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Abstract

Neoproterozoic iron formation (NIF) provides evidence for the widespread return of anoxic and ferruginous basins during a time period associated with major changes in climate, tectonics and biogeochemistry of the oceans. Here we summarize the stratigraphic context of Neoproterozoic iron formation and its geographic and temporal distribution. It is evident that most NIF is associated with the earlier Cryogenian (Sturtian) glacial epoch. Although it is possible that some NIF may be Ediacaran, there is no incontrovertible evidence to support this age assignment. The paleogeographic distribution of NIF is consistent with anoxic and ferruginous conditions occurring in basins within Rodinia or in rift-basins developed on its margins. Consequently NIF does not require whole ocean anoxia. Simple calculations using modern day iron fluxes suggest that only models that invoke hydrothermal and/or detrital sources of iron are capable of supplying sufficient iron to account for the mass of the larger NIF occurrences. This conclusion is reinforced by the available geochemical data that imply NIF record is a mixture of hydrothermal and detrital components. A common thread that appears to link most if not all NIF is an association with mafic volcanics.
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1. Introduction

The apparent sudden reappearance of iron formation after a ~1 billion year hiatus in the sedimentary record (Isley and Abbott, 1999; Klein, 2005) has been considered a geologically unique feature of the Neoproterozoic (~1000Ma to 635Ma). This last gasp of significant Precambrian iron formation (James et al., 1983; Klein, 2005) contrasts with the relatively minor abundance of Phanerozoic sedimentary iron formations, such as Clinton-type oolitic ironstones (Van Houten and Arthur, 1989; Young, 1989).

Unlike their Archean and Paleoproterozoic counterparts, which formed at a time of low atmospheric O$_2$ and pervasively anoxic deep oceans (Bekker et al., 2004; Canfield, 2005; Frei et al., 2009; Lyons and Reinhard, 2009), Neoproterozoic iron formation (NIF) developed at a time when pO$_2$ should have been significantly higher (Canfield, 2005; Canfield and Teske, 1996; Frei et al., 2009). The most significant NIF’s are all associated with widespread glaciation. Thus the reappearance of iron formation during the Neoproterozoic presents a prima facie case for the apparent widespread return of anoxic and ferruginous basins or sub-basins, which is presumably linked to the extreme climate changes that dominate the middle Neoproterozoic stratigraphic record.

For the purposes of this paper we define iron formation to be a sedimentary rock containing greater than 15 weight percent Fe$_2$O$_3$ (James, 1954); however, within any given section of NIF Fe concentration can vary significantly (Fig. 1). Neoproterozoic iron formation is distinct from the extensively documented Archean and Paleoproterozoic Banded Iron Formation (BIF).
exceptions, banding is generally poorly developed (Fig. 2b) or entirely absent in most NIF. When banding is present, it consists of layers of hematite ($\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$) and jaspilite (iron-rich chert) (Fig. 2d). Neoproterozoic iron formation more commonly occurs as ferruginous laminated siltstone (Fig. 2f) or within the matrix of diamictite (Fig. 2e). An important distinction between NIF and Archean and Paleoproterozoic BIF’s is in the mineralogy: in unmetamorphosed NIF, iron resides almost exclusively in hematite ($\text{Fe}^{3+}_2\text{O}_3$) (Klein and Beukes, 1993). Magnetite ($\text{Fe}^{2+}_2\text{Fe}^{3+}_4\text{O}_4$) has also been reported, but it is never the principle iron-bearing phase (Freitas et al., 2011; Volkert et al., 2010), except in metamorphosed occurrences. Accessory minerals include chlorite, smectite, quartz and carbonate. In a few instances, most notably in the Jacadigo Group in Brazil and its equivalent in Bolivia, manganese is a significant component of NIF, typically occurring in discrete Mn-rich beds (Klein and Ladeira, 2004).

[Figure 1 here]

Whereas NIF is volumetrically less significant compared to its more ancient BIF counterparts, it is an important element of the Proterozoic stratigraphic record for multiple reasons. First, its close association with glacial deposits implies that its origin is closely linked to glaciation, hence the central role of NIF in the snowball Earth hypothesis (Hoffman et al., 1998; Klein and Beukes, 1993). Second, it occurred shortly before the first appearance of animals and broadly at a time when atmospheric oxygen levels are thought to have increased towards modern levels, ultimately high enough to ventilate the deep ocean (Canfield and Teske, 1996; Catling and Claire, 2005; Och and Shields-Zhou, 2012; Sahoo et al., 2012; Scott et al., 2008). Consequently, any model that purports to explain Neoproterozoic global glaciation and redox evolution must reconcile the occurrence of NIF. Within this context, several specific questions regarding NIF arise. What is the ultimate source of the iron
and by what process was it oxidized from Fe$^{2+}$ to Fe$^{3+}$? How are the individual NIF occurrences related temporally and genetically? Do they represent global or isolated phenomena? Answering these questions will ultimately permit us to determine whether NIF owes its origin to the unique tectonic, biogeochemical or climatic conditions that prevailed during the middle Neoproterozoic.

With the goal of progressing towards an answer to these questions, we begin this paper with a review of the behavior of iron in the modern ocean, after which we present the stratigraphic and chronostratigraphic framework for NIF. From this foundation, we discuss current models that have been proposed for individual occurrences and present a compilation of new and previously published geochemical data on NIF from six separate basins. An analysis of these data allow us to elucidate the geochemical and geological characteristics that these disparate NIF occurrences share, evaluate the proposed models for their deposition, and offer new constraints on the mechanisms responsible for their origin.

[Figure 2 here]

1.1 Iron in the Modern Ocean

Any discussion of iron formation deserves to be grounded in an understanding of the contemporary distribution and cycling of iron within and between Earth’s geochemical reservoirs. Iron accounts for approximately ~3.5% (as Fe$_2$O$_3$) of the upper continental crust (Taylor and McLennan, 1995), typical mid-ocean ridge basalt (N-MORB) contains ~9–10% iron (Viereck et al., 1989), whereas ocean island basalts (OIB) and continental flood basalts (CFB) have iron concentrations in the vicinity of 12–13% (Gladney and Roelandts, 1988; Marsh, 1987; Wilson, 1997a; Wilson, 1997b). While iron has oxidation states that range from -2 to +6, it occurs almost
exclusively in the +2 (ferrous) and +3 (ferric) states in the surface and near surface environment.

The high crustal abundances of iron contrast sharply with its sparing concentration in seawater, which at ~ 0.7nM, is eleven orders of magnitude lower than average crustal abundances (Achterberg et al., 2001; Nozaki, 1997; Quinby-Hunt and Turehian, 1983). This exceedingly low concentration is a simple function of the low solubility of Fe$^{3+}$; the concentration would be even lower if not supported by iron complexation with organic ligands (Chen et al., 2004).

The principle sources of iron to the ocean today include rivers, wind blown dust, margin sediments, ice and hydrothermal input. Iron occurs dominantly in the +3 state in both seawater and rivers (Buck et al., 2007; Poulton and Raiswell, 2002) due to their oxygenated state. However, significant ferrous (Fe$^{2+}$) iron is supplied to the oceans, principally from hydrothermal input (via alteration of Fe$^{2+}$ bearing igneous rocks, mainly seafloor basalt) and leaching of continental margin sediments. Iron from continental margins is derived from bacterial dissimilatory iron reduction (DIR) of detrital iron oxides (Lovley, 1991) and subsequent diffusion from pore waters (Elrod et al., 2004).

The only other significant source of Fe$^{2+}$ to the oceans is from glacial meltwater. Ferrous iron is released from glacial meltwater in one of two ways. Kim et al. (2010) showed experimentally that ferrous iron trapped in ice in phases such as magnetite, maghemite and goethite can be reduced and released to seawater through photo-reduction. Second, and discussed in more detail later in this paper, Mikucki et al. (2009) demonstrated that DIR occurs in sub-glacial brines producing ferrous (Fe$^{2+}$) iron in the glacial outwash. In both of these cases, the ferrous (Fe$^{2+}$) iron is rapidly
oxidized, which means that neither of these processes results in significant delivery of chemically available iron to the ocean.

Whereas the various sources of iron to the modern ocean are reasonably well known, their respective fluxes are poorly constrained and presumably highly variable in both time and space. A revealing example of the poor control on the iron cycle can be seen in estimates of the global hydrothermal flux, which vary by 3 orders of magnitude, from \( \sim 5.0 \times 10^{10} \) g Fe/yr (Bennett et al., 2008; Boyle and Jenkins, 2008) to \( \sim 1 \times 10^{13} \) g Fe/yr (Elderfield and Schultz, 1996). In a recent study that attempted to quantify all the respective Fe fluxes, Tagliabue et al. (2010) calculated the respective contributions of the principle iron sources for the Southern Ocean (Table 1) and concluded that the dominant Fe source was from continental margin sediments.

The Southern Ocean, which receives relatively little riverine and dust input, is obviously not representative of the whole ocean. Nevertheless, given its long coastline with Antarctica, the Southern Ocean is a useful and possibly our best reference frame for considering the relative magnitude of iron fluxes in a glaciated Neoproterozoic ocean.

Despite the vanishingly low iron concentrations in the modern ocean, iron-rich chemical sediments do occur in the modern ocean. Metalliferous sediments are found in close association with mid-ocean ridges and seamounts and their distribution is widespread with known occurrences in the Red Sea (Pierret et al., 2010), along the East Pacific Rise (Barrett et al., 1987; Bodei et al., 2008; German et al., 1999; Sayles and Bischoff, 1973), along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Dias and Barriga, 2006; Dias et al., 2008; German et al., 1993), in the Indian Ocean (Bostrom, 1973), in the Gulf of California (Lonsdale et al., 1980) and on many seamounts (Mascarenhas-Pereira and Nath, 2010). Modern metalliferous sediments however, occur in locations with low
preservation potential, that said, their older counterparts do occur as volumetrically minor iron-rich sediments on obducted ophiolites (Karpoff et al., 1988; Robertson and Fleet, 1976).

### 1.2. Broad Depositional Constraints

While much debate surrounds the exact environmental conditions necessary for the deposition of iron formation, the basic constraints were outlined by Holland (1973) and Drever (1974) and are summarized below.

#### 1.2.1. Anoxic Basin Waters

The occurrence of NIF, like earlier Precambrian BIF, requires the build-up and transport of a significant iron reservoir. Because Fe$^{3+}$ is essentially insoluble in seawater, this iron reservoir must have existed as Fe$^{2+}$. The half-life of Fe$^{2+}$ in ~pH neutral oxygenated water is measured in minutes to hours (Millero et al., 1987). Hence, the accumulation of ferrous (Fe$^{2+}$) iron in the ocean implies anoxic conditions.

#### 1.2.2. Hydrogen sulfide to ferrous iron ratio less than 2 ($H_2S/Fe^{2+} < 2$)

In the presence of $H_2S$, Fe$^{2+}$ is efficiently converted to pyrite. The exact pathway for this reaction was investigated by Wilkins and Barners (1996) and Benning et al. (2000). The overall reaction is complex but appears to involve an iron monosulfide precursor. The end result is that if the ratio of Fe$^{2+}$ to $H_2S < 2$, then all of the Fe$^{2+}$ will be effectively titrated as pyrite and iron concentrations will be very low. This condition delineates two anoxic environments: ferruginous where the ratio of $H_2S/Fe^{2+} < 2$, and euxinic where the ratio of $H_2S/Fe^{2+} > 2$.

It is instructive to consider the above constraints in Eh/pH space. Many thermodynamic diagrams have been calculated for iron with respect to water, and Glasby and Schulz (1999) constructed an appropriate formulation for seawater (Fig. 3). In the typical range of seawater temperature and salinity, dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ is only
stable at Eh and pH values well below what is found in most of the modern ocean. This Eh/pH diagram highlights that the prerequisite of anoxic waters is not strictly true because Fe$^{2+}$ is the dominant stable dissolved phase at lower pH. Based on the current mean Eh/pH values for seawater (Eh = +0.4V and pH = 8.0; (Becking et al., 1960; Glasby and Schulz, 1999) the change in pH required for Fe$^{2+}$ to be stable is quite large (~2 pH units), especially when compared with the small range in pH over recent time periods (Foster, 2008; Hönisch and Hemming, 2005; Pearson and Palmer, 1999). However, significant variations (from +0.5V to -0.2V) both above and below the average Eh of seawater are observed in the modern ocean over a comparatively small range in pH and these changes are not just a simple function of dissolved oxygen concentration (Becking et al., 1960). Consequently, under certain conditions, seawater could be delicately poised at an Eh where a very small decrease in pH can result in Fe$^{2+}$ becoming the stable aqueous phase.

[Figure 3 here]

1.2.3. Oxidative Mechanism

While it is obvious that an oxidative mechanism is required to transform dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ to Fe$^{3+}$ and ultimately to precipitate it from seawater to form iron formation, the exact mechanism that results in this transformation is not well constrained for NIF, with both abiotic and biotic pathways possible. We summarize the main reaction pathways generally considered for the formation of sedimentary iron formation below.

**Abiotic Pathways**

The two principal abiotic pathways are simple reactions with (1) free oxygen and (2) photo-oxidation (Hush et al., 1998):

\[
(1) \text{Fe}^{2+} + 0.5\text{O}_2 + 5\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 4\text{H}^+
\]
(2) \( 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{H}^+ + \text{hv} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2 \)

Although reaction (1) is strictly abiotic, it requires a source of \( \text{O}_2 \), which in the Precambrian, presumably would have been derived from cyanobacterial photosynthesis. Hence, this pathway would have been coupled to biology, either tightly in microenvironments rich in cyanobacterially generated \( \text{O}_2 \), or more loosely under broadly oxic conditions. Cairns-Smith (1978) proposed that reaction (2) could account for iron oxidation prior to the development of a protective ozone layer, but Konhauser et al. (2007a) argued that under postulated Archean conditions, this process would have been outcompeted by the precipitation of iron carbonates and silicates. However, this result rests upon conditions that may or may not reflect the range of likely Archean conditions.

**Biological Pathways**

Compared with abiotic pathways, microbial pathways are more varied and ecosystem specific, but can be separated into aerobic and anaerobic processes. Aerobic Fe oxidizing pathways include low pH iron oxidation (3), which is effectively the bacterially mediated process that occurs in acid mine drainage sites (Templeton, 2011) and circum-neutral pH aerobic oxidation (4), which occurs at modern hydrothermal vents (Emerson and Moyer, 2002). The rates of microbial iron oxidation can exceed abiotic oxidation by a factor of up to 50 under low oxygen conditions (Emerson and Moyer, 2002).

\[
\text{(3)} \quad 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + 0.5\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

\[
\text{(4)} \quad 6\text{Fe}^{2+} + 0.5\text{O}_2 + \text{CO}_2 + 16\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + 6\text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 12\text{H}^+
\]

Biological iron oxidation in anaerobic settings proceeds through a variety of pathways utilizing different terminal electron acceptors. For example, denitrifying bacteria oxidize iron through the following reaction (5) (Straub et al., 1996):

\[
\text{(5)} \quad \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{NO}_3^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{NO}_2^- + 2\text{H}^+
\]
(5) \[ 10\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{NO}_3^- + 24\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 10\text{Fe(OH)}_3 + \text{N}_2 + 18\text{H}^+ \]

Ferrous iron may also be used as the electron donor by anoxygenic photosynthesizes (6) (Hartman, 1984; Widdel et al., 1993).

(6) \[ 4\text{Fe}^{2+} + 11\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + 4\text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 8\text{H}^+ \]

The end result of all of these abiotic and biotic reaction pathways is the formation of an insoluble iron (oxy)hydroxide. In the case of NIF, this iron (oxy)hydroxide precursor was likely converted to hematite and water during early diagenesis (Klein and Beukes, 1993). In the case of Archean and Paleoproterozoic BIF, a significant component of the initial iron (oxy)hydroxide precursor appears to have been reduced by dissimilatory iron reduction, and the resulting ferrous iron incorporated into magnetite or siderite (see Walker (1984) Beard et al. (2003), Beard and Johnson (2004), Bekker et al. (2010) Konhauser et al. (2005) and Johnson et al. (2004) for more detailed discussions of oxidative and diagenetic pathways in BIF genesis). However, the virtual absence of reduced iron phases in unmetamorphosed NIF implies that no organic carbon was delivered to the sediment column along with the precursor iron (oxy)hydroxides. Halverson et al. (2011) suggested that this observation possibly eliminates any oxidative pathway which involved production of appreciable biomass.

2.0 Geological Context of Neoproterozoic Iron Formations

In this section we briefly review the regional geological and sedimentological context of the NIF, with an emphasis on the well-studied occurrences in Australia, northwest Canada, South America and Namibia. Radiometric age constraints and the paleogeographic context of NIF’s are presented separately in the subsequent section.

South Australia

The three iron formation occurrences of the Flinders Ranges, South Australia
are contained within the well documented Adelaide Rift Complex (Preiss, 2000; Preiss and Forbes, 1981). Initial rifting of the Gawler Craton occurred at ~827 Ma (Wingate et al., 1998) with another younger but pre-Sturtian rifting event represented by the Boucaut Volcanics (Forbes, 1978). Iron formation occurs within the glacigenic Umberatana Group, which is separated by an erosional unconformity from older Adeladian sediments or basement rocks. The basal formation within the Umberatana Group is the Sturtian-aged Pualco Tillite and correlative units (i.e. Bolla Bollana, Appila and Sturt tillites). Both the Holowilena (Fig. 2a) and Oraparinna IF occurrences were described recently by Le Heron et al. (2011a; 2011b). The iron formation sits conformably on top of the Pualco Tillite, interpreted to represent a gravity re-sedimented glacial deposit. The iron formation itself is a laminated IF interpreted as a glacially influenced turbidite. It occurs over an interval of >100 m. Striated clasts are found within the iron formation (Le Heron et al., 2011a). The Wilyerpa Formation overlies the IF; it contains glacial diamictite and limestone horizons and is interpreted to represent the waning stages of the Sturtian glaciation (Preiss, 2000).

Lottermoser and Ashley (2000) studied the correlative Braemar iron formation, which occurs to the east of Holowilena and Oraparinna and was metamorphosed to upper greenschist to amphibolite facies. Consequently, the IF assemblage is more complex, comprising mainly hematite, magnetite and quartz with minor chlorite, muscovite, biotite, carbonate, plagioclase, apatite and tourmaline. Like the Holowilena and Oraparinna IF, the Braemar IF sit conformably above the Pualco Tillite and occurs as laminated IF interbedded with calcareous siltstone and as the matrix component of glaciogenic diamictite containing striated boulders (Whitten, 1970). Unlike the correlative Holowilena and Oraparinna, the Braemar IF occurs as
meter-scale discrete beds. Recently, significant sub-surface occurrences of NIF (~4.2 billion tonnes) have also been found south-west of Broken Hill (Minotaur Exploration Limited, 2012) and ~150km north of the Braemar occurrence.

**Northwestern North America**

The Rapitan Group of northwest Canada is the older of two discrete glaciogenic units in the thick and well preserved upper Proterozoic sedimentary succession of northwestern Canada. It hosts the preeminent occurrence of Neoproterozoic syn-glacial iron formation, with an estimated regional reserve of some 18 billion tonnes (Dept. of Energy, Mines & Resources, Yukon Government, 2008). Due also to its excellent exposure and position within a thick and well preserved late Proterozoic sedimentary succession, the Rapitan Group is the best studied of the Neoproterozoic iron formations (Eisbacher, 1981; Yeo, 1981; Young, 1976). It is exposed in a Laramide fold and thrust belt along a 400 km arc from the Snake River area of the Wernecke Mountains in eastern Yukon to the Nahani River in the Mackenzie Mountains, of the Northwest Territories. Rapitan Group deposition was controlled by a series of N-NE trending faults that were active throughout the glaciation, resulting in significant across strike facies and thickness changes and the development of multiple syn-depositional unconformities (Eisbacher, 1981). Glacial influence in sedimentation is demonstrated by the presence of striated and exotic clasts, dropstones and till pellets (Eisbacher, 1985; Yeo, 1981; Young, 1976).

In the Mackenzie Mountains in the southern part of the belt, the Rapitan Group is subdivided into the basal Mt. Berg Formation, Sayunei Formation, and Shezal Formation. The Mt. Berg Formation comprises glaciomarine diamictites and interfingers with the Sayunei Formation (Yeo, 1981). The Sayunei Formation consists mainly of ferruginous siltstone, with lesser sandstone, conglomerate, and debris flow
diamictites, and contains sporadic dropstones. Iron formation occurs in a typically <20 m-thick zone (but exceptionally >100 m) at the top of the formation, inferred to record a sudden deepening event (Klein and Beukes, 1993). The overlying Shezal Formation is a thick (<600 m) succession of glaciomarine diamictites with clasts of iron formation at the base. In the Snake River/Crest region to the northwest, inferred to be a more proximal setting (Eisbacher, 1985), the Rapitan Group comprises almost entirely glaciomarine diamictites dominated by basalt clasts. Interbedded iron formation occurs as discrete intervals 0.5–20 m-thick towards the base and adding up to ~100 m in total thickness. Clasts of iron formation are found within diamictites up to several hundred meters above the uppermost IF beds. The upper contact of the Rapitan Group is an angular unconformity with lower Paleozoic carbonates.

Interlaminated hematite and jaspilite with minimal detrital component is the dominant iron formation facies in the Rapitan Group (Klein and Beukes, 1993; Yeo, 1986). The jaspilite occurs variably as coherent layers, lenses, and nodules (Fig. 2d). Other iron-rich facies include hematitic mudstone (ferrolutite) and iron-rich sandstone and diamictite. Secondary iron-rich carbonates, occur more rarely, either as intact beds or replaced jaspilite nodules.

The Tatonduk iron formation (Fig. 2c) is exposed within the Tatonduk inlier that straddles the Yukon-Alaskan border. This iron formation consists of laminated iron formation and as a matrix component of diamictite. It rests atop ~300m of basaltic lavas and volcanoclastics. This unit is commonly correlated with the Rapitan exposures of the Wernecke and Mackenzie Mountains and was described by Macdonald et al. (2011) and Young (1982).

Both the Rapitan and Tatonduk occurrences form part of the developing margin of Laurentia following the initial stages of rifting associated with the breakup
of Rodinia (Young et al., 1979). Both are also closely associated with mafic lithologies, in the case of the Tatolnduk IF sitting atop of basalt flows while the Rapitan exposures often contain abundant basaltic clasts. Furthermore, they are both temporally associated with volcanics (Mount Harper Volcanic Complex and Pleasant Creek Volcanics) with the same age as the Franklin large igneous province (Heaman et al., 1992; Macdonald et al., 2010a).

*Chuos-Damara-Numees Iron Formation, Namibia*

The glacigenic Chuos Formation is the older of two distinct glacial units in the equivalent Otavi and Swakop groups of northern and central Namibia, respectively (Hoffmann and Prave, 1996), which were deposited during and following rifting on the southwestern margin of the Congo craton. The Chuos Formation is highly heterogeneous, both in lithology and thickness, consisting mainly of glacial diamictite, shallow and deep-water sandstone, and minor iron formation. Lava flows, mainly basaltic, are locally abundant near eruptive centers (Hoffmann and Prave, 1996). The high degree of lithological heterogeneity is due both to glacial influence and its deposition during active rifting on the southern margin of the Congo craton (Henry et al., 1986). Extension on the southern margin of the Congo craton was long lived, lasting from ~760 to ~650 Ma (Hoffman and Halverson, 2008; McGee et al., 2012) and was most extreme to the south, where it gave way to opening of the Khomas seaway (Henry et al., 1990).

The Chuos Formation has been most heavily studied in the Damara belt of central Namibia, but also occurs widely in and peripheral to the Kaoko belt to the northwest (Hoffman and Halverson, 2008) and in the Otavi Mountainland, near Tsumeb (Hoffmann and Prave, 1996). The glacial origin of the Chuos Formation is substantiated by abundant (but not ubiquitous) striated clasts, dropstones and
diamictites containing diverse clasts. Iron formation occurs widely in northern Namibia. In the northwest, it is found both within the core of the Kaoko belt, west of the Sessfontein Thrust and rarely in the Otavi fold and thrust belt to the east. It also occurs throughout the southern Damara belt, where it has been most extensively studied (Breitkopf, 1988; Bühn et al., 1982). However, IF occurrence is also highly variable and it is often absent, even in thick, well-developed Chuos sections (Miller, 2008). In rocks that have not been heavily metamorphosed, iron formation commonly occurs as beds of magnetite or hematite rich siliceous rocks, in places with well-developed layering, but most often as massive, thin (<1.5 m) bands. In one region in the southernmost Damara belt, iron formation is interbedded with manganese formation in a series of cycles in which the latter was generally deposited in more proximal settings than the iron formation (Bühn et al., 1982).

Neoproterozoic iron formation (Numees) also occurs in the Port Nolloth Group in the Gariep belt of southwestern Namibia (Kalahari craton). The basin evolution of the Port Nolloth Group is similar to that of the Damara orogen, with a middle Neoproterozoic (c. 750 Ma; (Frimmel et al., 1996) extensional basin, evolving into a passive margin, then ultimately deformed during late Neoproterozoic assembly of west Gondwana. Iron formation in the Gariep basin occurs in the Jakkalsberg Member of the Numees Formation (Frimmel and Von Veh, 2003). The Numees Formation (apart from the Jakkalsberg Member) is up to 600 m thick and dominated by poorly stratified, thick-bedded diamictites. The Jakkalsberg Member comprises hematite jaspilite, ferruginous feldspathic sandstone, and chlorite schist (Frimmel, 2011), and contains dropstones unambiguously demonstrating a glacial influence. The age and correlation of the Numees Formation, complicated by structure within the Gariep belt, has been a subject of much debate, with some authors arguing in favor of
a Marinoan or younger age (e.g. (Frimmel, 2011)). However, recent mapping, assisted by chemostratigraphic correlation, indicates that the Numees Formation is most likely the older of two distinct glacial units in the Gariep belt (Macdonald et al., 2010b) and presumably correlative with the Chuos Formation in the Damara belt.

**Jacadigo Group, Brazil and Bolivia**

The best known NIF in South America occurs within the Jacadigo Group in the Corumbá region of southwestern Brazil and the correlative Boquí Formation in southeastern Bolivia. The Jacadigo and Boquí groups (hereafter referred to simply as the Jacadigo Group) were deposited in an extensional graben system developed on the boundary between the southeastern margin (present coordinates) of the Amazon craton and the Rio Apa block (Trompette et al., 1998). The Jacadigo Group rests on Archean basement and is overlain by Ediacaran-aged carbonates of the Corumbá Group (Gaucher et al., 2003). It is subdivided into the lower Urucum Formation and upper Santa Cruz Formation. The former comprises a variety of mostly carbonate-cemented siliciclastic facies, including black shale, siltstones, sandstones, and diverse coarse clastics, including diamictites (Freitas et al., 2011; Klein and Ladeira, 2004; Trompette et al., 1998; Urban et al., 1992). The Santa Cruz Formation consists dominantly of iron formation, including ferruginous sandstone and manganese formation. Whereas the Jacadigo Group is commonly interpreted as having been deposited in a dominantly marine environment with at least episodic glacial influence (Trompette et al., 1998), best demonstrated by dropstones within the manganese formation (Klein and Ladeira, 2004), Freitas et al. (2011) recently argued against a glacial influence during Jacadigo deposition. Although the Jacadigo Group is regarded by many authors as Marinoan in age (Gaucher et al., 2003), to the extent that
it is associated with glaciation, available age constraints allow that it could equally be Sturtian in age.

Iron formation in the Santa Cruz Formation occurs mainly as well-stratified hematite jaspilite, with individual IF units up to 100 m thick (Freitas et al., 2011) and a maximum composite thickness of 270 m (Klein and Ladeira, 2004). Freitas et al. (2011) also described ooidal granular iron formation and IF-derived breccia. Manganese formation occurs variably as continuous, metre-scale tabular to anastomosing beds to nodules within coarse siliciclastic rocks and consists mainly of the mineral cryptomelane (K[Mn$^{4+}$, Mn$^{2+}$]$_8$O$_{16}$) (Freitas et al., 2011).

**Yerbal Iron Formation, Uruguay**

The ~1500m thick IF bearing Yerbal Formation is the basal formation of the Arroyo Del Soldado Group and is composed of basal conglomerates, sandstones, pelites and carbonates (Pecoits et al., 2008). The IF is predominantly laminated and reaches a maximum thickness of ~ 50m. The Yerbal Formation is separated from the underlying bimodal volcanics of the Las Ventanas Formation by an erosional unconformity (Pecoits, 2002). The Las Ventanas Formation has been interpreted to be glacially influenced due to the presence of out-sized clasts within sedimentary rhythmite facies (Pecoits et al., 2005). Whereas the Yerbal Formation had previously been considered middle Ediacaran in age (Pecoits et al., 2005), new age constraints suggest that it is instead considerably older, although still Neoproterozoic (Aubet et al., 2012; Aubet et al., 2013).

**Chestnut Hill Iron Formation, United States**

The Chestnut Hill Formation outcrops in the western New Jersey Highlands. The lower part of the formation consists of pebble to boulder conglomerate grading to interbedded metapelites, arkosic sandstone, volcanic and volcanoclastics and finally
into diamictite (Gates and Volkert, 2004). Like the majority of IF of this age, the minerology is comparatively simple, being composed of hematite and minor magnetite. Gates and Volkert (2004) suggested the upper Chestnut Hill Formation might have been glacially influenced, but Volkert et al. (2010) revised this interpretation arguing instead that the IF was hydrothermal rather than sedimentary in origin and related to extension associated with the formation of continental basins where the source of Fe was interpreted to be deep Mesoproterozoic basement rocks.

*Arabian-Nubian Shield*

Widespread but discontinuous occurrences of IF stretch for ~300 km along the Red Sea coast of Egypt, but the only significantly documented IF occurrences of the Arabian-Nubian Shield (ANS) are in Wadi Karim and Um Anab, Egypt (Ali et al., 2009; Basta et al., 2011). The ANS IF’s occur as m-scale (up to 12 m thick) laminated IF conformably interbeded with arc-related pyroclastics and lava flows. The IF itself is composed principally of hematite, magnetite and quartz with minor ankerite in some layers. The magnetite is euhedral to subhedral and is possibly metamorphic rather than primary in origin. Unlike magnetite, hematite is present as a very fine crystal phase. Metamorphic grade varies, but at Um Anab garnet and actinolite are present providing evidence for amphibolite facies metamorphism.

*Fulu Formation, South China*

Cryogenian iron formation occurs widely across south China, where it is the main source of iron ore. The iron formation is stratigraphically restricted to the lower Fulu Formation, which occurs above diamictites of the Chang’an within the glaciogenic Jiangkou Group (Zhang et al., 2011). The Jiangkou Group is the lower of two glaciogenic intervals in South China.
The Fulu iron formation occurs between massive diamictites of the upper Chang’an Formation and arkosic arenite and greywacke with lonestones of the Liangjiehe Member of the Fulu Formation (Zhang et al., 2011). The Fulu IF has not been well described, but was evidently deposited in an evolving rift basin in intimate association with mafic volcanics, which comprise the main clast type within the IF (Tang et al., 1987). The Fulu IF appears to occur as altered basalt in some locations, and as iron-rich beds within tuffs and tuffaceous arkoses and carbonates in proximal settings (Tang et al., 1987).

Other Neoproterozoic iron formations

The above list of NIF is not entirely exhaustive but does represent the most thoroughly documented occurrences. Other poorly documented or preserved occurrences have been reported in the Kingston Peak Formation of the United States, the Malyo Khingan and Lake Khanka formations of Russia, the Yara group of Togo and the Erzin Basin of Mongolia (Ilyin, 2009).

3.0 Age and Geographic Distribution of Neoproterozoic Iron Formation

3.1 Age Constraints

One of the more problematic components of NIF is that there are no directly dated occurrences. Currently the best age constraint comes from northwest Canada, where an age of 716 ± 0.54 Ma (Macdonald et al., 2010a) was obtained from a felsic tuff within glacial diamictite of the Upper Mount Harper Group in the Ogilvie Mountains, Yukon. This unit is correlated (Macdonald et al., 2010a) with the Rapitan Group of the Wernecke and Mackenzie mountains, straddling the Yukon-Northwest Territories boundary, where the most extensive and best studied NIF occurs.

The Rapitan age is consistent with the reasonably constrained ages for NIF in Namibia and South China. The Chuos-Damara IF of central-northern Namibia is
constrained to be younger than $746 \pm 2$ Ma (Hoffmann and Prave, 1996) and older than $635.5 \pm 1.2$ Ma (Hoffmann et al., 2004), which dates the younger of the Cryogenian glaciations. The possibly correlative Numees IF of southern Namibia is only directly constrained to be younger than $751.9 \pm 5.5$ Ma based on a U-Pb SHRIMP age on metarhyolites in the underlying Hilda Subgroup (Borg et al., 2003). However, (Macdonald et al., 2010b) argued for correlation with the Chaos Formation taking into consideration lithological and chemostratigraphic considerations.

The Fulu IF of South China is radiometrically constrained by a U-Pb SHRIMP age of $725\pm10$ Ma on the upper Banxi Group (Zhang et al., 2008), just below the Jiangkou Group, and a U-Pb zircon discordia age of $663\pm4$ Ma on the lower Datangpo Formation, which occurs immediately above the Jiangkou Group and equivalent strata (Zhou et al., 2004). Hence, the Fulu Formation is of Sturtian age.

Radiometric age constraints on other NIF’s are looser. The Holowilena and equivalent NIF in South Australia is constrained by U-Pb zircon data to be younger than $792 \pm 6$ (Preiss et al., 2009) and by a Re-Os isochron to be older than $643 \pm 2.4$ (Kendall et al., 2006). The Urucum iron formation of Brazil (Freitas et al., 2011; Piacentini et al., 2007) is younger than basement rocks that date to $889 \pm 44$ Ma (Hasui and Almeida, 1970) and older than $543 \pm 3$ Ma (Babinski et al., 2008). However, in the latest study on these Brazilian occurrences by Freitas et al. (2011), the authors favored an Ediacaran age based on the appearance of Cloudina fossils in the overlying Tamengo Formation, which occurs three formations stratigraphically above the Urucum Formation. Recent $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dating of cryptomelane in the Urucum Mn-formation establishes a minimum depositional age for the Urucum sequence of $587 \pm 7$ Ma (Piacentini et al., 2013). If regional correlations between the Urucum and Puga Formations hold, then detrital zircon ages from the diamictites of
the Puga Formation place a maximum deposition age of 706 ± 7 Ma (Babinski et al., 2013) on the IF. Previous studies of the possible correlative Yerbal Formation in Uruguay suggested the presence of Cloudinia (Gaucher and Sprechmann, 1999; Pecoits et al., 2008), implying a late Ediacaran age. However, subsequent investigations have demonstrated that the Yerbal Formation is instead likely to be Cryogenian or Tonian in age (Aubet et al., 2012; Aubet et al., 2013).

The Chestnut Hill IF, while considered to be ~600 Ma, is only constrained to be younger than the Mesoproterozoic and older than Cambrian (Volkert et al., 2010). NIF has also been documented within the Arabian Nubian Shield, both in Egypt and Saudi Arabia (Basta et al., 2011; Mukherjee, 2008). The Egyptian occurrences are Cryogenian in age, with the associated arc volcanics yielding ages of ~ 730 Ma (Ali et al., 2009).

Global chemo- and lithostratigraphic correlations also help to refine the ages of the NIF. Specifically, the Chuos (Halverson et al., 2005), Numees (Macdonald et al., 2010b) and Rapitan (Macdonald et al., 2010a; Macdonald et al., 2011) iron formations all occur in glacial diamictites that overlie what is regarded to be the global, pre-glacial Islay negative δ13C anomaly (Halverson and Shields-Zhou, 2011). The Holowilena and Orariparina IF’s in South Australia (Le Heron et al., 2011a), Tatonduk IF (Macdonald et al., 2011) in Yukon, Fulu IF of South China (Tang et al., 1987) also occur in glacigenic sequences widely thought to correlate with the Sturtian glaciation. The Chestnut Hill IF and Urucum IF, which both contain a diamictite component originally interpreted to be glacial in origin (Klein and Ladeira, 2004), have been re-interpreted as gravity debris flows (Freitas et al., 2011; Volkert et al., 2010).
In summary, precise age constraints on NIF’s are few but sufficient to establish that the South Australian, Namibian, Chinese, and northwestern Canada IF’s at least were deposited during the earlier (Sturtian) glaciation. Arabian Nubian Shield occurrences are also Cryogenian, but are not ostensibly associated with glaciation. The age constraints on the South American and Chestnut Hill Formation (USA) are insufficient to support or refute a Cryogenian age.

3.2 Geographic Distribution

Neoproterozoic IF has been reported from every continent but Antarctica (Fig. 4) (Ilyin, 2009; Young, 2002), which is testament to the widespread development of ferruginous basins during this time period. Indeed, the global distribution of NIF (Fig. 4) and its close association with low latitude glaciations has been used as evidence in support of the Snowball Earth model (Hoffman et al., 1998; Hoffman and Schrag, 2002; Kirschvink, 1992). However, no NIF is known to occur in open marine basins on the margins of Rodinia (Li et al., 2013; Torsvik, 2003) (Fig. 4). Instead, the iron formations appear to occur in basins within Rodinia or in rift-basins developed on its margins. Hence, whole ocean anoxia, and a global, deep ocean ferrous iron reservoir, while consistent with the distribution of NIF’s, is not required to account for them.
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4.0 Geochemistry of Neoproterozoic Iron Formations

Major Element Characteristics

Major element data is relatively abundant in studies of NIF but has generally been applied specifically to distinguish between hydrothermal and hydrogenous deposits. We have combined the available data from twelve separate sections of NIF (Rapitan, Tatonduk, Tindir, Numees, Holowilena, Oraparinna, Braemar, Damara, Snake River, Urucum and Chestnut Hill) and calculated inter-elemental Pearson correlation
coefficients (Table 2.) (Rodgers and Nicewander, 1988) and performed principal component analysis (Fig. 6 & Supplementary Table 4.) (Wold et al., 1987), which demonstrates apparent positive and negative dependences between the major chemical components of these IF’s. Such dependences allow us to interpret the data in terms of the likely components (e.g. hydrothermal fluids, detrital material, alteration, seawater) that deliver these elements to the site of deposition. Along with this statistical approach, we present major element ratios measured with respect to aluminum (Fig. 5) and compare these with typical shale values. Since aluminum in chemical sediments is predominantly supplied by detrital material, this normalization allows us to discriminate between detrital and chemical components in the elemental distribution within NIF’s. If constraints can be placed on the likely elemental components, geochemistry then becomes a powerful tool to interrogate models for NIF deposition.

Major Element Correlation Coefficients

*Iron, aluminum and titanium*

Al and Ti show a strong positive correlation and both also have strong negative correlations to iron. This relationship points to an overwhelming component of orthochemical iron. Considering that typical detrital material has low Fe concentrations, a likely source for the Fe is hydrothermal fluids. Hydrothermal fluids have high Fe/Ti and Fe/Al ratios (Bostrom, 1970; Bostrom et al., 1969; Gurvich, 2006) due to Al being a 3+ and Ti a 4+ cation, which are both highly insoluble in seawater and resistant to hydrothermal alteration (Ti even more so because it resides in robust phases such as rutile). In contrast, Fe, which occurs mainly in the 2+ state in most igneous rocks, is more labile during alteration. The strong positive correlation
between Al and Ti implies that much of the Al and Ti may be derived from a detrital component.

Iron, manganese and silica

Manganese, while generally found at concentrations of less than 1 weight percent (average = 0.81% MnO), is enriched by up to ~40% compared to average shale (Fig. 5). Sometimes Mn occurs within distinct manganiferous beds (Klein and Ladeira, 2004) while at others it is only apparent in the geochemistry with no physical manifestation recognizable in the field. Manganese in its 2+ state is stable to higher oxidizing potentials than Fe (Glasby and Schulz, 1999), thus this enrichment may occur either during late stages of IF deposition during the progression of a iron rich plume across a redoxcline or during deposition in shallower, more oxidizing conditions. Alternatively, it may represent diageneric mobilization of Mn, which has been documented in modern metalliferous sediments (Gurvich, 2006). Regardless of the mechanism, and even ignoring those samples that show extreme Mn enrichments, Mn is uniformly enriched in comparison to typical marine sediments (Fig. 5). Silica is also uniformly enriched in these sediments (Fig. 5); SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratios, with few exceptions, are all high (>4.0). Such enrichments are typical of sediments with a significant hydrothermal component (Gurvich, 2006).

Calcium and magnesium

Magnesium shows a strong positive correlation with Ca, but shows no correlation with any other element. This pattern suggests that most Ca and Mg in NIF’s is hosted by carbonate minerals. The lack of correlation with either Fe or Al implies that the carbonate component is entirely unrelated to the processes involved in supplying Fe or Al to these rocks.

Potassium and sodium
Strong positive correlations between K and both Al and Ti suggest that their mechanism of delivery within NIF is tightly coupled and inversely correlated to iron. These same correlations exist for sodium but are weaker and statistically less robust. Once again, because the main delivery mechanism for Al is most likely detrital material, it is reasonable to suggest that both K and Na are also sourced from detrital material. This is further supported by the shale-like enrichments of both K and Na with respect to Al (Fig. 5).

*Phosphorus*

Phosphorous shows little correlation with other elements but is enriched when compared to typical marine shale. Given the lack of correlation with Al, detrital P should only account for a minimal fraction of the total P concentration. Furthermore, P does not correlate with other enriched elements (Fe, Si, Mn), implying that it may not be delivered with them. The only correlation that exists for phosphorus is a weak inverse correlation with respect to silica, possibly owing to the competitive absorption between phosphorus and silica onto iron oxy-hydroxides (Konhauser et al., 2007b). Planavsky et al. (2010b) documented a high degree of P enrichment in NIF’s and proposed that it was the result of high dissolved phosphate in seawater, possibly related to an enhanced post-glacial snowball Earth phosphate flux, a likely consequence of glacial resurfacing of continental surfaces (Swanson-Hysell et al., 2010).
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*Major Element Principal Component Analysis*

The interpretative value of principal component analysis (PCA) over correlation coefficients is that relationships between the principal components and the variables can be ascertained (i.e. the relative contribution of the variables to the
principal components). Utilizing the major element variables of SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, MgO, CaO, K$_2$O and P$_2$O$_5$, it can be seen that 80.2% of the major element variability can be explained by three principal components (Fig. 6). Principal component 1 (PC1), which accounts for ~43% of the variability in the data set, is dominantly a function of Al$_2$O$_3$, K$_2$O and TiO$_2$, and most likely reflects the detrital component of these rocks. Principal component 2 (PC2) is dominantly controlled by CaO and MgO, and hence likely reflect a carbonate component. Principal component 3 (PC3) is primarily a function of SiO$_2$. Considering that SiO$_2$ in NIF is present as nodules/concretions and deformed lenses that in some cases ultimately form layers, PC3 may reflect an authigenic component.

Figure 6 shows that the correlations discussed previously are present in the principal component (PC) analysis. Strong relationships exist between Al$_2$O$_3$, K$_2$O and TiO$_2$ and likewise between CaO and MgO. However, the strong negative relationship between Fe$_2$O$_3$ and SiO$_2$ apparent from correlation coefficients breaks down under PCA, indicating that the two not strongly related. The variability of P$_2$O$_5$ is not accounted for by the first three principle components.

[Figure 6 here]

Rare Earth Elements

Rare earth element (REE) chemistry is often commonly applied to IF’s as a proxy to trace the source of iron, but can also be used to understand depositional pathways. Specifically, REE profiles and anomalies offer indications of hydrothermal involvement, basin water redox conditions and the extent of seawater interaction. Full REE datasets are less abundant for NIF’s and somewhat hindered by historic analytical difficulties in measuring certain elements. We review data from seven NIF occurrences (Rapitan, Tatonduk, Numees, Holowilena, Oraparinna, Braemar).
**LREE Depletion**

All reported REE data for NIF share a small characteristic depletion in light rare earth elements (LREE) when normalized to the PAAS shale composite (Fig. 7). Seawater also exhibits a strong LREE depletion (Fig. 7k) (Elderfield and Greaves, 1982; Piepgras and Jacobsen, 1992; Zhang and Nozaki, 1996), which is believed to result from the preferential scavenging of the LREE’s (with respect to the middle and heavy REE’s). Such LREE depletion could be due to interaction with seawater, reflect the REE characteristics of the detrital load or record some combination of these two effects. Figure 7 demonstrates that the magnitude of the LREE depletion is variable within individual sections and between NIF occurrences, which most likely reflects differing detrital loads. That said, the combination of LREE along with superchondritic Y/Ho ratios (see next section) would suggest that NIF record seawater signatures that are variably masked by their detrital components.

While broad rare earth element patterns are somewhat useful for understanding processes effecting the transport and sequestration of the REEs, specific REE anomalies can be more diagnostic. Because the REE’s share similar chemical properties (i.e. ionic radius, 3+ oxidation state), they commonly plot as smooth lines when normalized to some reservoir, such as average shale (i.e. PAAS or NASC) or bulk earth. Exceptions to this pattern can occur for both Ce and Eu, which unlike the other REE’s, have an additional oxidation state: 4+ for Cerium and 2+ for Europium. Where a change from the 3+ state occurs, Ce and Eu can be fractionated relative to the neighboring REE’s, resulting in anomalies in normalized plots. While not strictly a REE, Y generally behaves in a similar manner to Ho, but interaction with seawater can produce anomalous Y/Ho ratios.

**Y/Ho Ratios**
Superchondritic Y/Ho ratios ($Y/\text{Ho} \geq 27.7$) are common in NIF (Fig. 7), the mean Y/Ho ratio of all samples being 29.2 ($n = 77$). Such superchondritic ratios are not present in the common geochemical reservoirs such as clastic sedimentary rocks (i.e. PAAS (Nance and Taylor, 1976), upper continental crust (Taylor and McLennan, 1995) or oceanic crust (Sun and McDonough, 1989)) because Y and Ho share similar ionic radii, charge density and oxidation state. Hydrothermal fluids also do not have superchondritic Y/Ho ratios (Bau and Dulski, 1999; Douville et al., 1999), but seawater does (Fig. 7l), due to the higher particle reactivity of Ho, which results in it being preferentially scavenged from seawater (Bau and Dulski, 1999; Bau et al., 1996). Therefore, the elevated Y/Ho ratios are consistent with the interpretation of the LREE depletion.

$Ce$ anomalies ($Ce^{*}_{\text{CHUR}}$)

In the modern ocean, seawater contains a prominent negative cerium anomaly with respect to CHUR (Elderfield and Greaves, 1982; Zhang and Nozaki, 1996). This relative depletion in Ce results from the oxidation of $Ce^{3+}$ to $Ce^{4+}$ and incorporation into ferromanganese nodules (Elderfield et al., 1981; Nagender Nath et al., 1994), which conversely preserve positive cerium anomalies. Thus $Ce^{*}_{\text{CHUR}}$ is sensitive to the redox state of the water column, because under oxic conditions, it is preferentially converted to $Ce^{4+}$ and removed from seawater, whereas under anoxic conditions, it should behave like its neighboring REE’s. Data on NIFs consistently show little to no $Ce^{*}_{\text{CHUR}}$, although in some sections a subtle trend towards positive $Ce^{*}_{\text{CHUR}}$ is evident (Fig. 8). This trend is similar to that observed in Archean and early Paleoproterozoic iron formations (Planavsky et al., 2010a). The fact that there is variation in $Ce^{*}$ points to active $Ce$ redox cycling which is possibly complicated by a detrital load.
Planavsky et al. (2010a) argued that variability in LREE/MREE, Y/Ho and evidence for Ce redox cycling are common characteristics of modern-like, redox stratified ocean rather than a pervasively anoxic water column. Despite the complication of detrital material, the significant variability of LREE/MREE,Y/Ho ratios, and more subtle variations in $Ce^{*}_{\text{CHUR}}$ similarly imply NIF deposition within redox stratified rather than entirely anoxic basins.

Eu anomalies ($Eu^{*}_{\text{CHUR}}$)

Positive Eu anomalies are diagnostic of a hydrothermal component in chemical sediments. Hydrothermal fluids commonly display prominent positive Eu anomalies due to the albitisation of plagioclase (Klinkhammer et al., 1994), which has a positive Eu anomaly due to its incorporation of substantial amounts of Eu$^{2+}$ (Ching-oh et al., 1974; Drake and Weill, 1975; Weill and Drake, 1973). Indeed, the REE patterns of high temperature hydrothermal fluids suggest that the REE characteristics of these fluids are almost entirely controlled by the alteration of igneous plagioclase (Douville et al., 1999; Klinkhammer et al., 1994). Eu anomalies in NIF range from 0.47 to 0.86, which is inconsistent with a significant hydrothermal component. However, this interpretation may be overly simplistic. The absence of a positive Eu anomaly may not diagnose the absence of a hydrothermal component, because hydrothermal plumes interact with numerous rare earth element reservoirs such as seawater (negative $Eu^{*}_{\text{CHUR}}$), basalt (no $Eu^{*}_{\text{CHUR}}$) and terriginous sediments (negative $Eu^{*}_{\text{CHUR}}$) that may dilute the primary hydrothermal signature. For example, the $Eu^{*}_{\text{CHUR}}$ of many near-ridge metalliferous deposits which were clearly sourced from a hydrothermal plume are commonly much smaller than those observed in the corresponding hydrothermal fluid (Douville et al., 1999; Klinkhammer et al., 1994), or in some cases negative (Barrett and Jarvis, 1988; Bodei et al., 2008; Gamo et al.,
Further complicating the use of Eu anomalies is the fact that low temperature hydrothermal fluids do not display positive Eu anomalies (Alexander et al., 2008; Danielson et al., 1992; Sverjensky, 1984; Wheat et al., 2002).

Hydrothermal fluids containing no positive Eu anomaly have been interpreted to be the result of extensive seawater interaction or mixing with continental material (Douville et al., 1999). Such extensive mixing of NIF with both sediments and seawater (both with negative Eu") is strongly supported. Shale-like Al, K and Na enrichments (Fig. 5) point towards a non-trivial detrital component, whereas the variability in Eu") itself is most readily explained by a variable detrital contribution. This argument is strengthened by the variable LREE depletion and Y/Ho ratios, which imply varying seawater contributions. Hence, while positive Eu anomalies in NIF likely point to a hydrothermal source, their absence is not sufficient to rule out such a source.

Iron Isotopes

Iron isotope data on NIF is currently limited to two data sets from Halverson et al. (2011) and Planavsky et al. (2012). The more comprehensive and stratigraphically integrated data set of from Halverson et al. (2011) for the Rapitan IF show a systematic up-section trend in $\delta^{57}$Fe from ~ -0.7 to 1.2‰. This trend cannot be readily explained by a residual ferrous iron pool modified by the isotopic fractionation entailed in iron oxidation, because iron oxidation produces $^{57}$Fe-enriched iron oxyhydroxides (Bullen et al., 2001; Skulan et al., 2002). However, the Rapitan $\delta^{57}$Fe trend is coupled to an increase in water depth, and Halverson et al. (2011) interpreted it as the result of the dynamic nature of this oxidative process in which upward diffusion of iron results in an isotopic gradient in which isotopically heavy iron ($^{57}$Fe enriched) is enriched in the lower parts of the chemocline, as seen in
modern Lake Nyos, Cameroon (Teutsch et al., 2009). A more thorough model to account for the iron isotope composition of NIF will require additional data from other basins.

5.0 Models for the Formation of Neoproterozoic Iron Formation

Sedimentary exhalative-rifting

Several authors (Breitkopf, 1988; Eyles and Januszczak, 2004; Freitas et al., 2011; Volkert et al., 2010) have noted the close association between NIF and rifting and suggested a genetic link. These models invoke the likely restricted nature of these basins and a dominant hydrothermal component to explain iron formation occurrences. In all cases these models invoke hydrothermal fluids migrating along major basin-forming faults. Subsequent mixing with oxygenated waters results in the precipitation of iron oxyhydroxides and the deposition of the iron formation as a part of the sedimentary sequence. Mid-ocean ridge type hydrothermal circulation is not proposed in these models, hence iron formation is essentially regarded as a stratiform-type exhalative deposit.

In his study of the Chuos-Damaran IF, Breitkopf (1988) expanded upon the sedimentary exhalitive model to include mafic volcanism as the principal driver of hydrothermal activity resulting in iron formation deposition. However, not all NIF are spatially closely related to basalts. For example, the Holowilena, Oraparinna, and Braemar IF (South Australia) and the Rapitan (Canada) do not occur in sequences that contain or ostensibly rest atop basalt flows. However, as noted previously, these units do contain a significant if not dominant percentage of basalt clasts, indicating that large basalt flows did occur within the catchment and inferentially, significant mafic detritus was delivered to the corresponding basins.

Snowball Earth
In the snowball Earth model, anoxia is the consequence of severely curtailed primary productivity and sealing the oceans off from the atmosphere (Hoffman et al., 1998; Kirschivink, 1992; Klein and Beukes, 1993). Global glaciation would have significantly reduced riverine sulfate delivery to the oceans, possibly causing a shift from the anhydrite-magnetite redox buffer of hydrothermal fluids, resulting in hydrothermal fluids having higher Fe/S ratios (Kump and Seyfried Jr, 2005). Lower global sea level could also have depressurized mid-ocean ridges, which would also induce higher Fe/S ratios of hydrothermal fluids (Kump and Seyfried Jr, 2005). Furthermore, widespread glaciation would have scoured the interior of the continents, delivering sediment with a relatively high ratio of iron to sulfur (Swanson-Hysell et al., 2010). All of these effects would have favored ferruginous ($Fe^{2+}/H_2S > 2$) over euxinic ($Fe^{2+}/H_2S < 2$) oceans, consistent with Canfield et al.’s (2008) proposed Neoproterozoic switch from euxinic to ferruginous deep oceans.

An implicit prediction of the snowball Earth model is that IF was deposited during glacial meltback, when reinvigorated ocean circulation mixed deep, anoxic waters into oxic surface waters (Kirschivink, 1992; Klein and Beukes, 1993). Alternatively, iron formation could have been deposited during peak snowball conditions near photosynthetic oases (Hoffman and Schrag, 2002) or where oxygenated meltwater flowed into the ocean (Halverson et al., 2011). In any event, the implicit source of iron in the snowball model is hydrothermal, which is able to accumulate throughout the global deep ocean during the snowball event.

**Anoxic sub-glacial outwash**

Blood Falls is a sub-glacial outwash of Taylor Glacier, Antarctica, that is enriched in ferrous Fe (Mikucki et al., 2009). Studies on this hypersaline, sulfate-rich brine have revealed that it is reducing ($Eh = 0.09V$), with a circum-neutral pH and a
diverse microbial community. The brine contains Fe at concentrations of ~200 ppm, which precipitates goethite upon mixing with oxygenated waters. The iron is derived from glacial scouring and dissolution of bedrock under relatively low pH conditions (pH = 6.2), and stable isotopic data indicate a prominent role for dissimilatory iron reduction in the cycling of iron in this system. Mikucki et al. (2009) argued that Blood Falls may be an analog for NIF, and Hoffman et al. (2011) raised the possibility that like the waters flowing to Blood Falls, snowball seawater could have been anoxic and sulfate-rich. However, because Blood Falls is the only documented ferrous glacial outwash system, it seems unlikely that it can account for the voluminous IF found, for example, in the Rapitan Group.

**Sulfur limitation**

As discussed earlier, one depositional constraint on the formation of ferruginous oceans and ultimately the deposition of iron formation is the requirement for the ratio of H_2S to Fe^{2+} to be less than 2. In modern anoxic waters (i.e. Black Sea), this condition does not occur and iron is removed instead through pyrite precipitation (Berner, 1984; Boesén and Postma, 1988; Poulton and Raiswell, 2002). This prevalence of euxinic or semi euxinic conditions rather than ferruginous conditions is also a characteristic of the Cretaceous ocean anoxic events in which the sedimentary record preserves black and bituminous shales rather than iron formation (Jenkyns, 1980).

Unlike modern anoxic basins where sulfidic conditions prevail, Canfield et al. (2008) argued based on iron speciation data from Cryogenian and Ediacaran sediments that anoxic and ferruginous conditions prevailed throughout this time period and suggested this could only be achieved through either limited S delivery to the oceans or increased Fe delivery (or a combination of both). These conditions
could have been accomplished through decreased sulfate delivery to oceans, possibly due to glacially induced lower riverine input of sulfate or a decreased continental sulfur reservoir. An implication of this reduced sulfate delivery would also be an increase in the Fe/S ratio of hydrothermal fluids due to sulfate-controlled changes in redox conditions at mid-ocean ridges (Kump and Seyfried Jr, 2005). Kump and Seyfried (2005) showed that lower sulfate levels has a considerable affect on the Fe concentration of hydrothermal fluids which become fayalite-pyrohhotite-magnetite buffered rather than anhydrite-magnetite buffered, with a resulting increase in the concentration of Fe in fayalite-pyrohhotite-magnetite buffered hydrothermal fluids. Consequently in this model, NIF iron accumulation results from a combination of low S delivery and increased Fe delivery at a time when the deep oceans were already anoxic. The main difference between this model and the “Snowball Earth” model is that the latter relies on glaciation to produce anoxic waters in the first place.

Continental resurfacing

Swanson-Hysell et al. (2010) argued that scouring of the continents by Sturtian-aged ice sheets could have triggered a shift to ferruginous oceans. Following prolonged weathering and regolith development, the interior of the Rodinian supercontinent would have been largely inert to chemical weathering. Scouring by continental ice sheets would have removed the veneer of regolith, hence exposing fresh bedrock to physical and chemical weathering. Due to relatively high Fe:S ratios in the bulk upper continental crust, global glaciation could have triggered the switch from euxinic to ferruginous oceans. Insofar as this model might be applied to explaining NIF, two key predictions are that NIF should strictly postdate the onset of the first global glaciation and that the source of iron should be continental.

Silled basins
The restricted nature of many of the NIF basins raises the possibility that basin architecture plays a role in their occurrence. Baldwin et al. (2012) proposed that NIF are the result of partially restricted ice covered basins in which local anoxia is able to develop due to ice cover. Glacially derived Fe from continental material, as opposed to hydrothermal iron, is invoked as the dominant Fe source due to the lack of positive Eu anomalies. Baldwin et al. (2012) suggest the reactive iron is delivered as nanoparticulate iron oxyhydroxides (cf. (Poulton and Raiswell, 2002)), which is then reduced in an anoxic basin by iron-reducing bacteria. In their model, subsequent oxidation occurred during glacial retreat and oxygenation of basin waters. In substance, if not scale, this model is similar to the snowball Earth model in that iron formation was deposited during meltback in a basin that was anoxic due to ice cover. It differs, however, in that it requires only local basin anoxia, which develops due to restriction of these basins (or sub-basins) by lowering of sea level below basin-bounding sills.

6.0 Discussion

Depositional Time Constraints

The only well characterized NIF in terms of total iron comes from the Crest deposit of the Rapitan iron formation in Yukon, Canada. This occurrence has been investigated for resource potential and is estimated to contain $7.7 \times 10^{12}$ kg of Fe (18 billion tons at 43% Fe) hosted principally by hematite (Dept. of Energy, Mines & Resources, Yukon Government, 2008). Based on the fluxes estimated for the Southern Ocean (Table 1), it is possible to establish some broad time constraints required to build up the dissolved Fe reservoir necessary to deposit an iron formation of the magnitude of the Crest deposit. The calculation (Table 3) assumes that the dissolved
Fe reservoir firsts builds up prior to being oxidized geologically instantaneously (i.e. in a single upwelling event).

Admittedly, the Southern Ocean is not a realistic analog, given that the Rapitan iron formation was deposited in individual fault-bound basins, either as rift grabens or small transtensional basins (Baldwin et al., 2012; Macdonald et al., 2012). However, even reducing these fluxes by a conservative factor of 10 to account for the significant difference in scale results in unrealistic time spans for the ice (>70 Myr) and dust (>17 Myr) sources. Of the currently recognized major iron sources, it follows that only the hydrothermal or sediment pore water fluxes could possibly account for the mass of iron in the Rapitan IF.

Major Element Constraints

Geochemical models to explain NIF must explain the major element geochemical patterns, namely enrichments of Fe, Si, Mn and P that are distinct from shales, along with shale-like enrichments of Ti, Mg, Na and K. It is well known that Fe, Si and Mn are enriched components of both high and low temperature hydrothermal fluids (Gurvich, 2006) and this has been shown experimentally to be the result of interaction of seawater with basalt or basaltic detritus (Bischoff and Dickson, 1975). Consequently, while not the only possibility, it is a parsimonious explanation for these enrichments. These major element enrichments make models that do not involve a significant hydrothermal component, whether from a low temperature (i.e. leaching from margin sediments) or a high temperature (i.e. MOR style circulation) hydrothermal component, somewhat problematic. Consequently, hydrothermally sourced Fe, Si and Mn coupled with clay like enrichments of Ti, Na and K strongly suggest that NIF are a binary mixture of hydrothermal and detrital components.
Bostrom et al. (1969) and Bostrom (1970) found that near-ridge metalliferous sediments are binary mixtures and used the major element ratios of Fe/Ti and Al/[Al+Mn+Fe] to show that such deposits were a mixture of a hydrothermal end-member and detrital material. Based on the major element relationships discussed above, we have plotted Fe/Ti vs. Al/[Al+K+Na+Fe+Mn+Ti] along with binary mixing curves between a pure hydrothermal precipitate and differing sources of detrital matter (Fig. 9), which demonstrate a similar binary relationship. However, the detrital component does not seem to be typical clays as represented by the PAAS shale composite (Nance and Taylor, 1976; Taylor and McLennan, 1985), but rather more closely resembles basalt and/or volcanogenic sediments.

Iron Isotopes

Whereas the iron isotope dataset for NIF is small, three important points can be made at this point in the application of iron isotopes to NIF. First, iron isotopes cannot yet be used to distinguishing between oxidative pathways due to the similar fractionation involved in all of these processes (Anbar, 2004; Crosby et al., 2007; Icopini et al., 2004). Second, the single NIF study highlights the fact that in the case of the Rapitan IF, the un-interrupted trend to heavier $\delta^{57}$Fe would seem to require a single non-replenished Fe source, at least for this particular iron formation occurrence. Third, this study highlights the absolute necessity to have stratigraphic context to these measurements, as sedimentary processes, in particular relative sea level fluctuations, need to be considered in the interpretation of $\delta^{57}$Fe patterns.

Synchronicity

The oldest robust age constraints for NIF are the dates on the Rapitan Group of ~711–716 Ma. Whereas there are no age data to confirm a younger age, many researchers have argued for a late Cryogenian or Ediacaran age for some of the NIF’s.
Given the available age constraints, NIF’s could span up to 150 Myr. On the other hand, as has been previously discussed, many IF’s appear to be Cryogenian, specifically Sturtian in age. Synchronicity is therefore conceivable for at least a subset of the NIF’s. Given that these NIF’s tend to occur within a specific interval of complex glacial sequences, it is likely that iron formation deposition was short-lived relative to the glaciation (Halverson et al., 2011). Were they synchronous, then the NIF’s should have formed at the end of glaciation, as predicted by the snowball Earth model. However, given the apparent longevity of the Sturtian glaciation and lack of firm geochronological or stratigraphic evidence to place IF at the very end of this glacial epoch, models that allow for the NIF’s to form syn-glacially, but at different times in different basins, need to be considered.

Role of mafic magmatism and hydrothermal activity

Many tectonic, sedimentary and geochemical similarities exist between NIF occurrences. In particular, the sedimentary similarities between definitively glacial influenced basins is striking (i.e. Rapitan, Chuos, Numees, Braemar, Oraparinna and Holowilena). However, the Egyptian occurrences, which are associated with volcanics and volcanlastic mélange, demonstrate that NIF need not be exclusively associated with glaciation. On the other hand, all known NIF’s occur either in rift basins (Chuos, Yerbal, Oraparinna, Holowilena, Braemar, Rapitan) or are otherwise intimately associated with mafic volcanism (i.e. Tatonduk, Chestnut Hill, Damara, Wadi Karim, Urucum). This motif suggests that some combination of hydrothermal activity, mafic volcanism and/or a preponderance of mafic crust to weather may be key pre-conditions for NIF deposition. Similarly, modern metalliferous deposits are also intimately associated with rifting and mafic volcanism. The combination of volcanism and associated hydrothermal flux with a preponderance of mafic substrates exposed to
sub-aerial, sub-glacial and sub-aqueous weathering could drive substantially higher iron fluxes. In principle, the weathering of mafic rocks could result in a significantly enhanced potential for iron delivery as iron is more readily scavenged from mafic minerals, which are more reactive and have significantly higher Fe contents than felsic minerals. Hence basalts may by a key to iron’s recovered dominance over sulfur and the development of ferruginous conditions.

7.0 Conclusion

Although most NIF’s are Cryogenian in age and were likely deposited during the same glacial epoch, current age constraints allow that the ensemble of Neoproterozoic iron formation was deposited over as much as 150 Myr. Although it is possible that the Urucum IF is late Cryogenian (Marinoan) in age, there is no incontrovertible evidence to support this age assignment. Geochemical data indicate that NIF’s are the result of mixing between a hydrothermal and detrital component, while rare earth element data indicate extensive interaction with seawater. Whereas ice cover may have promoted local if not global deep water anoxia, to the extent that the deep oceans were previously euxinic, then the accumulation of NIF’s may have required only a shift to higher Fe:S ratios, rather than the development of anoxic deep waters. Whereas anoxia is still required, it seems as if the partnership between rifting, mafic volcanism, and likely glaciation tipped the balance towards ferruginous conditions and the Neoproterozoic reprise of iron formation.
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Figure 1. Histogram of published (n=172) Fe$_2$O$_3$ concentrations for NIF. For comparison, typical sedimentary rocks have Fe$_2$O$_3$ well below 10%. Data is from Lottermoser & Ashley (2000), Freitas et al. (2011), Pliacentini et al. (2007), Klein & Buekes (1993), Klein & Ladeira (2004), Volkert et al. (2010), Gross (2009) and this study.

Figure 2. (A) Holowilena iron formation at Holowilena South Station, southern Flinders Ranges, South Australia. The Holowilena iron formation here is ~100 m thick and continues along strong for ~ 3.2 km. (B) Jaspillite and ferruginous silt-rich facies of the Holowilena Formation near Oraparina, central Flinders Ranges, South Australia. (C) Tatonduk iron formation exposed along the Tatonduk River, Alaska, U.S.A. (D–F) Facies of Rapitan iron formation. (D) Quartzite dropstone in the Rapitan Iron Formation near Iron Creek, Yukon, deflects lenticular jasper, implying that it is very early diagenetic in origin. Dark layers are hematite-rich. Note the low-angle truncation below and left of the penny for scale (12 mm). (E) Diamictite beds within an interval of iron formation. Hammer for scale is 37 mm. G. Jaspilite and hematitic mudstone with a bed of carbonate cemented climbing ripples.

Figure 3. Eh vs. pH diagrams for seawater at 2°C and containing the dissolved constituents typical of deep water basins (from Glasby and Schulz, 1999). (A) Shows the stable dissolved aqueous phases. The dashed lines highlight the change in pH required at both Eh = +0.4 and +0.2 to make Fe$^{2+}$ the stable iron phase in seawater. This highlights that if seawater is poised at a lower Eh, a small change in pH can result in Fe$^{2+}$ becoming a stable aqueous phase. (B) Corresponding stable solid phases Pyrite (Fe$^{2+}$S$_2$), Goethite Fe$^{3+}$O(OH), and Maghemite/Hematite (Fe$^{3+}_{2}$O$_3$).
Figure 4. Paleogeographic distribution of NIF based on the Rodinia reconstruction of Torsvik (2003) and Li et al., (2013). The distribution shows that NIF occurrences appear to occur in basins within Rodinia or in rift-basins developed on its margins.

Figure 5. Elemental enrichments with respect to Al for Neoproterozoic iron formation along with the enrichments associated with a typical marine sediment (solid black line) and those for manganese nodules (dashed line) (Flanagan, 1976; Flanagan and Gottfried, 1980; Gladney and Roelandts, 1987). NIF is significantly enriched in Fe, Si, Mn and P with respect to typical marine shale but has shale like values for Ti, Mg, Na and K. This would support a model of a hydrothermally derived source for Fe, Si and Mn in particular while Ti, Mg, Ca, Na and K are derived from a detrital component. An important comparison is that between NIF and manganese nodules: whereas manganese nodules share Fe and Mn enrichments they differ markedly with regard to Si, in that nodules have very low SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratios.

Figure 6. Principal component diagram based on the multi-element data set Fe, Si, Mg, Ca, Ti, K, Al, and P. Tight grouping of Al, Ti & K would implies that they are strongly correlated and possibly is a reflection of the detrital load of NIF. Likewise the strong positive relationship between Ca and Mg is readily explained by a carbonate component. Si would seem to be unrelated to other elemental species but does share a strong correlation with PC3 which may record a diagenetic overprint.

Figure 7. Rare earth element data normalized to CHUR and PAAS. Data for NIF D is from literature and this study: Rapitan IF (Halverson et al., 2011), Braemar IF
(Lottermoser and Ashley, 2000) and the Holowilena, Oraparinja, Numees and Tatonduk IF (this study). Seawater composition is from (Zhang and Nozaki, 1996) and vent fluid data from Klinkhammer et al. (1994).

Figure 8. Ce* vs. Pr* after Planavsky et al. (2010). Variations in Ce* indicate active redox cycling of Ce.
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Approximate locations of well-documented Neoproterozoic iron formation occurrences.
Figure 6
Figure 7
Figure 8
Figure 9
Table 1. Iron fluxes into the Southern Ocean (Tagliabue et al., 2010). Percentages and totals are lower estimates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Flux (x 10^9 g Fe/year)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ice</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margin Sediments</td>
<td>257-635</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>4.5-30</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>283.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Pearson correlation coefficients for major element pairs. Such correlations are a measure of the linear dependence of two variables (i.e. covariance of the two variables divided by the product of their standard deviations). Positive values indicate positive correlations while negative values indicate inverse correlations. Coefficients in bold are discussed in text. Data is based on 172 individual whole rock analyses from twelve NIF occurrences. All values shown are statistically significant at alpha>= 0.05. P values for the data presented are all small statistically ruling out that the correlations are a random sampling artifact. Data and full statistics can be found in the supplementary material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Estimated time required to build up a static pool of Fe sufficient to account for Neoproterozoic Crest iron deposit. Flux rates are based on estimates for the Southern Ocean (Tagliabue et al., 2010) while the size of the Crest deposit is from the Depat. of Energy, Resources & Mines, Yukon Government (2008). Accumulation timeframe based on minimum flux rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Flux (x 10^9 g Fe/year)</th>
<th>Time to accumulate Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ice</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>7.7 Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margin Sediments</td>
<td>257-635</td>
<td>30 Kyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>4.5-30</td>
<td>1.7 Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>372 Kyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>283.3</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Highlights

- Most Neoproterozoic iron formation are Cryogenian in age and associated with glaciation.
- Neoproterozoic iron formation does not require whole ocean anoxia.
- Mafic volcanism and glaciation tipped the balance towards ferruginous conditions.