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Genetically Targeted All-Optical Electrophysiology with a Transgenic Cre-Dependent Optopatch Mouse
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Recent advances in optogenetics have enabled simultaneous optical perturbation and optical readout of membrane potential in diverse cell types. Here, we develop and characterize a Cre-dependent transgenic Optopatch2 mouse line that we call Floxopatch. The animals expressed a blue-shifted channelrhodopsin, CheRiff, and a near infrared Archaerhodopsin-derived voltage indicator, QuasAr2, via targeted knock-in at the rosa26 locus. In Optopatch-expressing animals, we tested for overall health, genetically targeted expression, and function of the optogenetic components. In offspring of Floxopatch mice crossed with a variety of Cre driver lines, we observed spontaneous and optically evoked activity in vitro in acute brain slices and in vivo in somatosensory ganglia. Cell-type-specific expression allowed classification and characterization of neuronal subtypes based on their firing patterns. The Floxopatch mouse line is a useful tool for fast and sensitive characterization of neural activity in genetically specified cell types in intact tissue.
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Significance Statement

Optical recordings of neural activity offer the promise of rapid and spatially resolved mapping of neural function. Calcium imaging has been widely applied in this mode, but is insensitive to the details of action potential waveforms and subthreshold events. Simultaneous optical perturbation and optical readout of single-cell electrical activity (“Optopatch”) has been demonstrated in cultured neurons and in organotypic brain slices, but not in acute brain slices or in vivo. Here, we describe a transgenic mouse in which expression of Optopatch constructs is controlled by the Cre-recombinase enzyme. This animal enables fast and robust optical measurements of single-cell electrical excitability in acute brain slices and in somatosensory ganglia in vivo, opening the door to rapid optical mapping of neuronal excitability.

Introduction

Tools for optical stimulation (Boyden et al., 2005) and optical readout (Looger and Griesbeck, 2012) of neural activity in intact tissues have individually provided fundamental insights into neuronal information processing. Pairing of optical stimulation and readout increases the power of both techniques because one can thereby probe input–output properties of defined cells or circuits, even while these are embedded in an extended network. Optogenetic stimulation allows one to explore neural behavior over a wider range of parameters and in a more systematic fashion than one can achieve through observation of spontaneous or sensory evoked activity alone. Knowledge of input–output properties of cells and microcircuits can then shed insight into observations of natural activity.

Several recent studies reported simultaneous two-photon optogenetic stimulation and two-photon calcium imaging in vivo (Rickgauer et al., 2014; Packer et al., 2015; Carrillo-Reid et al., 2016). Calcium imaging provides a low-pass-filtered surrogate
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for neuronal firing and can be challenging to apply in cell types that either fire very rapidly or have strongly buffered Ca\(^2+\) dynamics. Recently developed genetically encoded voltage indicators (GEVs) have enabled voltage imaging in flies (Yang et al., 2016), in mice in vivo via viral expression (Gong et al., 2015), and over large cortical regions in a transgenic mouse (Madsen et al., 2015), but none of these reporters was spectrally compatible with optogenetic stimulation.

We showed previously that a combination of a blue-light-activated channelrhodopsin (ChR2) and a red-light-excited Archaerhodopsin-derived voltage indicator (QuasAr2) could be used for simultaneous all-optical electrophysiology in cultured neurons or organotypic brain slice cultures (Hochbaum et al., 2014). The actuator and reporter were coexpressed via a bicistronic vector that we called “Optopatch.”

A key attraction of genetically encoded tools is the ability to target measurements to specified neuronal subtypes in intact tissue. Adeno-associated virus vectors can drive high expression in vivo, but typically show nonuniform expression that is highest near the site of injection and drops off over ~300 μm. For quantitative optogenetic stimulation, one prefers uniform channelrhodopsin levels; otherwise, the proportionality between illumination intensity and photocurrent will vary between cells. Cre-dependent knock-in transgenes achieve uniform expression (Gong et al., 2007; Madsen et al., 2012; Harris et al., 2014) and have been used to make a wide variety of fluorescent reporter mice (Madsen et al., 2012, 2015; Zariwala et al., 2012).

Cre-dependent reporter mice are also useful as a source of explanted tissues and cells in which one may, for example, probe the physiology and pharmacology of genetically defined subsets of neurons in a higher throughput manner than can be achieved in intact tissues. Dorsal root ganglia (DRG) and other peripheral neurons are attractive targets for this approach due to their great genetic and functional diversity (Liu and Ma, 2011; Abraira and Ginty, 2013; Chiu et al., 2014; Usoskin et al., 2015). Furthermore, the physiology and patterns of gene expression of DRG neurons (and many other cell types) change while in culture (Zheng et al., 2007), so the ability to perform measurements on acutely dissociated cells is particularly important.

Here, we describe a Cre-dependent transgenic mouse containing the Optopatch2 construct for all-optical electrophysiology (Hochbaum et al., 2014). We characterize the effects of Optopatch2 expression on measures of whole-animal and neuronal health. We then demonstrate all-optical electrophysiology measurements in dissociated neurons, in acute brain slices, in the PNS in explanted nerves, and in vivo using a variety of Cre driver lines to target expression to different neuronal subtypes. Application in the CNS in vivo will require improvements in membrane localization and expression levels. As a facile and robust source of Optopatch-expressing tissues and cells, this mouse line promises to be a useful tool for optical electrophysiology.

Materials and Methods

Animal protocol. Animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Harvard University in accordance with National Institutes of Health guidelines.

Gene targeting in ES cells and generation of knock-in Cre-dependent reporter mice. The Optopatch gene (Hochbaum et al., 2014) and targeting vector (Madsen et al., 2012) were cloned from constructs described previously. Optopatch2, comprising QuasAr2-mOrange2(Y71A)-P2A-ChR2-EF1α-EGFP, was inserted into a Rosa26-pCAG-LSL-WPRE-BGHpA targeting vector between the LSL and WPRE sequences. The LSL sequence contains loxP-stop codons-3x SV40 polyA-loxP as transcriptional terminator. The vector map is shown in Figure 1A. The targeting vector was tested in HEK293T cells (ATCC CRL-11268). The vector was delivered via TransIT-T1 transfection reagent (Mirus MIR2306) either alone or with CAG-Cre vector (Addgene 13775). GFP expression was tested 3–4 d after the transfection.

Gene targeting was performed at the Allen Institute for Brain Science. The targeting vector was linearized and transfected into a mouse embryonic stem cell (ES) line (G4, derived from a 129/B6 F1 hybrid) for homologous combination. G418-resistant ES clones were screened by PCR using primers spanning the 1.1 kb genomic arm (forward primer: 5’-ggcttggcttctctcagaga-3’, reverse primer: 5’-atggacgacggccattc-3’) and then confirmed by Southern blot (Madsen et al., 2012). ES clones were karyotyped and verified to be chromosomally normal. ES clone injection was performed at the Harvard University Genetic Modification Facility. Optopatch-positive ES clones were injected into C57BL/6J blastocysts to obtain chimeric mice. Chimeric mice were bred with C57BL/6J mice to obtain F1 Floxopatch/+ mice.

Genotyping was performed with the following PCR primer pairs: G1: 5’-GCTGTGCTCCTAATCTGATACT-GAGTTAC-3’ and S12: 5’-CTGTATCTGGCTATGGCGCG-3’ to yield a 1.1 kb band from the Optopatch2 transgene and G5: 5’-CTT TAA GCC TGC CCA GAA GA-3’ and G8: 5’-TCCCAA AGT CGC TCT GAG TT-3’ to yield a 242 bp band in the wild-type Rosa26 allele. In Floxopatch/-/+ mice, the GTS/GT8 pair yielded a 242 bp band; in homozygous Floxopatch/-/- mice, this band was absent (see Fig. 1A, inset).

Mapping patterns of Optopatch2 expression. Floxopatch mice were crossed with the following Cre driver lines: Naja,1.8-Cre (gift from Rohini Kuner via Qiu Fu Ma), MyoA3-Cre (gift from Xinzhofong Dong via Qiu Ma), CaMKII-Cre (gift from Venkatesh Murthy), Parvalbumin-IRES-Cre (JAX #008069), Somatostatin (SST)-IRES-Cre (JAX #010244), and CAG-CreER (JAX #004682). Expression of the reporter genes was assessed by eGFP fluorescence in whole-mount DRG at postnatal day 30 (P30) and in sagittal brain sections at P14 stained with an anti-GFP antibody (primary antibody: rabbit anti-GFP, Abcam ab13970; secondary antibody: goat anti-rabbit 488, Abcam ab150077). Images were acquired on an Olympus LV 100 confocal microscope at the Harvard Center for Brain Sciences microscopy facility.

Monitoring health and weight of transgenic Floxopatch mice. Animals were housed at 23°C in a 12 h light/dark cycle. Three to five mice with shared genotype were housed per cage. Animals of both sexes were housed at 23°C in a 12 h light/dark cycle. Three to five mice with shared genotype were housed per cage. Animals of both sexes were housed at 23°C in a 12 h light/dark cycle. Three to five mice with shared genotype were housed per cage. Animals of both sexes were weighed on their weaning day (P21). Mice were observed for crude physical or behavioral abnormalities.

DRG culture and transfection. All patch-clamp electrophysiology measurements were performed in dissociated DRG neurons dissected from T11–L6 of P14 male mice. Dissection and dissociation followed published protocols (Malin et al., 2007). Acutely dissociated DRG neurons were plated at a density of 1500 cells/cm² on glass coverslips–bottomed imaging chambers (MatTek). DRG neurons were coexpressed with pCAG-IRES-Cre (gift from Rohini Kuner via Qiu Fu Ma), MyoA3-Cre (gift from Xinzhofong Dong via Qiu Ma), CaMKII-Cre (gift from Venkatesh Murthy), Parvalbumin-IRES-Cre (JAX #008069), Somatostatin (SST)-IRES-Cre (JAX #010244), and CAG-CreER (JAX #004682). Expression of the reporter genes was assessed by eGFP fluorescence in whole-mount DRG at postnatal day 30 (P30) and in sagittal brain sections at P14 stained with an anti-GFP antibody (primary antibody: rabbit anti-GFP, Abcam ab13970; secondary antibody: goat anti-rabbit 488, Abcam ab150077). Images were acquired on an Olympus LV 100 confocal microscope at the Harvard Center for Brain Sciences microscopy facility.

Monitoring health and weight of transgenic Floxopatch mice. Animals were housed at 23°C in a 12 h light/dark cycle. Three to five mice with shared genotype were housed per cage. Animals of both sexes were weighed on their weaning day (P21). Mice were observed for crude physical or behavioral abnormalities.

Nodose ganglia preparation and imaging. For imaging of nodose ganglia ex vivo, the nodose and petrosal ganglia complex was dissected bilaterally from a Naja,1.8-Cre/-/+; Floxopatch/-/- double heterozygous P14 male mouse following a published protocol (Qiao et al., 2003). The dissected ganglion was placed in a 35 mm dish treated with PDL and Matrigel and incubated in complete media 1 for 2 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ overnight. Cells were measured 1–3 d after dissociation.

For measurements with transient expression, the Floxopatch targeting vector was electroporated into dissociated Naja,1.8-Cre/-/+ male mouse DRG neurons using a Lonza 4D X electroporator following manufacturer protocol DR114 with the P3 primary cell kit (Lonza V4XP-3032). Electroporated neurons were plated at a density of 1500 cells/cm², but cell death led to a lower final density. Neurons were then cultured in complete media 1 for the first 2 d and thereafter in neurobasal medium (ThermoFisher 11320-033; 10% FBS, Life Technologies 10082-147) at 37°C with 5% CO₂ overnight. Cells were measured 1–3 d after dissociation.

For measurements with transient expression, the Floxopatch targeting vector was electroporated into dissociated Naja,1.8-Cre/-/+; Floxopatch/-/- double heterozygous P14 male mouse following a published protocol (Qiao et al., 2003). The dissected ganglion was placed in a 35 mm dish treated with PDL and Matrigel and incubated in complete media 1 for 2 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ overnight. Cells were measured 1–3 d after dissociation.

Nodose ganglia preparation and imaging. For imaging of nodose ganglia ex vivo, the nodose and petrosal ganglia complex was dissected bilaterally from a Naja,1.8-Cre/-/+; Floxopatch/-/- double heterozygous P14 male mouse following a published protocol (Qiao et al., 2003). The dissected ganglion was placed in a 35 mm dish treated with PDL and Matrigel and incubated in complete media 1 for 2 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ overnight. Cells were measured 1–3 d after dissociation.
microscope stage and body temperature maintained at 37°C with a feedback body control system composed of rectal probe (WPI RET-3) and animal temperature controller (WPI ATC2000). The neck and the abdomen were shaved and sterilized. A midline neck incision was made, and the nodule ganglion stabilized for imaging. Details of the surgical preparation have been described previously (Williams et al., 2016). The duration of the surgery was typically 1–1.5 h. The ganglion was then imaged with a 60× water-immersion objective, numerical aperture 1.0, in a home-built microscope. The preparation was stable for up to 6 h of imaging. Sequential optical recordings from single neurons proceeded at a rate of ~15 cells/h. After experiments, the mouse was killed by overdose of isoflurane.

Brain slice preparation. Acute brain slices were prepared from P15–P21 male and female mice. The mice were deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 90 mg/kg ketamine and 10 mg/kg xylazine and then perfused with carbogen (95% O₂, 5% CO₂)-saturated ice-cold slicing solution with the following composition (in mM): 110: choline chloride, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 25 NaHCO₃, 25 glucose, 0.5 CaCl₂, 7 MgCl₂, 11.6 Na-ascorbate, and 3.1 Na-pyruvate. Mice were then decapitated and the brains were removed into ice-cold slicing solution and then rapidly blocked for coronal sectioning at 300 µm thickness on a vibratome (Leica VT 1200S). Slices were then incubated for 45 min at 34°C in a carbonated (in mM): 125 potassium gluconate, 8 NaCl, 0.6 MgCl₂, 0.1 CaCl₂, 1.1 Na-ascorbate, and 3.1 Na-pyruvate. Mice were then decapitated and the brains were removed into ice-cold slicing solution and then rapidly blocked for coronal sectioning at 300 µm thickness on a vibratome (Leica VT 1200S). Slices were then incubated for 45 min at 34°C in a carbonated artificial CSF (ACSF) with the following composition (in mM): 127 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 25 NaHCO₃, 25 glucose, 2 CaCl₂, and 1 MgCl₂. Slices could be used for 4–6 h after harvest. The osmolarity of all solutions was adjusted to 300–310 mOsm and the pH was maintained at ~7.3 under constant bubbling with carbogen.

Electrophysiology. All simultaneous Optopatch and electrophysiology measurements were performed in extracellular medium containing the following (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2 KCl, 2.5 CaCl₂, 1.5 MgCl₂, 15 HEPES, and 30 glucose, adjusted to pH 7.3 with NaOH and to 305–310 mOsm with sucrose. Filamented glass micropipettes (WPI) were pulled to a tip resistance of 5–10 MΩ and filled with internal solution containing the following (in mM): 125 potassium glutonate, 8 NaCl, 0.6 MgCl₂, 0.1 CaCl₂, 1 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, and 0.4 Na-GTP, adjusted to pH 7.3 with NaOH to 295 mOsm with sucrose. Pipettes were positioned with a Sutter MP285 manipulator. Whole-cell, voltage-clamp, and current-clamp recordings were acquired using a patch-clamp amplifier (A-M Systems 2400), filtered at 5 kHz with the internal filter, and digitized with a National Instruments PCIe-6323 acquisition board at 10 kHz. Data were acquired only from DRG neurons with access resistance <5 MΩ and with membrane resistance >10-fold greater than access resistance. Wild-type control mice were selected from littermates of the Nα.1-L-Cre /+ ; Flosopatch /+ / mice. All experiments were performed in parallel at the same experimental conditions. To avoid spurious excitation of CheRiff, experiments were performed in dim light.

Fluorescence imaging. Experiments on cultured neurons and in vitro nodose ganglia were conducted on home-built inverted epifluorescence microscopes described previously (Kralj et al., 2012; Hochbaum et al., 2014; Zou et al., 2014). Experiments on acute brain slices and in vivo nodose ganglia were performed on a home-built upright microscope. Acute slices were perfused continuously with ACSF at ~2 mL/min. In a typical experimental run, images of eGFP and Quasar2 fluorescent were first acquired at full field of view. Data were then acquired with an sCMOS camera (Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4.0) at frame rate of 500 frames/s, using a limited region of interest to achieve the high frame rate. Cumulative red light exposure was typically limited to ~15 s per neuron. The optical conditions used in each experiment are listed in Table 1.

Data analysis and statistics. All plotted error bars represent SEM except where indicated. For two-sample comparisons of a single variable, data were tested for normality using the D’Agostino and Pearson Omnibus test and Shapiro–Wilks test. If the data were detectably non-Gaussian, a nonparametric Mann–Whitney test was performed. Otherwise, a two-tailed t test was performed. Animal weight multiway comparisons were performed using a one-way ANOVA with Kruskal–Wallis test using wild-type as a reference.

Fluorescence image and movie analysis. Fluorescence values were extracted from raw movies in two ways. One approach used the maximum-likelihood pixel-weighting algorithm described previously (Kralj et al., 2012). Briefly, the fluorescence at each pixel was correlated with the whole-field average fluorescence. Pixels that showed stronger correlation to the mean were weighted preferentially. This algorithm automatically found the pixels carrying the most information and deemphasized background pixels. Alternatively, a user manually defined a region comprising the cell body and calculated fluorescence from the unweighted mean of pixel values within this region. Both approaches gave similar results. For calculations of ΔF/ΔF₀, background fluorescence from a cell-free region was subtracted from the baseline fluorescence of the cell.

Automatic image segmentation. Multiple CaMKII-α neurons often overlapped in the field of view and were challenging to separate morphologically. We used an automated segmentation algorithm to extract the fluorescence dynamics of the individual cells based on their distinct temporal dynamics. Our fundamental assumption was that different cells spiked at different times, so clusters of pixels that covared synchronously were associated to the same cell. Briefly, movies were first median filtered in space to remove spatially uncorrelated (and therefore likely noisy) fluctuations. With each 500 ms stimulus, cells all showed similar rises in baseline, but were distinguishable by their individually unique firing patterns. We therefore performed high-pass filtering in time using a Gaussian filter (window size 20 ms, SD 3 ms). Next, we performed principle components analysis (PCA) on the time-domain pixel covariance matrix to reduce the dimensionality of the dataset. We then used time-domain independent component analysis (ICA) to produce a filter that mapped collections of pixels to individual cells.

As an additional noise reduction strategy, spatial filters were cleaned using morphological dilation to remove isolated pixels that were separate from the main body of the cell (the structuring element was a disk of diameter 2 pixels). ICA produced fluorescence traces with a shape that was scaled and offset from the underlying ΔF/ΔF₀ that would have been recorded from an isolated cell. To extract real ΔF/ΔF₀ values, we first used automatic thresholding to identify regions of each cell that did not overlap with any other cell. Pixels within this region were weighted according to how well they fit the ICA trace and their weighted average trace served as a (noisy) signal with appropriate ΔF/ΔF₀. The ICA-derived trace was then scaled to match the reference trace with a linear fit.

We also extracted an image of each cell by calculating the correlation between the high-pass-filtered trace and each pixel of the high-pass-filtered movie. The distribution of correlation values comprised Poisson-distributed background noise, with a long tail corresponding to the cell. We set a dynamic threshold of 1.8 times the estimated Poisson parameter λ to determine which pixels were most likely to be on-cell.

ICA produces an arbitrary number of potential cells. We set the maximum possible number of cells to six and then further eliminated traces that did not correspond to cells based on the following criteria. First, cells had to exhibit higher fluorescence during stimulation than after it (this is a common ICA failure mode). Second, cells had to have at least five spikes during the entire stimulus protocol. Finally, cells had to be morphologically contiguous and compact; we performed morphological opening with a disk of diameter 6 pixels and discarded “cells” that lost 75% or more of their area after this procedure. Manual verification ensured that the automatic image segmentation gave reasonable images of cells and corresponding intensity traces.
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The 60× objective was an Olympus UPLFLN and the 20× objective was an Olympus XFLRLEU, both with a numerical aperture of 1.00.
SST+ neurons were more sparsely distributed than CaMKII+ cells. We did not observe more than one SST+ cell in a single field of view. In general, these cells also showed a lower QuasAr2 expression level. To extract fluorescence signals, we used a modified version of the maximum likelihood algorithm described in Kralj et al. (2012). Movies were first temporally high-pass filtered to remove baseline changes in fluorescence. Pixels were initially weighted according to the ratio \( \sigma_{on}/\sigma_{off} \) where \( \sigma_{on} \) and \( \sigma_{off} \) are the SDs of the (high-pass filtered) fluorescence while the blue light was turned on or off, respectively. This ratio formed a spatial mask that was then normalized and multiplied by the temporally high-pass-filtered movie to extract a first estimate of the cell's voltage dynamics. Next, we calculated which pixels matched this estimate well and computed an image of the cell in the same way as in the ICA case, setting a threshold on the correlation between each high-pass-filtered pixel and the local baseline value was inferred via linear interpolation. Signal height was then measured relative to this baseline.

For data from acute brain slices, we used a dynamic thresholding algorithm to robustly identify spikes despite variations in signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) between cells. The algorithm was built on two assumptions. First, spikes were above the noise floor of the recording. Second, within a single cell and single stimulus interval, spikes were presumed to all be of approximately similar amplitude. First, we estimated the noise level, \( \sigma \), of each recording by median filtering each trace with a window size of 400 ms to remove baseline offsets, and then taking the difference between the 50th and 16th percentiles. We set an initial threshold at 3\( \sigma \) above mean. This threshold gave a collection of potential spikes, but possibly some false-positives. Next, we estimated the spike height by taking the 90th percentile of the spike heights. This high percentile calculation provided a robust measure of spike height, even in the presence of many false-positives (which tended to have heights close to the threshold). Our final spike threshold was 50% of this estimated spike height (as long as this threshold was greater than the initial threshold). This dynamic thresholding was used in all cases regardless of neuron type. The algorithm estimated spikes that were consistent with visual examination of the traces.

Estimating CheRiff expression level. In each field of view, we recorded a static image of eGFP fluorescence to quantify the level of CheRiff-eGFP. We found the average eGFP expression in regions of each cell that did not overlap with any other cell. We used the correlation between the high-pass-filtered spiking trace and the high-pass-filtered QuasAr2 movie to calculate a geometrical correction factor for each region of the cell that accounted for variation of membrane orientation with respect to the camera. The average eGFP fluorescence in the nonoverlapping region was multiplied by the geometrical correction to give an estimate of the CheRiff expression per unit membrane. Because CaMKII cells and SST cells were analyzed differently, our estimates of which pixels were on-cell may be slightly different, so we did not compare expression between the two cell lines. Instead, we quantified single-cell expression relative to the mean of each subtype separately.

Results

Generation and verification of Floxopatch mouse line

We produced a transgenic mouse containing Cre-dependent Optopatch2 in the Rosa26 locus (JAX Strain 28678, B6N.Cg-Gt(Rosa)26Sor1MtitAc/J; Fig. 1A). Genotyping of Floxopatch +/+ and Floxopatch +/+ mice confirmed transgene insertion (Fig. 1A, inset). We found previously that the presence of a fluorescent protein fused to the voltage indicator QuasAr2 facilitated trafficking to the plasma membrane. We wanted to preserve the green/yellow part of the spectrum for other uses, so we introduced the Y71A mutation into the mOrange2 fused to QuasAr2. This mutation rendered the mOrange2 nonfluorescent (Shaner et al., 2008), but preserved its beneficial effects on trafficking. We maintained an eGFP expression marker on the CheRiff (CheRiff-eGFP) to facilitate identification of expressing cells. The Optopatch2 construct (Hochbaum et al., 2014), the insertion locus (Madsen et al., 2012), and the method of making the mouse (Madsen et al., 2012) have been described previously. We call the floxed Optopatch mouse “Floxopatch” or Ai130.

To test for tissue-specific expression in vivo, Floxopatch mice were crossed with a variety of Cre driver lines. For the CNS, we used CAG-CreEr, CaMKII-Cre, SST-Cre, and Parvalbumin (PV)-Cre. Histology showed patterns of eGFP fluorescence matching expression patterns reported in the Allen Brain Atlas (Fig. 1B; Madsen et al., 2010; Harris et al., 2014). In the absence of a Cre driver, confocal images of brain slices from Floxopatch +/+ mice were indistinguishable from slices from Floxopatch −/− mice, confirming absence of leaky expression. Examination at cellular resolution revealed that each Cre line drove expression in cells with distinct locations and morphology. eGFP fluorescence (indicating localization of CheRiff) showed expression in the soma membrane and throughout the dendritic arbor (Fig. 1B, bottom). To test expression in the PNS, we crossed the Floxopatch mouse with drivers for NaV1.8-Cre, MrgA3-Cre, SST-Cre, and PV-Cre. Examination of DRG neurons showed expression in approximately the anticipated proportion of neurons for each of these drivers (Fig. 1C) (Price, 1985; Agarwal et al., 2004; Zacharová and Palecek, 2009; Han et al., 2012).

We then tested the effects of Optopatch2 expression on physiological and neuronal health. Floxopatch mice crossed with neuron subtype-specific Cre drivers had normal weight at weaning (P21; Fig. 2A) and were visually indistinguishable from their wild-type siblings. In animals aged 8–11 months, we performed a pooled comparison of Optopatch2-expressing mice under a variety of Cre drivers (Erx1-Cre, CaMKII-Cre, SST-Cre, or NaV1.8-Cre) to nonexpressing controls. The Optopatch2-expressing animals showed no obvious differences in appearance or behavior and had normal body weights for their age (female controls, 26.5 ± 2.1 g, n = 4; females expressing Optopatch2, 29.1 ± 4.9 g, n = 6 across multiple Cre drivers; male controls, 34.4 ± 7.3 g, n = 9; males expressing Optopatch2, 33.2 ± 8.6 g, n = 3 across multiple Cre drivers).

Previous measurements by manual patch clamp found no significant difference in electrical properties of dissociated hippocampal neurons transiently transfected with Optopatch2 compared with controls (Hochbaum et al., 2014). We studied the effects of Optopatch2 expression in dissociated wild-type, Floxopatch +/−, NaV1.8-Cre −/−; Floxopatch +/−, and NaV1.8-Cre −/−; Floxopatch +/− DRG sensory neurons (P14–P18 mice, 2–3 d in vitro, DIV). We found no significant difference in membrane resistance (Fig. 2B), membrane capacitance (Fig. 2C), resting potential (Fig. 2D), or threshold currents (Fig. 2E) compared with wild-type control neurons. Numbers and statistics are given in Table 2.

Characterization of Floxopatch mouse peripheral neurons in vitro

Next, we tested the function of the Optopatch constructs in dissociated NaV1.8-Cre +/−; Floxopatch +/− DRG sensory neurons.
eGFP fluorescence, indicating localization of CheRiff, showed excellent membrane labeling. QuasAr2 fluorescence showed a mixture of membrane labeling and intracellular puncta (Fig. 3A, B). We measured membrane voltage via whole-cell patch clamp and simultaneously recorded movies of QuasAr2 fluorescence at 500 frames/s (λ<sub>exc</sub> = 640 nm, λ<sub>em</sub> = 667–742 nm). Upon acute addition of capsaicin (1 μM), we observed close correspondence of optical and electrical signals both for spikes and subthreshold events (Fig. 3A).

We then stimulated a cell with 500 ms pulses of blue light of increasing intensity and recorded the response via simultaneous whole-cell patch clamp and QuasAr2 fluorescence. Cells showed spontaneous and optically evoked firing, with clearly resolved action potentials and close correspondence of optical and electrical signals (Fig. 3B). The fluorescence amplitude for a single action potential was 3.3 ± 1.6% F/F (mean ± SD, n = 26 cells, raw whole-cell fluorescence), corresponding to an SNR (spike height relative to baseline noise) of 26 ± 13 (mean ± SD).

We tested the capability of the Optopatch construct to report faithful action potential waveforms from different DRG subtypes in vitro. In Na<sub>V1.8</sub><sup>+</sup> or MrgA3<sup>+</sup> neurons, action potential waveforms showed good concordance with patch-clamp recordings.
for overall action potential width and shape of the afterhyperpolarization (Fig. 3C,D). Spikes in NaV1.8+/H11001 neurons have a characteristic shoulder (Stansfeld and Wallis, 1985) that appeared clearly in patch-clamp recordings sampled at 5 kHz (Fig. 3A, right), but not when the patch-clamp signal was downsampled to 500 Hz nor in the optical recording at 500 Hz (Fig. 3C, left). SST+/H11001 neurons showed extremely narrow action potentials as measured by manual patch clamp (full-width at half-maximum 1.41 ± 0.28 ms, mean ± SD, n = 4 cells). The 1.3 ms response time of QusuAr2 at 25°C, combined with the 2 ms exposure time of the camera, led to low-pass filtering of the fluorescence signal for these spikes (Fig. 3C,D). Optically recorded spike amplitudes in SST cells were smaller (~1% F/F) than in other neuronal types (e.g., 3.3% F/F for NaV1.8-positive neurons). Therefore, improvements in speed of reporters and detection systems are still needed to record detailed spike shape properties and from the fastest-spiking interneurons.

Optical recording provides a degree of spatial resolution not attainable with electrode-based recordings, so we explored the possibility of subcellular and simultaneous multicellular recordings. At 60× magnification, optically induced and optically detected action potentials were detectable in single neurites (Fig. 4A). Using a microscope with a larger field of view (150×100 μm; see Materials and Methods), we optically stimulated and optically recorded from multiple cultured neurons simultaneously (Fig. 4B). Image segmentation using PCA/ICA resolved spiking from up to 11 individual cells simultaneously (see Materials and Methods and Mukamel et al., 2009).

A potential merit of transgenic expression is improved uniformity of expression relative to other gene delivery methods. Variation in expression of a voltage indicator is of little concern because measurements are typically reported as F/F, a parameter that is insensitive to absolute expression. Variation in expression of an optogenetic actuator, however, leads to variation in photocurrent for a given illumination intensity and thereby to apparent cell-to-cell variation in neuronal firing properties.

We compared DRG neurons derived from P14 NaV1.8-Cre+/−/H11001; Floxopatch+/−/H11001 mice and neurons derived from P14 NaV1.8-Cre+/−; Floxopatch−/−/H11001 littermates electroporated with the Floxopatch construct at DIV1. Both were measured at DIV7, with simultaneous Optopatch and manual patch-clamp measurements (Fig. 4C,D). Photocurrent densities in electroporated cells were higher but more variable than in transgenic cells (4.4 ± 3.5

Table 2. Effect of Optopatch expression in transgenic mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ai130 het</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CamKII-Cre;Ai130 het</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 homo</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 het</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 homo</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm (MΩ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>561.5</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ai130 het</td>
<td>414.6</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 het</td>
<td>623.4</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 homo</td>
<td>525.7</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitance (pF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ai130 het</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 het</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 homo</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting potential (mV)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>-42.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ai130 het</td>
<td>-45.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 het</td>
<td>-44.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 homo</td>
<td>-45.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheobase (pA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ai130 het</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 het</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaV1.8-Cre;Ai130 homo</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, Ai130 refers to the Floxopatch genotype. There were no significant differences in body weight or in electrophysiological parameters between mice with or without Optopatch expression driven by neuron-specific promoters.
pA/pF vs 2.8 ± 0.7 pA/pF, mean ± SD, n = 6 electroporated cells, n = 11 transgenic cells). The coefficients of variation (SD/mean) were 80% in electroporated cells and 25% in transgenic cells. The threshold intensity for a 5 ms blue flash to trigger a spike was lower, but more variable, in electroporated cells than in transgenic cells (270 ± 26 mW/cm² vs 1020 ± 240 mW/cm², mean ± SD, n = 30 electroporated cells, n = 29 transgenic cells). The coefficients of variation were 96% in electroporated cells and 24% in transgenic cells. Therefore, in the transgenic cells, improved homogeneity of expression translated into more uniform measurements of firing properties.

We explored the possibility of obtaining higher expression in the homozygous Floxopatch +/+ mouse. In NaV1.8-Cre +/+ ; Floxopatch +/+ DRG neurons, the blue light threshold for triggering spikes was 36% lower than in NaV1.8-Cre +/+ ; Floxopatch +/+ neurons (Floxopatch +/+ : 0.22 ± 0.034 W/cm², n = 29 cells, Floxopatch +/+ : 0.14 ± 0.008 W/cm², n = 106 cells, mean ± SD, p = 0.0031, two-tailed Mann–Whitney
test) and the SNR of spikes in QuasAr2 fluorescence was approximately twice as high (Floxopatch +/−: 12.6 ± 0.8, n = 53 cells, Floxopatch +/+ : 26.5 ± 1.3, n = 151 cells, mean ± SD, p < 0.0001, two-tailed Mann–Whitney test). However, due to the greater demands of breeding homozygous Floxopatch mice, subsequent experiments were performed with heterozygotes.

**Functional phenotyping in acute brain slice**

The low brightness of rhodopsin-based reporters is a potential concern for imaging in intact tissue, where background autofluorescence is anticipated to be higher than *in vitro*. We quantified the autofluorescence of acute brain slice tissue from a P49 mouse under excitation at either 488 nm (520–540 nm emission) or 640...
nm (667–742 nm emission). This mouse did not express any fluorescent transgenes. An iris in an optical image plane in the illumination path ensured that the illumination areas and geometries were precisely matched for blue and red excitation. We made measurements at \( n = 21 \) locations in cortical layers 2–5 at a variety of illumination intensities in each color. Mean autofluorescence counts were 136 ± 86-fold higher (mean ± SD) under blue excitation than under red excitation of the same intensity. Most point-to-point variability in autofluorescence occurred in the blue channel.

Encouraged by the low tissue autofluorescence under red excitation, we compared the signal-to-background ratio of eGFP fluorescence and QuasAr2 fluorescence in single neurons from a sparsely expressing \( \text{CaMKII-Cre}^{+/-};\text{Floxpoxch}^{+/-} \) mouse. The mean signal-to-background ratio (cell membrane: neighboring tissue) was 1.7 ± 0.26 for QuasAr2 and 1.6 ± 0.15 for eGFP (mean ± SD, \( n = 23 \) cells). Therefore, the lower brightness of QuasAr2 relative to eGFP was compensated by lower background autofluorescence under red illumination than under blue illumination. We used whole-cell manual patch clamp to record membrane voltage and simultaneously recorded QuasAr2 fluorescence while stimulating with pulses of current injection (200 pA, 10 ms duration, 5 Hz). Single action potentials were clearly resolved in both optical and electrical recordings.

We next investigated whether Optopatch measurements could resolve differences in optically evoked firing patterns between genetically distinct neuronal subtypes in acute slices. We compared \( \text{CaMKII}^{+} \) excitatory neurons (Dragatsis and Zeitlin, 2000) and SST\(^{+} \) inhibitory neurons (Ma et al., 2006). CaMKII-driven expression was too sparse for imaging before P16 and too dense for single-cell imaging after P21. We used animals at P19–P20. At this age, Optopatch expression was primarily found in granule cells in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus and in some pyramidal cells in layers 2 and 4 of the cortex (Dragatsis and Zeitlin, 2000). Data here are from the dentate gyrus. Due to the density of CaMKII-positive neurons, a single field of view often contained multiple overlapping cells. We used automated image segmentation based on time-domain ICA to resolve the firing patterns of individual neurons (see Materials and Methods). SST-driven expression was stable after P14. For SST\(^{+} \) neurons, we used animals at P15–P16. Expression was primarily in interneurons in the cortex and hippocampus (Urban-Ciecko et al., 2015). Data here are from the cortex. SST\(^{+} \) neurons were sufficiently sparse as to not overlap in the field of view.

Cells were stimulated with an optical rheobase protocol comprising steps of blue light of 500 ms duration, of increasing intensity, with simultaneous monitoring of QuasAr2 fluorescence via red excitation and near infrared emission. Slice protocol, optical parameters, and image segmentation are described in the Materials and Methods. Single-cell firing patterns were clearly resolved, with an SNR of 8.5 ± 2.6 (mean ± SD, \( n = 160 \) cells; Fig. 5A–D). Measurements proceeded at a rate of ~30 cells/h, with ~85% of the time devoted to identifying and focusing on cells. We recorded from 101 CaMKII\(^{+} \) single cells in seven slices from three mice and 59 SST\(^{+} \) single cells in nine slices from four mice. Spikes were identified in fluorescence traces using an adaptive thresholding algorithm (see Materials and Methods). Fluorescent sources that either did not spike or spiked with an SNR <3 were discarded. The mean depth of optically recorded cells was 50–75 \( \mu \)m and most optically recorded cells were within 150 \( \mu \)m of the slice surface (94% for CaMKII, 97% for SST), consistent with the ~100 \( \mu \)m optical scattering length of brain tissue at 640 nm (Yaroslavsky et al., 2002). Within the top 150 \( \mu \)m of the slice, we did not observe depth-dependent differences in SNR or action potential properties, but few spiking cells were recorded within the top 40 \( \mu \)m, likely due to damage during slicing.

We observed significant differences in the firing patterns between CaMKII\(^{+} \) and SST\(^{+} \) neurons. SST\(^{+} \) neurons had higher spontaneous activity than CaMKII\(^{+} \) (1.21 ± 2.76 Hz in SST vs 0.35 ± 0.40 Hz in CaMKII, mean ± SD, \( p = 3.0 \times 10^{-7} \)), Mann–Whitney test; Fig. 5B,D). Further, SST\(^{+} \) neurons achieved higher maximum firing rates overall and at each stimulus intensity (16.9 ± 5.7 action potentials per stimulus in SST vs 11.6 ± 3.8 in CaMKII, mean ± SD, \( p = 1.0 \times 10^{-8} \)), unpaired two-sided \( t \) test; Fig. 5E,F). Although it is conceivable that the difference in optically induced firing rate is partially due to differences in channelrhodopsin expression, we also observed systematic differences in intrastimulus spike timing, which cannot be explained by differences in optogenetic drive. We quantified adaptation during each stimulus epoch as the average ratio between successive interspike intervals, \( \frac{\text{ISI}_{n+1}}{\text{ISI}_{n}} \), where \( \text{ISI}_{n} = t_{n+1} - t_{n} \) is the time difference between the \( n \)th and \((n+1)\)th spike. CaMKII\(^{+} \) neurons showed significantly higher adaptation ratios (1.9 ± 1.2 in CaMKII vs 1.2 ± 0.3 in SST, mean ± SD, \( p = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \)), Mann–Whitney test; Fig. 5G). Differences in firing rate adaptation were enough to distinguish the two cell types, with a receiver operating characteristic area of 0.88 (Fig. 5G, inset). These population-level differences are consistent with manual patch-clamp measurements published for the same promoters (Gentet et al., 2012; Kowalski et al., 2016; Markram et al., 2015).

To test the feasibility of long-term Optopatch measurements in acute slices, we studied the behavior of neurons from CaMKII-Cre\(^{+/-} \);Floxpoxch\(^{+/-} \) mice under either intermittent or continuous measurement conditions. First, we recorded from a cell once per minute for 20 min. For each recording, we illuminated the cell for 500 ms with red light (2500 W/cm\(^2\)) and exposed it to 8 pulses of blue light of 10 ms duration, 20 Hz, 50 mW/cm\(^2\). We then repeated this experiment, leaving the red laser on continuously for the whole 20 min. Figure 5H shows that, under intermittent imaging, the Optopatch recording maintained long-term stability. Indeed, one of the merits of optical recording relative to patch clamp is that one can easily return to the same cell after long intervals. Under continuous monitoring, however, the fluorescence photobleached, diminishing to 30% of its initial amplitude after 20 min. Remarkably, we did not observe evidence of phototoxicity; that is, the cell continued to spike faithfully throughout the measurement.

Finally, Optopatch measurements enabled qualitative classification of neurons into more refined categories on the basis of their firing patterns or e-types (Markram et al., 2015). Figure 6 shows examples of this diversity of spontaneous and evoked activity in CaMKII\(^{+} \) and SST\(^{+} \) neurons. Among the CaMKII\(^{+} \) neurons, ~60% showed a continuous accommodating pattern and ~20% showed a delayed bursting pattern. Only 16% had spontaneous activity. Among the SST\(^{+} \) neurons, ~40% showed a continuous, nonaccommodating e-type and ~40% showed a continuous, accommodating e-type. Approximately 37% had spontaneous activity. Our experimental conditions differed from published large-scale patch-clamp surveys (Markram et al., 2015; van Aerde and Feldmeyer, 2015) in one or more critical parameters (species, age of animal, brain region, method of specifying neuronal subpopulation), precluding a detailed comparison. We anticipate that the capacity for rapid and robust e-typing of genetically defined neurons in intact tissue may prove useful in
Figure 5.  Optopatch recordings of neuronal excitability in acute brain slice.  
A, Top, Epifluorescence images of the Optopatch components in CaMKII^{+/−} neurons in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus. Scale bar, 10 μm. Bottom, Fluorescence of QuasAr2 in the indicated cells in response to illumination with steps of blue light of increasing intensity from 50 to 350 mW/cm^{2}. QuasAr2 fluorescence excited at 40 mW power (800 W/cm^{2}).  
B, Raster plot showing the spiking patterns of 101 neurons under a nine-step stimulation protocol.  
C, Top, Epifluorescence images of a SST^{−/−} inhibitory neuron in the cortex. Scale bar, 10 μm. Bottom, Fluorescence of QuasAr2 and the optical stimulus pattern.  
D, Raster plot showing the spiking patterns of 59 SST^{−/−} neurons stimulated with the same protocol as in B.  
E, Comparison of time-dependent spike rates of CaMKII^{+/−} and SST^{−/−} neurons under the same stimulus protocol.  
F, Distributions of maximum spike rates (averaged over a 500 ms stimulus) in SST^{−/−} and CaMKII^{+/−} neurons. SST^{−/−} neurons reached significantly higher firing rates than CaMKII neurons (p = 1.0 × 10^{-8}, unpaired two-sided t test).  
G, Distributions of adaptation ratios (averaged over all stimulus strengths) in SST^{+/−} and CaMKII^{+/−} neurons. More SST^{+/−} neurons than CaMKII^{+/−} neurons were slow adapting (the populations were significantly different by the Mann–Whitney test, p = 2.5 × 10^{-9}). Inset, Receiver operating characteristic curve for separating CaMKII^{+/−} neurons from SST^{+/−} neurons based only on average adaptation ratio (area under the curve = 0.88).  
H, Optopatch measurements of neuronal stability under chronic measurement conditions. Orange indicates optically evoked and optically recorded spiking recorded with a 500 ms red illumination once per minute. Purple indicates optically evoked and optically recorded spiking with continuous red laser illumination. In both cases, the red laser illumination intensity was 2500 W/cm^{2}. 
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efforts to extend these surveys to more brain regions and to more experimental conditions (Markram et al., 2015).

Peripheral nerve imaging in vivo

We then attempted Optopatch measurements in the Floxopatch mouse in vivo. We focused on peripheral nerves due to their good optical accessibility, limited vascularization, and the technical difficulties associated with studying these cells by manual patch-clamp techniques. Neurons of the vagus nerve provide autonomic feedback from visceral organs including heart, lung, and digestive tract, and comprise mechanosensors, osmosensors, chemosensors, thermosensors, and nociceptors (Berthoud and Neuhuber, 2000). In mice, the cell bodies of sensory fibers in the vagus nerve reside in the jugular/petrosal/nodose ganglia (JPNG) complex. The JPNG is 1–2 mm in diameter and mostly translucent, with few capillaries and little fat or pigment. Recently developed surgical techniques have enabled optical access to the nodose ganglia in vivo (Williams et al., 2016).

\( \text{Na}_v 1.8 \)-Cre drove expression of Optopatch2 in \(~75\%\) of neurons in the nodose ganglia (Stirling et al., 2005; Gautron et al., 2011). First, we imaged explanted intact ganglia. Neuron cell bodies were densely packed (Fig. 7A), so we could record from up to 23 neurons simultaneously. Under optical stimulation with pulses of blue light of increasing intensity, we observed a wide variety of single-cell firing patterns (Fig. 7A). Most of the neurons were fast adapting, but several showed intermediate and slowly adapting firing patterns. It has been reported that some neurons in the nodose ganglia express P2X and are sensitive to ATP (Coc-
Figure 7. Optopatch imaging of mouse nodose ganglia ex vivo and in vivo. A, Ex vivo wide-field recording in a nodose ganglion from P14 Na\textsubscript{v}1.8-Cre\textsuperscript{+/+};Floxopatch\textsuperscript{−/−} mouse. Left, Wide-field epifluorescence images of CheRiff-eGFP. The bottom indicates the centers of the 23 cells recorded simultaneously. Scale bar, 10 μm. Right, Optically evoked activity of 23 cells. Blue stimulus intensities increased stepwise from 600 mW/cm\textsuperscript{2} to 3 W/cm\textsuperscript{2} (top left). QuasAr2 fluorescence was excited with red light at 300 W/cm\textsuperscript{2}. B, ATP-invoked firing of a neuron in the nodose ganglion. Left, Epifluorescence image of QuasAr2 expression. The recorded cell is shown with an asterisk. Scale bar, 10 μm. Right, QuasAr2 fluorescence before (top) and after (bottom) the addition of 10 μM ATP. ATP induced rapid tonic firing that persisted for several minutes. C, Left, In vivo epifluorescence images of the Optopatch components in an intact nodose ganglion from a P58 Na\textsubscript{v}1.8-Cre\textsuperscript{−/−};Floxopatch\textsuperscript{+/−} mouse. Scale bar, 10 μm. Right, Fluorescence recordings from the indicated cells under optical stimulation at blue intensity 500 mW/cm\textsuperscript{2}. D, Sequential Optopatch recordings from 14 nodose ganglion neurons in vivo. Left, Diagram showing locations of all the neurons recorded. Scale bar, 100 μm. Right, Fluorescence recordings of single cells under optical stimulation at blue intensities from 30 to 50 mW/cm\textsuperscript{2}.
We recorded optically induced spiking patterns with SNR 9.5. The cells showed single action potentials upon CheRiff activation.

Recent progress in protein engineering has led to a profusion of GEVIs with different tradeoffs in speed, voltage sensitivity, linearity, brightness, and trafficking efficiency (for recent reviews, see St-Pierre et al., 2015; Lin and Schnitzer, 2016). Voltage imaging in mouse brain in vivo has been demonstrated recently (Gong et al., 2015) using a GEVI called Ace-mNeon that functions via electrochromic FRET (Gong et al., 2014; Zou et al., 2014). This GEVI is excited with blue light (~488 nm) and requires expression via a rabies virus. Another fast GFP-based GEVI, ASAP2f, was used recently to investigate subcellular voltage dynamics in flies using two-photon imaging (Yang et al., 2016). All known optogenetic actuators—even the most red-shifted ones—retain 20–30% activation in the blue part of the spectrum used to excite Ace-mNeon or ASAP2f (Venkatachalam and Cohen, 2014). Therefore, spurious optogenetic activation by imaging light presents an obstacle to pairing of GFP-like GEVIs with an optogenetic actuator.

A transgenic GEVI mouse expressing Cre-dependent VSFP Butterfly 2.1 has been reported recently (Empson et al., 2015; Madisen et al., 2015). The good brightness of this reporter facilitated wide-field mapping of regions of cortical activation through a thinned skull preparation in vivo, but these mice have not yet yielded robust evidence of single-trial, single-spike sensitivity. The broad spectral band required for fluorescence excitation and imaging of this FRET-based GEVI renders it incompatible with optogenetic stimulation.

The low brightness of rhodopsin-based reporters created doubt about their applicability to 1-photon measurements in tissue or in vivo. However, the difference in excitation wavelength between GFP-based reporters (typically 488 nm) and Arch-based reporters (640 nm) largely compensated for the lower brightness of the latter for applications in tissue (Deliolanis et al., 2008). Here, we showed a >100-fold decrease in tissue autofluorescence upon moving to the longer wavelength. Others have shown that, compared with 488 nm, at 640 nm, brain tissue shows >50% greater scattering length (Yaroslavsky et al., 2002; Wäldchen et al. (2015) reported recently that, when mammalian cells were illuminated for 240 s at 200 W/cm², 488 nm wavelength, 100% of cells either died or were “frozen.” Repeating the experiment at 30-fold greater intensity (5.9 kW/cm²) at 640 nm wavelength led to no detectable cell death, implying far less than 1/30th the photochemical toxicity at the red wavelength.

Laser heating is the primary concern for imaging Archaerhodopsin-derived GEVIs in tissue. Recent studies have studied optical heating of brain tissue with either 532 nm visible light delivered through an optical fiber (Stujsenske et al., 2015) or with near-infrared light used in two-photon microscopy (Podgorski and Ranganathan, 2016). Compared with 532 nm, at 640 nm, brain tissue shows an ~14-fold lower optical absorption and thus lower tissue heating (Jacques, 2013). We scaled the results in each of these works to 640 nm light focused onto a 50-µm-diameter spot and found that both predicted a temperature coefficient of ~0.03°C/mW. We also performed Monte Carlo simulations of 640 nm photon propagation through tissue, coupled with simulations of thermal diffusion (ignoring convective dissipation from blood flow). For a diffraction-limited focal spot at a depth of 50 µm, the steady-state temperature coefficient was 0.04°C/mW and, for a 50 µm focus, we calculated 0.016°C/mW. These calculations are in broad agreement with the estimates based on literature simulations and data. Therefore, to keep the steady-state temperature rise at <2°C, the laser power should be limited to ≤60 mW. Our experiments were performed with no more than 40 mW of laser power.

The thermal simulations showed that it takes several seconds for the temperature rise to reach steady state. In a pulsed measurement, one can go to much higher illumination intensities while maintaining a safe temperature provided that the pulse duration is kept short. Because thermal damage is governed by the maximum temperature rise, pulsed measurements are an attractive strategy for imaging at higher laser power; one must simply leave enough time for the sample to cool between pulses. This approach is only viable when heating is the primary mechanism of photodamage; photochemical toxicity from blue light is cumulative, so there is no benefit to pulsing the measurement.

The primary biological challenge in using the Floxopatch mouse in CNS in vivo is the lower mean expression level compared with what can be achieved via viral gene delivery coupled with the already dim fluorescence of QuasAr2. Whereas virally delivered Optopatch shows signals in CNS in vivo, side-by-side comparisons of virally infected and transgenic acute brain slices indicated that the lower SNR of the transgenic measurements would be prohibitive for most in vivo CNS measurements. Recent work has shown that expression levels of transgenes in the TIGRE locus are comparable to virally expressed genes (Madisen et al., 2015), so this locus is a promising target for future transgenesis efforts.

New microscope designs will also be needed to achieve the full potential of the Floxopatch mouse and other GEVIs in vivo. Although two-photon microscopy has become a powerful technique for Ca²⁺ imaging in vivo, the technique does not readily extrapolate to voltage imaging. The challenges are that the ~100-fold greater speed required for voltage imaging, combined with the ~100-fold smaller detection volume (plasma membrane vs cytoplasm), require excitation rates per molecule that are associated with rapid photobleaching under two-photon illumination (Brinks et al., 2015). Furthermore, conventional galvo-based scanners have difficulty achieving the ~1 kHz frame rate needed for voltage imaging. Structured illumination and adaptive optics provide alternate approaches to optical sectioning with one-
 photon excitation. To minimize total optical power into the sample, one can contemplate schemes that focus illumination selectively on the membrane while avoiding interstitial regions. Improvements in microscopy could lead to advances just as significant as the recent advances in GEVI design.

Spatially and genetically resolved measures of single-cell excitability are an important component of efforts to model circuit-level brain function. Although much recent effort has focused on structural and molecular aspects of circuit mapping (Macosko et al., 2015; Markram et al., 2015; Okaty et al., 2015), to understand function, one must also know the input–output properties of the individual neurons, which can often be strikingly different between morphologically and genetically similar cells (Dougherty et al., 2013; Giocomo, 2015). Recent large-scale patch-clamp efforts found important electrophysiological variability within neurons marked by the same nominal cell-type-specific promoter (Markram et al., 2015).

In comparing neuronal firing patterns evoked by manual patch clamp versus optogenetic stimulation, one must keep in mind that these two modalities deliver different kinds of stimuli: electrical stimulation is typically provided via current injection in current-clamp mode, whereas channelrhodopsins are conductions with reversal potential of ~0 mV. In current-clamp stimulation, the injected current is independent of membrane voltage; in optogenetic stimulation, the magnitude of the current drops as the cell depolarizes and reverses sign for positive membrane voltage. These differences can lead to qualitatively different firing patterns in the same cell. AMPA receptors have a reversal potential of ~0 mV, so optogenetic stimulation better mimics synaptic input than does current injection from a patch pipette.

A natural extension of the work described here will be to relate the optically evoked firing patterns to pharmacology, sensory evoked firing patterns, and to genetic and histochemical analysis of neuronal subtypes. For example, the NaV1.8 sodium channel is TTX resistant, so we anticipate that driving Optopatch expression via NaV1.8-Cre will target TTX-resistant unmyelinated C-fibers preferentially. Measurements in the presence of TTX might thereby isolate pharmacologically the role of NaV1.8 in action potential generation. Optopatch measurements in somatosensory neurons in vivo may also help to decode the internal representations of physiological and environmental signals. Comparisons between optically evoked and naturalistic activation may reveal how and where the sensory encoding arises as the signal propagates from sensory terminals to the sensory ganglion and then on to the CNS. Transcriptional profiling of neurons that mediate particular sensory modalities may identify novel sensory proteins.

We have focused here on the PNS and CNS, but the Floxopatch mouse may also find application in studies on a variety of other cell types. Particularly exciting is the prospect of application in cells that have been challenging or impossible to access via conventional patch clamp, such as immune cells, pancreatic β cells, and specialized sensory structures such as Merkel cells and Pacinian and Meissner’s corpuscles. Patch-clamp experiments that have traditionally been the domain of highly skilled electrophysiologists could now become accessible to other researchers.

Notes
Supplemental material for this article is available at http://cohenweb.rc.fas.harvard.edu/Publications/Floxopatch_supplement_2016.pdf. Provided are supplemental figures and discussion on the specificity and selectivity of Optopatch expression, the effect of whole-body Optopatch expression, the optical properties of acute brain slices, depth-dependent signal levels in acute brain slices, and characterization of red-light-induced heating of tissue. This material has not been peer reviewed.
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