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Objectives
The use of ceramic femoral heads in total hip arthroplasty (THA) has increased due to their proven low bearing wear characteristics. Ceramic femoral heads are also thought to reduce wear and corrosion at the head-stem junction with titanium (Ti) stems when compared with metal heads. We sought to evaluate taper damage of ceramic compared with metal heads when paired with cobalt chromium (CoCr) alloy stems in a single stem design.

Methods
This retrieval study involved 48 total hip arthroplasties (THAs) with CoCr V40 trunnions paired with either CoCr (n = 21) or ceramic (n = 27) heads. The taper junction of all hips was evaluated for fretting/corrosion damage and volumetric material loss using a roundness-measuring machine. We used linear regression analysis to investigate taper damage differences after adjusting for potential confounding variables.

Results
We measured median taper material loss rates of 0.210 mm³/year (0.030 to 0.448) for the metal head group and 0.084 mm³/year (0.059 to 0.108) for the ceramic group. The difference was not significant (p = 0.58). Moreover, no significant correlation between material loss and implant or patient factors (p > 0.05) was found.

Conclusions
Metal heads did not increase taper damage on CoCr trunnions compared with ceramic heads from the same hip design. The amount of material released at the taper junctions was very low when compared with available data regarding CoCr/Ti coupling in metal-on-metal bearings.
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Article focus
■ It is currently unclear what effect the material selection of the femoral head has on the volume of material loss at the taper junction when CoCr femoral stems are used.
■ We examined tapers of retrieved ceramic-on-polyethylene and metal-on-polyethylene bearings paired with CoCr trunnions.
■ We aimed to quantify the volume of material loss to understand if a difference exists between the materials examined.

Key messages
■ We measured median taper material loss rates of 0.210 mm³/year (0.030 to 0.448) for the metal head group and 0.084 mm³/year (0.059 to 0.108) for the ceramic group. After adjusting for confounding factors, the groups were not found to differ significantly (p = 0.58).
■ The use of metal heads with CoCr stems does not appear to result in the same volumes of material loss as seen when titanium stems are used.

Strengths and limitations
■ The strength of this study is that only one implant design was investigated, thus reducing possible confounding variables.
■ The implants examined are dual-taper in design and have likely failed due to damage at the neck-stem junction. However, this allowed us to view the state of the head-neck junction three years after implantation.
**Introduction**

A growing number of surgeons are selecting ceramic femoral heads due to their established track record of minimising bearing surface wear but also more recently in an attempt at reducing metal release from the taper junction. This is reflected by registry data which show that the use of ceramic-on-polyethylene bearing combinations has doubled over the last five years in the United Kingdom and Australia,\(^1^2\) and in the United States almost 50% of heads implanted are now made of ceramic.\(^3\)

Mechanical wear and corrosion at the head-stem junction of total hip arthroplasties (THAs), commonly referred to as trunnionosis, has been reported since the late 1980s\(^4^9\) and is generally associated with large-diameter metal-on-metal (LDMoM) bearings with titanium stems,\(^10^11\) which have been implicated in adverse tissue reactions\(^12^13\) and loss of implant integrity.\(^14^15\)

To date, little is known about the metal released at the taper junctions in metal-on-polyethylene (MoP) or in ceramic-on-polyethylene(CoP) combinations. Moreover, the use of CoCr stems mating CoCr heads is thought to eliminate the galvanic effect due to the metal mismatch leading to less corrosive damage.\(^16\) Favourable outcomes have been shown when ceramic femoral heads are used, with low corrosion scores based on visual assessment\(^17^18\) and low volume of material loss at the head-stem junction.\(^19\)

In this retrieval study, we examined a series of failed hips of a single design that differed only in the selection of the material of the femoral head: ceramic versus cobalt-chromium alloy. We questioned whether the use of a ceramic head provides any additional benefit for reducing trunnionosis with CoCr stems versus using CoCr heads. Our objectives were: to compare the extent and severity of stem trunnion/head bore taper corrosion between ceramic and metal heads, and, to compare the volumes of material lost from the taper surfaces of the head-stem junctions of the two bearing types.

**Patients and Methods**

**Implant and patient selection.** This study involved a series of 48 retrieved THAs that were revised at a single institution and subsequently sent to our centre for analysis. All hips were of a dual-taper design, with two junctions: at the neck-stem and neck-head. This study focused on the retrieval findings at the neck-head surfaces.

The hips were all of a single Rejuvenate (Stryker Orthopaedics, Mahwah, New Jersey) design and consisted of CoCr alloy V40 trunnions that were paired with either 21 CoCr alloy heads (Stryker) or 27 zirconia-toughened alumina (BIOLOX delta) ceramic heads (CeramTec GmbH, Plochingen, Germany). All heads were articulated against an ultra-high-molecular-weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) bearing. The CoCr heads had a median diameter of 40 mm (32 to 40) and the ceramic heads were all 36 mm in diameter except for one 32 mm head. Reasons for revision were pain, elevated blood metal ion levels and bony erosion due to severe corrosion at the neck-stem interface.

Patients with metal heads (11 female and ten male) had a median age of 66 years (42 to 81), a median implantation time of 23 months (19 to 59) and a median BMI of 28.7 kg/m\(^2\) (25 to 42).

Patients with ceramic heads (11 female and 16 male) had a median age of 61 years (36 to 78), a median implantation time of 37.5 months (5 to 71) and a median BMI of 29 kg/m\(^2\) (24 to 46.4).

The two groups were not found to vary in terms of their gender or body mass index (BMI) but there was some evidence that the age of revision varied between them, with the ceramic group younger, on average, by around seven years. However, this difference was only of borderline statistical significance. There was no difference in the length of implantation.

The two groups did not vary in terms of their head offset or lateral offset. The diameter of the metal heads was greater than that of the ceramic heads (Table I).

**Sample preparation.** All retrieved implants were decontaminated upon receipt by following an established protocol developed at our centre. The components were then cleaned in an ultrasonic cleaning bath (Pulsatron MKC6, Kerry, Guyson, UK) with a 10% mild detergent solution for 30 minutes, followed by rinsing under water and then being allowed to dry in air; this was performed in order to eliminate obscuring factors such as proteinaceous films on the surfaces.

**Taper corrosion assessment.** The CoCr male trunnions were inspected visually and with the aid of a Leica M50 light microscope (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany), by two examiners (AD and HH), experienced in retrieval analysis, to score for evidence of corrosion damage using a previously published method.\(^20\) A score of 1 indicated minimal fretting or corrosion (no corrosion damage); 2 indicated mild damage (corrosion attack confined to one or more small areas); 3 indicated moderate damage (aggressive local corrosion attack with corrosion debris); and 4 indicated severe damage (severe corrosion attack and abundant corrosion debris). The two examiners were blinded for head material and in the case of disagreement between them, a consensus score was achieved after consultation. The bore tapers of the metal heads were also assessed for severity of corrosion. We did not compare the scores for the head tapers between the two groups, given that the corrosion score is applicable to metal heads only and comparison between corrosion and metal transfer on ceramic would not have been of significance.

Each neck-stem interface was also assessed for corrosion using the method described above.\(^20\)

**Material loss measurement.** The volume of material loss from the head bore taper surfaces was measured.
using a Talyrond 365 (Taylor Hobson, Leicester, United Kingdom) roundness-measuring machine. A total of 180 vertical measurement traces were taken along the taper axis using a 5-micron diamond stylus, with the femoral head positioned on a rotating air spindle, levelled and centred with respect to the spindle axis. The vertical traces from each head taper were combined into a rectangular surface map and the unworn surface on the taper was manually selected in order to level the data obtained.11,21

The same method was used to analyse the male trunnion surfaces, and the annual rate of material loss was calculated by dividing the total volume by implantation time. Scanning Electron Microscopy and Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS). A scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Jeol JSM5500; Jeol Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) was used to characterise the fretting/corrosion damage occurring at the male trunnion surfaces mating either ceramic or metal heads in both secondary and backscattered modalities and, when appropriate, EDS for elemental analysis was performed.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics Version 23 (IBM, Armonk, New York). All continuous variables were found to be approximately normally distributed, and were compared between groups using the unpaired t-test. Categorical variables were analysed using the Fisher’s exact test.

There were three outcome variables relating to the amount of material loss: trunnion rate; head rate, and; total (trunnion and head) rate.

All three variables were measured on a continuous scale, and all were found to have positively skewed distributions. As a result of the distributions, all outcomes were given a log transformation before analysis. Both trunnion rate and head rate had some zero values, and thus to enable a log transformation to be applied, a small constant was added to all rates before transformation. Regression analyses were performed to compare the wear rate between the two groups. The first analysis examined the difference between groups without considering any further variables. The second analysis re-examined the group difference, adjusting for potential confounding factors found to show a difference (p < 0.2) between the groups in preliminary analysis. The analysis of the outcomes was performed using linear regression on the log-transformed values.

Spearman’s rank order correlation was used to identify correlations between corrosion scores, material loss rates and the clinical and implant variables considered in this study. The level of significance chosen for all statistical analyses was p < 0.05.

Results

Taper corrosion assessment. The mean corrosion scores of the trunnions paired with metal and ceramic heads were 2.3 (1 to 4) and 2.5 (1 to 4), respectively; this difference was not significant (t-test, p = 0.48). The mean corrosion score for the metal head tapers was 2.7 (1 to 4).

Severe corrosion damage was seen for all implants at the neck-stem interface with a mean Goldberg corrosion score of 3.95 (3 to 4) for the neck male parts and 3.88 (3 to 4) for the stem bore tapers.

Material loss measurement. Table II presents the median (IQR) material loss rates at the trunnion head taper and the total volumetric losses (mm³/year) for the two groups. The total head-stem rate with metal and ceramic heads had a median of 0.210 mm³/year (0.030 to 0.448) and 0.084 mm³/year (0.059 to 0.108) respectively.

Scanning Electron Microscopy and Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy. We found evidence of mechanically assisted crevice corrosion at trunnions that had been paired with both head types. Dark deposits were found to be chromium-rich, indicative of corrosion processes.

Regression analysis. The results of our statistical analysis are summarised in Table III. The group differences are reported in the form of ratios, along with corresponding confidence intervals. These give the ratio of material loss in the ceramic group relative to metal group. P-values from our linear regression analysis are also reported.
Table III. Summary of the regression analysis results. Due to the log transformation of the outcomes, the group differences are reported in the form of ratios, along with corresponding confidence intervals. These give the ratio of material loss in the ceramic group relative to metal group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trunnion rate</td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>1.16 (0.58 to 2.33)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted†</td>
<td>1.56 (0.52 to 4.46)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head rate</td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>0.26 (0.10 to 0.68)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted†</td>
<td>0.42 (0.10 to 1.71)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total rate</td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>0.60 (0.20 to 1.81)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted†</td>
<td>1.56 (0.30 to 8.00)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ratio calculated as rate in ceramic group relative to rate in metal group.
†Adjusted for age at revision, length of implantation and head diameter.

Our results suggested no significant difference between metal and ceramic groups in either trunnion rate or cumulative material loss rate. The lack of significance for these outcomes was observed both in a simple comparison (unadjusted analysis), and after adjusting for potentially confounding factors.

There was a significant difference in head taper material loss rate between the groups in the unadjusted analysis (unpaired t-test, p = 0.007). After adjusting for potentially confounding factors, the head rate in the ceramic group was still lower (in terms of the estimated difference), but this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.22).

Correlation analysis. We did not find a significant correlation between material loss or patient variables of gender (Spearman’s rho = 0.35, p = 0.33), age at surgery (Spearman’s rho = -0.42, p = 0.19), BMI (Spearman’s rho = 0.37, p = 0.50), implantation time (Spearman’s rho = -0.16, p = 0.63) or implant variables of head diameter (Spearman’s rho = 0.31, p = 0.36), head offset (Spearman’s rho = 0.42, p = 0.21) and lateral offset (Spearman’s rho = -0.11, p = 0.83) in the metal group. Similarly, taper material loss in the ceramic group was not affected by patient or implant factors (p > 0.05) (Table IV).

Stem trunnion corrosion for the metal group was not significantly correlated with gender (Spearman’s rho = 0.21, p = 0.41), age at surgery (Spearman’s rho = -0.17, p = 0.49), BMI (Spearman’s rho = 0.27, p = 0.67), implantation time (Spearman’s rho = -0.44, p = 0.06) or implant variables of head diameter (Spearman’s rho = -0.09, p = 0.70), head offset (Spearman’s rho = 0.33, p = 0.17) and lateral offset (Spearman’s rho = -0.29, p = 0.40). The head taper corrosion score was not correlated with any patient or implant variables, p > 0.05.

Stem trunnion score for the ceramic group was not correlated with gender (Spearman’s rho = 0.37, p = 0.06), BMI (Spearman’s rho = -0.48, p = 0.15), implantation time (Spearman’s rho = 0.11, p = 0.60) or implant variables of head diameter (Spearman’s rho = -0.09, p = 0.62), head offset (Spearman’s rho = 0.12, p = 0.54) and lateral offset (Spearman’s rho = -0.32, p = 0.34); however there was a negative correlation between trunnion corrosion and age at surgery (Spearman’s rho = -0.42, p = 0.03).

There was a positive correlation between visual scoring and volumetric material loss (mm³) in the ceramic group (Spearman’s rho = 0.69, p = 0.0002) for the stem trunnions. No other significant correlations were found.

Discussion
This is one of the first studies to quantify differences in taper junction material loss as a means of evaluating the in vivo performance of ceramic heads compared with metal heads. This study involved approximately 50 hips, all of one design that differed only in the material of the femoral head. Our adjusted regression analysis revealed that there was no difference in the rate of material loss at the head-stem surfaces between the two head materials. The rates of total material loss were as much as ten times lower than previously reported data investigating LDMoM hips.10,11

The use of ceramic femoral heads has primarily been advocated on the basis of their advanced bearing wear resistance. Few studies have reported on taper corrosion involving ceramics heads on metal stems17-18,22-23 and, to our knowledge, there is only one other study quantifying volumetric material loss.19 Moreover, there is very little data on material loss for the Morse taper in MoP hips.16,19 The magnitude of material lost estimated in this study was very low in comparison with available data on MoM implants, in both groups.10,11 This is in agreement with what Kocagoz et al19 have found, however, contrary to the findings of this previous study, we did not see a significant difference between metal and ceramic heads. The difference between this investigation and the one conducted by Kocagoz et al19 is probably attributable to the material combination; we analysed implants with stems made of CoCr alloy, whereas the majority of stems in the previous study were made of titanium alloy, which is known to increase the effects of galvanic corrosion.7 Our data is, however, in agreement with previous data reporting on the same material combination considered here.16 The study examined tapers of retrieved metal-on-polyethylene hips that had been revised for reasons other than adverse tissue reactions and reported a median rate of material loss of 0.084 mm³/year; the rate was considered to be clinically insignificant.

We acknowledge several limitations of the current study. The stems used for the investigation were of a recalled dual-taper design which we speculate failed due to adverse reaction to metal debris generated at the neck-stem interface as severe corrosion was observed at time of revision and retrieval examination. These components, together with the ABG II design (Stryker), have been implanted in 30 000 patients worldwide. Their analysis has allowed us to compare ceramic and metal heads of a single design; this is normally difficult in retrieval analysis.
Recent attention has been brought to the phenomenon of trunnionosis in MoP hips\(^9,8,28,29\) and the fact that, when it occurs, the effects can be as severe as when a MoM bearing is involved.\(^30\) However, failures involving MoP hip arthroplasties constitute a rare event with only a few reported cases in the literature. Ceramic heads do not completely eliminate the damage at the head-stem junction as mechanically assisted crevice corrosion still occurs. Nonetheless, we noticed significantly less damage when compared with LDMoM hips.

The strength of this study is that only one implant design was investigated, with the head material being the only difference, thus reducing possible confounding variables. We acknowledge that the results of this study are directly applicable only to the specific design examined, however, the study provides comparable data for future research in this topic as well as new insights into the evaluation of the \textit{in vivo} performance of hip implants.

In conclusion, the use of either CoCr or ceramic heads on CoCr stems resulted in low taper material loss rates in comparison with previous data where Ti stems have been used. Our study suggests that ceramic and CoCr heads perform equally with respect to corrosion and material loss, statistically speaking, in this particular design and material pairing. Although the use of CoCr stems is decreasing due to concerns regarding stem corrosion,\(^31,32\) as well as the recognised biocompatibility of titanium, our results are still reassuring for patients with the material combinations discussed in this study.
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