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Evidence for Neural Effects of Repetition that Directly Correlate with Behavioral Priming

Luigi Maccotta and Randy L. Buckner

Abstract

Stimulus repetition associates with neural activity reductions during tasks that elicit behavioral priming. Here we present direct evidence for a quantitative relation between neural activity reductions and behavioral priming. Fifty-four subjects performed a word classification task while being scanned with functional MRI. Activity reductions were found in multiple high-level cortical regions including those within the prefrontal cortex. Importantly, activity within several of these regions, including the prefrontal cortex, correlated with behavior such that greater activity reductions associated with faster performance. Whole-brain correlation analyses confirmed the observation of anatomic overlap between regions showing activity reductions and those showing direct brain-behavioral correlations. The finding of a quantitative relation between neural and behavioral effects in frontal regions suggests that repetition reduces frontally mediated processing in a manner that ultimately facilitates behavior.

INTRODUCTION

Repeated experience with a stimulus can lead to faster performance and more accurate identification—a behavioral phenomenon known as repetition priming (for reviews, see Roediger & McDermott, 1993; Tulving & Schacter, 1990; Schacter, 1987). Human imaging studies that elicit behavioral priming have consistently demonstrated neural effects of repetition, including, but not limited to, relative activity reductions in specific cortical regions (for reviews, see Henson, 2003; Schacter & Buckner, 1998; Wiggs & Martin, 1998). Parallel single-unit correlates in monkeys have also been identified (e.g., Rainer & Miller, 2000).

Considerable progress has been made in characterizing these neural repetition effects. First, these effects appear to generalize across paradigms including visual and auditory stimuli as well as many task forms (Buckner, Koutstaal, Schacter, & Rosen, 2000; Badgaiyan, Schacter, & Alpert, 1999; Wagner, Desmond, Demb, Glover, & Gabrieli, 1997; Demb et al., 1995; Raichle et al., 1994; for a recent review, see Henson, 2003). Second, repetition-related reductions occur in regions that are significantly active for novel items, suggesting that such effects target processing components normally engaged by the task. Third, not all cortical regions significantly active during task performance show an effect of repetition: Relative activity reductions tend to spare, for instance, early visual regions and regions involved in motor execution, while producing robust effects in high-level regions such as ones found in the late visual and frontal cortex (e.g., Vuilleumier, Henson, Driver, & Dolan, 2002; Koutstaal et al., 2001; Buckner, Goodman, et al., 1998). Repetition does not therefore have a general effect on all regions engaged by a task, but rather appears to influence a specific subset, potentially targeting specific processing components in the information processing hierarchy.

Despite these robust and reliable results, no direct correlation between activity reductions and behavioral priming have been reported (for an extensive review, see Henson, 2003) prompting some to question whether the phenomenon is epiphenomenal. Observed repetition effects may also reflect a phenomenon that is related to explicit recognition of repeated items (but see Dehaene, Naccache, Cohen, et al., 2001; Dehaene, Naccache, Le Clec’h, Koechlin, & Mueller, 1998). The present event-related fMRI study used a large sample to test for a direct relation between neural repetition effects and behavioral priming. Fifty-four healthy young adults performed a word classification task on novel and repeated words while being imaged with fMRI. Neural repetition effects were directly regressed with behavioral facilitation effects across subjects. Results from regional and voxelwise analyses converged to indicate that a quantitative relation exists between neural activity reductions and behavioral priming in specific regions of the human cortex.

RESULTS

Behaviorally, repetition resulted in robust priming (Figure 1). Response time was significantly affected by repetition during a study phase immediately preceding...
Regrettably, I cannot perform language translation.
those showing brain–behavior correlation. Statistical activation maps were computed first by comparing amplitude levels for novel and repeated words to a baseline fixation condition, providing an estimate of those regions showing activity during the task, as has been explored in previous studies (Figure 3A and B). Processing of novel items led to activation of several cortical regions, including regions in occipital, temporal, frontal, and motor cortex (Figure 3A). When items were repeated, qualitative inspection revealed activation of similar regions of the cortex, albeit with reduced activity in specific regions, including portions of the frontal and temporal cortex (Figure 3B).

Maps were then constructed showing the voxelwise magnitude of the correlation between behavioral and neural facilitation effects. Results are shown in Figure 3C, which superimposes voxels demonstrating significant repetition-related activity reductions and significant correlation between neural and behavioral priming. Specific regions of the cortex that showed repetition-related activity reductions also showed a significant correlation between neural and behavioral priming, including regions of prefrontal cortex near BA 44 and pre-SMA, consistent with results of the previous analyses. Not all regions showing repetition-related activity reductions also showed a correlation between neural and behavioral priming. However, it is possible that threshold effects contribute to the appearance of specificity, concealing a weaker correlation in these regions. Consistent with this possibility, those regions showing the greatest activity...
reductions showed the most robust brain–behavior correlations.

Motivated by the prominent effects in frontal cortex along the inferior frontal gyrus, prefrontal subregions were explored that have functionally dissociated in past studies. Specifically, three separate regions were interrogated post hoc based on Gold and Buckner (2002), including regions near (1) BA 44, (2) BA 6, and (3) BA 45/47. These three regions are reported as exploratory correlations as they were not intended for analysis prior to data collection. Regression plots appear in Figure 4. Of the three regions, BA 44 showed the strongest correlation (Figure 4A; \( r = .40, p < .005 \)), whereas BA 45/47 and BA 6 showed weaker effects (Figure 4B–C; BA 6: \( r = .21, \text{ns} \); BA 45/47: \( r = .25, p = .07 \)).

Taken together, the results of these analyses suggest a direct relation between neural activity reductions and behavioral priming in specific regions of the cortex, such as portions of frontal cortex (e.g., BA 44) and pre-SMA, which have been implicated in controlled processing.

**DISCUSSION**

The frequent observation of repetition resulting in reduced neural activity concurrent with behavioral facilitation suggests a link between neural repetition effects and behavioral priming (Henson, 2003; Schacter & Buckner, 1998; Wiggs & Martin, 1998). In the present study, the commonly observed pattern of activity reductions following item repetition was replicated, with the most prominent reductions observed in late visual cortex extending into inferior temporal cortex and frontal cortex. Moreover, a significant correlation between activity reductions and behavioral priming was observed in prefrontal cortex. Exploratory mapwise correlations further suggested that regions showing correlation overlapped with those demonstrating repetition-related reductions, involving the prefrontal regions and supplementary motor area. These results provide direct evidence that neural activity reductions associate with repetition priming. For these reasons, repetition-related activity reductions might be appropriately termed “neural priming,” reflecting their direct link to certain behavioral priming effects that have long been studied in the behavioral literature (for reviews, see Roediger & McDermott, 1993; Tulving & Schacter, 1990; Schacter, 1987). Moreover, the quantitative relationship between behavioral and neural priming observed here across subjects is consistent with previous reports linking within-subject levels of behavioral priming to corresponding changes in levels of neural priming across conditions (Simons, Koutstaal, Prince, Wagner, & Schacter, 2003; van Turennout, Bielamowicz, & Martin, 2003; Koutstaal et al., 2001; Henson, Shallice, & Dolan, 2000; van Turennout, Ellmore, & Martin, 2000; Wagner, Koutstaal, Maril, Schacter, & Buckner, 2000).

The correlation observed in frontal regions, in particular, along the inferior frontal gyrus including a region at or near BA 44 and to a lesser extent a region near BA 45/47, suggests that a significant influence of repetition on behavior was through facilitation of frontally mediated processes. The finding of nonsignificant correlations in the other regions does not preclude their contribution to the overall neural priming.
in the processing facilitation evident at the behavioral level, as such apparent specificity may be due to a threshold effect, or a suboptimal definition of the region of interest. Nonetheless, anterior regions along the inferior frontal gyrus have consistently been implicated in controlled processing (Gold & Buckner, 2002; Mesulam, 2002; Duncan, 2001; Wagner, Pare-Blagoev, Clark, & Poldrack, 2001; Fletcher, Shallice, & Dolan, 2000; Thompson-Schill, D’Esposito, Aguirre, & Farah, 1997; Raichle et al., 1994) and also showed robust effects in the present study. Lesions to the frontal cortex can impair controlled task performance (Janowsky, Shima-mura, Kritchevsky, & Squire, 1989; Shallice, 1988; Nor-man & Shallice, 1986; Stuss & Benson, 1984; Petrides & Milner, 1982; Milner, 1963, 1964). Repetition may affect these prefrontal regions by reducing their contribution to task performance as direct stimulus–response mappings form (Buckner, Koutstaal, et al., 2000; Raichle et al., 1994).

METHODS
Subjects
Fifty-four volunteers (28 women, mean age: 22.0, range: 18–37) were recruited from the Washington University community in return for payment or course credit. All were right-handed, native English speakers, had normal or corrected-to-normal vision, and reported no history of significant neurological problems. Subjects provided informed consent in accordance with the guidelines set by the Washington University Human Studies Committee.

Task and Stimuli
During an initial study phase, which took place during the initial structural imaging, subjects were presented with 30 English words (repeated over five nonconsecutive repetitions) and made living/nonliving decisions (cf. Kapur et al., 1994), indicating their choice with a right index/middle finger keypress. Two scanned test functional runs followed. Each functional run consisted of 124 image acquisitions, in which 50 old words (25 words repeated twice per run) and 50 new words were pseudorandomly intermixed with 50 trials of fixation baseline. The test task was identical to the study task. Stimuli were presented for 1600 msec (288 msec ISI of fixation). By using an interstimulus interval (1888 msec total) that was different from the image acquisition time (2360 msec), the hemodynamic response was sampled with a greater temporal resolution than would be achieved by a fixed locking between trial presentation and image acquisition (Maccotta, Zacks, & Buckner, 2001; Miezin, Maccotta, Ollinger, Petersen, & Buckner, 2000; Josephs, Turner, & Friston, 1997). Specifically, oversampling occurred such that five trials were presented every four image acquisitions. Stimuli were abstract and concrete words (Demb et al., 1995) that appeared in Geneva 24-size font with each letter subtending approximately 1.2° horizontal. Lists were equated for length (mean = 6.56) and frequency (mean = 29.54), with equal numbers of living and nonliving words.

Image Acquisition Procedures
Scans were conducted on a 1.5-T Vision scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a standard circularly polarized head coil. Foam cushions and a thermoplastic face mask were used to minimize head movement. Headphones decreased scanner noise and allowed verbal communication. Subjects made responses with a hand-held fiber-optic keypress connected to a Psyscope button box and a Power Macintosh computer (Apple, Cupertino, CA). Stimuli were projected (AmPro LCD150) onto a screen at the head of the bore. Subjects viewed the screen via a mirror attached to the head coil. Structural images were acquired using a T1-weighted high-resolution sagittal MP-RAGE sequence (TR = 9.7 msec, TE = 4 msec, flip angle = 10°, TI = 20 msec, TD = 200 msec, 1.25 × 1 × 1 mm). Functional images followed using an asymmetric spin-echo echo-planar sequence sensitive to blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) contrast (whole-brain TR = 2.36 sec, TE = 37 msec, flip angle = 90°, 3.75 × 3.75 mm in-plane resolution). Whole-brain coverage was achieved by acquiring sets of 16 contiguous, 8-mm-thick axial images parallel to the anterior–posterior commissural plane, with one set acquired every 2.36 sec. Hereafter, we refer to each 16-slice image set as an “image acquisition” and its position in time as a “timepoint.” The first four image acquisitions in each functional run were discarded to allow stabilization of longitudinal magnetization.

MR Data Preprocessing
Functional data were first corrected for odd/even slice intensity differences, and for motion using a rigid-body rotation and translation algorithm (Snyder, 1996). Differences in timing between slices due to acquisition order were adjusted with sinc interpolation. Voxelwise linear slope was removed, and whole-brain intensity was normalized to 1000 for each functional run. Structural and functional volumes were then transformed into stereotaxic atlas space (Talairach & Tournoux, 1988) using procedures described previously (Maccotta et al., 2001). Transformed images used 2-mm isotropic voxels.

Regional Analyses
Regional analyses explored signal magnitude estimates within a set of a priori regions that spanned early visual cortex, late visual cortex, prefrontal cortex, and the
motor cortex. Regional analyses afford considerable power by reducing the number of multiple comparisons and averaging multiple voxels within each region, thereby increasing signal-to-noise. Moreover, because regions were derived a priori, their signal estimates represent unbiased estimates of the effects. Regions were derived from three sources. First, regions involved in early and late stages of visual processing were defined using data from an object localization experiment performed in a separate experiment in an independent group of subjects \((n = 30 \text{ subjects}; \text{similar to Malach et al., 1995})\). Regions were defined based on specific blocked-task comparisons contrasting intact with scrambled object stimuli, and both intact and scrambled stimuli with a low-level reference fixation condition. Voxelwise activation maps were calculated for each comparison of interest with an implementation of the general linear model (analyses identical to Gold & Buckner, 2002; Logan, Sanders, Snyder, Morris, & Buckner, 2002). Three-dimensional regions of interest were defined to include all suprathreshold voxels \((p < .001)\) within 12 mm of an activation peak. Regions near the calcarine cortex (peak location near \(-17, -93, -17\), based on Talairach & Tournoux, 1988) and the posterior fusiform gyrus (peak: \(-56, -73, -15\)) were defined in this fashion. Second, regions in the frontal cortex associated with controlled processing of verbal stimuli were taken directly from Logan et al. (2002) (based on data from Konishi, Donaldson, & Buckner, 2001, \(n = 39 \text{ subjects; and Gold & Buckner, 2002, } n = 24 \text{ subjects}\)). These regions used the same statistical criteria, but were defined based on a contrast comparing semantic and letter tasks involving verbal stimuli to a reference fixation. Regions near the inferior frontal gyrus (peak: \(-43, 3, 32\), BA 44 (peak: \(-47, 17, 24\)), BA 6 (peak: \(-55, -1, 28\)), and BA 45/47 (peak: \(-45, 35, -4\)) were constructed using this dataset. Finally, a region near the motor cortex involved in response execution was taken directly from Maccotta et al. (2001) \((n = 17 \text{ subjects})\), again with the same statistical criteria applied to a contrast comparing right and left index finger responses during a mental rotation task (peak: \(-37, -25, 50\)).

Regional estimation of hemodynamic response amplitude was achieved using an implementation of the general linear model (Miezin et al., 2000). Amplitude estimates for each subject, for each condition, were derived by computing the difference between the average signal magnitude at the third and fourth timepoint (roughly corresponding to the peak of the hemodynamic response in most regions) and the average signal magnitude at the first and eighth timepoint (corresponding to the baseline of the hemodynamic response) (Wheeler, Petersen, & Buckner, 2000). Regional amplitude estimates were entered into statistical analyses (repeated-measures ANOVA and paired \(t\) test) using a mixed-effects model treating subjects as a between-subject effect.

**Whole-Brain Analyses**

Exploratory whole-brain statistical maps for event-related experiments were also generated to confirm and extend the results obtained from a priori regional analyses. For each comparison of interest, a mixed effect statistical model \(t\) test was computed at each voxel. Estimates for each condition were based on a delayed boxcar convolved with a gamma function (Boytont, Engel, Glover, & Heeger, 1996), excluding linear trend and constant effects, and averaged across all voxels within a given region. Resulting \(t\) statistics were converted to \(z\) statistics by mapping \(p\) values obtained to equivalent \(p\) values on a normal distribution. Whole-brain activation maps were then constructed by plotting the \(z\)-score of each voxel.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Jeremy Gray and Todd Braver for assistance with data collection, Kate O’Brien for help with behavioral data analysis, and Abraham Snyder and Mark McAvoy for support with MRI data analysis. We also thank Rik Henson and Cindy Lustig for helpful discussions, and three anonymous reviewers for comment. This work was supported by NIH grant MH57506, the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and the James S. McDonnell Foundation.

Reprint requests should be sent to Luigi Maccotta, Department of Psychology, Washington University, Campus Box 1125, One Brookings Drive, St. Louis, MO 63130, or via e-mail: maccottl@medicine.wustl.edu.

The data reported in this experiment have been deposited in the FMRI Data Center (http://www.fmridc.org). The accession number is 2-2004-116AR.

**REFERENCES**


This article has been cited by:


