



Seasonal variation in food intake and the interaction effects of sex and age among adults in southern Brazil

Citation

Rossato, S L, M T A Olinto, R L Henn, L B Moreira, S A Camey, L A Anjos, V Wahrlich, W Waissmann, F D Fuchs, and S C Fuchs. 2015. "Seasonal Variation in Food Intake and the Interaction Effects of Sex and Age Among Adults in Southern Brazil." *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 69 (9) (April 1): 1015–1022. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2015.22.

Published Version

doi:10.1038/ejcn.2015.22

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:32679816>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Seasonal variation in food intake and the interaction effects of sex and age among adults in southern Brazil

Seasonal food intake and interaction

Sinara Laurini Rossato ^{1,2§}, Maria Teresa Anselmo Olinto ³, Ruth Lianne Henn ^{1,3}, Leila B. Moreira ^{1,6}, Suzi Alves Camey ¹, Luiz Antônio dos Anjos ⁴, Vivian Wahrlich ⁴, William Waissmann ⁵, Flavio D. Fuchs ¹, Sandra C. Fuchs ^{1,6}

¹ Postgraduate Studies Program in Epidemiology, School of Medicine, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Rua Ramiro Barcelos 2600, 90035-003, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

² Department of Nutrition, Harvard School of Public Health, Room 300, 677 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA, zip code 02115.

³ Postgraduate Program in Collective Health, University of Vale do Rio dos Sinos, Avenida Unisinos 950, 93022-00, São Leopoldo, RS, Brazil

⁴ Nutritional and Functional Assessment Laboratory, Department of Social Nutrition, Fluminense Federal University, Rua Mário Santos Braga 20, 415, 24020000, Niterói, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁵ Oswaldo Cruz Foundation. Sergio Arouca National School of Public Health. Workers' Health and Human Ecology Research Center. Rua Leopoldo Bulhões 1480, 21041210, Manguinhos, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

⁶ Postgraduate Studies Program in Cardiology, School of Medicine, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Rua Ramiro Barcelos 2600, 90035-003, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

§ Corresponding author:

Harvard School of Public Health, Department of Nutrition.
677 Huntington Avenue, Room 300. Boston, MA, zip code 02115.
Phone and Fax number +1 617-432-2435
E-mail address: sinara.lrossato@gmail.com

Disclosure of conflict interest:

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Acknowledgement:

This study was supported by: CAPES – Brazilian Federal Agency for Support and Evaluation of Graduated Education; PROCAD 01/2005 n° 0257052, PROSUP n° 097/2007; National Council for Scientific and Technological Development – CNPq; n° 308833/2006, n° 308489/2009-8, n°

311801/2006-4, n° 70/2008, and n° 211521/2013; Studies and Projects Finance Organization – FINEP; Supporting the Program for Centers of Excellence – PRONEX; and HCPA-FIPE n° 00-176.

ABSTRACT

1 **Background/Objective:** Because studies have evidenced variations in nutrient intake,
2 further investigation of the interaction between demographic characteristics and the
3 seasons is necessary. We aimed to test the differences in food intake throughout the
4 seasons and the interaction between the seasons and sex and age.

5 **Methods:** This study included 273 individuals. Food intake was evaluated with 24-hour
6 dietary recalls, and the reported food items were sorted into food groups. We performed
7 the test on the differences in intake of food groups throughout the seasons with repeated
8 measures and on the interaction by using the Generalized Estimate Equation (GEE).

9 **Results:** Intake of fruits and natural fruit juices and sweetened beverages was lower,
10 while that of grains and derivatives was higher in the winter. The intake of leafy
11 vegetables and fish and seafood was lower in the autumn. The consumption of coffee
12 and eggs was higher in the spring. Ingestion of chocolate powder and sugar, salt, and
13 lean poultry was higher in the winter. The variation in consumption of grains and
14 derivatives, eggs, fatty poultry, and processed meat over the seasons was more likely to
15 be modified by sex. Age interacted with the seasons for leafy vegetables, beans and
16 lentils, lean beef, lean poultry, low fat milk and light yogurt, vegetable oil and unsalted
17 margarine, chocolate powder and sugar, and processed meat.

18 **Conclusion:** This study shows that food intake may change seasonally and that seasonal
19 variation depends on sex and age, which might aggregate a specific co-variation
20 component.

21 Key words: Seasonal variation, interaction effect, food intake variation.

INTRODUCTION

22 Nutrient (1) and food intake (2, 3) hardly remain constant over the seasons and differ
23 with sex and age (4). Differences related to season in the intake of cereals, milk
24 products, oils and fats, vegetables, fruits, alcoholic and non-alcoholic beverages, and
25 water have already been described (3, 5). Japanese men and women have presented a
26 higher intake of vitamin C in the summer and autumn in comparison with the overall
27 intake per year (6). In developing countries, out-of-season fruits and vegetables are
28 usually more expensive and may not be accessible to low-income families and regions
29 (7). A recent study has shown a different progression of food intake with aging in men
30 and women. For men, there was a linear reduction in the consumption of poultry,
31 protein-mixed dishes, coffee and tea, and spreads; however, for women, the differences
32 were not linear with age (20-39, 40-49, and 60 + years old, respectively). There was a
33 linear and significant increase of vegetable intake for women, whereas the variation was
34 non-linear and of lesser magnitude for men (4).

35 Food intake variation throughout the seasons, sex, and age might be relevant if the
36 variation increases the likelihood of diseases (8, 9), but it is even more relevant if it
37 introduces measurement bias (10, 11). Since they represent a source of variation and a
38 potential bias, the seasons can influence patterns of health. Deaths by myocardial
39 infarction were associated with a seasonal pattern (9) in Israeli industrial employees.
40 This association could also be attributed to an increased consumption of fat in the
41 winter (12), changes in plasma lipid levels among the seasons (13), or to other
42 cardiovascular risk factors.

43 In addition to detection, the seasonal, sex, and age differences in food intake require
44 rigorous methodological handling because they lead to a measurement bias. To prevent

45 or control such sources of bias, sampling across the seasons and a proper statistical
46 analysis were required (10, 11). In southern Brazil, an evident variation in food intake
47 throughout the seasons was detected (1), but the overall energy from diet remained
48 constant, even with differences in carbohydrate and fat intake. A sampling frame among
49 seasons was recommended (11), and statistical modeling was developed to handle the
50 seasonal variation (10, 14). The differences in food intake according to sex, age and
51 seasonal variation have already been demonstrated separately; however, the interaction
52 effect among them in dietary surveys has been neglected thus far.

53 We aimed to assess the seasonal variation in food intake and the interaction effect of sex
54 and age on this variation.

METHODS

55 To expand coverage of food intake and the seasons as well as the external validity, data
56 from two dietary studies were pooled. Dietary assessment was carried out in two cross-
57 sectional studies conducted in southern Brazil, with adults with ages ranging from 18 to
58 90 years. In the first study, conducted in 2004 in Porto Alegre (Latitude: 30° 01' 59" S
59 Longitude: 51° 13' 48" W; average temperature range from 3°C in the winter to 22°C in
60 the summer), 112 adults (≥ 20 years) were selected and interviewed at home, using two
61 sequential 24-hour dietary recalls (24hDR), as a subsample of the SOFT Study
62 (Syndrome of Obesity and Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease) (15). In the second
63 study, a convenient sample of 161 adults were interviewed at two health care centers
64 located in Porto Alegre and São Leopoldo (Latitude: 29° 45' 37" S Longitude: 51° 08'
65 50" W), a town 34 kilometers from Porto Alegre. Six non-consecutive 24hDRs were
66 conducted mainly in the summer (three) and winter (three) of 2007. The response rate in
67 the first study was 100 percent. In the second study, the response rate was 85 percent in

68 the first three interviews and 71 percent in the last three interviews. Both studies
69 excluded pregnant women and people on diets. Double data entry and validation
70 procedures were performed using the Epiinfo (version 6.0; *Centers for Disease Control*
71 *and Prevention*, Atlanta, United States), and details are described elsewhere (1, 15, 16).

72 Both studies used photographic albums, however with different presentations, to gather
73 information on food portions. The albums for the first study were produced by a
74 research team from the Nutrition and Dietetics Laboratory of the University of Vale do
75 Rio dos Sinos (18), and for the second study, by a research team from the Nutritional
76 and Functional Assessment Laboratory of the Fluminense Federal University
77 (LANUFF).

78 Trained research assistants using the same protocol in both studies collected
79 anthropometry measures, such as weight and height. Body mass index (BMI) was
80 calculated by weight (kg) divided by height squared (m) (19). The cutoff age at 45 years
81 old was defined after sensitivity analysis tested different cutoffs. The decision was
82 based on the proportion of individuals in each age group that could result in minimal
83 loss of statistical power, usually caused by stratification.

Dietary analysis

84 Food intake data from the 24hDRs of both studies were pooled and converted to weight
85 and volume based on the Table of Portion Sizes for the Assessment of Food
86 Consumption (20) and specialized culinary websites. The 24hDRs provided 900 recipes
87 prepared at home or consumed in traditional restaurants, which were broken down to
88 obtain the usual intake of foods such as vegetable oil, sugars, sweeteners, salt, and salt-
89 based spices. Based on the weight (g), volume (ml), and description of the recipe, the
90 relative content of each ingredient was estimated. Food intake, measured in grams per

91 day, and total daily energy intake were calculated. We performed the quality control by
92 comparing our estimates with those obtained from similar recipes found in food
93 composition tables that list the components (TACO and USDA) (20, 21). A five percent
94 variation was accepted for at least three of the four macronutrients analyzed (energy,
95 carbohydrate, total fat, and proteins, as references). These comparisons were made for
96 recipes with potato chips; baked, grilled and fried foods; sauces; risottos; and soups.
97 Food items were sorted into groups according to the DASH (Dietary Approaches to
98 Stop Hypertension) dietary eating plan (18 items), and 14 additional food groups were
99 created (22) (**Supplemental Table**). Salt added in salads or recipes was considered an
100 isolated food group. The ethics committees of both institutions (*Clinic Hospital of Porto*
101 *Alegre* and UNISINOS Institutional Review Boards) approved the projects and all
102 participants signed a consent form to participate.

Statistical analysis

103 Comparisons in the mean intake of food groups (g/day) amid the seasons were
104 performed with the Generalized Estimate Equation (GEE). The food group was entered
105 in the model as a dependent variable, the seasons as predictors, and the 24-hour dietary
106 recalls as repeated measures in a nested format of the data set. The exchangeable
107 working correlation matrix was applied to take into account the different correlations
108 made in both studies, due to the data collection methods. The Tweedie distribution and
109 log link function were used to support the “zero” intake observed in some of the food
110 groups, the non-linearity between the dependent variables and predictor, and non-
111 normal distribution of food intake (23 - 25). We tested the differences among seasons
112 by simple contrasts adjusted for the Bonferroni sequential, using the season with the
113 lowest intake as the reference category. The model was adjusted for sex, age (as

114 continuous), and BMI. We evaluated the interaction effect of season with sex and age
115 (as dichotomous: 1 – 20 to 44 years, 2 – 45 to 90 years). The level of significance was five
116 percent for differences in simple comparisons and interaction effect. Data analysis was
117 carried out using the *Statistical Package for Social Sciences* (SPSS Inc., Chicago,
118 USDA, version 16.0).

RESULTS

119 Participants

120 The overall survey included 273 participants and a total of 762 24-hour dietary recalls
121 throughout the four seasons, which were evaluated by repeated measure statistical
122 procedures. **Table 1** shows that the participants were 27 percent males, 43.6 (SD=16.2)
123 years old, and had a mean body mass index of 24.6 (SD=3.7) kg/m². In both surveys,
124 women and girls were the majority. While the first survey had less young (20-40 years
125 old) individuals (30%), the second they were preponderant (69%); what conferred to the
126 overall sample a balance (53% of younger individuals). Body mass index was higher in
127 the first survey. The second survey had a larger number of 24-hDR replicates and a
128 more proportional distribution through the seasons, except for spring that had most
129 individuals evaluated in the first survey (85 vs. 15%). Again, the differences between
130 both surveys pondered for overall survey.

131 Food intake according to sex and age

132 **Table 2** presents the average intake of food groups according to sex and age. For
133 individuals older than 45, we evidenced a significantly higher intake of vegetable oil
134 and unsalted margarine (+1.2 g/d), whole milk and yogurt (+40.7 g/d), and eggs (+2.9
135 g/d) and a lower intake of sweets of all types (-17.6 g/d), sweetened beverages (-105.3
136 mL/d), fried foods of all kinds (-14.3 g/d), and processed meat (-6.4 g/d). Men had a

137 higher intake of leafy vegetables (+10.8 g/d) and lean beef (+14.3 g/d), which might
138 infer a health food pattern. However, they also had a higher intake of sweetened
139 beverages (+60.7 mL/d) and fried foods of all kinds (+10.90 g/d). Such results may
140 suggest a likely improvement in diet quality with age yet may be controversial for sex in
141 this survey.

142 **Table 3** displays the differences in average intake of food groups (g/day) according to
143 the seasons. The consumption of fruits and natural fruit juices, leafy vegetables,
144 sweetened beverages, and eggs was statistically significantly different among all the
145 seasons compared with the reference season. The intake of fruits and natural fruit juices
146 and sweetened beverages was lower in the winter than in the summer, while the intake
147 of leafy vegetables was 36 percent lower in the autumn than in the spring, when there
148 was the highest intake of eggs. The intake of a few food groups varied in just one
149 season compared with the season of reference. Intake of vegetable oil and unsalted
150 margarine was 25 percent lower in the autumn than in the spring. Lean poultry intake
151 was higher in the winter. Consumption of whole cheese, cottage cheese, and cream was
152 higher in the autumn. The intake of chocolate powder and sugar, salty baked goods, and
153 salty industrialized foods was more likely to decrease in the summer. Salt intake was 54
154 percent higher in the winter than in the autumn, and there were no significant
155 differences in intake in the other seasons.

156 Interaction effect

157 The interaction effect of sex on seasonal food intake was detected for the intake of four
158 (out of 30) groups and presented a markedly different direction of the seasonal effect on
159 food intake (**Figure 1**). Intake of grains and derivatives for men was lower in the
160 autumn than in the winter, but for women it was lower in the spring and similarly high

161 in the summer, autumn, and winter. The intake of eggs was lower in the winter for
162 women and in the summer for men. For egg intake in the winter for women, low
163 variability occurred because most women did not consume eggs, and those who did, had
164 a low intake. Contrary to what was observed in women, the intake of fatty poultry was
165 lower in the winter and higher in the summer and spring for the men. Also, processed
166 meat intake was higher for women and lower for men in the summer. The differences in
167 intake of food groups among seasons were statistically significant only for egg intake
168 among women ($P=0.04$).

169 **Figure 2** shows the interaction effect between age and seasons. Among younger adults
170 (20-44 years), intake of leafy vegetables increased linearly over the seasons. In contrast,
171 this intake among participants between the ages of 45 to 90 was non-linear.
172 Consumption of beans and lentils was lower in the summer and higher in the other three
173 seasons among the younger adults. Lean beef intake became gradually higher from
174 summer to spring for younger adults and non-linear for the older adults. The highest
175 intake of lean poultry was in the autumn for the younger adults and in the winter for the
176 older adults. Contrary to what we observed in older adults, younger adults had a lower
177 intake of vegetable oil and margarine in the autumn and of low fat milk and light yogurt
178 in the winter. While the intake of chocolate powder and sugar and processed meat did
179 not change significantly among the seasons for the younger adults, the intake of these
180 food groups varied significantly among the seasons for the older adults.

DISCUSSION

181 Our study presents a distinct standpoint in relation to the co-variation of seasonal food
182 intake and the interaction effect of sex and age on seasonal food intake. To our
183 knowledge, the interaction effect of sex and age on seasonal food intake had not been

184 investigated previously. The results illustrate that the inclusion of the interaction term as
185 co-factor in the statistical analysis should be taken into account, although our study
186 could not clarify the mechanisms involved. Despite some limitations, as discussed
187 below, the aggregation of surveys helped to improve the external validity, statistical
188 power and pondered categories of age, seasonal distribution and BMI.

189 Our results confirm that there is a food intake variation throughout the seasons in
190 southern Brazil and demonstrate that those variations depend on sex and age. For the
191 overall survey, we detected a higher intake of fruits and natural fruit juices, leafy
192 vegetables, fish and seafood as well as that of eggs, vegetable oil and margarine, and
193 sweetened beverages in the spring and summer. In the wintertime, there was an
194 increased intake of lean poultry and added salt. The intake of fruits and natural fruit
195 juices, leafy vegetables, and sweetened beverages may be related to high temperatures
196 and increased physical activity (26). There was a reduction in intake of fruits and
197 natural fruit juices in the winter in the entire survey and in women. Somewhat similar
198 results were observed for fruit intake in Spain, with increases of 5.3 and 12.6 percent in
199 women and men, respectively, in the summer (2). Chinese women had a 3.8 percent
200 decrease in fruit intake in the winter, compared with the annual mean (3). In Japan, the
201 greatest source of variation in nutrient intake was observed for carotene and vitamin C
202 intake. Carrots and spinach contributed to 50 percent of the total carotene intake;
203 cabbage and tomato contributed with 10 to 15 percent of the total vitamin C intake. The
204 highest contribution to total vitamin C intake was observed in the intake of vegetables
205 and fruits (5).

206 The reasons behind the seasonal influence on food intake and health patterns are not
207 clear. Availability represents an important barrier for the intake of fruits and vegetables

208 in some countries (6), and harvests might determine choice in intake of fruits and
209 vegetables in others (8, 27). Health patterns such as normal blood pressure (28), absence
210 of cardiovascular disease (9), and normal lipid levels (12, 13) are likely to be the result
211 of lifestyle, genes, and environmental characteristics, but their relation to seasonal
212 variation has not been clearly linked. Also, other conditions, such as mood disorders,
213 are likely to affect eating habits significantly throughout the binge precipitation (29).

214 We observed an interaction effect of sex and age on seasonal food intake that forecasts
215 the heterogeneity resulting from the seasonal effect, thereby confirming an interaction
216 between seasonal food intake and sex and age, as in the previously reported case on
217 nutrient intake (1). A recent study, which evaluated the diets of Brazilians and North
218 Americans, also revealed a different progression of changes in food intake with age
219 between men and women (4), but the interaction term was not tested in that study. Such
220 different results may be attributed to some known reasons. Each population has
221 different food habits (30), which could explain why the food groups for the interaction
222 effects found were different between the populations. Additionally, we used two
223 surveys, therefore, the first sample has a lower average income than the second, which
224 may influence the variability of diet (31).

225 In other countries, the intake of fruits and vegetables is correlated to age and gender
226 (29), but in our study, we observed an interaction effect only for leafy vegetables in
227 relation to age. To our knowledge, the interaction of sex and age on food intake had not
228 been tested so far, but there is at least one study that has evaluated nutrient intake.

229 Zhang and colleagues identified an increase in phosphorous intake with aging, which
230 was different in men and women. The interaction effect was confirmed by statistical
231 tests (32). The discrepancy in food intake between men and women and for age groups

232 may be explained by differences in body size, by women tending to have a healthier diet
233 than men (33), and by expected changes in food habits through age (34). Södergren and
234 collaborators evaluated differences in lifestyle between men and women and found that
235 72 percent of women lead a healthy lifestyle while only 53 percent of men do so (33). In
236 general, the score generated by calculating the quality of diet based on *Healthy Eating*
237 *Index-2005* classified North American women as having a better quality of diet than
238 men in every age group, except for individuals from ages 31 to 50 (34). On the other
239 hand, potential bias inherent to short report methods, which are influenced by age, sex,
240 and others factors, must be considered. For instance, the fear of a negative evaluation,
241 history of weight loss, and the percentage of energy derived from fatty foods are the
242 most precise predictors of sub-report among women. For men, the main predictors are
243 BMI, comparisons in physical activity levels among men of the same age, and
244 frequency of food consumption (35). Studies have shown likely errors in the report due
245 to age, yet the under-intake of food intake can easily be confounded with under-report
246 (36).

247 The seasons have previously been considered to be confounding factors, and weighted
248 analysis could be an appropriate approach (37, 38). However, the interaction effect
249 might buffer or exacerbate the truth effect (39, 40). In addition to the possible influence
250 on health patterns, the seasonal effect may have a methodological impact because of the
251 variation in exposure throughout time (41, 42).

252 *Strength and Limitations*

253 The aggregation of studies that have similarities but are not identical may imply
254 strength and a limitation. For age, BMI and seasons, the unification strengthens the
255 study because it pondered the sample distribution; however it did not enhance the

256 distribution according to sex. Both studies used similar interview methods but different
257 photographic albums for data collection and food assessment, a different number of 24-
258 hour dietary recalls. These distinctions may have been taken into account by the
259 statistical method performed using the working correlation matrix and repeated
260 measures method of analysis. Dissemblance between the photographic albums could not
261 be taken into account; therefore, we cannot specify how much it influenced the results.

262 *Final conclusion*

263 This study evidences seasonal variation in food intake. We noted a high intake of fruits
264 and natural fruit juices, vegetables, and leafy vegetables as well as of ultra-processed
265 foods, such as sweetened beverages and sugar and chocolate powder, in the summer and
266 spring. There was a higher intake of salt in the winter. Seasonal variation might
267 influence health patterns, and further investigations that link these patterns, sex, and age
268 to this variation are strongly encouraged. Methodologically, this study demonstrates the
269 interaction between seasonal variation in food intake and sex and age, which could be
270 considered in the design of forthcoming dietary studies and in statistical analyses.

Supplementary information is available at EJCN's website

Literature cited

1. Rossato SL, Olinto MTA, Henn RL, Anjos LA, Bressan AW, Wahrlich V. Seasonal effect on nutrient intake in adults living in Southern Brazil. *Cad Saúde Pública* 2010; **26**(11): 2177-87.
2. Capita, R & Alonso-Calleja. Differences in reported winter and summer dietary intakes in young adults in Spain. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 2005; **56**(6): 431-443.
3. Cai H, Shu XO, Hebert JR, Jin F, Yang G, Liu DK, *et al.* Variation in nutrient intakes among women in Shanghai, China. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2004; **58**(12): 1604-1611.
4. Bezerra IN, Goldman J, Rhodes DG, Hoy MK, Souza AM, Chester DN, *et al.* Difference in adult food group intake by sex and age groups comparing Brazil and United States nationwide surveys. *Nut J* 2014; **13**: 74-84.
5. Malisova O, Bountziouka V, Panagiotakos DB, Zampelas A, Kapsokefalou M. Evaluation of seasonality on total water intake, water loss and water balance in the general population in Greece. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 2013; **26**(Suppl 1): 90-96.
6. Fahey MT, Sasaki S, Kobayashi M, Akabane M, Tsugane S. Seasonal misclassification error and magnitude of true between-person variation in dietary nutrient intake: a random coefficient analysis and implications for the Japan Public Health Center (JPHC) Cohort Study. *Public Health Nutr* 2003; **6**(4): 385-391.
7. Locke E, Coronado GD, Thompson B, Kuniyuki A. Seasonal variation in fruit and vegetable consumption in rural agricultural community. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2009; **109**: 45-51.
8. Darvin ME, Patzelt A, Knorr F, Blume-Peytavi U, Sterry W, Landemann J. One-year study on the variation of carotenoid antioxidant substances in living human

- skim: influence of dietary supplementation and stress factors. *J Biom Optics* 2008; **13**(4): 044028, 1-9.
9. Crawford VLS, McCann M, Stout RW. Changes in seasonal death from myocardial infarction. *Q J Med* 2003; **96**: 45-52.
 10. Dodd KW; Guenther PM; Freedman LS; Subar AF; Kipnis V; Midthune D, *et al.* Statistical methods for estimating usual intake of nutrients and foods: A review of the theory. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2006; **106**: 1640-1650.
 11. Rossato SL & Fuchs SC. Dealing of random errors and bias in methods of short-term evaluation of diet. *Revista de Saude Publica* 2014; 48(5) [available on line in 2014/October].
 12. Shahar DR, Yerushalmi N, Lubin F, Froom P, Shahar A, Kristal-Boneh E. Changes in dietary intake account for seasonal changes in cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1999; **53**(5): 395-400.
 13. Ockene IS, Chiriboga DE, Stanck EJ, Harmatz MG, Nicolosi R, Saperia G, *et al.* Seasonal variation in serum cholesterol levels. *Arch Intern Med* 2004; **164**: 863-870.
 14. Ishiwaki A, Yokoyama T, Fugii H, Saito K, Nozue M, Yoshita K, *et al.* A statistical approach for estimating the distribution of usual dietary intake to assess nutritional at-risk population based on the new Japanese dietary reference intake (DRIs). *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol* 2007 **53**: 337-344.
 15. Henn RL, Fuchs SC, Moreira LB, Flavio D Fuchs. Development and validation of a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ-Porto Alegre) for adolescent, adult and elderly populations from Southern Brazil. *Cad Saude Pública* 2010; **26**: 2068-79.
 16. Anjos LA, Wahrlich V, Vasconcellos MTL, Souza DR, Olinto MTA, Waissmann W, *et al.* Development of a food frequency questionnaire in a probabilistic sample

- of adults from Niterói, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Cad Saúde Pública* 2010; **26**(11): 2196-204.
17. Vitolo MR. *Nutrição da gestação ao envelhecimento*. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Rubio; 2008.
 18. WHO expert consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *The Lancet* 2004; 157-163.
 19. Pinheiro ABV, Lacerda EMA, Benzecry EH, Gomes MCS, Costa VM. Tabela para avaliação de consumo alimentar em medidas caseiras. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Atheneu, 2005.
 20. NEPA-Núcleo de Estudos e Pesquisas em Alimentação. Universidade Estadual de Campinas-UNICAMP-[Brazilian Table of Food Composition] / TACO Versão II 2º Ed. 2006 Campinas, SP.
 21. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. 2007. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 20. Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page, <http://www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/ndl>.
 22. US Department of Health and Human Services. National Institute of Health. National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute. *Facts about the DASH Eating Plan*. 2006. On line version in: <http://www.move.va.gov/download/Resources/DASHEatingPlan.pdf> (august 25th, 2013)
 23. Liang KY & Zeger SL. Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. *Biometrika* 1986; **73**: 13–22.
 24. Hanley JA, Nagassa A, Edwardes MDB, Forrester JE. Statistical analysis of correlated data using Generalized Estimated Equations: An orientation. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003; 157: 364-375.

25. Hubbard AE, Ahern J, Fleisher NL, Van der Laan M, Lippman SA, Jewell N, *et al.* To GEE or not to GEE: comparing population average and mixed models for estimating the associations between neighborhood risk factors and health. *Epidemiology* 2010; 21(4): 467-474.
26. Westerterp KR, Plasqui G, Goris AH. Water loss as a function of energy intake, physical activity and season. *Brit J Nutr* 2005; 93(2): 199-203.
27. Mitchikpe CES, Dossa RAM, Ategbo EAD, Van Raaij JMA, Kok FJ. Seasonal variation in food pattern but not in energy and nutrient intake of rural Beninese school-aged children. *Public Health Nutr* 2007; 12(3): 414-422.
28. Mudal R, Kjeldsen SE, Sandvik L, Erikseen G, Thaulow E, Erikseen J. Seasonal covariation in physical fitness and blood pressure at rest and during exercise in healthy middle-aged men. *Blood Press* 1997; 6: 269-273.
29. Granner ML, Sargent RG, Calderon KS, Hussey JR, Watkins KW. Factors of fruit and vegetable intake by race, gender, and age among young adolescents. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2004; 36: 173-180.
30. Crispim SP, Geelen A, Siebelink E, Huybrechts I, Lillegaard IT, Margaritis I, *et al.* Design aspects of 24 h recall assessment may affect the estimates of protein and potassium intake in dietary surveys. *Public Health Nutr* 2012; 15 (7): 1196-1200.
31. Aslam HM, Alvi AA, Mughal A, Hag Z, Qureshi WA, Haseeb A, *et al.* Association of socioeconomic classes with diet, stress and hypertension. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2013; 63(2): 289-294.
32. Zhang D, Maalouf NM, Beverley AH, Moe OW, Sakhaee K. Effect of sex and postmenopausal estrogen use on serum phosphorous levels: a cross-sectional study of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003-2006. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2014; 63(2): 198-205.

33. Södergren M, Wang WC, Salmon J, Ball K, Crawford D, McNaughton SA. Predicting healthy lifestyle patterns among retirement age older adults in WELL study: a latent class analysis of sex differences. *Maturitas* 2014; 77(1): 41-46.
34. Freedman SL, Guether PM, Krebs-Smith SM, Dodd KW, Midthune D. A population's distribution of healthy eating index-2005 component scores can be estimated when more than one 24-hour recalls is available. *J Nutr* 2010; 140: 1529-1534.
35. Tooze JA, Subar AF, Thompson FE, Troiano R, Schtzkin A, Kipnis V. Psychosocial predictors of energy underreporting in a large doubly labeled water study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004; 79: 795-804.
36. Sahar DR, Yu B, Houston DK, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, Sellmeyer DB, *et al.* Misreporting of energy intake in the elderly using doubly labeled water to measure total energy expenditure and weight change. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2010; 29(1): 14-24.
37. Ocke MC, Larranaga N, Grioni S, Van Den Berg SW, Ferrarri P, Salvani S, *et al.* Energy intake and sources of energy intake in European Prospective Investigation into cancer and nutrition. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2009; **63**: S3-S15.
38. Rothman KJ, Greenland S, Lash T. *Modern Epidemiology*. 3rd Edition. Lippincott Williams, Philadelphia, PA, US, 2008.
39. Jose P. *Doing Statistical Mediation & Moderation*. 1952. The Guilford Press.
40. Hayes A. *Introduction to mediation, moderation and conditional process analysis: a regression-based approach*. Methodology in social science. 2013. The Guilford Press.
41. Donofry SD, Roecklein KA, Rohan KJ, Wildes JE, Kamarck ML. Prevalence and correlates of binge eating in seasonal affective disorder. *Psychiatry Res* 2014; S0165-1781 (14): 214-215.

42. Schätzer M, Rust P and Elmadfa. Fruit and vegetable intake in Austrian adults: intake frequency, serving sizes, reasons for and barriers to consumption, and potential for increasing consumption. *Public Health Nutr* 2009; 13(4): 480–487.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Interaction effect between season and sex on food intake (mean and CI95%).

Legend: X Women ◆ Men.

Abbreviations: Summer (Sum); Autumn (Aut); Winter (Win); Spring (Spr) . P-values were described in table 1.

Figure 2 Interaction effect between season and age on food intake (mean and CI95%).

Legend: X 20 – 44 years ◆ 45 – 90 years.

Abbreviations: Summer (Sum); Autumn (Aut); Winter (Win); Spring (Spr) . P-values were described in table 1.