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ABSTRACT

Worldwide more than 22 million children and adolescents are exposed to repetitive head impacts (RHI) in soccer. Evidence indicates cumulative effects on brain structure, but it is not known whether exposure to RHI affects cognitive improvement in adolescents. To determine whether exposure to RHI while heading the ball in soccer affects improvement in cognitive performance in adolescents over time.

The study group consisted of a convenience sample of 16 male soccer players (mean age 15.7±0.7 years). A comparison cohort of 14 male non-contact sports athletes (mean age 14.9±1.1 years) was recruited from competitive athletic clubs and group-matched on age. Using the ProPoint and AntiPoint tasks, sensorimotor and cognitive functions were measured over both immediate (pre- versus post-training) as well as across multiple time points within a play season. The number and type of head impacts that occurred during the training were counted. The main outcome measure was the change in response time (RT) in the ProPoint and AntiPoint tasks. The immediate (pre- versus post-training) and longer-term (across a play season) change in RT was analyzed and the effect of the number and type of head impacts was tested.

Thirty athletes with and without exposure to RHI demonstrated a decrease in RT in both tasks immediately after training. Over the play season, both groups showed improvement in sensorimotor function. However, while the Control group also improved in cognitive performance, the soccer players did not. Further, the more long headers performed, the slower the improvement in RT over the season.

Youth athletes experience an immediate cognitive improvement after training most likely due to physical exercise. Results of this study also suggest an association between exposure to specific RHI (long headers) and lack of improvement in cognitive performance in youth athletes over time.

Soccer, Heading, Repetitive Head Impact, Cognitive Function, Sports-related Brain Trauma, Cognitive Improvement
Introduction

Soccer is the most popular and fastest growing sport in the world. Worldwide there are more than 260 million players, of which 22 million are children and adolescents. Soccer players are exposed to repetitive head impacts (RHI) when heading the ball. On average, soccer players perform 6-12 headers per game, and many more during practice, resulting in thousands of headings over a player’s career\(^1\). These RHI are often considered harmless because there are no immediate symptoms. However, recent evidence suggests that RHI, especially if they occur in close proximity in time, may lead to structural and functional brain alterations and clinical impairment even if there are no acute symptoms\(^2-5\) (for review see Koerte et al. \(^6\)). More severe effects are expected when a child with insufficient neck strength attempts to head a ball\(^7\). Exposure to RHI may potentially have negative consequences for brain development (for review see Prins et al. \(^8\)). Despite evidence indicating cumulative effects, there are few studies investigating whether RHI lead to immediate and/or longer-term cognitive impairment in children and adolescents\(^9-11\). No studies have determined whether or not exposure to RHI in childhood and adolescence is a determinant of impaired cognitive development, cognitive improvement, or learning.

Last year, the US Soccer Federation announced a directive to ban headings for players under age 11. However, to date, there is no scientific evidence supporting a cut-off at age 11. In fact, Zhang et al. found cognitive dysfunction after exposure to RHI in a small sample of teenage female soccer players tested immediately after training\(^12\). Specifically, using a tablet-based application that measures reflexive and voluntary pointing responses, they demonstrated that heading the soccer ball during practice leads to increased response time (RT) compared with a control group, with increased slowing related to the number of hours played per week and number of years of soccer playing\(^12\). There are, however, additional effects that need to be taken into account. For example, there is evidence of sensorimotor and cognitive benefits\(^13-16\) immediately following vigorous physical exercise. This improvement in cognitive performance is due to a number of mechanisms including an increase in arousal response.
stimulating the reticular-activating system which regulates ascending tracts to the prefrontal cortex\textsuperscript{13} and increased levels of brain-derived neurotropic factor\textsuperscript{15, 17}. In addition to the immediate effects following training, there may also be cumulative effects of physical exercise and exposure to RHI over time. Research suggests physical activity in children results in increased brain regional volume and connectivity as well as superior cognitive function and scholastic achievement. However, we are not aware of any study that has examined whether or not exposure to RHI in childhood and adolescence is a determinant of impaired cognitive development.

The aim of this study was to measure cognitive function in adolescent high-performance athletes with and without exposure to RHI over both short-term (immediately before and after training) and longer-term (across a play season). We hypothesized that all athletes would show increased cognitive performance immediately following training due to the benefits of exercise\textsuperscript{13-16}. However, over multiple testing sessions, we expected differences between athletes exposed to RHI and those without exposure to RHI.
Methods and Materials

Study design
This prospective, longitudinal, observational, comparison study recruited teenage (13-19 years) subjects from soccer and non-contact sport clubs in Germany between February and May 2015. Participants were tested before (pre-training) and immediately, within 15 minutes, after training (post-training). Training consisted of a sport practice lesson that was of similar length and intensity between the groups. One pre- and one post-training session were obtained per day of testing, with one to 4 days of testing attained per week.

Participants
The ethics committee of the University of Munich as well as the University of Texas Health Science Center Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects approved the study and written informed consent was obtained from each participant as well as their legal guardian if participant’s age was below 18 years. Inclusion criteria for the subjects in the soccer player cohort were participation in organized soccer training at least 3 times a week, being male, age 13-19 years, and right-handed. Subjects who had a history of diagnosed or suspected traumatic brain injury within the last year, neurological disorder, psychiatric illness, or learning disorder were excluded. The soccer player group consisted of 16 soccer players (mean age 15.7±0.7 years).

A comparison cohort of 14 age-matched, right-handed, male athletes (mean age 14.9±1.1 years), participating in either table tennis (n=9) or swimming (n=5), both non-contact sports, were recruited from competitive athletic clubs. Both table tennis and swimming are sports with equal intensity of physical activity level to soccer, as measured by maximum oxygen consumption18-21, but with very low risk for exposure to RHI. The comparison cohort exclusion criteria were: history of suspected or diagnosed concussion or other traumatic brain injury, participation in organized training in a contact-sport, neurological disorder, psychiatric illness, or learning disorder.
Study Protocol

All study participants were tested before and after training in their respective sport. The soccer players were exposed to RHI (mean 7.2±6.1, range 0-22) during the sport practice lesson on testing days. None of the athletes in the comparison group participated in any form of activity during training that could be considered a head impact. Depending on their presence on the testing day, soccer players were tested on a mean of 7.8 (SD±2.6, range 3-11) days and controls were tested on a mean of 4.1 (SD±2.7, range 1-8) days over the course of the spring season. The number of headers performed by each soccer player during training was counted and classified through observation by a trained research assistant. The type of head ball (long: e.g., goalkeeper kick or corner crosses of more than 30m; short: e.g., players throwing the ball to each other in practice drills; high: e.g., goalkeeper punts (high velocity ball punt by the goalkeeper and commonly headed by a center midfielder) and any other type of head impact were noted.

Stimulus and Tasks

The study was carried out on iPad 2 tablets (Figure 1), which have a 9.7 inch screen (diagonal) with a LED-backlit, capacitive display and pixel resolution of 1024-by-768. An application was installed on the iPad 2 that contained two pointing tasks: ProPoint and AntiPoint (Figure 1). The ProPoint task provides a measure of sensorimotor function. In the ProPoint task (Figure 1A) the subject is asked to place and hold their index finger on a circle (diameter=1.4 cm and viewing angle of 2.4°, from a viewing distance of 33cm) in the center of the iPad screen until a stimulus in the form of a white square appears in one of four possible locations surrounding the center circle (left, right, up, and down). The subject must then pick up their finger and tap on the white square as quickly as possible. The AntiPoint task (Figure 1B) is identical to the ProPoint task with respect to visual stimuli and amplitude of pointing movements, however, the subject must instead quickly tap on the square that is opposite to the white stimulus square that appears on the screen. The AntiPoint task measures cognitive control and recruits the frontal lobe because the response requires the subject to inhibit a stimulus-directed hand-pointing movement and generate instead a willful or internally-based (“opposite”) hand-pointing response.23, 24
Each subject completed at least 48 trials for each of the ProPoint and AntiPoint tasks. If the subject made an error (touched a location more than 3.3° (1.9cm) from the center of the correct location, calculated by the iPad), the trial was scored as an error and presented in random order again at the end of the task, up to a maximum of 60 total trials. Overall, participants performed an average of 49.7 trials (SD±2.8). For each trial, the response time (RT: the duration in msec from the onset of the stimulus to the time when the subject’s finger taps on a goal location) and whether the response was correct or not (within 3.3° of goal location) was recorded. Each testing session took about 2 minutes to complete.

There were 3 testing sessions from 3 participants in the soccer group where participants performed the opposite task. As these participants did not complete a single correct trial that could be used in our analyses of response time (RT), these testing sessions [all post-training session, ProPoint task (n=1) and AntiPoint task (n=2)] were excluded from the data analysis. Furthermore, there were 6 testing sessions [from 4 soccer players, pre-training (n=1) and post-training session (n=5)] in which the participants either initially performed the task incorrectly for a number of trials or switched in the middle of a task to performing the task incorrectly for a number of trials, but then corrected themselves and performed the correct task. Even including these set-shifting errors, subjects made few errors (mean 3.3% across all trials).

Analysis

**Sample Size Calculation:**

The estimated standard deviation for RT was approximately 23 ms for ProPoint and 38 ms for AntiPoint based on a previous study\textsuperscript{12}. A sample size of 14 per group is sufficient to detect 25 ms and 40 ms differences between groups in RT for ProPoint and AntiPoint tasks respectively, at a 5% significance level and 80% power.
Dependent Measures

The key dependent variable recorded by the iPad during the testing sessions was RT, in msec, defined as the duration of time from the appearance of the target stimulus to the subject’s touch response on the iPad touch screen. RTs were adjusted by subtracting the externally measured (with photodiode, microphone, and storage oscilloscope; see Sereno et al., 2014) average delay of detecting a touch event on the capacitive device (73.88 ms). Only correct trials were used in the analysis of response time (see above). This removed 3.0% of trials and 3.6% trials for ProPoint and AntiPoint task, respectively. Conditional means were calculated for each Task (ProPoint and AntiPoint), each Session and each participant.

In addition, individual RTs that were greater than 2.75 standard deviations away from the respective conditional mean were excluded removing an additional 5.2% and 4.4% of trials for ProPoint and AntiPoint task, respectively.

Mixed Model Analyses

The conditional mean RTs, for each pointing task at each session, were compared across groups in a mixed effects model analysis where the main fixed effect was Group (soccer group versus comparison group) with random effects for the intercept and slope of session in order to account for correlation between multiple sessions per subject. Within the soccer group, additional mixed effects models, with random intercepts and slopes, were estimated to assess the effect of numbers of each type of headers (long, short and high) on RT by including an interaction term for the number of headers and sessions. We also evaluated the effect of all headers, cumulatively over all sessions on the change of their RT, by also including an interaction term. All mixed models were adjusted for fixed effects of age, group and time since first session. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Data were first summarized and reported below as unadjusted means and standard deviations and
used in figures (Figures 2 and 3). All statistical effects and interactions reported below were adjusted for age, group and time since first measurement.

**RHI exposure**

The mean age when organized soccer training started for the soccer participants was 5.1 (SD±0.8, range 4-6) years. The athletes played in the following positions: forward (n=4), central midfielder (n=3), outside midfielder/defensive (n=6), central defender (n=3), goalkeeper (n=0). The mean number of headers performed per training and athlete was 7.2 (SD±6.1, range 0-22). Short headers were most frequently performed per training with a mean of 6.2 (SD±5.9, range 0-22) headers, while the mean long headers was 0.9 (SD±1.2, range 0-6) and the mean high headers was the most infrequent, 0.2 (SD±0.7, range 0-4). **Players’ position in the field was associated with a difference in the number of long headers. Table 2 lists the mean and SD of long headers for each position in the field.**

**Pre-training performance**

There were no significant differences in pre-training performance between the two study groups in both the ProPoint (t(334)=1.23, p=0.22) and AntiPoint task (t(334)=0.87, p=0.38). **Figure 3** shows the mean unadjusted RTs for the pre-training session in the ProPoint and AntiPoint tasks.

**Short-Term Effects (immediate post-training effects)**

**ProPoint:** There was a significant decrease in the RT from pre to post-training in both the Soccer group (mean RT change=-11.3 (SE=4.6) msec, t(674)=-2.45, p=0.0147) and the Control group (mean RT change=-16.1 (SE=6.9) msec, t(674)=-2.34, p=0.0193). There were no significant effects of Group or interaction with Group (t(674)=0.57, p=0.57). **AntiPoint:** There was a statistically significant decrease in RT after the training session in both groups (Soccer: mean RT change=-19.0 (SE=4.6) msec, t(674)=-4.1, p<0.0001 and Controls: mean RT change=-28.5 (SE=6.8) msec, t(674)=-4.2, p<0.0001). There were no effects of Group or interaction with Group (t(674)=1.14, p=0.25). **Figure 2** shows the mean unadjusted RTs before and after training for both the ProPoint and AntiPoint tasks.
**Longer-Term Effects (across training sessions within a play season)**

The mean changes in unadjusted RTs of the athletes in both groups over the course of the study on the ProPoint and AntiPoint iPad tasks are displayed in **Figure 3**. **ProPoint:** Both study groups showed a significant decrease in mean RT on the ProPoint task over the course of the study. However, the Control group’s adjusted mean RT decreased per training session significantly more over the weeks compared with the Soccer groups’ RT (estimate=5.96 (SE=1.21), t(650)=-4.93, p-value<0.0001). **AntiPoint:** Controls showed significant improvement in their adjusted mean RT on the AntiPoint task over sessions, whereas soccer players showed no such improvement. As in ProPoint, the improvement in RT in the soccer players was significantly less per training session than that observed in the controls (estimate=-4.14 (SE=1.21), t(650)=-3.43, p-value=0.0006). These findings show that athletes in the Control group experienced a significant improvement in sensorimotor function and cognitive performance; however, the soccer players only improved in sensorimotor function but not in cognitive performance.

**Effects of headers performed during the training on immediate RT effects (improvement across pre- and post-training of the same day)**

Within the Soccer group, the total number of headers performed during the training was not correlated with the improvement in RT between pre-training and post-training assessment in either the ProPoint (estimate=-0.49, (SE=0.5), t(217)=-0.99, p-value=0.33) nor AntiPoint task (estimate=-0.07, (SE=0.5), t(217)=-0.16, p-value=0.87).

**Effects of headers performed during the entire study on longer-term RT improvement**

The total number of headers performed over all testing days had no statistically significant effect on the soccer player’s rate of improvement in RT over the entire study. However, for both ProPoint and AntiPoint there was a statistically significant relationship between the number of long headers performed and change in RT. The more long headers a player performed, the less his improvement in
RT over the course of the study (ProPoint: slope=0.37, (SE=0.11) per long header, t(451)=3.29, p-value=0.0011, for AntiPoint: slope=0.26, (SE=0.11) per long header, t(451)=2.2, p-value=0.0285).

Discussion

Athletes with and without exposure to RHI demonstrate a decrease in RT immediately after training, indicating an improvement in sensorimotor and cognitive function likely due to the well-known immediate benefits of physical exercise. Over the course of the entire study, both groups showed improvement in sensorimotor function. However, while the control group also improved in cognitive performance, the soccer players did not. Further, the more long headers a soccer player performed, the lower his improvement in RT over the course of the study. This suggests that cognitive performance can improve in youth athletes over time likely due to developmental changes in adolescence, but this benefit may be suppressed by the effects of exposure to specific RHI characteristics.

Immediate Improvements

All athletes showed an improvement in their RT immediately following training. Soccer players who were exposed to RHI while performing on average 7 headers during the training yielded similar results compared to the control group. These results are in line with the existing literature on the association of improvement in sensorimotor and cognitive performance following physical exercise\textsuperscript{13-17, 26} and suggest that the effects of RHI will not be evident immediately after training. This is in line with previous publications reporting no immediate effects of heading the soccer ball\textsuperscript{27}.

Alternatively, it is possible that there are immediate cognitive deficits following RHI\textsuperscript{12} that are masked in the soccer players by other factors that improve performance. First, increased arousal with exercise could potentially mask immediate deficits from RHI. In addition, prior work has shown that well-trained athletes have greater adrenaline response to exercise\textsuperscript{28} at the same absolute or relative intensity than untrained subjects\textsuperscript{29}. This finding may account for differences between our study showing no immediate cognitive impairment in highly-trained soccer players (i.e., greater adrenaline response) and a previous
study showing small but significant cognitive slowing in less well-trained high school soccer players\textsuperscript{12}. Second, it is possible that headers may produce an additional increase in arousal due to a visual looming effect (visual stimulus rapidly approaching the head)\textsuperscript{30,31}. The visual looming effect is purported to activate fast intercortical connections and increase sensorimotor function\textsuperscript{32} and may even lead to an increase in cognitive function. Such effects, if present, could mask immediate negative effects from RHI.

Interestingly, only soccer players made the mistake of performing the task incorrectly, either initially starting with the other task or maintaining the wrong set throughout the entire task. This occurred almost exclusively in post-training assessment. This observation may also suggest immediate subtle deficits in soccer players in cognitive flexibility, another aspect of cognitive control, often measured by task or set shifting paradigms (e.g., \textsuperscript{33}) and should be investigated further in future studies.

\textbf{Longer-Term Slowing}

The control group experienced a significant improvement in sensorimotor function and cognitive performance over a longer period, however, the soccer players only improved in sensorimotor function but not in cognitive performance. Further, within the soccer group, the total number of headers performed over all assessments had no effect on the soccer player’s improvement. However, the more long headers a player performed, the slower his improvement in RT over the course of the study. These results suggest that cognitive performance in youth athletes improves over a play season, but this benefit can be suppressed or eliminated by RHI. Additionally, specific characteristics of RHI (i.e., long-headers with high velocity) might play a more important role than the total number of head impacts.

\textbf{Study Limitations}

First, although the data were collected from players of various field positions, future studies are needed to elucidate the generalization of our findings across field positions, age spectrum and playing levels. Second, there were a few athletes that only participated in a small number of
assessments, due to missed training days. Although our mixed effect regression models account for missing at random and unequal timing of drop-out, conditional on group participation, future studies could examine changes across a longer period of time and may also consider including testing after a period without exposure to RHI to explore the effects of the intervals between successive practices and games on changes in RT. Third, the current study did not use a second observer, which would make it possible to calculate inter-rater reliability. However, because of the distinct difference in distance between short and long headers, we are confident that the data is sufficiently reliable and robust. Future studies might consider the inclusion of multiple observers. Finally, the differentiation between different types of headers was qualitative. Previous work suggests that there are several factors important in determining head impact severity. First, the velocity of a long header performed during a game. The highest-velocity ball a player might voluntarily head would be from a punt (approximately 70 km/h), drop kick (approximately 85 km/h), or goal kick (also approximately 85 km/h). Second, the likelihood of sustaining a brain trauma is increased when the athlete is not anticipating to head the ball. Finally, important other factors include rotational versus linear velocity, neck muscle strength, size/weight of ball, athlete’s body mass, and heading technique. Future studies should include quantitative measures of head acceleration to further explore the effects of type and frequency of head impact exposure.

Conclusion

The immediate improvement in cognitive performance after training can be observed in both youth athletes with and without RHI, and is most likely due to physical exercise. However, over a longer period of time, results of this study also suggest an association between exposure to RHI while heading the ball in soccer and lack of improvement in cognitive performance. This indicates that, although cognitive performance improves in youth athletes over time, this benefit may be suppressed by the cumulative effects of RHI, especially those with high velocity. This slowing may have far reaching impact on the developmental trajectory and learning in general.
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