



CodeBlue: An Ad Hoc Sensor Network Infrastructure for Emergency Medical Care

Citation

Malan, David, Thaddeus Fulford-Jones, Matt Welsh, and Steve Moulton. 2004. CodeBlue: An ad hoc sensor network infrastructure for emergency medical care. Paper presented at the International Workshop on Wearable and Implantable Body Sensor Networks, April, London, UK.

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:3191012>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)




Figure 1: (a) Our mote-based pulse oximeter. (b) The accompanying patient triage application.

sist of an embedded microcontroller, low-power radio, and a modest amount of local storage in a small ($5.7 \text{ cm} \times 3.2 \text{ cm} \times 2.2 \text{ cm}$) package, powered by 2 AA batteries. The device consumes roughly 20 mA when active, resulting in a battery lifetime of 5–6 days if continuously running. The device can drop to a very low power sleep state of $10 \mu\text{A}$, increasing lifetime to over 20 years, albeit without any activity. In general, applications will employ duty-cycling to achieve good lifetimes with reasonable communication and computation rates. These devices run a specialized operating system, called TinyOS [9], that specifically addresses the concurrency and resource management needs of sensor nodes.

The radio transceivers used in these devices differ substantially from existing commercial wireless technologies, such as 802.11b and Bluetooth. The current MICA platform uses a single-chip radio, the Chipcon CC1000, operating at 433 or 916 MHz, with a maximum data rate of 76.8 kbps. The practical indoor range is approximately 20–30 m. The limited bandwidth and computational capabilities of these devices precludes the use of Internet-based protocols and such services as TCP/IP, DNS, and ARP.

The next generation, which will be available in a matter of months, incorporates a radio conforming to the new IEEE 802.15.4 standard [10], operating at 2.4 GHz with 250 kbps bandwidth. This standard is being pushed by industry as the next generation technology for ultra-low-power, limited range wireless communications and will be well-suited for a number of novel industrial applications. We are interested in understanding the security and reliability of this technology for medical applications.

The devices are capable of operating as active tags, storing information on a patient’s identity, status, history, and interventions, thereby obviating the need for back-end storage systems or paper charts. Moreover, the devices are significantly smaller than existing portable monitors, operate for months on a single pair of alkaline batteries, and completely eliminate wires. In addition, it is possible to track the location of these devices down to meter-level accuracy using ultrasound-ranging or RF-localization strategies.

As a demonstration of wireless vital sign monitoring using sensor network devices, we have developed a mote-based pulse oximeter, shown in Figure 1(a). The device consists of a MICA2 mote with a pulse oximetry signal-processing module designed by BCI, Inc. The pulse oximetry board consumes only 6.6 mA, in addition to the 20 mA consumed by




Figure 2: The CodeBlue communication substrate.

the MICA2 mote in full operation, and is small enough to integrate into a compact package. The device transmits periodic packets containing heart rate, SpO_2 (blood oxygen saturation), and plethysmogram waveform data. We are also developing a mote-based two-lead ECG, and believe a range of other vital sign sensors can be readily developed using this platform.

Vital sign data from multiple patients can be relayed using an adaptive, multi-hop routing scheme either to a wired base station (such as a PC or laptop) or directly to multiple handheld PDA devices carried by EMTs, physicians, or nurses. Figure 1(b) shows our patient triage application, based on the .NET Compact Framework [12], running on an iPAQ PDA with Windows CE. In addition to collecting a constant stream of vital signs from each patient, the application can integrate the data with an electronic patient care record using an application such as 10Blade’s iRevive [7].

4 CodeBlue: A Wireless Infrastructure for Emergency Response

Integration of low-power wireless devices into medical settings raises a number of novel challenges. Current demonstration systems operate with a small number of devices under fairly static conditions. Scaling up to handle a mass casualty scenario and ensuring robust operation with a high degree of mobility and minimal packet loss poses a number of open problems. We do not wish to assume an existing wireless infrastructure; the system must work in an *ad hoc* manner.

We are developing CodeBlue, a wireless communications infrastructure for critical care environments. CodeBlue is designed to provide routing, naming, discovery, and security for wireless medical sensors, PDAs, PCs, and other devices that may be used to monitor and treat patients in a range of medical settings. CodeBlue is designed to scale across a wide range of network densities, ranging from sparse clinic and hospital deployments to very dense, *ad hoc* deployments at a mass casualty site. CodeBlue must also operate on a range of wireless devices, from resource-constrained motes to more powerful PDA and PC-class systems.

We are in the early design and prototyping stages of CodeBlue’s development; the discussion that follows outlines our current design goals and the research challenges that emerge in this environment.

4.1 CodeBlue Architecture

CodeBlue (depicted in Figure 2) offers a scalable, robust “information plane” for coordination and communication across wireless medical devices. CodeBlue provides protocols and

services for node naming, discovery, any-to-any *ad hoc* routing, authentication, and encryption.

CodeBlue is based on a publish/subscribe model for data delivery, allowing sensing nodes to publish streams of vital signs, locations, and identities to which PDAs or PCs accessed by physicians and nurses can subscribe. To avoid network congestion and information overload, CodeBlue will support filtration and aggregation of events as they flow through the network. For example, physicians may specify that they should receive a full stream of data from a particular patient, but only critical changes in status for other patients on their watch.

The use of *ad hoc* networking will allow the “mesh” of connectivity to extend across an entire building or between multiple, adjacent facilities. Additional coverage, if necessary, will be possible with placement of fixed nodes in hallways, rooms, or other areas. No matter the topology, the network will be self-organizing: loss of a given node or network link can be rapidly detected and data re-routed accordingly. CodeBlue will also provide for reliable transmission of critical data through content-specific prioritization and dynamic scaling of transmission power.

CodeBlue will support a flexible security model allowing a range of policies to be implemented. For example, it is necessary that EMTs who require access to patient data be authenticated by the network before they are able to receive all patient information. One EMT must also be able to hand off access rights to another, as when a new rescue team arrives on the scene of a disaster. Authentication must be performed transparently as the patient is transported from disaster site to hospital, or transferred between hospitals. Access control must be decentralized to avoid reliance on a single authoritative system.

CodeBlue will simplify application development by providing a rich infrastructure for connectivity of medical devices. In the hospital, data collected from wireless sensors can be relayed to fixed, wired terminals and integrated with patient records in existing hospital information systems. At a mass casualty site, an ambulance-based system can record extensive data streams from each wireless sensor or PDA to support audits and billing.

4.2 Research Challenges

We have identified a number of critical challenges in our early work on CodeBlue. We intend to explore these problems in our design efforts. To achieve the level of robustness required for medical telemetry, significant research must be undertaken to design communication protocols, energy-management schemes, and encryption algorithms appropriate to this domain.

Communication challenges: The first challenge is secure, reliable, *ad hoc* communication among groups of sensors and mobile, handheld devices. Unlike 802.11 networks, sensor networks are entirely self-organizing and operate with extremely limited energy and computational resources. To limit energy consumption it is desirable for nodes to minimize their transmit power to achieve acceptable connectivity without inducing network interference. In addition, the network must prioritize the transmission of critical data, such as a sudden change in patient status. Existing wireless networks provide only “best effort” service and do not explicitly provide for prioritized traffic, which is critical for medical applications.

Computational challenges: Sensor nodes have very limited computational power, and traditional security and encryption techniques are not well-suited to this domain. While secret-key cryptographic systems have been demonstrated on motes, there is currently no practical means of establishing encryption keys. We are exploring the use of efficient, integer-based elliptic curve cryptography which has the potential to allow rapid rekeying among groups of sensors. Our system must allow physicians, nurses, and others to assign quickly access rights to patient data and to determine hand-off credentials when a patient is transferred to another unit or hospital. Existing authentication systems are extremely rigid in this regard.

Programming challenges: Finally, coordination of a diverse array of sensors, active tags, handheld computers, and fixed terminals requires a cohesive communication and programming model to underlie the system’s operation. Existing software for sensor nodes is very low-level and does not provide higher-level services such as discovery, naming, security, and data delivery within a common framework. Our goal is to develop a flexible protocol suite for integrating a range of wireless devices in a critical care setting.

5 Current Status

We have completed an initial design of CodeBlue and prototypes of several of the components described herein. The pulse oximetry mote has been completed and development of an ECG mote is currently underway. We have explored the use of an adaptive spanning-tree multi-hop routing algorithm, based on the TinyOS *Surge* protocol [15], and we have incorporated dynamic transmission power scaling to minimize interference. A lightweight public key infrastructure based on elliptic curve cryptography [11] is currently being tested. A sophisticated programming model using *abstract regions* for routing, data sharing, and aggregation has also been developed [14].

We believe that deploying low-power wireless devices in emergency and disaster response pushes the envelope on a number of important research challenges. With CodeBlue, we are attempting to bring these together into a coherent system to provide routing, addressing, security, and prioritization of data. Such an infrastructure is necessary to realize the benefits of these next-generation wireless devices.

References

- [1] GMP Wireless Medicine, Inc. <http://www.wirelessecg.com/>.
- [2] HealthFrontier, Inc. <http://www.healthfrontier.com/Products/>.
- [3] Nonin Medical, Inc. <http://www.nonin.com/>.
- [4] Numed Holdings Ltd. <http://www.numed.co.uk/>.
- [5] Radianse, Inc. <http://www.radianse.com/>.
- [6] Telos corporation. <http://www.telos.com/>.
- [7] 10Blade, Inc. iRevive. <http://www.10blade.com/irevive.htm>.

- [8] Inc. Crossbow Technology. Motes, smart dust sensors, wireless sensor networks. <http://www.xbow.com/Products/productsdetails.aspx?sid=3>.
- [9] Jason Hill, Robert Szewczyk, Alec Woo, Seth Hollar, David E. Culler, and Kristofer S. J. Pister. System architecture directions for networked sensors. In *Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems*, pages 93–104, 2000.
- [10] Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. IEEE 802.15.4. draft standard. <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/15/pub/TG4.html>.
- [11] David Malan. Crypto for tiny objects. Technical Report TR-04-04, Harvard University, January 2004.
- [12] Microsoft Corporation. Microsoft .NET Compact Framework. <http://msdn.microsoft.com/mobility/prodtechinfo/devtools/netcf/>.
- [13] The MobiHealth Project. Innovative gprs/umts mobile services for applications in healthcare. <http://www.mobihealth.org/>.
- [14] Matt Welsh and Geoff Mainland. Programming sensor networks using abstract regions. In *the First USENIX/ACM Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI '04)*, March 2004.
- [15] Alec Woo, Terence Tong, and David Culler. Taming the underlying challenges of reliable multihop routing in sensor networks. In *the First ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems (SenSys 2003)*, November 2003.
- [16] Boo-Ho Yang and Sokwoo Rhee. Development of the ring sensor for healthcare automation. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, (30):273–281, 2000.