spore germination and conidial formation.

The Phillipsia domingensis complex.—The P. domingensis complex, as we have defined it here, is characterised by ellipsoid, inequilateral ascospores with 3–6(–7), prominent, longitudinal ridges, seen in profile view (visible in light microscopy (LM) X 400), medium to large apothecia, and with various hymenial colors: yellow, orange, red, purple to pink. The number of species recognized within the complex has depended on the relative weight placed on macroscopic characters, such as size, shape, orientation and color of the apothecia, and thickness of the excipular tissues. Microscopically, the number of ridges on the spore surfaces, has been used as a distinctive character. As we will show, these characters do not necessarily delimit monophyletic groups. Some examples of ambiguities and difficulties in interpreting this group follow in a brief review of some of the species that have been recognized in the P. domingensis complex.

Phillipsia chardoniana was distinguished by its thin flesh and brick-reddish hymenium (Seaver 1925) and P. gigantea on the basis of its thick flesh and large apothecia (Seaver 1928). These two species have not been subsequently collected or recognized and when treated (Boedijn 1933, Denison 1969) they have been synonymized with P. domingensis. Phillipsia subpurpurea Berk. & Broome differs only critically from P. domingensis, by slightly larger apothecia and ascospores with 4–6 (7) ridges as opposed to 3–6 ridges in P. domingensis. It was accepted by Le Gal (1953) and Rifai (1968). Phillipsia carminea (Pat.) Le Gal was stated to differ from P. domingensis in being stipitate, villose on the outside, and by having slightly finer ridges on the ascospores (Le Gal 1959). Phillipsia inequalis was distinguished by its orange, rather than red hymenium (Le Gal 1953). Two other questionable species, with the same type of spore ornamentation (4–6 longitudinal ridges), are P. dochmia and P. hirneloides, separated by their fan-shaped apothecia, with respectively yellow to yellowish brown, and red hymenia (both sensu Le Gal 1953). According to Rifai (1968), P. carminea, P. inequalis, P. dochmia, and P. hirneloides might belong in the genus Aurophora, based on the presence of a gelatinous matrix in the medullary excipulum (see Taxonomic history of Phillipsia). Paden (1977), in his description of P. guatemalensis, noted that it differs from P. domingensis in the color of the hymenium (“rosaceous tan”), its smaller apothecia (up to 3 cm) with thin, rather brittle flesh and by somewhat shorter ascospores (21–25 × 12–13 μm). Phillipsia guatemalensis has been reported only from the type locality (a single collection from Guatemala). Phillipsia lutea was distinguished on the basis of its 4-spored asc, large ascospores and its yellow hymenium, but with the spore-shape and ornamentation as in the P. domingensis complex (Denison 1969).

Moravec (1997), found considerable variability in thickness and number of ridges on individual asco-
spores which were taken from the same apothecia of collections of *P. domingensis* from Madagascar. He concluded that there are no important features that separate these into further species. Moravec’s three collections of *P. domingensis* showed variability in hymenial colors “from pink-red to light pink-violaceous or red- to orange-violaceous.”

The goal of the present study was to provide insight into phylogenetic relationships among species of *Phillipsia* and to facilitate species delimitation using rDNA sequence data. We focused particularly on the *P. domingensis* complex, which has been classified as few or many species based on morphological criteria. We studied specimens that could be referred to *P. domingensis* s.l. Distinctive species of *Phillipsia* were included to test the monophyly of the *P. domingensis* complex, and to evaluate morphological characters previously used in the delimitation of the species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Material studied.**—All collections of *Phillipsia* in FH and selected collections from AAU, BPI, C, CFMR, CSU, MBM, NO, NY, OSC and UVIC (Holm gren et al 1990) were examined morphologically. Representative collections, and the material included in the molecular part of the study, are listed in the results section under morphotaxonomy. DNA sequences from the internal transcribed spacers (ITS) of nuclear ribosomal DNA were obtained from 24 of the herbarium collections, from Central and South America, including 17 collections from the *P. domingensis* complex [of which 15 were referred to *P. domingensis* s.l., one to *P. lutea* and one to another yellow form, “P. yellow” (1) (Numbers in parentheses refer to collection codes in Table 1)], five collections of *P. olivacea* Rick, and two collections of *P. crispata* (Berk. & M. A. Curtis) Le Gal. Additionally, sequences were obtained from three isolates from Thailand, including one isolate each of *P. domingensis* s.l., “P. yellow” (2) and *P. carnicolor* (Table 1). The material used for molecular studies were collected from 1981 to 1997. The excipulum structure, a thick medullary excipulum of loose *textura intricata*, and the large size of the apothecia, generally makes specimens of *Phillipsia* easy to preserve. However, often only a few apothecia are produced at a time, thus making collections rather small. Furthermore, mycelia of more than one species may be fruiting on the same branch, e.g., *P. crispata* (2) and *P. olivacea* (3) (Table 1), and therefore there is the risk of mixing collections. DNA ITS sequence-data for *P. domingensis* s.l. coll. nr. DHP PR-40 and A. de Meijer-2605, and *Nanoscypha tetraspora* (Seaver) Denison, were kindly provided by F. A. Harrington (unpubl data).

*Nanoscypha tetraspora* was used for rooting purposes. This choice is based on a higher-level phylogenetic analysis by Harrington et al (1999), which suggests that *Nanoscypha* is the sister group to *Phillipsia*. However, the *Phillipsia-Nanoscypha* clade was supported by only 61% of the bootstrap replicates (Harrington et al 1999).

**Morphological techniques.**—The material was studied by LM, and identified to morphological species. Pieces of apothecia were revived in water for a minimum of 3 h. Vertical, median sections 25 μm thick were cut on a freezing microtome stage (Physitemp Inst. Inc. Saddle Brook, New Jersey). Hymenial elements were studied by teasing apart individual asci and paraphyses with a fine needle. Measurements and descriptions of microscopic characters were made on material mounted in water, unless otherwise stated. Other chemicals used were cotton blue in lactic acid, congo red in ammonia and Melzer’s reagent. Sections were further mounted in black India ink to determine absence or presence of gel in the excipulum. The India ink fills the spaces between hyphae when gelatinous material is absent. The spore surface from representative specimens of *P. domingensis* s.l. (T. Læsøe AAU-44800; T. Læsøe AAU-44913; DHP-7169), *P. lutea* (NY-4113), *P. crispata* (T. Læsøe AAU-44895a), *P. olivacea* (T. Læsøe AAU-44895b; T. Læsøe AAU-43162), *P. carnicolor* (DHP-7126) and *Nanoscypha tetraspora* (DHP PR-61), were viewed by an AMRay 1000 scanning electron microscope (SEM). Ascospores were collected in a water droplet placed on the hymenium and immediately pipetted onto a cover glass, dried, placed on a stub and coated with gold-palladium alloy. For a few specimens the ascospores were viewed directly on the surface of the hymenium, likewise placed on a stub and coated with gold-palladium alloy. Microanatomical terminology follows Korf (1973) with tissue types described from vertical, median sections of apothecia. Color-codes refer to Kornerup and Wanscher (1974).

**Culturing and molecular methods.**—DNA was isolated from specimens air dried with or without heat, and from cultures. A small piece (ca 2 mm) of an apothecium was cleaned under a dissecting microscope and ground in liquid nitrogen. Cultures were obtained from ascospores from fresh apothecia which were suspended over agar plates. Cultures for DNA isolation (the three Thailand isolates) were grown on MEYE agar (0.3% malt extract, 0.3% yeast extract, 1% glucose, 0.5% peptone agar), at room temperature under ambient light for 3 wk and harvested by scraping off the surface mycelium. The samples were extracted in 500 μL 0.15 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris (pH8.0), 50 mM EDTA, 1% SDS and placed in an approximately 70 C heat block for 10–30 min, with occasional mixing. The suspension was extracted twice with an equal volume of phenol-chloroform-isooamyl alcohol (PCI 24:24:1), and once with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol (CI 24:1). DNA was purified with GeneClean (Bio 101, La Jolla, California). A range of dilutions from the DNA-extract was made in water (1:10, 1:100, 1:1000) and used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification. The internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) and the 5.8S of nuclear ribosomal DNA were symmetrically amplified, using primers ITS4 and ITS5 (White et al 1990). PCR products were purified with QIAquick Spin Columns (1996 QIAGEN). In addition to the primers used for PCR, primers ITS1, ITS3 and 5.8S (Hibbett et al 1995, White et al 1990) were used in dye-terminator cycle sequencing (Applied
Table I. Collections used in molecular phylogenetic study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphological species</th>
<th>Geographic origin and voucher*</th>
<th>GenBank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nanosopha tetrapterosa</td>
<td>Puerto Rico. DHP PR-61 (FH)</td>
<td>AF117352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillipsia carnicolor</td>
<td>Thailand. DHP-7126 (FH)</td>
<td>AF117353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. crispata (1)</td>
<td>Ecuador. T. Læssøe AAU-44801 (AAU, C)</td>
<td>AF117354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. crispata (2)</td>
<td>Ecuador. T. Læssøe AAU-44895a (AAU, C)</td>
<td>AF117355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (1)</td>
<td>Puerto Rico. PR-1582 (FH)</td>
<td>AF117356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (2)</td>
<td>Puerto Rico. PR-1579 (CFMR)</td>
<td>AF117357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (3)</td>
<td>Costa Rica. Franco-M 1270 (NY)</td>
<td>AF117358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (4)</td>
<td>Ecuador. T. Læssøe AAU-44913 (AAU, C)</td>
<td>AF117359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (5)</td>
<td>Ecuador. T. Læssøe AAU-44800 (AAU, C)</td>
<td>AF117360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (6)</td>
<td>Costa Rica. CO-2032 (NO)</td>
<td>AF117361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (7)</td>
<td>Costa Rica. CO-1975 (NO)</td>
<td>AF117362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (8)</td>
<td>USA. CO-1864 (NO)</td>
<td>AF117363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (9)</td>
<td>USA. CO-10 Sept. 1985 (NO)</td>
<td>AF117364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (10)</td>
<td>Puerto Rico. PR-1583 (FH)</td>
<td>AF117365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (11)</td>
<td>Brazil. A. de Meijer-1915 (FH, MBM)</td>
<td>AF117366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (12)</td>
<td>Ecuador. T. Læssøe AAU-45662 (AAU, C)</td>
<td>AF117367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (13)</td>
<td>Ecuador. T. Læssøe AAU-44714 (AAU, C)</td>
<td>AF117368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (14)</td>
<td>Dominican Republic. DR-161 (CFMR)</td>
<td>AF117369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (15)</td>
<td>Dominican Republic. DR-321 (CFMR)</td>
<td>AF117370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (16)</td>
<td>Brazil. A. de Meijer-2605 (FH, MBM)</td>
<td>AF117371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (17)</td>
<td>Puerto Rico. DHP PR-40 (FH)</td>
<td>AF117372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. domingensis (18)</td>
<td>Thailand. DHP-7169 (FH)</td>
<td>AF117373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. lutetia</td>
<td>French Guiana. NY-4113 (NY)</td>
<td>AF117374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. olivacea (1)</td>
<td>Costa Rica. Franco-M 1360 (NY)</td>
<td>AF117375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. olivacea (2)</td>
<td>Venezuela. Halling-5456 (NY)</td>
<td>AF117376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. olivacea (3)</td>
<td>Ecuador. T. Læssøe AAU-44895b (AAU, C)</td>
<td>AF117377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. olivacea (4)</td>
<td>Ecuador. T. Læssøe AAU-43162 (C)</td>
<td>AF117378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. olivacea (5)</td>
<td>Ecuador. T. Læssøe AAU-43774 (AAU, C)</td>
<td>AF117379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;P. yellow&quot; (1)</td>
<td>Costa Rica. CO-2168 (CFMR)</td>
<td>AF117380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;P. yellow&quot; (2)</td>
<td>Thailand. DHP-7197 (FH)</td>
<td>AF117381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The culture numbers used for the Thailand material are identical with the collection numbers.

Biocsysems, Foster City, California), following the manufacturer’s protocol except that reaction volumes were 5 μL. Sequencing reactions were purified using ethanol precipitation. Sequencing reactions were electrophoresed and data collected on an Applied Biosystems 377 automated DNA sequencer. Preliminary phylogenetic analysis showed our single collections of P. lutetia and “P. yellow” (1) to be nested within the P. domingensis complex. Since no other collections of these species were available for molecular studies, these samples were PCR-amplified and sequenced twice (P. lutetia from a new extraction of DNA), to verify the sequences. The inclusion of the Thailand collection, “P. yellow” (2), later confirmed the placement of the yellow forms within the complex.

Analytical methods.—Sequences were edited and assembled using Sequencher 3.0 (GeneCodes, Ann Arbor, Michigan). Sequences have been deposited in GenBank (Table I). Complete sequences of ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 rDNA were manually aligned in the data editor of PAUP* 4.0b63 (kindly provided by David Swofford, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC). Alignment gaps were inserted to maximize aligned sites. We inferred the approximate end points of the 18S, 5.8S and 25S rDNAs by alignment to homologous rDNA sequences and secondary structures from Saccharomyces (Georgiev et al 1981, Rubtsov et al 1980, Thweatt and Lee 1990, Yeh and Lee 1990). The data matrix is available from TreeBASE (http://phylogeny.harvard.edu/treebase) (S53). Alignment gaps, representing putative insertion-deletion sites, were treated as missing data (gap = missing coding). In a preliminary analysis, which did not include the Thailand collections, gaps were scored as characters (indel coding) following guidelines outlined in Hibbett et al (1995). The number of informative characters was increased under indel coding from 126 characters to 145. The strict consensus trees obtained under the two coding schemes were identical and indel coding was therefore not further explored. Instead, analyses were performed with gapped positions included (with gap = missing coding) or excluded. The goal of this exercise was to explore the sensitivity of the results to the inclusion of gapped positions, where there might be alignment ambiguities.

Phylogenetic analyses were performed in PAUP* 4.0b63. All characters were weighted equally and unordered. Due to the size of the data set, we were limited to heuristic searches, which are not guaranteed to find all shortest trees (Maddison 1991, Swofford 1993). We followed a search pro-
RESULTS

Morphotaxonomy.—A summary of the morphological characters observed in the specimens used in the molecular phylogenetic study is provided in Table II. The outer excipulum of the apothecia has been described variously. Rifai (1968) described it as “... prosenchymatous, hyphae delicate, either compactly interwoven or running parallel with the surface ...,” whereas Denison (1969) described it as “… textura intricata to textura epidermoidea with the long axes of the cells parallel to the exterior ...”, and Paden (1977) described it as textura epidermoidea. Finally, Moravec (1997) described the structure of the apothecia as “textura intricata to subepidermoidea ...”. We recognize the outer excipulum to be composed of dense textura intricata to textura prismaticia, with the long axes of the cells running parallel to the exterior, often with free hyphal tips protruding on the outer surface. In this case the use of tissue-type terms tends to obscure the basic understanding of the common structure of the excipulum.

Gel tissue in the apothecia were reported in the fieldnotes with several of the collections, but the spaces between the excipulum cells stained fully black in India ink. Thus, we found no evidence of gelatinous tissue. To further explore the possibility of presence of gelatinous tissue in Phyllipsis, isotype material of *P. hirneoloides* and *P. inaequalis* (FH-Herb. Curtis) were examined. We were unable to confirm the presence of gelatinous tissue and it is most likely that these taxa fall within the *P. domingensis* complex. However, material of these taxa were not available for molecular study.


*Phyllipsis carnicolor* is distinguished by the small, up to ca 1 cm diam, apothecia, short asci, 170–200 μm (Le Gal: 175–280 μm), with a broad base, and small, 18.8–20.5–22.4 × 10.4–10.9–12.8 μm (Le Gal: 17–20 × 9.5–11 μm), ellipsoid, slightly inequilateral ascospores, which are smooth or with very fine striations in LM (barely visible ×1000), in SEM with an ornamentation of 6–8, ca 0.3 μm high, ca 0.7–1.3 μm broad, longitudinal irregular, some anastomosing ridges (Fig. 1) (a few smooth ascospores were seen in SEM). See Le Gal (1953, 1959) for descriptions and drawings of *P. carnicolor*.

Commentary. Rifai (1968) and Denison (1969) tentatively list *P. carnicolor* in synonymy with *P. hartmannii*, although Rifai states that the type specimen of *P. hartmannii* is not fully mature. For this reason the name *P. carnicolor* is used here. Moravec (1997) has likewise examined the type of *P. hartmannii*, and describes the ascospores as smooth in LM, but with a “fine irregular or even subreticulate ornamentation consisting of ‘amoeboid’ and irregularly arranged wrinkles (without a regular longitudinal striation)” in SEM (photos not shown by Moravec). Romero and Gamundi (1986) used the name *P. hartmannii* for a species with a much finer spore ornamentation (13–18 ridges in profile view in SEM) than our collection. To settle the status of these two names additional collections from the type localities in Australia and Madagascar are needed. *Phyllipsis crenulata* Berk. & Br. is another species with small, up to 12 mm diam, apothecia, short asci and ascospores in the same size range (16.5–23.5 × 9–13), with 6–7 fine striae (Le Gal 1953). It is, as the name indicates, described with a crenate margin, whereas the Thailand collection has a smooth margin. The importance of this character is questionable, however, and this name remains problematic. Critical review of these *Phyllipsis* species with small apothecia is needed.


The spore measurements, 22.4–24.8 × 10.4–13.6 μm (Le Gal: 22–33 × 11–15 μm), and ornamentation of very fine, low, longitudinal, parallel ridges (barely visible in LM ×1000), in SEM with 15–18, ca 0.3 μm high, ca 0.3 μm broad, ridges in profile view (Fig. 2) and asci, 360–400 μm long, with a long tapering base, are diagnostic features of *P. crispata*. Our observations are in agreement with Le Gal (1953) and Denison (1969).

Material. BRAZIL. RIO GRANDE DO SUL: São Leopoldo, 1931, J. Rick (s.n.) (FH); RIO GRANDE DO SUL: Serro Azul, 1928, J. Rick (434 and two additional coll. s.n.) (FH). ECUADOR. NAPO: Añangu, Rio Napo Tropical rain forest, 20.VI.1983, T. Lessoe (T. Lessoe AAU-44801) (AAU, C)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>&quot;Species&quot;</th>
<th>Apothecia size (cm)</th>
<th>Hymenium color</th>
<th>Outer surface color</th>
<th>Outer excipulum structure</th>
<th>Spore size (µm); guttulation</th>
<th>Ascus (µm); shape; No. of spores</th>
<th>Spore ornamentation in profile view LM/SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. domingensis</em></td>
<td>2.5–9.5</td>
<td>Pastel red to dull red or greyish red</td>
<td>White to pale pink</td>
<td>Dense intricata/prismatica cells parallel to exterior, ca 40–80 µm thick, + free hyphal tips</td>
<td>18.4–26.4 × 9–12.8; 2 guttules (or 1 large)</td>
<td>360–400 × 14–16; long tapering base; 8, 6 and 4</td>
<td>3–4 ridges/5–6 ridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. domingensis</em></td>
<td>2.2–9.5</td>
<td>Pale pink to pink (crimson)</td>
<td>Pale pink to very faint pink buff</td>
<td>Dense intricata/prismatica, cells parallel to exterior, ca 80–100 µm thick, + free hyphal tips</td>
<td>19.5–28.9 × 11.2–14.9; 2 guttules</td>
<td>360–400 × 12–16; long tapering base; 8 (4)</td>
<td>3–5 (–7) ridges/6–7–8 ridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. domingensis</em></td>
<td>1.0–7.3</td>
<td>Deep red brown to brownish violet to violet brown</td>
<td>White, buff to pinkish buff, pale salmon</td>
<td>T. prismatica, compressed to loosely organized, ca 80–100 µm thick, + free hyphal tips</td>
<td>21.6–28 × 11.2–15; 2 guttules</td>
<td>360–400 × 14–15; long tapering base; 8 and some fewer</td>
<td>3–5 ridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. lutea</em></td>
<td>2.0–5.0</td>
<td>Chrome yellow</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Dense t. intricata, ca 80–100 µm thick, hyphae parallel w/ exterior, + free hyphal tips, tomentose</td>
<td>32.8–38.0 × 12.8–18 (30–42 × 12–15); one large guttule (or 2 merged)</td>
<td>340–380 × 14–16; long tapering base; mostly 4</td>
<td>3–6 ridges/3–6 ridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;<em>P. yellow&quot;</em></td>
<td>1.0–3.4</td>
<td>Bright yellow</td>
<td>White to pale yellow</td>
<td>T. intricata, ca 120–140 µm thick, dense outermost layer, + free hyphal tips, 6–100 µm long, tomentose</td>
<td>24.0–27.0 × 12.0–14.4; 1 or 2 guttules</td>
<td>350–360 × 14–16; long tapering base; 8, 6 or 4</td>
<td>3–5 ridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. olivacea</em></td>
<td>1.0–3.3</td>
<td>Pale yellow to grayish yellow, olive, light brown, olive brown or dull orange</td>
<td>± white to whitish cream</td>
<td>T. prismatica, ca 40–60 µm thick, ± free hyphal tips (some w/free hyphae up to 50–70 µm long)</td>
<td>24.0–32.8 × 10.4–15.2; 2 guttules</td>
<td>400–480 × 14–16; long tapering base; 8, 6 or 4</td>
<td>Smooth to wrinkled (LM/SEM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spore ornamentation</th>
<th>Spore size (μm); No. of spores</th>
<th>Outer exocarpium structure</th>
<th>Outer surface color</th>
<th>Hymenial color</th>
<th>Apothecia size (cm)</th>
<th>&quot;Species*&quot;</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smooth or w/ very faint ridges</td>
<td>170-200 × 10-12; short, broad base; 8</td>
<td>Dense, prismatic, ca. 40-60 μm thick; hyphal parallel to exterior</td>
<td>White to cream</td>
<td>Reddish golden to reddish brown</td>
<td>up to 1.0</td>
<td>P. canaliculata</td>
<td>(1) (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very fine ridges (ca. 10 visible)/15-18</td>
<td>300-400 × 14-16; long tapering</td>
<td>T. prismatic, ca. 50-60 μm thick; + free hyphal tips on outside</td>
<td>Similar in color but</td>
<td>Orange to cadmium</td>
<td>1.3-1.4</td>
<td>lan. pyriformis (from Denison 1972)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth/wrinkled ridges</td>
<td>180-240 × 14-16;</td>
<td>T. angularis, 28-60</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See Table I for collection codes. *P. domingensis* (16) was omitted as no Lm color was indicated with the collection.

(DNA); ibid., 5.VII.1983, T. Lessøe (T. Lessøe AAU-44895A) (AAU, C) (DNA).

The *P. domingensis* complex equals *P. domingensis*, *P. lutea* and other yellow *Phillipsia*'s.


*Phillipsia domingensis* is here characterized by its medium to large, 1.0-9.5 cm diam, apothecia, with the hymenium colors ranging from pink, pastel red to dull red, deep red-brown to violet-purpleish brown, in combination with overlapping spore measurements within the range 18-29 × 9-15 μm, and with an spore ornamentation of 3-7 coarse, high, ridges in profile view (seen in LM ×400, mostly as light and dark bands), in SEM, ca. 1.3 μm high, ca. 1.3-2.0 μm broad ridges (Figs. 3, 4).

Commentary. Collections included in the phylogenetic analysis were separated by hymenial colors (into three hymenial color categories, Table II). So far, however, we have not been able to find any consistent morphological characters correlated with color variation. This is in agreement with recent observations by Moravec (1997), who reported on three collections of *P. domingensis* from Madagascar with apothecia that varied in shape, size and especially in the color of the hymenium (see The *Phillipsia domingensis* complex in the INTRODUCTION).


Phillipsia lutea is morphologically separated from other species of Phillipsia by its predominantly 4-spored asci and the large, 32.8–33.9–38 × 12.8–14.0–16, ellipsoid, inequilateral ascospores with an ornamentation of coarse, high, longitudinal, parallel ridges (easily seen in LM ×400; in SEM with 5–6, ca 1.3–2.0 μm high, ca 1.3–2.0 μm broad ridges in profile view, Fig. 5), in combination with the yellow hymenium.

Commentary. The material from French Guiana sequenced in this study was found as a misidentified collection, labeled Phillipsia tetraspora (= Nanosebya tetraspora) (Courtecuisse et al 1996). The holotype of P. lutea morphologically matches the French Guiana collection. Apart from the outer excipulum, which we interpret as dense textura intricata to textura prismatica, with some free hyphal tips protruding on the outer surface, we agree with the description of Denison (1969). Some 6- or 8-spored asci were present and the paraphyses were filled with yellowish refractive granules. No distinctive anatomical features were found, to separate this taxon from other collections examined in the P. domingensis complex. In Phillipsia generally there is a tendency for the number of ascospores per ascus to be reduced. The cytology of this phenomenon is unstudied. All ascospores of members of the Sarcoscyphaceae studied to date are multinucleate (Berthet 1964).


Other yellow Phillipsia's.

Two collections were tentatively called “P. yellow” because of their bright yellow hymenium. In other features these collections are identical with P. domingensis s.l.

Commentary. The Thailand collection, “P. yellow” (2), was collected on the same branch as P. domingensis (18), but assumed to be different. However, these collections are most likely produced by the same mycelium (see Parsimony analyses results).


Phillipsia olivacea Rick, Broteria, Res. Bot. 21:134. 1924


Apothecia discoid to shallow cupulate, even in circumference or compressed by mutual pressure, 1–3.5 cm diam, sessile to very short stipitate (<2–4 mm), often laterally attached to the substrate; hymenium more or less even, yellow, pale yellow to grayish yellow, dull orange, olive, yellow, olive brown, light brown (some colors near 3–4D5–6, 3E-series, 3C4, 4E6, 6D7); outer surface paler or white, smooth to slightly tomentose, highly wrinkled when dried, due to shrinkage of the thick and very loosely interwoven medullary excipulum. Outer excipulum ca 200 μm thick at the base of the apothecia, with an inner layer of dense textura intricata, the hyphae of which, toward the outside of the apothecia, become oriented parallel to the outer surface forming a narrow (rind-like) band of textura prismatica, 40–80 μm, from which separte hyphal tips up to 6 × 30 μm emerge either singly or in groups forming pustules. Medullary excipulum ca 1800 μm thick at the base, of textura intricata, composed of loosely woven hyphae up to 4–7 μm broad. Margin 172–320 μm broad, composed of parallel running hyphae that on the outside give rise to free hyphal tips—sometimes interwoven, terminating at the level of the apices of the asc and paraphyses. Hymenium 360–440 μm high, arising from a subhymenial layer, 60–80 μm thick, of densely interwoven hyphae, only slightly differentiated from medullary excipulum. Ascii, 4–6, or 8-spored, 400–480 × 14–16 μm, cylindrical with long tapering bases, an internal eccentric thickened apical pad, J. Ascospores uniseriate, ellipsoid, slightly to distinctly inequilateral in profile view (reniform), with rounded ends, (24.0–)26.0–29.0(–32.8) × (10.4–)12.0–12.2(–14.4) μm (83 spores/7 coll.), hyaline, with two large
guttules (7.2–10.4 µm diam), at maturity thick-walled, 0.8–1.2 µm, in LM smooth (×1000) or slightly wrinkled, in SEM smooth (Fig. 6) or wrinkled (Fig. 7). Paraphyses septate, filiform, anastomosing, at the apex enlarged to 2–3.2 µm, equal in length to the asci, containing yellowish or olive, refractive granules in the whole length.

Habitat. On dead wood. Often gregarious (5–14 fruitbodies produced at a time).


Commentary. The status of P. olivacea is somewhat complicated. The name was used by Rick on various specimens sent to FH and to C. G. Lloyd. Rick (1931) provides a citation for the species: “Litt.: Brotero 1906,” which is untraceable. A note by Rick, left with one specimen (no. 436, from 1928, FH), states that he did not publish the name. Yet there is a brief description in a paper in 1924: “Ph. olivacea Rick.—In ligno. Invenitur non raro cum precedente” (i.e., “Phillipsia kermesina Cooke”); “est ejusdem magnitudis, 1–2 cm. lata, sed colore olivaceo differt” (Rick 1924). We consider this to constitute the valid publication by providing a diagnosis. The lectotype has been selected from among the material in Lloyd’s herbarium (BPI), since Rick further stated in his note (with the collection no. 436, FH) that, “Phillipsia olivacea Rick is in the Lloyd Museum.” All other material of P. olivacea studied by Rick are from 1928–1930 which dates them after the publication of the description.

The ellipsoid, in profile view slightly to distinctly inequilateral to reniform ascospores, two large guttules, and smooth (as nearly always seen by LM) or wrinkled spore wall, are diagnostic features of P. olivacea. Likewise, the thin, outermost, often rind-like excipulum of textura prismatica, from which septate hyphal tips emerge, are characteristic. The apothecial colors vary considerably among collections and in the different stages of maturity, ranging from yellow to dull orange, olive or light brown.

One of the paratypes of P. rugospora (Paden 1092, UVIC) has been studied (the holotype has not been located and may be lost) and was found to agree both with the Rick material and the more recent collections. Phillipsia rugospora was known to Paden (1977) only from the type locality in Costa Rica. Since then one collection has been reported from Argentina (Romero and Gamundi 1986). Phillipsia rugospora was distinguished by its yellow hymenium, and ascospores with a wrinkled wall when viewed with SEM—“the ascospores usually appeared smooth when examined in mature stages with an oil-immersion objective” (Paden 1977). The spore wall is somewhat peculiar. We observed both wrinkled and smooth ascospores under SEM. Under LM most ascospores appeared to be completely smooth.

Rick (1931) also described the variety P. olivacea var. viridis Rick. He described it as “tota viridis.” Several collections with this name, made by Rick are in FH. All of these are green due to the more or less uniform coating over the surface of the apothecia of a Penicillus species. We have not examined the type material of this taxon but suspect the concept of this variety to be based on specimens over-run by a mold.


The outgroup, Nanocypha, has been characterized by smooth ascospores (in LM) (Denison 1972). Denison (1972) selected Cookeina tetraspora Seaver [= Phillipsia tetraspora (Seaver) Le Gal], as the type species for Nanocypha when he described the genus. In the original description of C. tetraspora, Seaver (1925) reported the ascospores as often showing faint striations, depending on the maturity of the ascospores. In addition, Le Gal (1953) seemed uncertain about whether the ascospores were smooth or striate. In the Puerto Rico material studied here the wall of N. tetraspora ascospores were found to be wrinkled, in a somewhat longitudinal way, in SEM (Fig. 8). In LM the ascospores were seen as smooth. One of us (DHP) has observed (in LM) the striations noted by Seaver in his collections from Puerto Rico. It may be that in certain mature ascospores the wrinkles seen in SEM become more prominent.

Molecular phylogenetic analysis.—Alignment. All positions were alignable across the *Phillipsia* sequences, except for one region in ITS1. This region was only unambiguously alignable within the morphological species [grouping *P. lutea* and “*P. yellow*” (1), (2) with *P. domingensis* s.l.], except for the one sequence of *P. carnicolor* which was alignable with the *P. olivacea* sequences. This region consisted of 32 bp in the *P. domingensis* complex, 39 bp in *P. crispata* and 38 bp in *P. olivacea/P. carnicolor*. The *Nanoscypha* sequence could not be aligned to any of these hypervariable regions. These positions were offset in blocks, spaced out with gaps, adding approximately 100 bp to the alignment. One additional region in *Nanoscypha* (position 246–269) did not align to the ingroup. The aligned length of all sequences including inserted gaps was 768 bp. The approximate length of ITS1 (gaps excluded) was 178 bp in *Phillipsia* and 152 bp in *Nanoscypha*. ITS2 was 180 bp in both genera. The 5.8S (157 bp) was almost identical across all collections, except for nucleotide substitutions in seven positions, in the region 40 bp before the start of ITS2. The flanking partial sequences of 18S (ca. 64 bp) and 25S (60 bp) rDNA were likewise conserved.

Parsimony analyses. Under gap = missing coding, there were 128 informative characters. With gapped positions omitted this number decreased to 86. When gaps were coded as missing parsimony analyses yielded over 15 000 equally parsimonious trees, of 264 steps [consistency index CI = 0.809, retention index RI = 0.916], whereas analyses with gapped positions omitted yielded only 8 trees, of 181 steps (CI = 0.845, RI = 0.892). The large number of equally parsimonious trees under gaps = missing coding reflect the poor resolution within the *P. domingensis* complex. However, only a few branches in one of 15 000 equally parsimonious trees collapse in the strict consensus tree (all branches within the *P. domingensis* complex). With gapped positions omitted most of these branches collapse in the analyses (creating polytomies), as the branch length is reduced to zero and therefore the number of equally parsimonious trees are strongly reduced (from over 15 000 trees to 8 trees). Despite the large difference in the number of equally parsimonious trees produced, there is no pos-
itive conflict between the strict consensus trees of the two sets of analyses (Figs. 9A, B). However, the basal node of the ingroup topology is unresolved in the strict consensus of the trees produced with gapped positions omitted, with *P. crispata*, and the *P. domingensis* complex and *P. olivacea*, and *P. carnicolor* forming a trichotomy (Fig. 9B). Half of the 8 equally par-simonious trees had the ingroup root placed along the branch leading to the *P. crispata* lineage (Fig. 10). This topology is identical with the topology in the strict consensus tree derived from the analysis with gaps = missing (Fig. 9A). The other half of the trees had the ingroup root placed along the branch leading to the *P. domingensis* and *P. olivacea* lineage (Fig. 10), grouping the *P. carnicolor* lineage with the *P. crispata* lineage.

Phylogenetic analysis under gaps = missing coding identified three well-supported lineages of rDNA, as measured by bootstrapping: the *P. crispata* lineage (bootstrap 100%), the *P. olivacea* lineage (bootstrap 100%) and the *P. domingensis* lineage (bootstrap 100%) (Fig. 9A). The *P. crispata* lineage, consisting of two collections of *P. crispata* from Ecuador, is separated by 49 steps from the root of the tree (Fig. 10, number of steps under gaps = missing coding are indicated in parentheses above branches). The *P. domingensis* and *P. olivacea* lineages form a clade (bootstrap 87%) (Fig. 9A). The branch uniting these two lineages is 26 steps. The branches leading to the *P. domingensis* and *P. olivacea* lineages are each 19 and 16 steps. The *P. domingensis* lineage includes 18 collections of *P. domingensis* s.l., “*P. yellow*” (1), (2), and the single collection of *P. lutea*. In general, there was very little resolution in this group. Nevertheless, one clade (Fig. 9A), consisting of five collections of *P. domingensis* s.l. and “*P. yellow*” (1), (2) (collections from Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, USA and Thailand), is strongly supported (bootstrap 93%). Within this clade (Fig. 9A) the two Thailand collections are strongly supported (bootstrap 95%), these collections are possibly from the same mycelium, since they were collected on the same branch, but were apothecia of highly divergent hymenial colors, bright yellow [“*P. yellow*” (2)] and pinkish [*P. domingensis* (18)]. The *P. olivacea* lineage is composed of two well-supported clades (bootstrap 100%), containing collections from Ecuador, Venezuela and Costa Rica (Fig. 9A). The one collection of *P. carnicolor* from Thailand constitutes a separate lineage, and is the sister group to the *P. domingensis*—*P. olivacea* clade. The branch leading to the *P. carnicolor* lineage is 23 steps.

Parsimony analysis with gapped positions omitted revealed similar levels of bootstrap support for the three main lineages (Figs. 9B, 10). Bootstrap values for the clades within the *P. domingensis* lineage however, were all lower. The monophyly of the *P. domingensis* and *P. olivacea* lineage was only weakly supported (bootstrap 53%). The branch uniting the *P. carnicolor* lineage and the *P. crispata* lineage in four of the eight trees is 10 steps, with the branches of the *P. carnicolor* and *P. crispata* lineages each 20 and 44 steps (topology not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

The four distinct rDNA lineages found in the phylogenetic analysis correspond to morphologically similar groups: *P. crispata*, the *P. domingensis* complex, *P. olivacea* and *P. carnicolor* (Fig. 10). The first three lineages are all well supported as monophyletic by 99–100% of the bootstrap replicates, but the *P. carnicolor* lineage is represented by only one collection. Although the *P. domingensis* lineage and *P. olivacea* lineage form a clade, the monophyly of this clade is not strongly supported when gapped positions are omitted (bootstrap values decrease from 87% to 53%).

The position of the *P. carnicolor* lineage is uncertain. *Phillipsia carnicolor* forms either a separate lineage as sister group to the *P. domingensis-olivacea* lineage (Fig. 10) or a monophyletic group with the *P. crispata* lineage (when gapped positions are omitted, Fig. 10; arrowed). The *P. crispata* lineage (with or without the *P. carnicolor* lineage) is the sister group to the *P. domingensis-olivacea* clade. Moravec (1997) suggested that *P. carnicolor* belongs to an infrageneric taxonomic group, characterized by “small stipitate apothecia with an orange, pale red to pink hymenium and a thin medullary excipulum of a firm consistency,” along with *P. crenulata* Berk. & Broome. Already, Rifai (1968) recognized a group of species within this “series,” characterized further by short asci, with broad untapering bases. To this group he allocated *P. umbilicata* (Penz. & Sacc.) Boedijn. *Phillipsia carnicolor* is characterized by this type of ascus. To confirm the monophyly of the *P. carnicolor* group hypothesis, sampling of more taxa within this group is necessary. In no case, however, do the *Phillipsia* species in our analyses form a monophyletic group excluding *P. carnicolor*. Our results suggest that *P. carnicolor* is in the main *Phillipsia* lineage, which does not support the division of *Phillipsia* into several genera, as tentatively suggested by Moravec (1997).

The four main lineages inferred from the ITS topologies are all supported by spore morphology (Fig. 10). (i) *P. domingensis* s.l., *P. lutea* and “*P. yellow*” are united by the spore shape and ornamentation: ellipsoid, inequilateral ascospores, with an ornamentation of 3–7 coarse, longitudinal ridges in profile view
Fig. 10. Phylogram depicting one of 8 equally parsimonious trees (181 steps) for ITS sequences, generated with gapped positions omitted. Terminal taxa are individual collections (see Table I). Bootstrap values from 1000 replicates are given below branches (values <50% not shown). Branch lengths are proportional to the number of steps (character changes) along the branch (given above each branch). The main topology of one of 15 000 equally parsimonious trees (264 steps) generated under gaps = missing coding are identical, and the branch lengths from this coding scheme are indicated in parentheses above each branch. Arrow indicate alternative rooting option with gapped positions omitted. Spore morphology supporting each recognized lineage and the outgroup are shown (for Nanoscypa and P. olivacea only smooth ascospores are shown), ca scale ×1.000 (reproduced from Le Gal 1953).
(Figs. 3–5). (ii) The *P. olivacea* lineage has ascospores with a smooth (Fig. 6) or wrinkled spore wall (Fig. 7). (iii) The *P. crispata* lineage has ascospores with an ornamentation of 10–15 very fine, longitudinal, parallel ridges in profile view (Fig. 2). (iv) The *P. carnicolor* lineage has ascospores with an ornamentation of 6–8 low, broad, longitudinal irregular, parallel, some anastomosing ridges (Fig. 1). The outgroup, *Nanoscypha*, has ascospores with smooth or wrinkled spore walls (Fig. 8). Assuming that the rDNA phylogeny is congruent with the species/population phylogeny we suggest that the four rDNA lineages revealed here could be recognized as phylogenetic species.

To further understand relationships within *Phillipsia*, it would be valuable to perform analyses that include species with different numbers of ridges in their spore ornamentation, such as *P. costaricensis*, which has spores with 7–9 distinct ridges. More taxa with seemingly smooth ascospores would likewise be important to investigate.

Color has been emphasized in taxonomy of *Phillipsia* (e.g., Denison 1969, Ito and Imai 1937, Le Gal 1953, Moravec 1997). Nevertheless, these results indicate that individuals with strikingly different coloration may be closely related. The bright yellow forms (*P. lutea* and “*P. yellow*”) are nested within the *P. domingensis* complex and are not monophyletic, which suggest that yellow apothecia have been derived from reddish apothecia at least twice. This suggests that derivation of yellow from reddish apothecia may involve a simple genetic change. Pigments in three “species” of *Phillipsia* (*P. carminea*, *P. subpurpurea* and *P. carnicolor*) have been studied (Arpin 1969). A carotenoid pigment, phllipssianthxin, exists in two forms (as di- and mono-esters) in the species studied. Whether this pigment can undergo changes that give the hymenium variable colors under different environmental conditions has not been demonstrated, but Griffin (1994) notes that the color of carotenoids depends on the degree of saturation of the carbon backbone. Color should be used with caution as a taxonomic character, until further work has been undertaken on the stability of these carotenoids, and should not be used alone to distinguish *Phillipsia* species. In closely related genera, *Sarcoscypha* (Fr.) Boudier and *Cookeina* Kuntze, hymenial colors likewise vary considerably. *Cookeina speciosa* (Fr.: Fr.) Dennis has been treated as including a range of color forms [Denison 1967; as C. sulcipes (Berk.) Kuntze]. A bright orange form of the normally scarlet red cup, *Sarcoscypha austriaca* (Sacc.) Boud. has been observed in Denmark (Lange 1998).

ITS provided very little resolution in the *P. domingensis* lineage. A single clade of five collections of *P. domingensis* and both collections of “*P. yellow*” was well supported, but so far we have not been able to find any consistent morphological characters that distinguish this clade from the rest of the *P. domingensis* lineage. The Old World collection(s) of the *P. domingensis* complex [*P. domingensis* (18) and “*P. yellow*” (2)] were nested within the New World collections, indicating that the *P. domingensis* lineage is geographically widespread. Based on this study there is no justification for recognizing segregate species within the *P. domingensis* complex. Ascospore germination in *P. domingensis* and *P. lutea* are shown to differ (Paden 1974) and different anamorphs, *Meliariomyces domingensis* Paden and *M. luteus* Paden, are described for each of these “morphologically defined species” (Paden 1984). The ascospores of *P. domingensis* developed one septum and produced, mostly two, germ tubes with conidialike structures, while ascospores of *P. lutea* germinated with a single germ tube, which did not form conidialike structures. We observed ascospores of “*P. yellow*” (1) germinating in the asci and on the hymenial surface in the same manner as described for *P. domingensis*. However, further germination experiments and studies of the anamorphic states are needed to critically evaluate the taxonomic use of these characters. The two types of germination are similar to those found in *Sarcoscypha* (Baral 1984, Harrington 1990, Butterfill and Spooner 1995). The name *Sarcoscypha coccinea* (Jacq.:Fr.) Lambotte has been used for a complex of species (Baral 1984), which are macroscopically remarkably similar. Five taxa were recognized within this complex, with ascospore germination and production of conidia as key morphological characters (along with excipular hairs, shape of the apices of the ascospores and ascospore guttulation). However, within *Sarcoscypha* analysis based on ITS data did resolve monophyletic groups that corresponded to the morphologically defined species (Harrington and Potter 1997). To understand relationships within the *P. domingensis* lineage, more rapidly evolving sequences may be useful, such as the intergenic nontranscribed spacers of rDNA (Anderson and Stasovskii 1992). In addition, a more comprehensive study of *Phillipsia* must include more sampling from Asia, as well as sampling from Australasia and Africa.
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