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G-CSF maintains controlled neutrophil mobilization during acute inflammation by negatively regulating CXCR2 signaling
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Cytokine-induced neutrophil mobilization from the bone marrow to circulation is a critical event in acute inflammation, but how it is accurately controlled remains poorly understood. In this study, we report that CXCR2 ligands are responsible for rapid neutrophil mobilization during early-stage acute inflammation. Nevertheless, although serum CXCR2 ligand concentrations increased during inflammation, neutrophil mobilization slowed after an initial acute fast phase, suggesting a suppression of neutrophil response to CXCR2 ligands after the acute phase. We demonstrate that granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), usually considered a prototypical neutrophil–mobilizing cytokine, was expressed later in the acute inflammatory response and unexpectedly impeded CXCR2–induced neutrophil mobilization by negatively regulating CXCR2–mediated intraacellular signaling. Blocking G-CSF in vivo paradoxically elevated peripheral blood neutrophil counts in mice injected intraperitoneally with Escherichia coli and sequestered large numbers of neutrophils in the lungs, leading to sterile pulmonary inflammation. In a lipopolysaccharide–induced acute lung injury model, the homeostatic imbalance caused by G-CSF blockade enhanced neutrophil accumulation, edema, and inflammation in the lungs and ultimately led to significant lung damage. Thus, physiologically produced G-CSF not only acts as a neutrophil mobilizer at the relatively late stage of acute inflammation, but also prevents exaggerated neutrophil mobilization and the associated inflammation–induced tissue damage during early–phase infection and inflammation.

INTRODUCTION

Neutrophils are major players in innate immunity. They are recruited from circulation to infected tissues in response to infection, where they phagocytose and clear invading bacterial and fungal pathogens. However, excessive accumulation or hyperactivation of neutrophils can also be detrimental to the host. Hence, neutrophil homeostasis, recruitment, and function need exquisite regulation (Christopher and Link, 2007; von Vietinghoff and Ley, 2008; Strydom and Rankin, 2013; Bardoe et al., 2014; Nauseef and Borregaard, 2014; Kruger et al., 2015).

Leukocytes, including neutrophils, arise from self-renewing hematopoietic stem cells that produce differentiated lineage-committed progenitors. Granulocyte/macrophage progenitors produce neutrophils via a series of developmental stages: first as myoblast, promyelocytes, myelocytes, metamyelocytes (at which point cell division ceases), and band neutrophils and then mature segmented neutrophils (Kondo et al., 2003). Neutrophils remain in the BM for 5–6 d after the last granulocyte precursor division, and consequently, the BM is the main site of neutrophil reserves. During acute infection and inflammation, large numbers of neutrophils are recruited to affected tissues, and mature neutrophils are mobilized from...
the BM to peripheral blood (PB) to compensate for their peripheral loss. This transient neutrophilia ensures that neutrophils are rapidly delivered to sites of infection.

The regulation of neutrophil and progenitor cell mobilization during acute inflammation has been extensively studied (Furze and Rankin, 2008; Sadik et al., 2011; Day and Link, 2012). Granulocyte CSF (G-CSF) is a prototypical neutrophil-mobilizing cytokine under both basal and stress conditions (Petit et al., 2002; Semerad et al., 2002; Brockmeyer, 2008; Knudsen et al., 2011; Dale, 2012; Bendall and Bradstock, 2014). After a single G-CSF injection, PB neutrophil numbers increase significantly, peak at 6 h, and return to near-baseline levels by 24 h (Lévesque et al., 2003; Semerad et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2006; De La Luz Sierra et al., 2007). G-CSF is also a hematopoietic cytokine and has multiple functions in normal, steady-state hematopoiesis including the regulation of neutrophil progenitor proliferation and differentiation and the functional activation of neutrophils (Gregory et al., 2007). Several other neutrophil-mobilizing agents are thought to contribute to stress-induced mobilization, the most notable being C5a, leukotriene B4 (LTB4), and CXCR2 ligands (e.g., IL-8 in humans and keratinocyte chemoattractant [KC] and macrophage inflammatory protein 2 [MIP-2] in mice; Martin et al., 2003; Burdon et al., 2005; Eash et al., 2010). CXCR2 ligand–induced neutrophil mobilization is much quicker than G-CSF–induced mobilization, with 10-fold neutrophilia occurring 30 min after injection (Fibbe et al., 1999). The rapidity of CXCR2-ligand-induced mobilization (minutes to hours) compared with G-CSF (hours to days) suggests that there are distinct mobilization mechanisms. Similar effects have also been seen in G-CSF– and CXCR2 ligand–induced mobilization of hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells (Pelus and Fukuda, 2006).

Here, we report that rapid neutrophil mobilization at the early stages of acute inflammation is mainly mediated by CXCR2 ligands. Although serum CXCR2 ligand concentrations increased during inflammation, neutrophil mobilization slowed after an initial acute fast phase. This suggests that neutrophil responses to CXCR2 ligands are suppressed after the acute phase: we demonstrate that this is caused by the inhibition of CXCR2-mediated cellular signaling by G-CSF, which was expressed later in the acute inflammatory response. Although G-CSF is a well known neutrophil-mobilizing agent, inhibition of G-CSF activity in vivo unexpectedly elevated PB neutrophil counts in Escherichia coli–infected mice and, furthermore, sequestered neutrophils in the lungs to produce sterile pulmonary inflammation. Similarly, in an LPS–induced acute lung injury model, G-CSF blockade led to enhanced neutrophil accumulation in the lungs, edema, and severe lung inflammation. Thus, G-CSF not only acts as a neutrophil mobilizer, but also negatively regulates neutrophil mobilization by CXCR2 ligands: this is a previously unrecognized neutrophil mobilization control mechanism during infection and inflammation. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the homeostatic imbalance caused by G-CSF suppression elicits neutrophil sequestration in the lungs and ultimately leads to significant lung damage.

RESULTS

The instant PB neutrophilia induced by E. coli is mediated by CXCR2 ligands

To investigate the mechanism by which neutrophils are rapidly mobilized by chemokines and cytokines, we used a mouse peritonitis model of acute inflammation. Neutrophil numbers in the PB were elevated shortly after i.p. E. coli administration, with maximum blood levels reached at 90 min (Fig. 1 A). The majority of the increase occurred in the first 30 min after induction of peritoneal infection, suggesting that the regulatory cytokines must have similar dynamics (Fig. 1 B). Cytokine-specific ELISAs showed that levels of the CXCR2 ligands MIP-2 (Fig. 1 C) and KC (Fig. 1 D) rapidly increased in blood serum, peaking at 30 min after E. coli injection. CXCR2 ligands appeared to be essential for neutrophil mobilization at this early phase of acute infection, as simultaneous injection of CXCR2-blocking antibodies suppressed E. coli–induced neutrophilia (Fig. 1 E). Treatment with CXCR2 ligand alone was also sufficient to induce PB neutrophilia. The effect of MIP-2 on neutrophil mobilization was monitored by i.v. injection of MIP-2. 15 min after a single MIP-2 injection, there was a significant increase in circulating neutrophil numbers that was completely inhibited by CXCR2 antibodies (Fig. 1 F). Collectively, early neutrophil mobilization from the BM to the PB in response to acute infection appears to be caused, at least in part, by elevation of CXCR2 ligands in the blood.

G-CSF suppresses early-phase PB neutrophilia induced by E. coli

G-CSF is involved in the differentiation of myeloid progenitor cells into neutrophils and is also routinely used to mobilize neutrophils from the BM in neutropenic patients. We examined the effect of G-CSF on E. coli–induced neutrophilia at different time points. Surprisingly, G-CSF did not further increase neutrophil numbers in the PB of E. coli–challenged mice; on the contrary, G-CSF dramatically suppressed infection-induced neutrophilia, particularly during early-phase (first 60 min) infection (Fig. 2 A). PB neutrophilia can also be affected by the rate of neutrophil recruitment to the site of inflammation. To assess the effect of G-CSF on neutrophil recruitment to tissues, neutrophils in peritoneal lavage fluid were counted after G-CSF and E. coli administration. G-CSF did not affect neutrophil recruitment to the inflamed peritoneal cavity, indicating that the G-CSF–induced reduction in PB neutrophilia was not caused by changes in neutrophil recruitment (Fig. 2 B).

G-CSF inhibits MIP-2–induced neutrophil mobilization from the BM

G-CSF mobilizes neutrophils by reducing CXCR4 expression on neutrophils and decreasing BM CXCL-12 expression
To further understand the mechanism by which G-CSF regulates blood neutrophilia during acute infection, we monitored the direct effect of G-CSF and MIP-2 alone and in combination on neutrophil mobilization from the BM (Fig. 3A). As early as 15 min after MIP-2 injection, PB neutrophil numbers were drastically elevated compared with vehicle control (PBS), reaching a maximum at 30 min and returning to basal levels ~2 h after injection. Conversely, G-CSF significantly reduced circulating neutrophil numbers and induced neutropenia 15 min after injection. This neutropenia was transient, as circulating neutrophil numbers increased after 15 min to reach normal levels by ~30 min and continued to elevate thereafter (Fig. 3A), in agreement with previous observations that circulating neutrophils decrease after a single G-CSF dose and then recover to normal levels (Gordon et al., 2007; DeJesus et al., 2011). Neutrophils appearing in the PB after MIP-2 stimulation originate from the BM; therefore, we counted BM neutrophils 60 min after MIP-2 or G-CSF injection. MIP-2–treated mice had reduced BM neutrophil counts compared with G-CSF–treated mice (Fig. 3B), consistent with the less significant neutrophilia observed in G-CSF–treated mice during early-stage infection. MIP-2 injection also increased circulating blood eosinophils (Fig. 3C) but not monocytes or other cell types (Fig. 3D).

Figure 1. Rapid neutrophil mobilization in early-stage acute inflammation is mainly mediated by CXCR2 ligands. (A) Neutrophil counts in PB after *E. coli* administration in the peritonitis model. Changes of PB neutrophil count over time were analyzed by one-way ANOVA–repeated measures. The control group was time 0. (B) The speed of neutrophil count changes at each indicated time period was quantified. The control group was −30 to 0 min. (C) The concentrations of CXCR2 ligand MIP-2 in blood serum. (D) The concentrations of CXCR2 ligand KC in blood serum. The control group was time 0 for each group. Differences over time between groups were analyzed using multivariate ANOVA with measures repeated over time. *E.coli* treatment significantly (P < 0.01) increased serum MIP-2 and KC levels. (A–D) Dunnett’s multiple comparison test versus the control group was used. (E) CXCR2 blockade suppresses rapid neutrophil mobilization during early-stage acute inflammation. CXCR2 antibodies or IgG (as control) was i.v. administered to each mouse. (F) CXCR2 ligand MIP-2 induces rapid neutrophil mobilization. MIP-2 (1 µg in 100 µl PBS) and/or CXCR2 antibodies (2 µg in 100 µl PBS) were i.v. administered. (E and F) Student’s t test versus control (mice treated with IgG) was used. All data are means ± SD of three experiments (n = 6 mice). *, P < 0.01. Ab, antibody.

(Semerad et al., 2002, 2005).
To address whether G-CSF and MIP-2 synergistically mobilize neutrophils from the BM reservoir, mice were simultaneously injected with MIP-2 and G-CSF. This resulted in significantly lower circulating neutrophil numbers compared with mice injected with MIP-2 alone (Fig. 3A). At 15 min after administration of this cocktail, circulating neutrophil numbers were even lower than in vehicle-treated controls. Thus, G-CSF appears to play a negative role in infection-induced neutrophilia by inhibiting CXCR2 ligand–mediated neutrophil mobilization from the BM. AMD3100 is a small molecule antagonist of CXCR4 that is known to induce rapid neutrophilia (Broxmeyer et al., 2005). In contrast to G-CSF, coadministration of MIP-2 and AMD3100 further increased circulating neutrophil numbers compared with MIP-2 or AMD3100 alone (Fig. 3E).

G-CSF decreases neutrophil mobility in the BM during MIP-2–induced neutrophil mobilization To directly visualize neutrophil mobilization from the BM, multiphoton intravital microscopy (IVM [MP-IVM]) was used to monitor individual neutrophils in cranial BM. Mice expressing enhanced GFP (eGFP) under the control of the lysozyme M promoter (LysM-eGFP) were used to visualize eGFP-expressing neutrophil kinetics in the BM. This technique enables simultaneous visualization of the blood vessels and neutrophil behavior in the BM under homeostatic conditions and during mobilization in response to an injected mobilizing agent. eGFP was only expressed in myeloid cells in LysM–eGFP mice, the vast majority being mature neutrophils, with eGFP expressed at much lower levels in other myeloid lineages such as macrophages and a subset of dendritic cells (Faust et al., 2000; Chtanova et al., 2008; Peters et al., 2008). In addition, neither MIP-2 nor G-CSF had a significant effect on monocyte mobilization (Fig. 3D); thus, the majority of migrating GFP+ cells detected here were neutrophils. Consistently, immunostaining of the BM slides showed that all GFP+ cells were positive for Ly6G, a specific marker for neutrophils (Fig. 4A).

Treating mice with a single dose of recombinant mouse MIP-2 significantly increased neutrophil mobilization and migration toward blood vessels within 15 min (Fig. 4, B–D and Videos 1 and 2). Neutrophil displacement, velocity, directionality, and upward directionality toward blood vessels increased rapidly after MIP-2 injection (Fig. 4, E–H). On average, ~50% of neutrophils entered blood vessels after MIP-2 injection in MIP-2–treated mice during the 60-min interval, with most entering the circulation 15–25 min after injection (Fig. 4, I–J; and Videos 1 and 2). In this experiment, we tracked each single cell using MP-IVM until it entered the vessels or until the end of the video (Fig. 4, C and D). The imaging depth of MP-IVM was 100 µm. We started the recording at a z depth of ~50 µm and recorded 50–60 µm in the z direction at 5 µm/section. Some cells would migrate in and out of the focal plane during the recording, but these cells could still be visu-
alized under the microscope. We confirmed that the cells that were not seen by the end of the video were indeed those that entered circulation. These cells were not present even when we looked at each single optical sections (image stacks) of the three-dimensional projections, indicating that these cells most likely entered the circulation.

A very different effect was observed in mice treated with G-CSF. Although neutrophil displacement and velocity increased in G-CSF–treated mice (Fig. 4, E and F; and Video 3), there was no specific directionality (Fig. 4, G and H), and the cells did not enter circulation until 55–60 min after G-CSF injection (Fig. 4 I). Even then, only \( \sim 20\% \) of eGFP+ neutrophils entered the circulation (Fig. 4 J). Earlier experiments (Fig. 3 A) showed that G-CSF inhibited MIP-2–induced mobilization in mice simultaneously injected with G-CSF and MIP-2, and a similar phenomenon was observed by IVM (Video 4). When mice were simultaneously injected with G-CSF and MIP-2, the neutrophils failed to reach velocities comparable with those in mice treated with MIP-2 alone (Fig. 4, E and F). As in G-CSF–treated mice, neutrophils in mice treated with G-CSF and MIP-2 lost directionality and entered the blood circulation much later than those in mice treated with MIP-2 alone (Fig. 4, G–J). Thus, the MP-IVM approach further demonstrates that G-CSF inhibited MIP-2–induced mobilization of neutrophils from the BM.

### G-CSF inhibits MIP-2–elicited neutrophil chemotaxis

In vivo experiments showed that MIP-2 failed to efficiently mobilize neutrophils when the mice were simultaneously treated with G-CSF, suggesting that G-CSF may negatively regulate MIP-2. MIP-2 is a neutrophil chemoattractant that plays a critical role in neutrophil recruitment in response to infection and inflammation. Therefore, we investigated whether G-CSF impaired neutrophil chemotaxis in an MIP-2 gradient using the EZ-TAXIScan apparatus, in which a stable chemoattractant gradient is formed in a 260-µm–wide channel, enabling direct visualization of neutrophil chemotactic migration. Mouse BM neutrophils were exposed to an MIP-2 gradient generated in the presence or absence of G-CSF, and cell movement was recorded by time-lapse microscopy and analyzed. Neutrophils migrated robustly in an MIP-2 gradient (Fig. 5, A–E; and Video 5). However, the presence of G-CSF in the chemotactic gradient severely reduced MIP-2–induced chemotaxis, with neutrophils showing defective directionality and lower migration speed (Fig. 5, A–E; and Video 6). It is noteworthy that G-CSF was not in itself a chemoattractant and did not affect neutrophil mobility when applied alone (Fig. 5, A–E; and Video 7). In addition, the effect of G-CSF was unique to CXCR2-mediated neutrophil chemotaxis. G-CSF did not affect chemotaxis elicited by other chemoattractants such as LTB4, C5a, or fMLP.
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(Fig. 5 F and Videos 8 and 9). Similar results were observed in both mouse and human neutrophils (Fig. 5 G). Collectively, these results demonstrate that G-CSF can specifically negatively modulate MIP-2–mediated neutrophil chemotaxis.

**G-CSF negatively regulates MIP-2–induced signaling**

G-CSF treatment suppressed PB neutrophilia induced by E. coli but did not alter KC and MIP-2 levels, eliminating the possibility that G-CSF suppressed infection-associated neutrophilia by down-regulating CXCR2 ligand levels (Fig. 6 A). Consistent with this, in an in vitro assay, G-CSF directly inhibited MIP-2–elicited neutrophil chemotaxis. These results suggest that G-CSF regulates neutrophil function by modulating MIP-2–induced intracellular signaling.

Neutrophils use various signaling cascades during chemotaxis including PI3K/Akt and MAPK signaling. Neutrophil stimulation with MIP-2 induced robust phosphorylation of Erk1/2 and Akt. Interestingly, G-CSF dramatically reduced
Figure 5. G-CSF inhibits MIP-2–induced neutrophil chemotaxis. (A) Chemotaxis of untreated or G-CSF–treated mouse neutrophils (also see Videos 5, 6, and 7). (B) Tracks of migrating neutrophils (cells that moved at least 65 µm from the bottom of the channel; n = 10) were traced from the captured images, realigned such that all cells started from the same starting point (0,0), and plotted. Chemoattractant concentration increases in the positive y dire-
levels began to increase 60 min after E. coli injection, peaking in the serum with the administration of E. coli (Fig. 1, B and C). In contrast, serum G-CSF rapidly increased in serum, peaking at 30 min after i.p. injection of E. coli (Fig. 7 A). To specifically investigate the function of endogenously produced G-CSF, we treated mice with a monoclonal anti–mouse G-CSF antibody for 1 h before E. coli infection (Fig. 7 B). Circulating neutrophil counts were significantly elevated in mice treated with G-CSF-blocking antibody. G-CSF antibody failed to augment neutrophil counts in mice treated with PBS alone, indicating that its effect was dependent on E. coli–elicited inflammation (Fig. 7 C). Neutrophil counts were also measured in the BM: mice treated with G-CSF–blocking antibodies contained significantly less BM neutrophils both 2 and 6 h after E. coli injection, confirming that the elevated PB counts were a result of augmented neutrophil mobilization from the BM (Fig. 7 D). Finally, more neutrophils were also observed in the peritoneum of G-CSF–antibody–treated mice both 2 and 6 h after E. coli administration (Fig. 7 E), which may be the result of the peripheral neutrophilia observed in these mice. These results suggest that endogenously produced G-CSF during acute infection not only negatively regulates rapid neutrophil mobilization during early-stage infection, but also controls neutrophil recruitment to the site of inflammation by modulating PB neutrophils.

Of note, treatment with G-CSF–blocking antibodies did not alter E. coli–induced elevation of MIP-2 and KC levels in the serum, confirming that G-CSF regulates neutrophil mobilization by modulating CXCR2–elicited signaling rather than altering CXCR2 ligand levels (Fig. 7 F).

**Endogenous G-CSF prevents systemic neutrophil extravasation**

Activation of PB neutrophils by chemokines and/or cytokines is a prerequisite for neutrophil recruitment to infected tissues. However, uncontrolled neutrophil activation and/or elevated PB neutrophil counts may lead to systemic neutrophil extravasation and unwanted inflammation. Because endogenously produced G-CSF negatively regulates MIP-2–elicited signaling and rapid neutrophil mobilization during early-stage acute infection, this may be an important mechanism to prevent systemic neutrophil extravasation during infection. To test this hypothesis, we suppressed G-CSF function using G-CSF–blocking antibodies and examined neutrophil accumulation in the lungs after injecting i.p. E. coli (Fig. 8 A).

Neutrophil accumulation in the lungs was assessed 6 h after bacterial injection using bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL; Fig. 8, B–D) and histological morphometric analysis of lung sections (Fig. 8, E–G). i.p. E. coli injection does not usually recruit neutrophils to the lungs, and as expected, very few neutrophils were detected in the lungs of unchallenged mice and mice challenged with i.p. injection of E. coli or i.v. injection of IgG. However, when G-CSF–blocking antibodies were administered with E. coli, BAL neutrophils reached nearly 20% (Fig. 8 C) and levels of $3.5 \times 10^5$ (Fig. 8 D) 6 h after bacterial injection. A similar accumulation was observed in the lung tissue (Fig. 8 F) and in emigrated neutrophils in alveolar air spaces (Fig. 8 G) in histological sections quantified by morphometry.

Inflammation is associated with the release of cytokines and chemokines at the site of infection, which subsequently induce the accumulation and activation of neutrophils, monocytes/macrophages, and lymphocytes. Lung cytokine and chemokine levels increased in mice challenged by i.p. injection of E. coli and i.v. injection of G-CSF–blocking antibodies (Fig. 8 H). The proinflammatory cytokines/chemokines IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, MIG/CXCL9 (monokine induced by γ interferon), and MCP-1 (monocyte chemoattractant protein 1) were increased in the BAL fluid (BALF) of anti–G-CSF antibody–treated mice. IL-1β was most elevated, showing approximately sevenfold-higher expression...
Figure 6. The inhibitory effect of G-CSF is mediated by activation of STAT3 signaling and the subsequent suppression of MIP-2–elicited cell signaling. (A) G-CSF treatment does not alter the KC and MIP-2 serum levels in mice i.p. injected with E. coli. Mice were i.p. injected with $2 \times 10^6$ CFU E. coli and/or i.v. injected with 2 µg G-CSF. Data shown are representative of three experiments ($n = 5$ mice). (B) Mouse BM neutrophils were stimulated with...
in G-CSF antibody–treated mice. The elevation of cytokine levels induced by G-CSF blockage was likely a result of the enhanced neutrophil recruitment because depletion of neutrophils with anti-Gr1 antibody abolished this G-CSF antibody–elicited effect (Fig. 8, I and J). However, the cytokine levels in the lungs remained high even in neutrophil-depleted mice, indicating that neutrophils were not the direct source of cytokine production. Collectively, these results indicate that G-CSF blockade in mice challenged with i.p. E. coli leads to neutrophil accumulation and inflammation in the lungs.

**Blocking G-CSF aggravates LPS-induced lung injury**

Exaggerated neutrophil accumulation can lead to tissue damage. Endogenously produced G-CSF negatively regulates CXCR2–mediated rapid neutrophil mobilization and activation during infection. Thus, blocking G-CSF may enhance neutrophil recruitment at the site of infection by increasing PB neutrophils and augmenting their activation. We tested this hypothesis in an LPS–induced acute lung inflammation model (Fig. 9 A). Neutrophil accumulation and edema formation in inflamed lungs was assessed 6 h after intratracheal LPS instillation by BAL and morphometric analysis of lung sections. As expected, very few neutrophils were observed in untreated mice. Instillation of LPS induced significant neutrophil accumulation in the lungs. Treatment with G-CSF–blocking antibodies further elevated neutrophil accumulation (Fig. 9, B–D). Approximately twice as many neutrophils were present in the alveolar air spaces of mice treated with G-CSF antibodies (Fig. 9 C). The number of neutrophils in the BALF of G-CSF antibody–treated mice was also higher after LPS instillation compared with IgG antibody–treated control mice (Fig. 9 D).

Increased numbers of neutrophils in the lungs can lead to tissue damage and edema (Doerschuk, 2000). Edema was formed in mouse lungs after LPS treatment. Consistent with elevated neutrophil recruitment, greater edema formation was noted in the lungs of mice treated with G-CSF antibodies (Fig. 9 E). Lung damage is often accompanied by vascular leakage, and increased BAL protein levels are used as an indicator of vascular leakage and a surrogate of inflammatory lung injury. We consistently detected augmented pulmonary protein accumulation in the lungs of G-CSF antibody–treated mice (Fig. 9 F), again confirming that G-CSF–blocking antibody treatment exaggerates LPS–induced lung inflammation. Increasing the dose of instilled LPS from 0.5 to 2 mg/kg further induced neutrophil recruitment, edema formation, and vascular leakage in both G-CSF antibody–treated and isotype control–treated mice (Fig. 9, C–E). The effect of G-CSF antibodies was observed under all experimental conditions used in the current study.

Collectively, these results indicate that endogenously produced G-CSF plays a critical role in negatively regulating neutrophil recruitment in acute lung inflammation, thus preventing exaggerated neutrophil accumulation and inflammation–induced lung damage. Disrupting G-CSF signaling enhances neutrophil accumulation in the lungs, edema formation, and severe lung inflammation in LPS–treated mice.

**DISCUSSION**

Neutrophil homeostasis is maintained, in part, by their regulated release from the BM. The chemokine CXCL12 (stromal cell–derived factor 1), by interacting with its major receptor CXCR4, plays a critical role in controlling neutrophil mobilization and homeostasis under both basal and stress granulopoiesis conditions (Martin et al., 2003; Suratt et al., 2004; Eash et al., 2009, 2010; Petty et al., 2009). In addition to CXCR4, CXCL2/CXCR2 signaling is considered to be a second chemokine axis required for neutrophil mobilization (Eash et al., 2010). CXCR2 ligands stimulate neutrophil mobilization in a VAL4–dependent manner (Burdon et al., 2005). Based on a proposed tug–of–war model, CXCL2/CXCR2 signals and CXCL12/CXCR4 signals act antagonistically to regulate neutrophil retention in and release from the BM (Eash et al., 2010). In the current study, we show that CXCR2 ligands MIP–2 and KC were quickly expressed during acute inflammation and were responsible for initial rapid neutrophil mobilization. The concentrations of CXCR2 ligands continued to increase during inflammation; however, the speed of neutrophil mobilization slowed after the initial acute fast phase. We demonstrate that this slowing of neutrophil release is caused by suppression of CXCR2–mediated signaling by G-CSF.

G-CSF is a prototypical neutrophil–mobilizing cytokine. G-CSF–induced down–regulation of CXCR4 expression is one mechanism for mobilization of myeloid cells (Nagase et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2006). Humans and mice treated with AMD3100, a selective CXCR4 antagonist, or CXCR4–blocking antibodies undergo rapid PB neutrophilia (Broxmeyer, 2008; Eash et al., 2009). The CXCR4 antago-

---

MIP–2, G–CSF, or G–CSF + MIP–2 for the indicated times. Phosphorylated STAT3 (p–STAT3), ERK1/2 (P–ERK1/2), and AKT (P–AKT) levels were analyzed by Western blotting. Data shown are representative of four independent experiments. (C) Relative amounts of phosphorylated Akt, Erk, and STAT3 were quantified by Western blotting. Data shown are representative of four independent experiments. (D) The inhibitory effort of G-CSF– on MIP–2–elicited signaling was rescured by 0.5 μg/ml JAK inhibitor INCB018424. (E) JAK inhibitor INCB018424 blocks G-CSF–elicited STAT3 phosphorylation. (F) Treatment with INCB018424 reverses G-CSF–induced reduction of Erk phosphorylation in cells stimulated with MIP–2. Data are representative of four independent experiments. (G–K) The inhibitory effect of G-CSF on MIP–2–elicited neutrophil chemotaxis could be rescued by JAK inhibitor INCB018424. (G) Chemotaxis of mouse neutrophils in response to MIP–2 [also see Videos 6 and 10]. (H) Tracks of migrating neutrophils. (I–K) Neutrophil chemotaxis was analyzed for migration speed (I), directionality (J), and upward directionality (K) as described in Fig. 5 (C–E). Data are representative of three experiments (n = 20 cells). All data are represented as means ± SD. *, P < 0.01 versus neutrophils treated with G-CSF but not INCB018424.
nist plerixafor can also correct leukopenia in patients with warts, hypogammaglobulinemia, infections, and WHIM (warts, hypogammaglobulinemia, immunodeficiency, and myelokathexis) syndrome (Dale et al., 2011; McDermott et al., 2011, 2014). It is noteworthy that a recent study indicated that plerixafor-mediated CXCR4 inhibition does not mobilize neutrophils from the BM. Instead, it elicits neutrophilia by promoting neutrophil release from the marginated pool present in the lung and preventing neutrophil circulation back to the BM (Devi et al., 2013). This demargination is likely to be caused by increased neutrophil blood velocities and decreased endothelium–neutrophil interactions (Doerschuk et al., 1988). A recent study also suggested that autophagy plays a role in G-CSF–elicited stem cell mobilization (Leveque-El Mouttie et al., 2015).

Here, we found that G-CSF was expressed after MIP-2 and KCs were expressed during acute infection. MIP-2–induced neutrophil mobilization from the BM was hindered by G-CSF; which negatively regulated MIP-2–induced signaling and inhibited MIP-2–elicited neutrophil chemotaxis. Importantly, the effect of G-CSF was unique to CXCR2-mediated chemotaxis. G-CSF did not affect chemotaxis elicited by other chemoattractants such as LTB4, C5a, or fMLP. Thus, G-CSF mainly regulates neutrophil trafficking at the early stage of infection. It would not suppress neutrophil chemotactic migration toward pathogens, a process mainly mediated by fMLP and C5a. It is well documented that neutrophil chemotaxis can be driven by diverse chemotactic signaling pathways depending on the chemoattractant gradient being exposed to the cells (Foxman et al., 1997; Heit et al., 2002, 2008). The molecular basis underlying the specificity of G-CSF toward CXCR2 ligands is still largely unknown and needs to be further investigated.

Strikingly, despite its well known neutrophil-mobilizing function, endogenously produced G-CSF negatively regulated rapid neutrophil mobilization during early-stage acute infection. Blocking G-CSF in vivo unexpectedly elevated PB neutrophil counts in mice injected i.p. with E. coli and resulted in sequestration of large numbers of neutrophils in the lungs and sterile pulmonary inflammation. Finally, we found that endogenously produced G-CSF also played a critical role by negatively regulating neutrophil recruitment in an LPS-induced acute lung inflammation model, thus preventing exaggerated neutrophil accumulation and inflammation-induced lung damage. Blocking G-CSF led to enhanced neutrophil accumulation in the lungs, edema formation, and more severe lung inflammation in LPS-treated mice. This represents a previously undefined mechanism by which G-CSF controls neutrophil mobilization and homeostasis during infection and inflammation. Because both G-CSF and CXCR2 ligands are capable of mobilizing hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells, the mechanism identified here may also play a role in regulating hematopoietic grafts and hematopoiesis.
Interactions between G-CSF and CXCR2 ligand have been previously investigated. It is proposed that G-CSF–induced neutrophil mobilization is mediated by CXCR2 ligands (Eash et al., 2010; Köhler et al., 2011). CXCR2 ligand expression in megakaryocytes and endothelial cells is up-regulated after G-CSF treatment. In CXCR2-deficient ligands (Eash et al., 2010; Köhler et al., 2011). CXCR2 ligand expression in megakaryocytes and endothelial cells is up-regulated after G-CSF treatment. In CXCR2-deficient...
mice, G-CSF fails to induce neutrophil mobilization (Eash et al., 2010; Köhler et al., 2011). In contrast, expression of G-CSF can also be regulated by CXCR2. CXCR2 activation on neutrophils by the CXCR2 ligand CXCL5 derived from gut-resident cells negatively regulates IL-17A and G-CSF expression. In the absence of this CXCR2-dependent negative feedback, commensal bacteria can promote IL-17A expression (Mei et al., 2012). Previous studies indicate that G-CSF and CXCR2 ligands synergistically regulate hematopoietic cell mobilization. A single dose of the CXCR2 ligand MIP-2 synergistically enhances hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell mobilization when used in combination with G-CSF, and this effect is dependent on neutrophil-derived MMP-9 (Pelus et al., 2004). However, a later study showed that hematopoietic progenitor cell mobilization by G-CSF was normal in MMP9-deficient mice, suggesting protease-independent pathways may also contribute to hematopoietic progenitor cell mobilization (Heissig et al., 2002; Levesque et al., 2004). Using an in situ perfusion system of mouse femoral BM, Wengner et al. (2008) reported that the CXCR2-selective chemokine KC and G-CSF mobilized significantly more neutrophils when applied together, indicating that these factors act cooperatively to regulate neutrophil mobilization. However, this result was not confirmed in animal models. Paradoxically, here, we observed suppression of infection-induced neutrophil mobilization in mice treated with G-CSF–blocking antibody (Fig. 7). We reason that the synergistic effect of G-CSF and CXCR2 ligands only occurs after the acute early phase.

G-CSF is a well known hematopoietic cytokine and a major regulator of neutrophil homeostasis (Anderlini et al., 1996; Liu et al., 1996; Basu et al., 2002; Cottler-Fox et al., 2003; Gregory et al., 2007; Greenbaum and Link, 2011). G-CSF is used clinically to restore neutrophil numbers in neutropenia-related pneumonia patients by stimulating the BM to produce more neutrophils. G-CSF also plays a critical role in homeostatic regulation of neutrophil production by effecrosis (clearance of apoptotic neutrophils; Bratton and Henson, 2011; Poon et al., 2014). Neutrophil production needs to match neutrophil elimination to maintain approximately constant circulating numbers. Effecrosis of apoptotic neutrophils in tissues by macrophages and dendritic cells leads to reduced phagocyte secretion of IL-23, a cytokine controlling IL-17 production by γ-δ T cells and unconventional α-β T cells. IL-17, in turn, regulates granulopoiesis through G-CSF (Stark et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2007). The current dogma proposes that G-CSF is an important proinflammatory cytokine (Eyles et al., 2008; Cornish et al., 2009; Vlahos et al., 2010). Our result that G-CSF negatively regulates neutrophil mobilization is somewhat surprising. A similar effect was also observed in an E. coli pneumonia model in which G-CSF treatment paradoxically decreased circu-
Neutrophils are involved in numerous pathological conditions, many of which result from homeostatic imbalance. Our findings may lead to new therapeutic strategies for the treatment of a variety of hematological, infectious, and inflammatory diseases.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mice**

C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Charles River or The Jackson Laboratory. Mice expressing eGFP (eGFP loxP/loxP) and myeloid-specific Cre (LysM-Cre) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. eGFP gene expression was achieved by breeding eGFP mice with myeloid-specific Cre mice. All mice were housed in pathogen-free conditions at the Children's Hospital Animal Facility. 8–12-wk-old mice were used in all experiments. All procedures involving mice were monitored and approved by the Children's Hospital Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Mouse peritonitis model**

10^6 CFU E. coli (strain 19138; American Type Culture Collection) in 1 ml PBS was i.p. injected in C57BL/6j mice. At the indicated time after E. coli administration, the mice were euthanized by CO₂ inhalation. PB was collected by retroorbital bleeding at each time point. Neutrophil counts in the PB and BM after E. coli administration were measured using a hematology system (HemaVet 850; Erba Diagnostics, Inc.). Cells in the peritoneum were recovered by flushing the peritoneal cavity with 5 ml of ice-cold PBS containing 5 mM EDTA and collecting the peritoneal lavage. The process was repeated three times. To check the neutrophil content in the lungs after peritonitis, mice were euthanized by CO₂ at the indicated time after E. coli administration. The chest cavity was opened, and a catheter was tied to the trachea. The BAL (1 ml PBS/15 mM EDTA, 10×) was collected from each mouse in each group. The BALF was centrifuged at 450 g for 10 min, and the total and differential cell counts were determined from the pellet cell fraction. The total cell counts were determined using a hemocytometer, and the differential cell counts were conducted by microscopic analysis of Wright–Giemsa-stained cytospin or with a flow cytometer (FACSCanto II) and FACSDiva software (BD). The absolute number of neutrophils was then determined based on the cytospin or FACS analysis. For microscopic analysis, neutrophils were recognized by their lobular or segmented nuclei. The percentage of polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMNs) in the whole population (%PMN) was determined accordingly. The total number of neutrophils (#PMN) recruited was calculated as follows: #PMN = cell density × volume × %PMN. For flow cytometry analysis (Fig. S1), cells were passed through a strainer and stained with Gr1–APC (BioLegend) and CD11b–FITC (BioLegend) antibodies. Unstained cells were used as a negative control to establish the flow cytometer voltage setting, and single-color positive controls were used for adjustment of the compensation. Samples were run on a flow cytometer (FACSCanto II; BD) and analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star). Neutrophils were defined as Gr1+CD11b+ cells.
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Mice were anesthetized with 100 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride and 10 mg/kg xylazine i.p. The frontoparietal skull bone was exposed and prepared for IVM following previously established protocols (Mazo et al., 1998). Two-photon microscopy on the calvarium BM was performed using a fluorescence microscope (BX50WI; Olympus) equipped with a 20×, 0.95 numerical aperture objective (Olympus) and a multiphoton microscopy system (Radiance 2000MP; Bio-Rad Laboratories) controlled by Lasersharp software (Bio-Rad Laboratories). For two-photon excitation and second harmonic generation, a MaiTai Ti:Sapphire laser was tuned to a range of wavelengths from 800 to 875 nm. Mice were cannulated before imaging. Blood vessels were labeled with tetramethylrhodamine-dextran (2,000,000 MW; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Neutrophils were detected by eGFP fluorescence, and bone was visualized by its second harmonic generation signal. Images were recorded every 30-40 s for 70 min after injection of MIP-2, G-CSF, indicated antibodies, or PBS. The generated image stack sequences were transformed into volume-rendered four-dimensional videos using Velocity software (PerkinElmer), which was also used for tracking cell motility in three dimensions. Directionality (0 to 1) was calculated as the straight-line migration distance from the origin divided by the total migration length. Upward directionality (−1 to 1) was calculated as the straight-line distance migrated in the direction toward the blood vessel divided by total migration length.

Immunofluorescent staining
LyzM-eGFP mice were perfused postmortem with 10 ml paraformaldehyde-lysine-periodate fixative through the vena cava to achieve rapid in situ fixation and optimal preservation of the BM tissue. Femoral bones were isolated, fixed in paraformaldehyde-lysine-periodate for 4–8 h, rehydrated in 30% sucrose/PBS for 48 h, and snap frozen in optimal cutting temperature compound (TissueTek). Cryosections of nondecalcified whole longitudinal femoral bones were obtained using a cryostat (CM3050S; Leica Biosystems) and the Cryojane tape transfer system (Leica Biosystems). For two-photon excitation and second harmonic generation, a MaiTai Ti:Sapphire laser was tuned to a range of wavelengths from 800 to 875 nm. Mice were cannulated before imaging. Blood vessels were labeled with tetramethylrhodamine-dextran (2,000,000 MW; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Neutrophils were detected by eGFP fluorescence, and bone was visualized by its second harmonic generation signal. Images were recorded every 30-40 s for 70 min after injection of MIP-2, G-CSF, indicated antibodies, or PBS. The generated image stack sequences were transformed into volume-rendered four-dimensional videos using Velocity software (PerkinElmer), which was also used for tracking cell motility in three dimensions. Directionality (0 to 1) was calculated as the straight-line migration distance from the origin divided by the total migration length. Upward directionality (−1 to 1) was calculated as the straight-line distance migrated in the direction toward the blood vessel divided by total migration length.

Cytokine/chemokine stimulation
1 µg MIP-2 in 100 µl PBS, 2 µg of human recombinant G-CSF (Neupogen; Amgen) in 100 µl of PBS, or a cocktail of both (1 µg MIP-2 and 2 µg G-CSF in 100 µl of PBS) were i.v. injected into mice. Control animals were i.v. injected with an equivalent volume of endotoxin-free PBS. Circulating neutrophil numbers were determined by collecting 50 µl PB from retroorbital puncture at the indicated time points. Blood content analysis was performed using the hematology system (HemaVet 850). The same amount of MIP-2 or G-CSF was i.v. injected into animals for intravital imaging.

Neutrophil isolation
Mouse BM-derived neutrophils were isolated using negative depletion of other cell populations using a neutrophil isolation kit (MidiMACS) following a protocol provided by the manufacturer (Miltenyi Biotec). The isolation procedure was conducted at 4°C unless specified. In brief, BM cells were filtered through a 70-µm cell strainer and suspended in 250 µl PBS containing 0.5% endotoxin-free BSA and 2 mM EDTA (magnetic-activated cell-sorting [MACS] buffer). Cells were incubated with anti–mouse Ter119, B220, CD5, CD117, CD49b, F4/80, CD4, and CD11c antibodies for 5 min, washed once with 1.5 ml of cold MACS buffer, and then incubated with goat anti–rat IgG microbeads for 5–10 min, washed with 1.5 ml MACS buffer, and suspended in 250 µl MACS buffer. Cells were subsequently loaded onto a MACS buffer–equilibrated LD column (Miltenyi Biotec) and washed twice with 1 ml MACS buffer. The flow through was harvested, washed twice with 10 ml of ice-cold RPMI medium containing 10% FBS/1% penicillin/streptomycin and allowed to warm up to room temperature in 10 ml RPMI medium until used. Human neutrophils were isolated from discarded white blood cell filters (WBF2 filter; Pall Corporation) as previously described (Zhu et al., 2006). In brief, erythrocytes were sedimented by adding an equal volume of dextran/saline solution (3% dextran T-500 in 0.9% NaCl) at room temperature for 25 min. The erythrocyte-depleted supernatants were then layered on lymphocyte separation medium (1.077 g/ml solution (3% dextran T-500 in 0.9% NaCl) at room temperature for 25 min. The erythrocyte-depleted supernatants were then layered on lymphocyte separation medium (1.077 g/ml Ficoll-Hypaque solution; Voigt Global Distribution LLC) and centrifuged at 400 g at room temperature for 20 min. Contaminated erythrocytes in the neutrophil pellets were lysed after a brief (<30 s) treatment with 0.2% NaCl. Neutrophils were then resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS at a density of 4 × 10⁶ cells/ml and maintained at 37°C. The purity of neutrophils was >97% as determined by Wright–Giemsa staining. All blood was drawn from healthy blood donors. All protocols have been approved by the Children’s Hospital Institutional Review Board and are subjected to annual review.

Measurement of cell signaling in mouse neutrophils
Mouse BM neutrophils were isolated by negative selection as described in the previous paragraph. Isolated BM neutro-
phils were resuspended in HBSS/0.1% BSA and treated with 0.1 μg/ml MIP-2, 0.1 μg/ml G-CSF, 0.5 μg/ml MIP-2 + G-CSF, or 0.5 μg/ml MIP-2 + G-CSF + INCB01842 for the times indicated. Cell lysates were analyzed for phosphorylated STAT3, ERK1/2, and AKT using specific antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology) by Western blotting. Actin was used as a loading control.

**LPS-induced acute lung inflammation**
Mice were anesthetized with 100 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride i.p. and 10 mg/kg xylazine i.p. After anesthesia, mouse tracheas were surgically exposed (Li et al., 2009, 2011), and a total volume of 50 μl LPS (from E. coli O55:B5; Sigma-Aldrich) per mouse was instilled intratracheally via an angiocatheter that was inserted through the trachea and into the left bronchus. To indicate correct deposition, 1% colloidal carbon was included in the instillate. Upon completion of the experiment, mice were euthanized by CO2.

**Effect of G-CSF on neutrophil count in peritonitis model**
Mice were i.v. injected with either monoclonal anti–mouse G-CSF antibody (100 μg in 100 μl PBS; R&D Systems) or human recombinant G-CSF (2 μg in 100 μl PBS; Neupogen; Amgen) 1 h before the peritoneal E. coli infection. Control antibody (rat anti–mouse IgG1 antibody) or PBS was used in control experiments. Peritoneum lavage and BALF content were then analyzed.

**EZ-TAXIScan chemotaxis assay**
The EZ-TAXIScan chamber (Effector Cell Institute) was assembled with a 260-μm-wide × 4-μm-thick silicon chip on a 2-mm untreated glass base as described by the manufacturer and filled with RPMI medium/0.1% BSA. 1 μl of freshly purified WT mouse neutrophils (3 × 10^6/ml) were added to the lower reservoir of each of the six channels and allowed to line up by removing 18 μl of buffer from the upper reservoir. RPMI medium/0.1% BSA was then added to fill both reservoirs to the brim. 1 μl MIP-2 (500 ng/ml), G-CSF (500 ng/ml), fMLP (1 μM for mouse neutrophils and 100 nM for human neutrophils), IL-8 (100 nM), LTβ4 (100 nM), or C5a (100 nM) was then added to the upper reservoir, and neutrophil migration (at 37°C) in each of the channels was captured sequentially every 30 s for 20 min using a 10× lens. To determine the involvement of G-CSF signaling in chemotaxis, neutrophils were exposed to 1 μg/ml G-CSF or 0.5 μg/ml JAK inhibitor INCB018424.

**Analysis of cell tracks and morphology**
(x,y) coordinates of migrating neutrophils (i.e., neutrophils that cross >65 μm from the starting line) were tracked from sequential images using Dynamic Image Analysis System software (Solltech). Cell tracks were then realigned such that all the cells started from the same starting point (0,0) and were plotted using Matlab (MathWorks). Chemoattractant concentration increases in the positive y direction. Directionality (0 to 1) is defined as straight-line migration distance from the origin divided by the total migration length. Upward directionality (−1 to 1) is defined as straight-line distance migrated in the upward direction divided by total migration length and migration speed. Migration speed (μm/min) was calculated as the mean of cell speeds (migration distance between the current frame and the previous frame divided by the time between sequential frames; 0.5 min) at each captured frame.

**Neutrophil recruitment in the inflamed lungs**
Mice were anesthetized and instilled with 50 μl LPS (from E. coli O55:B5; Sigma-Aldrich). At the indicated time points, mice were euthanized by CO2. The chest cavity was opened, and a catheter was tied to the trachea. BAL was performed (1 ml PBS/15 mM EDTA 10×) in each group. The BALF was centrifuged at 450 g for 10 min, and the total and differential cell counts were determined from the pelleted cell fraction. The total number of cells in the lungs was counted by a hemocytometer. Differential cell counts were conducted on cytospin preparations stained with a modified Wright–Giemsa stain (Volu–Sol, Inc.). Neutrophils were recognized by their lobular or segmented nuclei. The percentage of pulmonary PMNs in the whole population (%PMN) was determined accordingly. Total number of pulmonary PMNs (%PMN) recruited was calculated as follows: %PMN = cell density × volume × %PMN.

**BALF cytokine and chemokine levels and total protein levels**
BALF samples were obtained from mice at the indicated time points. BAL was done with 1 ml of cold PBS/15 mM EDTA, flushed back and forth three times. The levels of MIP-2 and KC in the BALF were measured with ELISA kits following a protocol provided by the manufacturer (R&D Systems). Additionally a mouse 32-plex cytokine/chemokine panel was performed by Eve Technologies to evaluate the levels of cytokines and chemokines present in the lungs after intratracheal instillation of LPS or i.p. infection with E. coli and treatment with G-CSF antibody. Protein concentration was measured in the BALF using a protein assay reagent (Bio–Rad Laboratories). The standard curve was constructed using BSA (Su et al., 2008).

**Neutrophil depletion with Gr-1 antibody**
Neutrophil depletion was achieved by i.p. injection of 200 μg/kg anti-Gr1 mAb RB6–8C5. The antibody was administered i.p. to obtain a sustained depletion over the first 48 h of the experiment. Differential white blood cell count using Wright–Giemsa staining was performed to confirm that the neutrophil depletion was successful. Neutrophils were depleted by the Gr-1 antibody in both PB (~85% depletion) and the BM (~65% depletion; Kwak et al., 2015).

**Histopathology**
Lungs were collected after indicated treatment and fixed by intratracheal instillation of Bouin’s solution at 23-cm H2O pressure. Tissues were embedded in paraffin, and 6-μm-thick sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and examined by light microscopy. The number of neutrophils in
alveolar air spaces was quantified by morphometric analyses of histological lung sections as previously described (Mizgerd et al., 2000). ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health) was used to manually trace the neutrophil-containing regions of the tissue section and to determine the area of edema. The pixel area of each region was calculated. The neutrophil number was calculated as the total number of neutrophils outside the blood vessels in a given randomly chosen region. The edema formation was calculated as the percentage of pixel area of all the edema-containing regions relative to the pixel area of the whole image. The relative volumes of the parenchymal regions occupied by emigrated neutrophils and the level edema formation were calculated by investigators blinded to the identities of the mice.

**Statistics**

Results are presented as means with error bars indicating SD. Differences between groups were tested with Student's t test unless noted otherwise. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Neutrophil counts in PB after *E. coli* administration were analyzed by one-way ANOVA–repeated measures followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test. Differences over time between groups were analyzed using multivariate ANOVA with measures repeated over time. Experimental group and time were the independent variables. All statistical tests and graphics were made using Prism (GraphPad Software) or SPSS Statistics software (IBM).
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