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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Traffic and ambient air pollution exposure are positively associated with cardiovascular disease, potentially through atherosclerosis promotion. Few studies have assessed associations of these exposures with thoracic aortic calcium Agatston score (TAC) or abdominal aortic calcium Agatston score (AAC), systemic atherosclerosis correlates. We assessed whether living close to a major road and residential fine particulate matter (PM2.5) exposure were associated with TAC and AAC in a Northeastern US cohort.

Design: Cohort study.
Setting: Framingham Offspring and Third Generation participants residing in the Northeastern USA.
Participants and outcome measures: Among 3506 participants, mean age was 55.8 years; 50% female. TAC was measured from 2002 to 2005 and AAC up to two times (2002–2005; 2008–2011) among participants from the Framingham Offspring or Third Generation cohorts. We first assessed associations with detectable TAC (logistic regression) and AAC (generalised estimating equation regression, logit link). As aortic calcium scores were right skewed, we used linear regression models and mixed-effects models to assess associations with natural log-transformed TAC and AAC, respectively, among participants with detectable aortic calcium. We also assessed associations with AAC progression. Models were adjusted for demographic variables, socioeconomic position indicators and time.

Results: There were no consistent associations of major roadway proximity or PM2.5 with the presence or extent of TAC or AAC, or with AAC progression. Some estimates were in the opposite direction than expected.

Conclusions: In this cohort from a region with relatively low levels of and variation in PM2.5, there were no strong associations of proximity to a major road or PM2.5 with the presence or extent of aortic calcification, or with AAC progression.

INTRODUCTION

Many studies have found that long-term particulate matter air pollution exposure is associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) morbidity and mortality.1–5 Particulate matter air pollution may lead to cardiovascular disease by initiating or accelerating atherosclerosis. In susceptible animal models, exposure to particulate matter has been shown to lead to atherosclerosis progression.6–8 Epidemiological studies have also suggested ambient air pollution and traffic...
are positively associated with markers of atherosclerosis.\textsuperscript{9,16} Possible mechanisms through which particulate matter could lead to atherosclerosis promotion include imbalance of the autonomic nervous system, a systemic oxidative stress and inflammatory response and, possibly, the transportation of particles directly into arterial blood circulation.\textsuperscript{1} 17–19

Even in regions with relatively low levels of fine particulate matter (aerodynamic diameter ≤2.5 µm, PM\textsubscript{2.5}), studies have reported positive associations between PM\textsubscript{2.5} and cardiovascular or all-cause mortality.\textsuperscript{20, 21} For instance, PM\textsubscript{2.5} has been positively associated with mortality in New England.\textsuperscript{22} In Massachusetts, traffic exposure has been linked to adverse cardiovascular outcomes\textsuperscript{23–25} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} to acute myocardial infarction.\textsuperscript{26} Among elderly men living in the Boston area, carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT), a marker of subclinical atherosclerosis, was associated with black carbon, a traffic correlate.\textsuperscript{27}

Though many studies have found positive associations between particulate matter air pollution and CIMT,\textsuperscript{14, 15, 27} only two have explored associations of these exposures with aortic calcification,\textsuperscript{15, 28} a marker of systemic atherosclerosis and of a later stage of atherosclerotic disease than CIMT. Calcification in the thoracic aorta or abdominal aorta is correlated with other markers of subclinical atherosclerosis\textsuperscript{29–33} and predicts incident cardiovascular disease.\textsuperscript{34–41}

In a study in Germany, PM\textsubscript{2.5} and night-time traffic noise were associated with thoracic aortic calcium Agatston score (TAC).\textsuperscript{13} Living closer to a highly trafficked road was associated with TAC, though this was attenuated after adjusting for night-time traffic noise.\textsuperscript{13} In a multicity US study, residential PM\textsubscript{2.5} exposure was weakly associated with higher risk of detectable abdominal aortic calcium Agatston score (AAC).\textsuperscript{28} There was no association with the quantity of AAC.\textsuperscript{28} There was no association of residential proximity to a major roadway with AAC.

We built on prior work and assessed associations of residential distance to a major roadway and residential exposure to spatially resolved average PM\textsubscript{2.5} with TAC, AAC and AAC progression among Framingham Offspring or Third Generation cohort participants living in the Northeastern USA. TAC and AAC were measured from 2002 to 2005 and AAC was measured again from 2008 to 2011. During this period, PM\textsubscript{2.5} levels in this Northeastern US study region were generally lower than levels in German-based\textsuperscript{12} and US-based\textsuperscript{28} studies that assessed associations with TAC and AAC. This work adds to studies that have assessed associations of particulate matter or traffic with other markers of atherosclerosis in Boston\textsuperscript{27} and the Northeastern USA.\textsuperscript{42}

**METHODS**

**Study participants**

The study population consists of participants from the Framingham Offspring and Third Generation cohorts, which have previously been described.\textsuperscript{43, 44} A subset of the participants underwent the first round of multidetector CT (MDCT) scans from 2002 to 2005.\textsuperscript{29, 45} For this substudy, exclusion criteria included weighing ≥350 pounds, age<35 years (men) or <40 years (women) and pregnancy. From 2008 to 2011, some participants scanned from 2002 to 2005 and additional Framingham Heart Study (FHS) participants underwent MDCT scans. Overall, 2,350 participants (Offspring: 1,419; Third Generation: 2,111) had aortic calcium measured from 2002 to 2005 and 2,749 participants (Offspring: 1,320; Third Generation: 1,429) had AAC measured from 2008 to 2011. Age of participants ranged from early adulthood to older age (many participants were middle aged), approximately half were women and participants were largely free of clinically apparent CVD. For these analyses, we included participants who had at least one aortic calcium score from the first or second MDCT period, lived in the Northeastern USA and were not missing exposure or covariate information. For scans carried out during the first MDCT round, we included participants who attended either Offspring Examination 7 (1998–2001) or Third Generation Examination 1 (2002–2005). For scans carried out during the second round, we included participants who attended either Offspring Examination 8 (2005–2008) or Third Generation Examination 2 (2008–2011). All participants provided written consent for FHS examinations and the MDCT scans.

**Participant characteristics**

At examination visits, each participant underwent a physical examination, physician interview and laboratory tests. Details of visits and descriptions of blood pressure measurement, definitions of diabetes and use of antihypertensive and lipid-lowering medications have previously been described. Following published FHS criteria,\textsuperscript{44} a panel of three investigators determined history of clinically apparent CVD (coronary heart disease, intermittent leg claudication, heart failure, stroke or transient ischaemic attack). Using each study participant’s primary address, we used US Census 2000 data to assign the median value of owner-occupied housing units at the census-tract level, an area-level marker of socioeconomic position.

**Exposure assessment**

**Distance to roadway:** ArcGIS was used to geocode primary addresses of participants (Environmental Systems Research Institute (Esri), Redlands, CA). Using address reported at Offspring Examination 7 or Third Generation Examination 1, we evaluated distance to nearest major roadway, defined as A1, A2 or A3 road (US Census Features Class). Research has shown that particulate matter mass concentration and elemental carbon approach urban background levels within ∼100 to 400 m from major roadways.\textsuperscript{48} In an effort to reflect the decay of traffic-related pollution levels, we...
categorised distance to major roadway as <50, 50 to
<200, 200 to <400 and 400 to <1000 m. We also assessed
the association between the natural logarithm of dis-
tance to a major roadway and aortic calcium, based on
our prior findings of log-linear associations between dis-
tance to a major roadway and cardiovascular health out-
comes.\textsuperscript{24} \textsuperscript{47} \textsuperscript{49} For natural log of distance to nearest
major roadway, we present results scaled to the IQR of
distance to a major roadway, contrasting participants
living 417.9 m to those living 58.0 m from the nearest
major roadway. Participants residing 1000 m or further
from a major roadway are likely to reside in rural areas,
in which distance to a major road is unlikely to be an
indicator of traffic-related pollution exposure. We there-
fore excluded observations from participants living
≥1000 m from a major road (572 observations, 11%) in
all analyses of distance to roadway.

**Spatially resolved average PM\textsubscript{2.5}**. We used estimates of
daily PM\textsubscript{2.5} levels at participant residential address, esti-
mated by a spatiotemporal model to calculate each parti-
cipant’s average residential PM\textsubscript{2.5} exposure. Kloog et al.\textsuperscript{49}
predicted daily PM\textsubscript{2.5} at a scale of 1×1 km across the
Northeastern USA (New England, New York and New
Jersey) using satellite-derived measurements of aerosol
optical depth (AOD; a quantitative measure of particle
abundance in the atmospheric column), PM\textsubscript{2.5} measure-
ments from monitoring stations and meteorological and
land-use regression terms. There was excellent
out-of-sample 10-fold cross-validated R\textsuperscript{2} (mean out of
sample R\textsuperscript{2}=0.88; year-to-year variation 0.82–0.90) and
a cross-validated slope of observed versus predicted PM\textsubscript{2.5}
concentrations of 0.99 (year-to-year variation 0.98–1.01)
among 1×1 km grid cells that had a PM\textsubscript{2.5} monitor meas-
urement and AOD measurement.\textsuperscript{50} For each PM\textsubscript{2.5} mon-
itoring site, daily PM\textsubscript{2.5} residuals (predicted vs
measured) were regressed against local spatial and tem-
poral variables. Using the fit of this model, we estimated
daily predictions at home address for each residential
address that represent deviations from grid predictions.
To estimate total daily PM\textsubscript{2.5}, we summed the daily grid
prediction and daily local residual PM\textsubscript{2.5} prediction cor-
responding to each address. We then averaged these
daily total PM\textsubscript{2.5} predictions over the year to estimate
annual average residential PM\textsubscript{2.5}. As has been previously
done,\textsuperscript{47} \textsuperscript{51}–\textsuperscript{53} we used the same index year for all obser-
vations. This approach aims to preserve PM\textsubscript{2.5} spatial
variation among residential locations, while minimising
PM\textsubscript{2.5} secular trend influences. For the main analyses,
we chose the year 2003. To assess sensitivity of the results
to choice of PM\textsubscript{2.5} index year, we also ran analyses using
PM\textsubscript{2.5} averaged from 2003 to 2009. We scaled results to
the IQR of 2003 PM\textsubscript{2.5} (1.4 μg/m\textsuperscript{3}).

**Aortic artery calcium**
For the first round of scans, eight-slice MDCT technol-
ogy was used to carry out scans of the chest and
abdomen (LightSpeed Ultra, General Electric,
Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA).\textsuperscript{29} \textsuperscript{45} For the second round,
64-slice MDCT scanners (General Electric Discovery
VCT 64-slice PET/CT scanner, GE Healthcare) were
used. For the chest, 48 2.5 mm slices were acquired from
the carina to the diaphragm (120 kVp, 500 ms gantry
rotation time, tube current 320/400 mA (<100 and
≥100 kg of body weight, respectively).\textsuperscript{29} In
the abdomen, using the top of the S1 vertebrae as the
anatomic landmark of the lower field, slices were obtained
for a total coverage of 15 cm in the Z-direction.\textsuperscript{29}
Abdominal imaging parameters were: 120 kVp, 400 mA
gantry rotation time of 500 ms.\textsuperscript{29} A calcified lesion
was defined as an area of ≥5 connected pixels with an
attenuation >130 Hounsfield units.\textsuperscript{45} \textsuperscript{54} A modified
Agatston score was calculated by multiplying the area
of each lesion by a weighted attenuation score based on
maximal lesion attenuation. TAC was measured twice in
each participant (sequential scans); we use average TAC
from these two scans.

**Statistical analyses**
Owing to the zero-inflated and heavily right-skewed dis-
tribution of TAC, we used a two-step approach to separ-
ately assess associations of exposure with detectable TAC
and then with the amount of TAC among participants
with TAC>0. For the binary outcome of detectable TAC,
we used logistic regression. We used linear regression
models to examine associations with the amount of TAC
among participants with TAC>0. Owing to its skewed dis-
tribution, we modelled natural log-transformed TAC. We
estimated 95% CIs by bootstrapping 1000 samples and
reported percentile CIs, as we did not expect residuals
to be normally distributed.\textsuperscript{55} We used a similar approach
for AAC. To account for repeated AAC measures among
51% of participants, we used generalised estimating
equation logistic regression, robust SEs and an unstruc-
tured correlation structure for the binary outcome of
detectable AAC. To assess associations with the amount
of natural log-transformed AAC among participants with
AAC>0, we used linear mixed-effects models (95% CIs
block bootstrapped). We also assessed associations with AAC incidence and
with annual change in AAC. We defined AAC incidence as progressing from AAC=0 to detectable AAC (AAC>0).
Prior work in FHS has shown that CVD risk factors were
associated with incident AAC.\textsuperscript{56} We used logistic regres-
sion to evaluate associations with odds of incident AAC.
Next, we used a linear mixed-effects modelling approach
that simultaneously assessed associations of exposure
with a participant’s first measured AAC and change in
AAC per year (the latter assessed by including inter-
action terms of time between scans with exposure; block
bootstrapped CIs).\textsuperscript{21} \textsuperscript{54} \textsuperscript{55} We used all observations for
this second approach, including participants scanned at
one or both rounds of MDCT scans.

We adjusted for covariates considered a priori to be
potential confounders of the air pollution and athero-
sclerosis relationship. In all models, we adjusted for age
and age\textsuperscript{2} at scan, sex, body mass index, smoking status

---

(current, former, never), pack-years, individual-level educational attainment (high school or less, some college, college graduate), median census-tract value of owner-occupied housing units (quartiles), cohort (Offspring or Third Generation) and time.

We focused on parameter estimation and the overall pattern of results, rather than results of specific hypothesis tests, and so do not report p values. We present all point estimates with 95% CIs. We used SAS V9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA) and Stata V12 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA) for analyses.

Sensitivity analyses
To assess robustness of our findings, we ran sensitivity analyses. We assessed whether results were similar when we only adjusted for age and sex. We also assessed whether results changed when we added other potential confounders. First, we additionally adjusted for potential confounders or predictors of aortic calcium: physical activity (tertiles of physical activity index\textsuperscript{57}), alcohol (0, 0 to 7 and ≥7 drinks per week) and menopausal status in women (periods stopped for 1 year or more before examination). We then further adjusted for variables that could be confounders, outcome predictors or mediators: diabetes, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol/high-density lipoprotein (HDL) ratio, triglycerides, antihypertensive medication and lipid-lowering medication.

We tested for heterogeneity of associations between distance to major roadway and PM\textsubscript{2.5} with the presence or extent of aortic calcium by: sex, age (≤65, >65), cohort (Offspring, Third Generation) and American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association’s 10-year predicted risk of atherosclerotic CVD (tertiles: 0.1–1.6%, 1.6–5.3%, 5.3–81.3%).\textsuperscript{58} To run these analyses, we included interaction terms between the exposure and covariate of interest. Owing to sparse data, we did not assess for heterogeneity by 10-year atherosclerotic CVD risk for the outcomes of detectable TAC or log\textsubscript{e} (TAC) or by age for the outcome of detectable AAC. We also assessed whether results differed when we restricted participants without clinically apparent CVD (4891 observations, 92%).

We assessed associations of aortic calcium with residential distance to nearest A1 or A2 road (rather than nearest A1, A2 or A3 road), as A1 and A2 roads are larger than A3 roads. In an effort to take into account long-term secular PM\textsubscript{2.5} trends, we adjusted for MDCT scan year as a categorical variable. We also ran AAC analyses separately for the first and second rounds of MDCT scans, to assess whether results differed by period.

We used restricted cubic splines to assess linearity of the exposure–outcome relationships for natural log distance to roadway and PM\textsubscript{2.5}, and created plots using the POSTRCSPLINE package in Stata.\textsuperscript{60} To assess the robustness of the generalised estimating equation regression results, we reran the binary AAC>0 analyses using mixed-effects logistic regression. In line with prior work,\textsuperscript{13} we used linear regression to assess associations with log\textsubscript{e}(TAC+1) and mixed-effects linear regression to assess associations with log\textsubscript{e}(AAC+1). As in prior FHS analyses,\textsuperscript{60} we also assessed associations with TAC and AAC greater than the 75th or 90th age-specific and sex-specific centiles among a healthy referent subpopulation, using logistic regression for TAC and generalised estimating equation regression (logit link, unstructured covariance and robust SEs) for AAC.

RESULTS
Study participants
Participant characteristics are listed in table 1 and online supplementary table S1. Of the 6279 aortic calcium scores measured during either the first or second MDCT round, these analyses included 5328 observations from 3506 participants. Mean age of participants during the first MDCT round was 52.6 years, and for the second round was 59.6 years. In total, women contributed 50% of observations. In the second round of MDCT scans, participants were more likely to report being on hypertension medication (37% vs 20%) or lipid medication (40% vs 15%) and were less likely to be current smokers (8% vs 15%) than participants from the first round of MDCT scans.

Exposure distributions
Exposure distributions are summarised in table 2. Twenty-three per cent of observations came from participants who lived within 50 m of a major roadway; the median distance to the closest major roadway was 201 m. In 2003, median residential PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentration was 10.7 μg/m\textsuperscript{3}. The current annual PM\textsubscript{2.5} US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) annual National Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) is 12 μg/m\textsuperscript{3}.

Associations with odds of detectable aortic calcium and continuous aortic calcium
There were no consistent associations of residential proximity to a major roadway or PM\textsubscript{2.5} with the presence or extent of TAC or AAC (table 3). Some of the associations were in the opposite direction than expected.

Associations with change in AAC
There were 1811 participants with AAC measured during MDCT round one and round two; 818 participants had no detectable AAC during MDCT round one. Of these, 30% had detectable AAC during round two. We found no association of residential distance to major roadway or PM\textsubscript{2.5} with incident AAC (table 4, figure 1). Distance to nearest major road and PM\textsubscript{2.5} were also not associated with annual change in AAC (table 4, figure 1).

Sensitivity analyses
We did not find evidence of non-linearity of the associations between any of the exposures with the presence or extent of aortic calcium, or with the incidence of AAC.
Table 1 Characteristics of study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at CT scan (years)</td>
<td>52.6±11.8</td>
<td>59.6±12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, %</td>
<td>1506 (51)</td>
<td>1165 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offspring, %</td>
<td>1201 (41)</td>
<td>1155 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>954 (32)</td>
<td>771 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduate</td>
<td>1301 (44)</td>
<td>1063 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median census value owner-occupied housing, $</td>
<td>221 976±100 340</td>
<td>222 381±102 327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers, %</td>
<td>381 (13)</td>
<td>179 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smokers, %</td>
<td>1109 (38)</td>
<td>1027 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack-years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers</td>
<td>30.5±14.4</td>
<td>33.5±15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smokers</td>
<td>17.5±17.9</td>
<td>17.5±17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (average drinks per week)</td>
<td>4.9±7.3</td>
<td>4.6±7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menstrual periods stopped*, %</td>
<td>761 (53)</td>
<td>936 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes history, %</td>
<td>168 (6)</td>
<td>223 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically apparent CVD at CT scan, %</td>
<td>216 (7)</td>
<td>221 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.8±5.3</td>
<td>28.5±5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension medications, %</td>
<td>590 (20)</td>
<td>891 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>122±16</td>
<td>123±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>76±9</td>
<td>74±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid medications, %</td>
<td>438 (15)</td>
<td>957 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>129±92</td>
<td>118±79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol/HDL</td>
<td>4.0±1.3</td>
<td>3.5±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-year predicted risk of CVD†, %</td>
<td>0.05±0.07</td>
<td>0.07±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDCT scan results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC&gt;0, %</td>
<td>716 (25)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC, among those with TAC&gt;0‡</td>
<td>156.6 (712.8)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAC&gt;0, %</td>
<td>1608 (55)</td>
<td>1604 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAC, among those with AAC&gt;0‡</td>
<td>464.6 (2066.8)</td>
<td>745.5 (3107.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Among women.
†American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association 2013 10-year predicted risk of atherosclerotic CVD; Median, IQR.58
‡Median, IQR.

Data calculated from 5328 observations, from 3506 participants with a TAC measurement or at least one AAC measurement. Number of missing observations: TAC: 45; AAC: 16; alcohol: 4; physical activity index: 47; diastolic blood pressure: 4; triglycerides: 10; total cholesterol/HDL: 15; 10-year predicted CVD risk: 1223.

AAC, abdominal aortic calcium Agatston score; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; MDCT, multidetector CT; TAC, thoracic aortic calcium Agatston score.

Table 2 Distributions of distance to roadway, PM$_{2.5}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Median (IQR) or n (%)</th>
<th>Range (min, max)</th>
<th>Range (5th–95th)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to major roadway (m)*</td>
<td>201 (360)</td>
<td>0.01–999.7</td>
<td>6.9–816.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m³), 2003†</td>
<td>10.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>2.9–26.7</td>
<td>8.2–12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m³), 2003–2009‡</td>
<td>9.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>2.6–17.2</td>
<td>7.3–11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential proximity in categories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50 m</td>
<td>1104 (23%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to &lt;200 m</td>
<td>1265 (27%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 to &lt;400 m</td>
<td>1114 (23%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 to &lt;1000 m</td>
<td>1273 (27%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Proximity to a major roadway analyses restricted to individuals living <1000 m from a major road; 4756 observations (572 observations, 11% excluded).
†PM$_{2.5}$ 2003 calculated from 5328 observations. PM$_{2.5}$ 2003–2009 calculated from 5326 observations.
‡PM$_{2.5}$ fine particulate matter.

When we only adjusted for age and sex, results were not changed substantially. We observed similar results when we also adjusted for physical activity, alcohol, menopausal status, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol/HDL ratio, triglycerides, antihypertensive medication and lipid-lowering medication or when we adjusted for year of CT scan as categorical variable.

We did not observe consistent patterns of heterogeneity of associations with the presence or extent of aortic calcium by age, sex (men vs women), cohort or predicted 10-year risk of atherosclerotic CVD (see online supplemental table S2). Of note, there was sparse data in some subgroups. When we restricted to participants without clinically apparent CVD (92%), results were similar.

When we used a different PM$_{2.5}$ averaging period (2003–2009 instead of 2003), some point estimates were stronger, though CIs were wide (tables 3 and 4). We did not observe consistent associations of distance to nearest A1 or A2 road with the presence or extent of aortic calcium or with the incidence of AAC, and some of the associations were in the opposite direction than expected. Results were similar when we ran the AAC analyses separately by MDCT round.

When we ran the AAC$>0$ analysis with a mixed logistic regression, instead of the generalised estimating equation regression, we obtained similar results, though with wider CIs. Distance to a major roadway and PM$_{2.5}$ were not strongly associated with loge (AAC$>0$) or with AAC greater than the 75th or 90th age-specific and sex-specific healthy referent cut points. Similarly, we did not see associations with loge (AAC$>0$) or with AAC greater than the 75th or 90th age-specific and sex-specific healthy cut points.

**DISCUSSION**

We assessed two residential exposures: distance to a major roadway, which is a surrogate of local traffic exposure, and PM$_{2.5}$, which is emitted by a variety of local and regional pollution sources, including traffic. We found no consistent or strong associations between these exposures and the presence or extent TAC or AAC or with AAC progression. These findings were robust across a range of sensitivity analyses.

---

**Table 3** Associations of distance to a major roadway and PM$_{2.5}$ with TAC and AAC$^*$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TAC$^*$ OR 95% CI</th>
<th>Linear regression (among those with TAC$&gt;0$)</th>
<th>AAC$^*$ OR 95% CI</th>
<th>Linear mixed effects (among those with AAC$&gt;0$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Per cent difference</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Per cent difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;$50 m</td>
<td>0.85 (0.59 to 1.22)</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>(-30.9 to 52.0)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.65 to 1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to $&lt;$200 m</td>
<td>0.70 (0.49 to 1.00)</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>(-35.4 to 51.0)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.62 to 1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 to $&lt;$400 m</td>
<td>0.91 (0.64 to 1.29)</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
<td>(-43.5 to 28.7)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.75 to 1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 to $&lt;$1000 m</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log of distance to major road$^\ddagger$</td>
<td>1.06 (0.90 to 1.24)</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>(-19.1 to 14.4)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.96 to 1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003 PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$)$^\S$</td>
<td>0.89 (0.78 to 1.02)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>(-13.0 to 20.8)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.90 to 1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003–2009 PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$)$^\S$</td>
<td>0.88 (0.76 to 1.01)</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>(-1.4 to 45.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$Adjusted for age, age$^2$ body mass index, sex, cohort (Offspring, Third Generation), smoking status (current, former, never), pack-years, individual-level education (high school or less, some college, college graduate), median census-tract value of owner-occupied housing (quartiles), date of CT scan, number of days between CT scan and examination at which individual-level covariates reported. For AAC, also adjusted for CT scan (first or second round).

$\dagger$For TAC$>0$ logistic regression, distance to roadway includes 2576 observations (647 TAC$>0$). PM$_{2.5}$ 2003 includes 2900 observations (716 TAC$>0$); PM$_{2.5}$ 2003–2009 includes 2899 observations (716 with TAC$>0$). For linear regression, distance to roadway includes 647 observations and PM$_{2.5}$ 716 observations; percentile bootstrapped CIs (n=1000 bootstrap samples).

$\ddagger$Natural log of proximity to a major road scaled to the difference between living at the 75th (417.9 m) versus the 25th (58.0 m) centile from a major roadway.

$^\S$PM$_{2.5}$ scaled to the IQR for the 2003 average (1.4 µg/m$^3$).
This study has several limitations. Though there is potential for residual or unmeasured confounding, we adjusted for many potential confounders, including individual and area-level markers of socioeconomic position. TAC and AAC are measured with error and are correlated, not direct estimates of systemic atherosclerosis. However, TAC and AAC predict incident CVD and are correlated with other markers of atherosclerosis.20,23–25

We previously reported no strong associations of these exposures with coronary artery calcium Agatston scores (CAC), another marker of atherosclerosis, in FHS.42 However, our study would have been stronger if we had assessed associations with other atherosclerosis markers, such as CIMT. We did not assess associations with other markers of atherosclerosis, such as nitrogen dioxide. We do not have information on time activity patterns or time spent at home and our exposures are measured with error. However, we aimed to use residential location to estimate order of exposure levels. Importantly, we do not expect exposure measurement error to be related to TAC or AAC. We did not model year-to-year variability in exposures. However, results were similar when we adjusted for year of CT scan as a categorical variable in an effort to adjust for long-term time trends. Additionally, results were generally robust to choice of PM$_{2.5}$ index period. We did not differentiate between type of major roadway (A1, A2, and A3); however, results were generally similar when we restricted distance to A1 or A2 roadway only. Finally, the study population is predominantly of European ancestry and resides in the Northeastern USA. Though the study population included older adults, many participants were middle-aged or younger. Results might not be generalisable to other populations.

There are also several strengths of this study. Unlike prior studies, we assess two measures of aortic calcification—in the thoracic and abdominal aorta—in the same study population. We assessed associations with incident AAC and annual change in AAC. We used a validated, spatially and temporally resolved PM$_{2.5}$ model based on satellite and land use data to estimate individual exposure, rather than relying on community monitors. Additionally, we explored different exposures: distance to major roadway as a correlate of local traffic, and PM$_{2.5}$, which has local and regional sources. The two prior studies that assessed associations of distance to a major roadway or PM$_{2.5}$ with aortic calcium score supported the presence of cross-sectional associations between proximity to major roadway and TAC and between PM$_{2.5}$ and TAC and AAC.15,28 In the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study, carried out in an industrial region of Germany, residential PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with the extent of TAC, even after adjusting for night-time traffic noise.15 Living close to a highly trafficked road was also associated with TAC, though this was attenuated when adjusted for night-time noise.15 In the Multietnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) study, based in the USA, higher residential PM$_{2.5}$ exposure was weakly associated with a higher risk of detectable AAC.28 Associations were slightly stronger among participants whom the authors expected to have less exposure measurement error—those with long-term residence near a PM$_{2.5}$ monitor and those who did not recently work outside of the home. There were no associations of PM$_{2.5}$ with the

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident AAC* OR 95% CI</th>
<th>Annual change in AAC† Change 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤50 m</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45 to 1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 200 m</td>
<td>0.66 (0.41 to 1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 to &lt;400 m</td>
<td>0.74 (0.44 to 1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 to &lt;1000 m</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log of distance to a major road‡</td>
<td>1.15 (0.91 to 1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003 PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$)§</td>
<td>0.93 (0.79 to 1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003–2009 PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$)§</td>
<td>0.95 (0.79 to 1.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age at MDCT1 (age, age$^2$) and covariates reported at Offspring Examination 7 or Generation 3 Examination 1: body mass index, sex, cohort, smoking status (current, former, never), pack-years, individual-level education (high school or less, some college, college graduate), median census-tract value of owner-occupied housing (quartiles), date of 1st scan, number of days between scan and examination at which individual-level covariates reported, time between MDCT1 and MDCT2.

†Adjusted for age at 1st scan (age, age$^2$), body mass index, sex, cohort, scan (first or second round), smoking status (current, former, never), pack-years, individual-level education (high school or less, some college, college graduate), median census-tract value of owner-occupied housing (quartiles), date of scan, number of days between scan and examination at which individual-level covariates reported, time since 1st scan. Includes the following interaction terms with time since first scan: age at first scan (age, age$^2$), sex, cohort. Percentile bootstrap CIs (n=1000 clustered bootstrap samples).

‡Natural log of proximity to a major road scaled to the difference between living at 75th (417.9 m) versus 25th (58.0 m) centile from a major road. For AAC incidence, 716 observations (215 with incident AAC). For change in AAC mixed model, all 4743 observations are included (1625 with two AAC measurements).

§PM$_{2.5}$ scaled to the IQR for the 2003 average (1.4 µg/m$^3$). For 2003 PM$_{2.5}$ AAC incidence, 818 observations (248 with incident AAC). For 2003–2009 PM$_{2.5}$ AAC incidence, 817 observations (248 with incident AAC). For 2003–2009 PM$_{2.5}$ change in AAC mixed model, all 5312 observations are included (1811 with two AAC measurements). For 2003–2009 PM$_{2.5}$ change in AAC mixed model, all 5310 observations are included (1810 with two AAC measurements).

AAC, abdominal aortic calcium Agatston score; PM$_{2.5}$, fine particulate matter.
measurements). For 2003 all 5312 observations are included (1811 with two AAC incident AAC). For 2003 PM2.5 change in AAC mixed model, the IQR for the 2003 average (1.4 µg/m^3). For 2003 PM2.5 included (1625 with two AAC measurements). PM2.5 scaled to change in AAC mixed model, all 4743 observations are AAC incidence, 716 observations (215 with incident AAC). For (417.9 m) versus 25th (58.0 m) centile from a major road. For to a major road scaled to the difference between living at 75th (n=1000 clustered bootstrap samples). Natural log of proximity (age, age^2, body mass index, sex, cohort, scan (first or second round), smoking status (current, former, never), pack-years, individual-level education (high school or less, some college, college graduate), median census-tract value of owner-occupied housing (quartiles), date of scan, number of days between scan and examination at which individual-level covariates reported, time between MDCT1 and MDCT2. (B) Adjusted for age at 1st scan (age, age^2, body mass index, sex, cohort, scan (first or second round), smoking status (current, former, never), pack-years, individual-level education (high school or less, some college, college graduate), median census-tract value of owner-occupied housing (quartiles), date of scan, number of days between scan and examination at which individual-level covariates reported, time since 1st scan. Includes the following interaction terms with time since first scan: age at first scan (age, age^2, sex, cohort). Percentile bootstrap CIs (n=1000 clustered bootstrap samples). Natural log of proximity to a major road scaled to the difference between living at 75th (417.9 m) versus 25th (58.0 m) centile from a major road. For AAC incidence, 716 observations (215 with incident AAC). For change in AAC mixed model, all 4743 observations are included (1625 with two AAC measurements). PM2.5, abdominal aortic calcium Agatston score; MDCT, multidetector CT; PM2.5, fine particulate matter.

text extent of AAC or of distance to a major roadway with the presence or extent of AAC.

Unlike prior studies, we assessed associations of these exposures with TAC and AAC in the same study region and population. We were also able to assess associations with AAC progression. We did not observe associations of these outcomes with residential distance to a major roadway or residential estimates of PM2.5. In analyses of associations with the presence of detectable TAC and AAC, we observed some estimates that were in the opposite direction than expected. For instance, higher PM2.5 exposure was associated with lower odds of detectable TAC, though CIs were wide. There is no clear biological rationale for this direction of association, as we would expect that higher PM2.5 would be associated with higher odds of detectable TAC. Though we adjusted for many potential confounders, these results could possibly be due to chance or residual confounding. The lack of association with AAC progression may have been due to the relatively short time period between AAC scans (median 6.2 years).

Importantly, there was little variation in average PM2.5 levels in our study, which reduced power to observe associations between PM2.5 and aortic calcification. Additionally, PM2.5 levels were lower in our study compared with the two existing studies that have studied air pollution and TAC or AAC. For instance, in our cohort, 2003 annual average PM2.5 predicted at residential address had a median of 10.7 µg/m^3, while median 365-day PM2.5 average was 16.6 µg/m^3 in the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study. Additionally, use of diesel is much more common in Germany than in the USA and diesel exhaust may be more harmful than gasoline exhaust. Unlike our study, the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study found an association between PM2.5 and the extent of TAC. As in MESA, we did not observe a consistent association between distance to a major roadway or PM2.5 with the extent of AAC. However, in contrast to our study, Allen and colleagues found a suggested weak positive association of PM2.5, with detectable AAC among MESA participants. In the MESA study region, mean 2000–2002 average PM2.5 ranged from 10.9 µg/m^3 (St. Paul) to 22.8 µg/m^3 (Los Angeles).

Studies have also assessed associations of traffic and particulate matter air pollution with CAC, which provides an estimate of total coronary atheroma and predicts coronary heart disease. We did not find evidence of strong associations of residential proximity to a major road or PM2.5 with the presence, extent or progression of CAC. In the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study, residing closer to a major road (mean daily vehicle counts of 10 000–130 000) was associated with CAC; PM2.5 was only associated among study participants who had not recently been employed full time. In line with our findings in FHS, in cross-sectional analyses, PM2.5 was not
consistently associated with CAC in MESA, though there was an association of PM2.5 and nitrogen oxides with CAC progression in MESA.

Importantly, the lack of associations of these exposures with artery calcification in our study population does not mean that ambient air pollution does not cause atherosclerosis. When considering policy implications, these results should be interpreted within the broader context of air pollution and cardiovascular disease literature. Studies in susceptible animals have found particulate matter exposure can lead to atherosclerosis progression. Additionally, controlled human and animal studies have found evidence that short-term ambient air pollution exposure can lead to proinflammatory and oxidative stress responses and autonomic nervous system imbalance, which could potentially lead to atherosclerosis.  

Age is strongly related to the presence and extent of detectable artery calcification. Though participant ages ranged from early to late adulthood, many were middle aged or younger and had little or no detectable calcification, thereby reducing power to detect associations in this study. As noted above, the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study and MESA found evidence of positive associations of these exposures with artery calcification. Additionally, many studies have reported positive associations between particulate matter air pollution and CIMT. Importantly, CIMT represents an earlier stage in vascular injury than artery calcification, which only directly measures calcified elements. Perhaps relatively recent particulate matter pollution exposure contributes more to the development of soft plaque than to progression to arterial calcification, which may be more influenced by longer term exposures. Potential future work could explore whether, in this population, PM2.5 and residential proximity to roadway are associated with measures of soft plaques.

In summary, in a cohort residing in a region with relatively low levels of and variation in PM2.5, we observed no strong associations between residential distance to a major roadway or PM2.5 with the presence, extent or progression of aortic calcification. These findings add to the prior studies exploring the association of traffic and PM2.5 with aortic calcification in humans.
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