



# Magnetic Levitation in the Analysis of Foods and Water

## Citation

Mirica, Katherine A., Scott T. Phillips, Charles R. Mace, and George M. Whitesides. 2010. "Magnetic Levitation in the Analysis of Foods and Water." Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 58 (11) (June 9): 6565–6569. doi:10.1021/jf100377n.

# **Published Version**

doi:10.1021/jf100377n

# Permanent link

http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:33490475

# Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP

# **Share Your Story**

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. <u>Submit a story</u>.

<u>Accessibility</u>

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	Magnetic Levitation in Analysis of Foods and Water
6	
7	
8	Katherine A. Mirica, Scott T. Phillips, Charles R. Mace, and George M. Whitesides*
9	
10	Department of Chemistry & Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138
11	
12	* Corresponding author E-mail: gwhitesides@gmwgroup.harvard.edu

#### ABSTRACT

14	This paper describes a method and a sensor that use magnetic levitation (MagLev) to
15	characterize samples of food and water based on measurements of density. The sensor
16	comprises two permanent NdFeB magnets positioned on top of each other in a configuration
17	with like poles facing, and a container filled with a solution of paramagnetic ions.
18	Measurements of density are obtained by suspending a diamagnetic object in the container filled
19	with the paramagnetic fluid, placing the container between the magnets, and measuring the
20	vertical position of the suspended object. We use MagLev to estimate the salinity of water,
21	compare a variety of vegetable oils based on the ratio of polyunsaturated fat to monounsaturated
22	fat, compare the content of fat in milk, in cheese, and in peanut butter, and determine the density
23	of grains.
24	

25	<b>KEYWORDS:</b>	magnetic	levitation (	MagLev):	density:	analysis of	of food a	nd water
		0			<i></i> ,	2		

#### 27 INTRODUCTION

This paper describes a versatile technique based on magnetic levitation (MagLev)<sup>1-13</sup> for characterizing and distinguishing a variety of materials based on their density. We use MagLev to estimate the salinity of water, to distinguish different oils of plant origin based on their content of polyunsaturated and monounsaturated fat, to determine fat content in milk, cheese, and peanut butter, and to compare a variety of grains based on density.

33 All homogeneous matter has density. Changes in chemical composition or physical state 34 (e.g., phase transition, crystallization, or purification) can result in changes in density. Density-35 based detectors of composition have the feature that they are universal (they respond to essentially all analytes), and do not require a chromophore (as do UV-Vis detectors).<sup>14, 15</sup> 36 37 Density meters are used in research, industry, and healthcare to obtain information about the chemical composition of solid and liquid samples.<sup>16</sup> In solids, the density of polymers and 38 minerals is commonly measured to assess crystallinity and purity, respectively.<sup>1</sup> The 39 40 concentrations of solutes dissolved in fluids correlate with density as well; examples include 41 measuring the content of sugar in soft drinks, the amount of alcohol in wine, the mole fraction of methanol in water, and the normality of sulfuric acid.<sup>17</sup> The chemical composition of bodily 42 43 fluids also correlates with density. For instance, the density of urine can be used to assess dehydration and kidney function, and the density of blood correlates with hematocrit.<sup>17, 18</sup> 44 A variety of tools (e.g., floating bulb hydrometers<sup>16</sup>, density gradient columns<sup>19</sup>, 45 pycnometers<sup>16</sup>, oscillating-tube density meters<sup>16</sup>, and suspended microchannel resonators<sup>20, 21</sup>) 46 47 exist for measuring densities of solids and liquids and concentrations of solutes dissolved in 48 them. These techniques involve tradeoffs between ease of operation, portability, and cost; for instance, density gradient columns and pycnometers offer high precision  $(10^{-4} \text{ g/cm}^3)$  at the 49

50 expense of portability and ease of operation, and require trained technicians to carry out accurate 51 density measurements in centralized laboratories. Modern devices based on oscillating-tube technology<sup>16</sup> enable accurate measurements in a portable, automated, and high-throughput 52 53 format, but cost several thousand dollars, and are applicable only to liquids with a limited range 54 of viscosities. Suspended microchannel resonators (SMRs) enable the most sensitive 55 measurements of density to date. SMRs are capable of measuring the densities of single cells and single particles (~50 nm – 3  $\mu$ m in diameter) with a resolution of 10<sup>-4</sup> g/cm<sup>3</sup>, and detecting 56 analytes binding to chemically functionalized microchannels and microspheres.<sup>14, 20-23</sup> Current 57 58 designs of SMRs, however, are limited to analytes smaller than ~3 µm, require state-of-the-art facilities for fabrication, and carefully designed optics for detection.<sup>14, 20-23</sup> Many potential 59 60 applications based on measurements of density would require (or benefit from) a method that is 61 simple, inexpensive, portable, rapid, capable of measuring density values accurately using only 62 microliter volumes of sample, and applicable to a wide variety of analytes (e.g., solids, liquids, 63 gels, pastes, colloidal suspensions, and emulsions).

64 MagLev enables measurements of average density, and relative estimation of chemical composition based on differences in density.<sup>9, 10, 12</sup> The technique has six useful characteristics: 65 i) it is applicable to a wide variety of analytes (solids, liquids, colloidal suspensions, gels, 66 67 pastes), ii) it can be used with chemically heterogeneous and irregularly-shaped materials, iii) it is sensitive (capable of distinguishing densities of  $\pm 0.02$  to  $\pm 0.0002$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, depending on the 68 69 experimental conditions; high precision is traded off against dynamic range), iv) it is compatible 70 with samples with volumes ranging from 1 pL to 1 mL, v) it is simple (requiring only two 71 NdFeB magnets and a vial containing a paramagnetic fluid), and vi) it is inexpensive, portable, 72 and easy to use. MagLev is well suited for: i) making comparisons of samples based on density

where density correlates with chemical composition, ii) monitoring chemical changes of a
sample occurring over time, and iii) separating mixtures of materials into constituents (e.g.,
white from brown rice). This technique does not, however, provide information about the
absolute chemical composition of a sample. MagLev should be particularly useful in situations
where considerations of cost, simplicity, portability, and requirement for low sample volume or
irregular sample shape outweigh the need for analyzing precise chemical composition of

80

#### 81 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

82 **Choice of Analytes.** We demonstrate the utility of MagLev with six different classes of 83 analytes: water, oil, milk, cheese, grains, and peanut butter. We chose these analytes because 84 they are common, practically relevant, and demonstrate unique capabilities of MagLev for 85 analyzing a variety of substances (aqueous and organic liquids, colloidal suspensions, 86 irregularly-shaped solids, and pastes).

**Design of the Device.** We measure densities of samples by levitating them in a paramagnetic solution placed between two NdFeB magnets ( $5 \times 5 \times 2.5$  cm in length, width, and height, respectively) aligned parallel, 4.5 cm apart, with like poles facing one another (**Figure 1**). Diamagnetic samples levitate in this device when the gravitational force acting on the substance is balanced by the magnetic force (produced by the paramagnetic medium as a result of an applied magnetic field). The theory describing this balance is detailed elsewhere.<sup>10</sup>

Eq. 1 relates the density of the levitating sample  $\rho_s$  (kg/m<sup>3</sup>) to its equilibrium levitation height *h* (m). In this equation,  $\rho_m$  (kg/m<sup>3</sup>) is the density of the paramagnetic medium, *g* is the acceleration due to gravity,  $\mu_0$  (T·m·A<sup>-1</sup>) is the permeability of free space, *d* (m) is the distance

between the magnets,  $B_0$  (Tesla) is the magnitude of the magnetic field at the surface of the magnets, and  $\chi_m$  and  $\chi_s$  (unitless) are the magnetic susceptibilities of the paramagnetic medium and the sample, respectively.

99 
$$h = \frac{(\rho_s - \rho_m)g\mu_0 d^2}{(\chi_s - \chi_m)4B_0^2} + \frac{d}{2}$$
(1)

100 Sensitivity and Dynamic Range of MagLev. Analysis of samples by MagLev involves 101 tradeoffs between the sensitivity of measurements and the dynamic range of densities that can be levitated within the same paramagnetic solution.<sup>10</sup> In the configuration of magnets described 102 103 here, the magnetic susceptibility of the medium determines the ability of MagLev to resolve 104 differences in density. This concept can be visualized by rearranging Eq. 1 into Eq. 2 to 105 demonstrate that the resolution in levitation height ( $\Delta h$ ) for levitating objects that differ in their densities by a fixed increment ( $\Delta \rho_s$ ) can be tuned by adjusting  $\chi_m$  (i.e., lowering  $\chi_m$  will increase 106 107  $\Delta h$ ).

108 
$$\Delta h = \frac{g\mu_0 d^2}{(\chi_s - \chi_m) 4B_0^2} \Delta \rho_s \tag{2}$$

109 Operating at maximum sensitivity (i.e., low concentration of paramagnetic ions in a 110 solution that is already closely matched in density to the analyte), however, reduces the dynamic 111 range of densities that can be levitated in the same medium.<sup>10</sup> Maximizing resolution, therefore, 112 requires that the density of the medium be closely matched (within  $\pm 0.001 - 0.005$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>) to the 113 density of the analyte.

114 **Choice of the Paramagnetic Solution.** We use both aqueous and organic paramagnetic 115 solutions for levitation. The paramagnetic solution must have the following characteristics: i) it 116 must match the expected mean of the range of densities for the analyte (within 0.01 – 0.001 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, depending on the experimental conditions) to enable an adequate balance of magnetic and gravitational forces required for levitation, and ii) it must be inert to the analyte (it should not swell, extract components from, mix with, or dissolve the analyte).

Levitating Organic and Water-Insoluble Samples. We use solutions of  $MnCl_2$  or GdCl<sub>3</sub> in water for levitating water-insoluble samples. For levitating oils, we dissolve paramagnetic salts in a mixture of water and methanol because aqueous solutions are too dense for levitating oils. Typical solubilities of the major components of vegetable oils in methanol are 0.5-5 % (v/v).<sup>24,25</sup> We expect that the miscibility of oils with methanol/water mixtures used in our experiments is below 0.5 % (v/v) and does not interfere with analysis on the time scale of the experiment (seconds).

127 Levitating Aqueous and Water-Soluble Samples. We use organic solutions of a hydrophobic chelate of Gd<sup>3+</sup> (gadolinium(III) diethylenetriamine triacetic acid 128 129 didecyldiacetamide (Gd(DTAD)) for levitating aqueous samples or samples that readily dissolve 130 in water. This complex is soluble (0.5-1 M) in many organic solvents including alcohols 131 (methanol, ethanol, octanol), aromatic hydrocarbons (chlorobenzene, nitrobenzene, toluene, 3-132 fluorotoluene), polar aprotic solvents (acetone, dimethylformamide, dimethylsulfoxide, 133 acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran, diethylether), aliphatic hydrocarbons (pentane, hexanes), and 134 halogenated hydrocarbons (dichloromethane, chloroform, iodomethane, diiodomethane). It is 135 not soluble in water. The substantial (up to 1 M) solubility of Gd(DTAD) in a variety of organic 136 solvents expands the capabilities of MagLev as an analytical technique and enables levitation of 137 aqueous droplets within organic solvents. To prevent the dissolution of aqueous analytes in the 138 organic phase, we pre-saturate the organic solutions with water.

We prepared Gd(DTAD) in a nearly quantitative yield using a two-step procedure from commercially available starting materials (see Supporting Information for details). We used UV-Vis spectroscopy to determine that the DTAD ligand binds to  $Gd^{3+}$  with 1:1 stoichiometry.<sup>26</sup> Based on literature precedent for similar complexes, we expect this complex to have a stability constant of at least of ~10<sup>15</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>27, 28, 29</sup> We also expect the entire complex to be neutral with an 8-point coordination geometry of the ligand to  $Gd^{3+}$  and much lower Lewis acidity than  $GdCl_3$ .<sup>27,</sup>

Sources and Characterization of Samples. Aqueous samples containing NaCl were
prepared by dissolving NaCl in water to obtain desired concentrations. Expressed human milk
used in this study was voluntarily provided by a lactating female. Remaining samples were
obtained from commercial sources and used without further modification. Supporting
Information describes the details for nutritional content and the sources of samples used in this
study.

152 **Statistical Treatment of Data.** We used a single stock for each of the analytes (e.g., a 153 bottle of oil, a bag of rice, a jar of peanut butter). A single measurement of levitation height 154 involved generating the sample by withdrawing a small batch of the analyte from the stock (e.g., 155 a droplet of oil, a grain of rice, a dollop of peanut butter), placing it into a container filled with 156 paramagnetic medium, positioning this container between the magnets, and measuring the 157 levitation height of the sample using a ruler. For liquids, we measured the levitation height from 158 the center of the droplet, and for irregularly-shaped solids we measured the levitation height 159 from the approximate vertical midpoint of the sample. We levitated liquid samples (oils, 160 aqueous solutions of NaCl, and milk) in triplicate, and used the maximum deviation from the 161 mean to estimate the error in the measurement of levitation height. We performed seven

measurement for each of the solid samples (i.e., grains and cheese) and pastes (i.e., peanut
butter), and used the standard deviation from the mean to estimate the error. Photographs of
samples represent typical results from a single measurement. Supporting Information tabulates
the values of levitation height, their corresponding uncertainties, and describes in detail how we
calculate densities (and the associated uncertainties) of the samples based on their levitation
height.

168

#### 169 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 170 I. Analyzing Liquid Samples

171Distinguishing Plant Oils Based on Density. We levitated 5  $\mu$ L samples of 16172different oils of plant origin in 50 mM GdCl<sub>3</sub> dissolved in 62% methanol and 38% water (v/v)173(Figure 2A).<sup>30</sup> To establish a correlation between density of oils and their levitation height, we174measured densities of oils using a portable density meter based on harmonic oscillator175technology (DMA 35N, Anton Paar). The densities of these oils had an inverse linear correlation176with their levitation height (Figure 2B).

The major chemical constituent of oils is fat. Different oils, however, are composed of different kinds of fat (monounsaturated, polyunsaturated, saturated, and trans fat) that are present in different proportions (see Supporting Information for details on the chemical composition of oils).

All oils that we examined contained the same amount of total fat (14 g per 15 mL). The monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fats were the major constituents of the total fat content in these oils (11–13 g per 15 mL), while saturated fat (1–2.5 g per 15 mL) and trans fat (0–0.5 g per 15 mL) were the minor constituents. The oils also varied substantially in their content of

185 monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fats. The levitation height h of oils containing mostly 186 *poly*unsaturated fat (e.g., hempseed and walnut oils) was lower than those containing mostly 187 *mono*unsaturated fat (e.g., olive oil and sunflower oil). **Figure 2A** shows that the levitation 188 height h correlates directly with the amount of *mono*unsaturated fat and inversely with the 189 amount of *poly*unsaturated fat. The data presented in **Figure 2** suggest that levitation height (and 190 density) of oils can be used to estimate the extent of unsaturation of fat in these oils (e.g., the 191 amount of monounsaturated vs. polyunsaturated fat).

192 Estimating Salinity of Water. About 97% of Earth's water is saline. Water of moderate 193 to high salinity (above 50–150 mM [NaCl]), however, has limited uses and is unsuitable for drinking or agriculture.<sup>31</sup> By measuring the density of aqueous solutions containing 194 195 predominantly sodium chloride it is possible to estimate the salinity of water. We levitated 196 aqueous solutions containing different concentrations of NaCl in 50 mM Gd(DTAD) in mixtures 197 of 3-fluorotoluene and toluene (95:5, v/v), and correlated the concentration of NaCl of these 198 samples with their density and levitation height (Figure 3). For this demonstration, we chose to 199 examine the range of 0–200 mM [NaCl]; this range is relevant for determining the palatability of drinking water (0–30 mM NaCl) and suitability for irrigation (< 150 mM).<sup>31</sup> 200

201

#### 202 II. Using MagLev to Compare Content of Fat in Milk, Cheese, and Peanut Butter.

*Content of Fat in Milk.* Milk is a colloidal emulsion of fat globules in a water-based
fluid. Milk of animal origin contains significant amounts of fat, protein, and carbohydrates; the
caloric content and exact chemical composition of milk varies widely between different species.
Fat is an important constituent of milk that determines the nutritional value of dairy products.
We demonstrate the ability to estimate the content of fat in milk by magnetic levitation. We

compared levitation heights of individual droplets of milk suspended in 40 mM Gd(DTAD) in
84:16 2-fluorotoluene/chlorobenzene mixture (Figure 4A). The levitation height of milk
correlated qualitatively with the content of fat within a sample. For example, skim and reducedfat milk are denser (and, therefore, levitate closer to the bottom magnet) than whole milk because
of the lower content of fat.

*Content of Fat in Cheese.* We levitated samples of low-moisture mozzarella cheese
("string cheese", containing 5 g vs. 2.5 g of total fat per 28 g) in 1.0 M MnCl<sub>2</sub>. Samples of
cheese with higher content of fat levitated above (further away from the bottom magnet) those
with reduced fat.

217 Content of Fat in Peanut Butter. We also levitated samples of peanut butter in 1.0 M 218 aqueous MnCl<sub>2</sub>. We were able to distinguish between different formulations of peanut butter 219 based on density, and we presume, on fat and carbohydrate content (Figure 4B). The two kinds 220 of peanut butter (Skippy's creamy and Skippy's creamy reduced fat) we examined contained 221 similar numbers of calories, but differed in their fat (16 g vs. 12 g per 30 mL, respectively) and 222 carbohydrate content (7 g vs. 15 g per 30 mL, respectively). The peanut butter with higher 223 fat/lower carbohydrate content is less dense, and levitates further away from the bottom magnet, 224 than peanut butter with lower fat/higher sugar content.

225

#### 226 III. Analysis of Grains.

*Grains.* Grains constitute an important source of calories worldwide. Grains are
composed primarily of carbohydrates, protein, fat, and water; the ratio of these components
determines the density of grains. We levitated five distinct kinds of grains (rice, barley, kamut,
millet, and amaranth) and compared their densities using MagLev (Figure 5A). We also

compared several kinds of rice (brown, white, purple, and black) based on density (Figure 5A).
We levitated individual grains (at least seven different individual samples) in an aqueous
solution of 0.475 M GdCl<sub>3</sub> + 4.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and recorded their levitation height using a ruler with
mm-scale markings.

The orientation of grains during levitation reflects the density distribution within the grain (i.e., the less dense part of the grain points upwards). We confirmed this observation by slicing the grain in half along the short axis to generate two halves of the grain that levitate at different heights (see Supporting Information for details).

239 Differences in levitation height between different kinds of rice corresponded qualitatively 240 to differences in chemical composition. For instance, brown rice and white rice contain similar 241 amounts of protein and carbohydrate, but differ in their fat content (brown rice being higher in 242 fat); this difference in chemical composition is apparent from the differences in their levitation 243 heights (Figure 5B). We found no statistically significant difference between different kinds of 244 white rice and different kinds of brown rice (Figure 5B). Because MagLev does not yield 245 information about chemical composition, it cannot be used to make conclusive statements about 246 correlating complex chemical composition of different grains with their density. MagLev, 247 however, is useful for analyzing systematic differences in chemical composition between two 248 related samples (e.g., removal of husk, bran, and germ from brown rice to obtain white rice, or 249 hydration status of grains during a process).

250

#### IV. Concluding remarks.

We conclude that MagLev is convenient method for measuring densities of food and water. We demonstrate the ability to levitate droplets of liquid (e.g., oil, milk, and aqueous solutions of salt) and irregularly-shaped solids and pastes (e.g., grains, cheese, and peanut

butter), and correlate the levitation height (and density) of these materials with systematic
variations in chemical composition (e.g., content of fat in milk, extent of unsaturation in
vegetable oil, salinity of water). Potential applications of MagLev may include evaluating the
suitability of water for drinking or irrigation, assessing the content of fat in food and beverages,
or monitoring processing of grains (e.g., removing husk or drying).

259 MagLev offers a simple, inexpensive, and easy-to-use method for measuring densities of 260 liquids and solids. MagLev has five useful attributes: i) it is applicable to a wide variety of 261 analytes (solids, aqueous and organic solutions, colloidal suspensions, gels, and pastes), ii) it is 262 compatible with objects that have irregular shapes and a broad range of volumes (1 pL to 1 mL), 263 iii) it can be used with chemically heterogeneous samples, iv) it is rapid (density measurements 264 can be performed within seconds to minutes), and v) it is accurate  $(\pm 0.02 - 0.0002 \text{ g/cm}^3)$ . 265 MagLev also has several disadvantages: i) it requires a paramagnetic solution that may be 266 incompatible with certain kinds of analytes (e.g., may cause swelling, extract components, or 267 dissolve the analyte), ii) it involves a tradeoff between sensitivity and dynamic range, iii) it 268 cannot measure densities of samples smaller than  $\sim 5 \,\mu m$  in diameter, and iv) it does not provide 269 information about the precise chemical composition of a sample.

We believe MagLev will be broadly applicable as a density-based sensor of chemical composition. The technique is well-suited for general analysis of various samples based on density, monitoring changes in chemical or physical processes over time (e.g., extraction or dehydration), and separating heterogeneous mixtures into components (e.g., mixture of seeds that have different densities). MagLev may be particularly useful for analyzing samples based on density when the need for small sample volume, portability, simplicity, and low-cost are of primary importance.

277

#### 278 **AKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (no. 51308). K.A.M.thanks Dr. Zhihong Nie for helpful discussions.

281

#### 282 SUPPORTING INFORMATION AVAILABLE

283 Materials, methods, and details for the synthesis and characterization of Gd(DTAD);

characterization of samples of food used in this study; details on using MagLev for calculating

285 densities of samples and their uncertainties. This information is available free of charge via the

286 Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

287

#### 288 **REFERENCES**

- 289 (1) Andres, U. Magnetohydrodynamic & Magnetohydrostatic Methods of Mineral
- 290 Separation; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, 1976.
- 291 (2) Beaugnon, E.; Tournier, R. *Nature* **1991**, *349*, 470-470.
- 292 (3) Catherall, A. T.; Eaves, L.; King, P. J.; Booth, S. R. *Nature* 2003, 422, 579-579.
- 293 (4) Catherall, A. T.; Lopez-Alcaraz, P.; Benedict, K. A.; King, P. J.; Eaves, L. *New J. Phys.*294 2005, 7, No. 118.
- 295 (5) Geim, A. K.; Simon, M. D.; Boamfa, M. I.; Heflinger, L. O. *Nature* **1999**, *400*, 323-324.
- 296 (6) Ikezoe, Y.; Hirota, N.; Nakagawa, J.; Kitazawa, K. *Nature* **1998**, *393*, 749-750.
- (7) Ikezoe, Y.; Kaihatsu, T.; Sakae, S.; Uetake, H.; Hirota, N.; Kitazawa, K. *Energy Conv. Manag.* 2002, *43*, 417-425.
- 299 (8) Kimura, T.; Mamada, S.; Yamato, M. Chem. Lett. 2000, 1294-1295.

- 300 (9) Mirica, K. A.; Phillips, S. T.; Shevkoplyas, S. S.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
  301 2008, 130, 17678–17680.
- 302 (10) Mirica, K. A.; Shevkoplyas, S. S.; Phillips, S. T.; Gupta, M.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Am.
- 303 *Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 10049-10058.
- 304 (11) Pelrine, R. E. Am. Sci. 2004, 92, 428-435.
- Winkleman, A.; Perez-Castillejos, R.; Gudiksen, K. L.; Phillips, S. T.; Prentiss, M.;
  Whitesides, G. M. *Anal. Chem.* 2007, *79*, 6542-6550.
- 307 (13) Guevorkian, K.; Valles, J. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2006, 103, 13051-13056.
- 308 (14) Son, S.; Grover, W. H.; Burg, T. P.; Manalis, S. R. Anal. Chem. 2008, 80, 4757-4760.
- 309 (15) Trathnigg, B.; Jorde, C. J. Chromatogr. 1987, 385, 17-23.
- 310 (16) Webster, J. G. The Measurement, Instrumentation, and Sensors Handbook, Webster, J.
- 311 G.; CRC Press, IEEE Press, 1999.
- 312 (17) Sparks, D.; Smith, R.; Straayer, M.; Cripe, J.; Schneider, R.; Chimbayo, A.; Anasari, S.;
  313 Najafi, N. *Lab Chip* 2003, *3*, 19-21.
- 314 (18) Kenner, T. Basic Res. Cardiol. 1989, 84, 111-124.
- 315 (19) Oster, G.; Yamamoto, M. Chem. Rev. 1963, 63, 257-268.
- 316 (20) Burg, T. P.; Godin, M.; Knudsen, S. M.; Shen, W.; Carlson, G.; Foster, J. S.; Babcock,
- 317 K.; Manalis, S. R. *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 1066-1069.
- 318 (21) Godin, M.; Bryan, A. K.; Burg, T. P.; Babcock, K.; Manalis, S. R. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2007,
  319 *91*, 123121.
- 320 (22) Dextras, P.; Burg, T. P.; Manalis, S. R. Anal. Chem. 2009, 81, 4517-4523.
- 321 (23) Chunara, R.; Godin, M.; Knudsen, S. M.; Manalis, S. R. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2007, 91,
- 322 193902.

- 323 (24) Landis, P. S.; Sr., R. H. C. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1984, 61, 1879-1880.
- 324 (25) Zarins, Z. M.; Willich, R. K.; Feuge, R. O. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1983, 60, 2007-2008.
- 325 (26) Kong, X. L.; Neubert, H.; Zhou, T.; Liu, Z. D.; Hider, R. C. J. Mass Spectrom. 2008, 43,
  326 617-622.
- 327 (27) Caravan, P.; Ellison, J. J.; McMurry, T. J.; Lauffer, R. B. *Chem. Rev.* 1999, *99*, 2293328 2352.
- 329 (28) Werner, E. J.; Datta, A.; Jocher, C. J.; Raymond, K. N. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47,
  330 8568-8580.
- 331 (29)  $10^{15}$  M<sup>-1</sup> represents typical stability constants of Gd-complexes in water.
- 332 (30) The concentration of  $Gd^{3+}$  and composition of the medium were determined empirically
- to match approximately the density of the oils, to obtain the desirable dynamic range, and
  maximize the resolution between levitation heights of different kinds of oils. All samples
  of oils were then compared using this medium.
- 336 (31) Gray, N. F. *Drinking water quality: problems and solutions*; Cambridge University Press:
  337 New York, 2008.
- 338

- 340 Figure 1. Illustrations of MagLev device and levitating analytes. A) Schematic representation
- of the experimental set-up for MagLev. B) Photograph of a glass bead levitating in a cuvette
- 342 filled with Gd<sup>3+</sup> solution within a device used for MagLev. C) Photographs of various levitating
- 343 samples: (i) a droplet of walnut oil levitating in 50 mM GdCl<sub>3</sub> in 62% methanol and 38% water
- 344 (v/v); (ii) a droplet of water levitating in 50 mM Gd(DTAD) dissolved in 95:5 3-
- fluorotoluene/toluene (v/v); (iii) a droplet of bovine whole milk levitating in 40 mM Gd(DTAD)
- 346 dissolved in 84:16 2-fluorotoluene/chlorobenzene; (iv) a piece of mozzarella cheese ("string
- 347 cheese") levitating in 1.0 M MnCl<sub>2</sub>; (v) a blob of peanut butter levitating in 1.0 M aqueous
- 348 MnCl<sub>2</sub>; (vi) a grain of brown rice levitating in aqueous 0.475 M GdCl<sub>3</sub> + 4.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>. The
- 349 appearance of spherical levitating droplets (i-iii) is distorted by the cylindrical shape of the vial.
- 350 This distortion facilitates identification of the center of the drop.

Figure 1.



Figure 2. Analysis of oils using MagLev. A) Plot correlating the amount of polyunsaturated (•) and monounsaturated (•) fat (14 g per 15 mL) with levitation height (•) of the corresponding oil droplets. B) Plot correlating the density of oils with their levitation height. Vertical error bars correspond to the maximum variation of individual measurement from the mean based on three independent measurements.



- **Figure 3.** Plot correlating the levitation height of aqueous droplets with [NaCl]. Droplets were
- 359 levitated in 50 mM Gd(DTAD) dissolved in 95:5 3-fluorotoluene/toluene (v/v). Data points
- 360 represent average values from three independent measurements; error bars are represented by the
- 361 size of the data points.

**Figure 3.** 



- 363 **Figure 4.** Comparison of food based on fat content. A) Photographs of milk droplets levitating
- in 40 mM Gd(DTAD) dissolved in 84:16 2-fluorotoluene/chlorobenzene. B) Photographs of
- 365 "string cheese" samples with different fat content levitating in 1.0 M aqueous MnCl<sub>2</sub>. C)
- 366 Different kinds of peanut butter levitating in 1.0 M aqueous MnCl<sub>2</sub>.



- 368 **Figure 5.** Analysis of grains. A) Photographs of several kinds of grains levitating in 0.475 M
- 369 GdCl<sub>3</sub> + 4.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>. B) Bar graph comparing the levitation heights for different kinds of rice.
- 370 All grains of rice were levitated in aqueous solution of 0.475 M GdCl<sub>3</sub> + 4.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>. Error
- 371 bars represent the standard deviation from seven independent measurements of different rice
- 372 grains from the same rice stock.



### **374 TABLE OF CONTENTS GRAPHIC:**

