



Exclusion of Elderly People from Randomized Clinical Trials of Drugs for Ischemic Heart Disease

Citation

Bourgeois, Florence T., Liat Orenstein, Sarita Ballakur, Kenneth D. Mandl, and John P. A. Ioannidis. 2017. "Exclusion of Elderly People from Randomized Clinical Trials of Drugs for Ischemic Heart Disease." *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* (March). doi:10.1111/jgs.14833.

Published Version

doi:10.1111/jgs.14833

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:33788483>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

1 **Exclusion of elderly persons in randomized clinical trials of drugs for**
2 **ischemic heart disease**

3
4 **Running title:** Exclusion of elderly persons in trials

5
6 **Authors:** Florence T. Bourgeois MD, MPH ^{1, 2, 3}, Liat Orenstein ³, Sarita Ballakur ⁴, Kenneth
7 D. Mandl MD, MPH ^{1, 2, 3}, and John P. A. Ioannidis MD, DSc ^{5, 6}

8
9
10
11
12 **Corresponding Author:**

13 Florence T Bourgeois MD, MPH
14 Computational Health Informatics Program
15 Boston Children's Hospital
16 300 Longwood Avenue
17 Boston, MA 02115
18 Phone: 617-355-6624
19 Email: florence.bourgeois@childrens.harvard.edu

20
21 **Author Affiliations:**

22 ¹ Division of Emergency Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA
23 ² Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
24 ³ Computational Health Informatics Program, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA
25 ⁴ Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Brown University, Providence, RI
26 ⁵ Stanford Prevention Research Center, Department of Medicine, Stanford University
27 School of Medicine, Stanford, CA
28 ⁶ Department of Health Research and Policy, Stanford University School of Medicine,
29 Stanford, CA

30
31 Abstract word count: 263

32 Main text word count: 2999

33 Tables: 2

34 Figures: 3

35
36
37 **Funding/Support:** Drs. Bourgeois, Ioannidis and Mandl were supported by a grant from
38 the National Institute on Aging (1R21AG043715), National Institutes of Health.
39
40
41
42
43

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Background/Objectives.** Evidence-based and patient-centered care of elderly adults
3 with ischemic heart disease requires clinical trials that study the patient population seen
4 by clinicians in practice. We aimed to measure the exclusion of elderly persons from
5 randomized trials studying drug interventions for ischemic heart disease and describe
6 the characteristics of these trials.

7 **Design and setting.** Interventional clinical trials studying a drug intervention for
8 ischemic heart disease and started 2006 and after were identified in ClinicalTrials.gov.
9 Data were extracted on study features, including age-based inclusion criteria. Data on
10 participants and their age distribution were collected from trial publications, investigator
11 inquiry, and result data in ClinicalTrials.gov.

12 **Measurements.** Proportion of trials excluding patients based on age, mean age of trial
13 participants, and proportion of enrolled participants who were ≥ 65 years and ≥ 75 years.

14 **Results.** Of 839 identified trials, 446 (53%) explicitly excluded elderly persons. The
15 most frequent upper age limits were 80 (n=164) and 75 (n=114) with a median upper
16 age limit of 80 (IQR 75, 80). Trials with upper age limit exclusions tended to be smaller
17 (median number of participants 100 vs 201, $p < 0.001$) and were more likely to be funded
18 primarily by non-industry sources (78.3% vs 70.0%, $p = 0.006$). The overall mean age of
19 trial participants was 62.7 years with a mean maximum age of 74 years. The estimated
20 proportion of participants that was ≥ 65 years was 42.5% and the estimated proportion
21 that was ≥ 75 years was 12.3%.

1 **Conclusion.** Despite the high burden of ischemic heart disease among elderly patients,
2 the majority of drug trials do not enroll participants reflective of age-related prevalence
3 of the disease.

4 **Key Words:** ischemic heart disease, evidence-based medicine, research methodology

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Patients 65 years of age and older comprise only 14% of the US population, but bear a
3 large and disproportionate amount of the healthcare burden.^{1,2} Greater than 60% of
4 patients with cancer, for example, and nearly 65% of patients hospitalized with heart
5 disease are older than 65 years.^{3,4} Overall, this age group consumes greater than a
6 third of total U.S. personal health care expenses every year as well as 30% of all
7 prescription drug costs.² However, there is strong evidence that elderly patients are
8 persistently excluded or underrepresented in clinical trials across a spectrum of
9 conditions including osteoarthritis, diabetes, and various cancer types.⁵⁻⁷ As many as
10 half of all clinical trials have explicit upper age limitations while others limit the
11 participation of older patients based on indirect exclusion criteria such as the presence
12 of comorbid conditions, cognitive impairment, or polypharmacy.^{5,8-10}

13
14 Ischemic heart disease represents a leading and increasing healthcare burden among
15 elderly patients worldwide, resulting in greater than 900,000 deaths annually in the U.S.
16 alone.^{11,12} Many more adults live with chronic symptoms and disability related to angina
17 pectoris, nonfatal myocardial infarction, and ischemic heart failure.¹¹ Age-specific
18 pathophysiological processes and unique features of disease management in the
19 elderly require treatment decisions that are carefully tailored to this population.^{13,14} A
20 number of trials examining cardiovascular disease over the past decade have
21 specifically focused on the study of elderly persons, but many trials—including those
22 influencing current treatment guidelines—study primarily younger patients.^{13,15,16}
23 Quantifying this gap and identifying the types of trials most likely to exclude elderly

1 patients may inform policies addressing research needs. Our aim was to measure the
2 extent to which elderly persons are excluded from randomized trials studying drug
3 interventions for ischemic heart disease and describe the characteristics of these trials.

4

5 **METHODS**

6 **Search strategy and inclusion criteria**

7 Clinical trials were selected from ClinicalTrials.gov, a publicly accessible, web-based
8 registry administered by the National Institutes of Health. As a result of federal
9 legislations and journal editor policies mandating trial registration prior to patient
10 enrollment, prospective trial registration has become standard practice.^{17,18}

11 ClinicalTrials.gov represents the most comprehensive trial registry and currently holds
12 data on approximately 218,000 trials, making it a powerful tool for the examination of
13 research activity and practices.

14

15 We searched ClinicalTrials.gov on a single day (January 15th, 2016) for interventional
16 trials addressing ischemic heart disease using the term “ischemic heart disease” in the
17 condition field of the registry’s advanced search form. Studies were considered eligible
18 if they were randomized controlled trials assessing drugs with a start date on or after
19 January 1st, 2006. Trials that were terminated or withdrawn were excluded, as were
20 trials specific to pediatric and adolescent populations, pregnancy and reproduction, or
21 sexually transmitted diseases.¹⁹ All trials were manually reviewed to ensure that they
22 studied the treatment or prevention of ischemic heart disease and that they met all
23 inclusion criteria.

1

2 **Collection of participant age data**

3 Publications describing trial results were sought for each of the trials in the study cohort.
4 We first searched the “publication” section of ClinicalTrials.gov and, if a publication was
5 not identified in the trial entry, Medline was searched via PubMed without language
6 restrictions using a systematic protocol.^{20,21} We considered a trial published if we
7 identified a peer-reviewed publication reporting on trial results. If multiple publications
8 were identified for a single trial, we reviewed each one to identify relevant participant
9 age data.

10

11 If a publication was not identified, or if it did not contain all the required data for our
12 analysis, we sought to contact the investigators. Email addresses for investigators were
13 identified in ClinicalTrials.gov, in prior publications, and via online searches. A standard
14 email was sent to investigators with one reminder sent two weeks later if no response
15 was received. For industry sponsored trials, if no investigator email address was
16 available or if no response was received after the total of four weeks, we attempted to
17 contact the pharmaceutical company via a company email address or online form on the
18 company website. Finally, if we were unable to identify a publication or to obtain all
19 relevant data for a trial, we searched for results within the ClinicalTrials.gov database.

20

21 **Data extraction for trial characteristics**

22 Data on trial characteristics were extracted from ClinicalTrials.gov, including year of
23 registration, study start and completion dates, primary funding source, trial phase,

1 primary outcome, sample size, participant age eligibility criteria, and characteristics of
2 the study design (e.g. comparator type, number of centers; Supplementary File S1). The
3 sample size listed in the registry entry initially represents the anticipated enrollment
4 number and can be updated by investigators to reflect the actual enrollment figure at the
5 end of the study. Since this field is not consistently updated and we examined studies
6 in various stages of conduct, we use sample size to refer to anticipated or actual
7 enrollment.

8
9 For each publication matched to a trial, we recorded the total number of participants
10 enrolled and extracted data on the age distribution of participants, including minimum
11 and maximum ages, mean (with standard deviations [SD]) or median ages (with
12 interquartile ranges [IQR]), and number of participants above specific age thresholds.
13 Data was collected for the entire study population as well as for each of the study arms,
14 whenever available.

15

16 **Statistical Analysis**

17 Descriptive statistics were used to present the proportion of trials excluding elderly
18 persons, the distribution of upper age limits, and the characteristics of the trials with and
19 without upper age limits. The maximum ages of patients actually enrolled were
20 estimated, whenever this information was not available, as the mean age + 2 standard
21 deviations (SD, Supplementary File S2)⁵. Univariate analysis with χ^2 tests and the 2-
22 sided t tests or Mann-Whitney tests were used for categorical and continuous variables,
23 respectively, to examine the association of trial characteristics with the explicit exclusion

1 of elderly persons. We performed a logistic regression to test for trends over time in the
2 prevalence of elderly exclusion, with upper age limit as the dependent variable and year
3 of study registration as the independent variable. We also performed a multivariate
4 logistic regression with all variables found to be significant in the univariate tests to
5 further examine factors predicting exclusion of elderly persons. Both forward and
6 backward stepwise procedures were used, and the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit
7 test was considered. Two-sided P values of <0.05 were considered statistically
8 significant.

9
10 The mean age for the entire enrolled trial population was calculated as a weighted
11 mean based on the sample size of each trial, and a combined SD was calculated based
12 on the mean age of the entire cohort and the sample size, standard deviation and mean
13 age of each trial.²² Different formulas were used for these calculations according to the
14 sample sizes (Supplementary File S2).^{22,23}

15
16 The proportions of participants above specific age thresholds enrolled in the trials were
17 calculated using age distribution information and the cumulative distribution function
18 (Supplementary File S2). For these calculations we assumed that the mean age and SD
19 were derived from a truncated normal distribution, as each trial has either a lower age
20 limit for the enrolled population (whenever not mentioned, a lower age limit of 18 was
21 assumed) or lower and upper age limits.²⁴⁻²⁶ All statistical analyses were conducted in
22 IBM SPSS Statistics version 23.0.

23

1 **RESULTS**

2 **Characteristics of trials and exclusion of elderly persons**

3 We identified 3777 registered interventional trials studying ischemic heart disease, of
4 which 839 met our inclusion criteria and represented randomized controlled trials of
5 drug interventions (Figure 1). The majority of these trials was funded primarily by non-
6 industry sponsors (74%) and conducted at single centers (60%) (Table 1). Over two-
7 thirds (68%) were phase 3 or 4 trials and 55% included a safety outcome. Only 23%
8 had sample sizes of 500 or more subjects, with a median of 120 subjects per trial. The
9 most common specific drug classes studied were anti-thrombotic agents (N=355 trials),
10 lipid-modifying agents (N=132 trials), and antihypertensive medications (N=67 trials).

11
12 A total of 446 trials (53%) explicitly excluded elderly persons. The most frequent upper
13 age limits were 80 (n=164) and 75 (n=114) with a median upper age limit of 80 (IQR 75,
14 80) (Supplementary Figure S1). Overall, 43% (n=361) of trials had an upper age limit of
15 80 or even lower. Among trials that did not have such an upper age limit, and for which
16 we could identify or impute the maximum age of participants (n=200), 17% (n=34) had
17 enrolled no patients who were over 80 years old. Logistic regression found a small but
18 significant increase in the proportion of trials that excluded elderly persons over the
19 study period (odds ratio 1.06 per year, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.01 to 1.12;
20 P=0.016) (Figure 2). When we limited the analysis to 2008 through 2015 (in order to
21 address potential biases in early adoption of ClinicalTrials.gov), there continued to be a
22 significant trend (odds ratio 1.07 per year, 95%CI 1.003 to 1.15; P=0.04)

23

1 Several trial characteristics were associated with trials that excluded elderly persons
2 (Table 1). Trials with upper age limit exclusions were more likely to be funded primarily
3 by non-industry sources, tended to be smaller, did not employ a multicenter design, and
4 were shorter in duration. An additional analysis was performed focusing on trials that
5 enrolled only patients less than 80 years of age (Supplementary Table S1). Study
6 phase, sample size, and study duration were associated with this age restriction.

7
8 In multivariate analysis, study phase, sample, size, multicenter status, and study
9 duration remained significantly associated with the use of any upper age limits (Table
10 2).

11

12 **Age of trial participants**

13 Publications describing trial results were identified for 308 (37%) of the trials. Based on
14 participant age data in these publications and using additional age information obtained
15 from investigators and from the results posted in ClinicalTrials.gov, we identified
16 participant age data for a total of 340 trials, representing 327,672 participants. For 334
17 of these trials, we identified data on the mean age and standard deviation (or median
18 and interquartile range) of participants. For 46 of the trials we identified information on
19 proportion of patients aged 65 or older available (either through a publication, a
20 ClinicalTrials.gov entry, or provided by the investigator), and we imputed this
21 information for the remainder, as described above. There were similar numbers of trials
22 with and without upper age eligibility criteria that had participant age data available (161
23 and 179 trials, respectively).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

The overall mean age of participants in the trials was 62.7 years with a mean maximum age of 74 years. The estimated proportion of participants that was 65 years and older was 42.5% and the estimated proportion that was 75 years and older was 12.3%. Figure 3 demonstrates the distribution of estimated proportion of patients who were 65 years or 75 years and older for each of the trials.

DISCUSSION

Our results suggest that clinical drug trials across the spectrum of ischemic heart disease are not adequately enrolling elderly patients, with greater than half the trials explicitly excluding patients based on upper age limits. Overall, there has been a slight increase in such exclusions over the past 10 years, in part due to changes in certain trial characteristics. Upper age limits were most frequently set at 75 and 80 years of age, resulting in a pronounced drop in the enrollment of participants 75 years of age and older.

The mean age of participants across trials for ischemic heart disease was 62.7 years, which is lower than the mean age reported for patients seeking medical care for ischemic heart disease. In a population-based surveillance study conducted in Olmsted County, Minnesota between 2005 and 2010, the mean age of 1244 patients hospitalized with acute coronary syndrome was 67.7 years.²⁷ Another large community-based study in the U. S. reported a mean age of 69 years among 46,086 patients hospitalized with myocardial infarction between 1999 and 2008.²⁸ In Sweden, in a national registry

1 comprised of almost 200,000 patients admitted to coronary care units for symptoms
2 suggestive of acute coronary syndromes between 1996 and 2008, the mean patient age
3 was 71 years.²⁹ The mean age in population studies is even about a decade higher for
4 patients with heart failure, a common complication of coronary artery disease.³⁰⁻³²

5
6 The proportion of participants enrolled in clinical trials that were 65 years and older and
7 75 years and older was 42.5% and 12.3%, respectively. When compared to the age
8 distribution of patients with ischemic heart disease, these rates appear low, particularly
9 for those 75 years of age and older, and less so for those 65 years or older. For
10 instance, based on the U.S. National Health Interview Survey conducted in 2012, 55.7%
11 of patients with coronary heart disease were 65 years and older.³³ Another study based
12 on a national sample of greater than 260,000 patients undergoing percutaneous
13 coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction in the U.S. from 2001 through
14 2009, found that 45.9% of patients were 65 years of age and older.³⁴ These rates are
15 not much higher than the rate we estimated for the trial participants. Conversely, the
16 divergence from population data becomes much more prominent at the 75 year
17 threshold. For example, in a community study in Olmsted County, among 2816 patients
18 hospitalized for myocardial infarction between 1987 and 2006, 39% were 75 years of
19 age or older.³⁵ Similarly, an analysis of 1.3 million hospitalizations for acute coronary
20 syndrome in Canada between 1994 and 2006 revealed that 40% of patients were older
21 than 75 years.³⁶

22

1 Our results are consistent with prior studies assessing the representation of elderly in
2 clinical trials related to ischemic heart disease. One study of 194 randomized controlled
3 trials cited in American Heart Association guidelines for acute coronary syndromes
4 found that the mean age of participants was 61 years, with 18% of trials attaining a
5 mean participant age of 65 years or older and none with mean ages of 75 years or
6 older.¹⁶ Another study examining 11 phase III clinical trials of patients with non-ST-
7 segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome between 1994 and 2010, revealed that
8 53% of participants were 65 years or older and only 20% were 75 years or older.¹⁵ Our
9 study adds to these findings by examining a large cohort of 839 randomized controlled
10 trials conducted over the past 10 years and addressing the wide spectrum of ischemic
11 heart disease. We provide new data indicating that the elderly continue to be excluded
12 from the most rigorous clinical studies—randomized controlled trials—and that this
13 practice has the greatest impact on the clinical evidence available to guide care for
14 patients 75 years of age and older.

15

16 Our study also demonstrates a registry-based approach for the ongoing assessment
17 and monitoring of elderly patients across a large number of trials. Mandatory
18 registration of interventional trials has led to an almost comprehensive dataset of clinical
19 trials in ClinicalTrials.gov, which can be used to examine participant enrollment
20 practices, including age-based exclusion. Ongoing monitoring would help inform
21 guidance and requirements for the enrolment and study of elderly patients.

22

1 Results derived from trials on younger patients cannot necessarily be extrapolated to
2 the elderly because of age-related differences in pathophysiology and unique
3 considerations in defining patient-centric therapeutic targets.^{3,37} In addition, the
4 pharmacologic characteristics and toxicity of certain medications are age-specific, with
5 the tolerability and effectiveness reduced among older patients.^{13,38,39} On the other
6 hand, claimed age differences in treatment effectiveness and/or harms may represent
7 spurious findings of subgroup differences that are prone to false-positive results.⁴⁰ In the
8 absence of sufficient evidence on elderly participants one runs the risk of missing
9 important age-treatment interactions as well as of falsely claiming such interactions.

10

11 Recommendations have been issued by regulatory agencies to encourage the study of
12 pharmaceuticals in elderly persons.^{41,42} A guideline developed by the FDA in 1989,
13 states that “there is no good basis for the exclusion of patients on the basis of age
14 alone, or because of the presence of any concomitant illness or medication, unless
15 there is reason to believe that the concomitant illness or medication will endanger the
16 patient or lead to confusion in interpreting the results of the study”.⁴¹ The International
17 Conference of Harmonization also published a guideline in 1993 recommending the
18 inclusion of elderly patients in clinical drugs trials that are likely to be relevant in this
19 population.⁴² By contrast, the U.S. Congress in the National Institutes of Health (NIH)
20 Revitalization Act of 1993 included provisions for the inclusion of women and minorities
21 in clinical trials, but unfortunately did not include requirements for older patients.⁴³ The
22 NIH should consider requiring that investigators outline plans to recruit elderly patients

1 and provide targets for the proportions to be recruited in certain age groups, in line with
2 disease distribution in the general population.⁴⁴

3
4 One of the limitations of this study is that we examined the exclusion of elderly patients
5 only due to explicit age criteria and many more elderly patients may be excluded due to
6 indirect exclusions such as the presence of co-morbid conditions or functional
7 impairments.^{9,45} We could indirectly document the additional impact of such exclusions,
8 because we found that many trials did not have any participants over 80 years old, even
9 though they did not include an upper age eligibility criterion. Our results may therefore
10 underestimate the overall exclusion of elderly patients. In addition, because data in
11 ClinicalTrials.gov are provided by investigators, the information cannot be verified and
12 include occasional incomplete data elements. However, data entered in the registry
13 undergo quality assurance measures by ClinicalTrials.gov prior to public posting and we
14 were able to obtain additional data as needed through direct contact with investigators.
15 Nonetheless, we were not able to obtain all participant age information from the registry
16 or publications, and certain imputations were required. We performed several quality
17 control checks to examine the procedures and these indicated that the methods and
18 results were highly reliable (see Supplementary File 2).

19
20 In conclusion, despite the high burden of ischemic heart disease among elderly
21 patients, the majority of drug trials for this condition continues to exclude elderly
22 patients, with patients 75 years of age and older particularly underrepresented. Current

1 trial practices and policies around the inclusion of elderly patients should be reexamined
2 in order to ensure the applicability of trial findings to this growing population.

3
4
5
6
7

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

8 **Conflicts of Interest:** None of the authors has any conflicts of interest to disclose. The
9 authors have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose

10 **Author contributions:** Bourgeois, Mandl, Ioannidis: study concept and design.
11 Bourgeois, Orenstein, Ballakur, Mandl, Ioannidis: analysis and interpretation of data.
12 Bourgeois, Orenstein: initial draft of manuscript. Bourgeois, Orenstein, Ballakur, Mandl,
13 Ioannidis: critical revision and final approval of manuscript.

14
15 **Sponsor's role:** The sponsor had no role in the design, conduct, writing, or decision to
16 publish this study.

17
18

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

19
20
21 **Supplementary File S1.** Coding and Definitions of Trial Characteristics
22 **Supplementary File S2.** Statistical Analysis
23 **Supplementary Figure S1.** Distribution of Upper Age Limits
24 **Supplementary Table S1.** Characteristics of randomized controlled trials studying drug
25 interventions for ischemic heart disease by presence of an upper age limit lower than 80 years

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

1 REFERENCES

- 2
- 3 1. U.S. Census Bureau. United States Census Population Estimates. 2016;
4 <https://www.census.gov/popest/data/>. Accessed June 7, 2016.
- 5 2. Lassman D, Hartman M, Washington B, Andrews K, Catlin A. US health spending
6 trends by age and gender: selected years 2002-10. *Health Aff (Millwood)*.
7 2014;33(5):815-822.
- 8 3. Hutchins LF, Unger JM, Crowley JJ, Coltman CA, Jr., Albain KS. Underrepresentation
9 of patients 65 years of age or older in cancer-treatment trials. *The New England*
10 *journal of medicine*. 1999;341(27):2061-2067.
- 11 4. Hall MJ, DeFrances CJ, Williams SN, Golosinskiy A, Schwartzman A. National Hospital
12 Discharge Survey: 2007 summary. *Natl Health Stat Report*. 2010(29):1-20, 24.
- 13 5. Liberopoulos G, Trikalinos NA, Ioannidis JP. The elderly were under-represented in
14 osteoarthritis clinical trials. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2009;62(11):1218-1223.
- 15 6. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Carpena-Ruiz M, Montero-Errasquin B, Sanchez-Castellano C,
16 Sanchez-Garcia E. Exclusion of older adults from ongoing clinical trials about type 2
17 diabetes mellitus. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2013;61(5):734-738.
- 18 7. Sacher AG, Le LW, Leighl NB, Coate LE. Elderly patients with advanced NSCLC in
19 phase III clinical trials: are the elderly excluded from practice-changing trials in
20 advanced NSCLC? *J Thorac Oncol*. 2013;8(3):366-368.
- 21 8. Bayer A, Tadd W. Unjustified exclusion of elderly people from studies submitted to
22 research ethics committee for approval: descriptive study. *BMJ*.
23 2000;321(7267):992-993.
- 24 9. Cherubini A, Oristrell J, Pla X, et al. The persistent exclusion of older patients from
25 ongoing clinical trials regarding heart failure. *Archives of internal medicine*.
26 2011;171(6):550-556.
- 27 10. Van Spall HG, Toren A, Kiss A, Fowler RA. Eligibility criteria of randomized
28 controlled trials published in high-impact general medical journals: a systematic
29 sampling review. *Jama*. 2007;297(11):1233-1240.
- 30 11. Moran AE, Forouzanfar MH, Roth GA, et al. The global burden of ischemic heart
31 disease in 1990 and 2010: the Global Burden of Disease 2010 study. *Circulation*.
32 2014;129(14):1493-1501.
- 33 12. Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2015
34 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*.
35 2015;131(4):e29-322.
- 36 13. Lazzarini V, Mentz RJ, Fiuzat M, Metra M, O'Connor CM. Heart failure in elderly
37 patients: distinctive features and unresolved issues. *Eur J Heart Fail*.
38 2013;15(7):717-723.
- 39 14. Vetrano DL, Lattanzio F, Martone AM, et al. Treating heart failure in older and oldest
40 old patients. *Curr Pharm Des*. 2015;21(13):1659-1664.
- 41 15. Kragholm K, Goldstein SA, Yang Q, et al. Trends in Enrollment, Clinical
42 Characteristics, Treatment, and Outcomes According to Age in Non-ST-Segment-
43 Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes Clinical Trials. *Circulation*.
44 2016;133(16):1560-1573.

- 1 16. Sardar MR, Badri M, Prince CT, Seltzer J, Kowey PR. Underrepresentation of women,
2 elderly patients, and racial minorities in the randomized trials used for
3 cardiovascular guidelines. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2014;174(11):1868-1870.
- 4 17. DeAngelis C, Drazen JM, Frizelle FA, et al. Clinical trial registration: a statement from
5 the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. *Med J Aust.*
6 2004;181(6):293-294.
- 7 18. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007. Public Law No. 105-
8 185 2007.
- 9 19. Bugeja G, Kumar A, Banerjee AK. Exclusion of elderly people from clinical research: a
10 descriptive study of published reports. *BMJ.* 1997;315(7115):1059.
- 11 20. Bourgeois FT, Murthy S, Mandl KD. Outcome reporting among drug trials registered
12 in ClinicalTrials.gov. *Ann Intern Med.* 2010;153(3):158-166.
- 13 21. Ross JS, Tse T, Zarin DA, Xu H, Zhou L, Krumholz HM. Publication of NIH funded
14 trials registered in ClinicalTrials.gov: cross sectional analysis. *BMJ.* 2012;344:d7292.
- 15 22. Higgins JPT GS, ed *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*
16 *Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011].* The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.
- 17 23. Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median,
18 range, and the size of a sample. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2005;5:13.
- 19 24. Cohen A. Estimating the Mean and Variance of Normal Populations from Singly
20 Truncated and Doubly Truncated Samples. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics.*
21 1950;21(4):557-569.
- 22 25. Konrat C, Boutron I, Trinquart L, Auleley GR, Ricordeau P, Ravaud P.
23 Underrepresentation of elderly people in randomised controlled trials. The example
24 of trials of 4 widely prescribed drugs. *PloS one.* 2012;7(3):e33559.
- 25 26. Rossi P, Allenby G, McCulloch R. *Bayesian Statistics and Marketing.* John Wiley &
26 Sons; 2012.
- 27 27. Manemann SM, Gerber Y, Chamberlain AM, et al. Acute coronary syndromes in the
28 community. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2015;90(5):597-605.
- 29 28. Yeh RW, Sidney S, Chandra M, Sorel M, Selby JV, Go AS. Population trends in the
30 incidence and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction. *The New England journal of*
31 *medicine.* 2010;362(23):2155-2165.
- 32 29. Desta L, Jernberg T, Lofman I, et al. Incidence, temporal trends, and prognostic
33 impact of heart failure complicating acute myocardial infarction. The SWEDHEART
34 Registry (Swedish Web-System for Enhancement and Development of Evidence-
35 Based Care in Heart Disease Evaluated According to Recommended Therapies): a
36 study of 199,851 patients admitted with index acute myocardial infarctions, 1996 to
37 2008. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2015;3(3):234-242.
- 38 30. Gabet A, Juilliere Y, Lamarche-Vadel A, Vernay M, Olie V. National trends in rate of
39 patients hospitalized for heart failure and heart failure mortality in France, 2000-
40 2012. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2015;17(6):583-590.
- 41 31. Gerber Y, Weston SA, Berardi C, et al. Contemporary trends in heart failure with
42 reduced and preserved ejection fraction after myocardial infarction: a community
43 study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2013;178(8):1272-1280.
- 44 32. Schmidt M, Ulrichsen SP, Pedersen L, Botker HE, Sorensen HT. Thirty-year trends in
45 heart failure hospitalization and mortality rates and the prognostic impact of co-
46 morbidity: a Danish nationwide cohort study. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2016;18(5):490-499.

- 1 33. Blackwell DL, Lucas JW, Clarke TC. Summary health statistics for U.S. adults: national
2 health interview survey, 2012. *Vital Health Stat 10*. 2014(260):1-161.
- 3 34. Afana M, Brinjikji W, Cloft H, Salka S. Hospitalization costs for acute myocardial
4 infarction patients treated with percutaneous coronary intervention in the United
5 States are substantially higher than Medicare payments. *Clin Cardiol*. 2015;38(1):13-
6 19.
- 7 35. Roger VL, Weston SA, Gerber Y, et al. Trends in incidence, severity, and outcome of
8 hospitalized myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2010;121(7):863-869.
- 9 36. Ko DT, Newman AM, Alter DA, et al. Secular trends in acute coronary syndrome
10 hospitalization from 1994 to 2005. *Can J Cardiol*. 2010;26(3):129-134.
- 11 37. O'Hare AM, Kaufman JS, Covinsky KE, Landefeld CS, McFarland LV, Larson EB.
12 Current guidelines for using angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and
13 angiotensin II-receptor antagonists in chronic kidney disease: is the evidence base
14 relevant to older adults? *Ann Intern Med*. 2009;150(10):717-724.
- 15 38. Crivellari D, Bonetti M, Castiglione-Gertsch M, et al. Burdens and benefits of adjuvant
16 cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil and tamoxifen for elderly
17 patients with breast cancer: the International Breast Cancer Study Group Trial VII.
18 *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical*
19 *Oncology*. 2000;18(7):1412-1422.
- 20 39. Dieppe P, Bartlett C, Davey P, Doyal L, Ebrahim S. Balancing benefits and harms: the
21 example of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *BMJ*. 2004;329(7456):31-34.
- 22 40. Ioannidis JP. Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS medicine*.
23 2005;2(8):e124.
- 24 41. Food and Drug Administration. Guidelines for the study of drugs likely to be used in
25 the elderly. 1989;
26 <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm072048.pdf>. Accessed November 30, 2011.
- 28 42. International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for
29 Regulation of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. Studies in support of special
30 populations: Geriatrics E7. 1993;
31 [http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy](http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E7/Step4/E7_Guideline.pdf)
32 [/E7/Step4/E7_Guideline.pdf](http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E7/Step4/E7_Guideline.pdf). Accessed September 1, 2015.
- 33 43. National Institutes of Health. National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993
34 Subtitle B--Clinical Research Equity Regarding Women and Minorities. 1993.
- 35 44. Kitzman DW, Rich MW. Age disparities in heart failure research. *Jama*.
36 2010;304(17):1950-1951.
- 37 45. Heiat A, Gross CP, Krumholz HM. Representation of the elderly, women, and
38 minorities in heart failure clinical trials. *Archives of internal medicine*.
39 2002;162(15):1682-1688.
- 40
41
42
43
44
45

1 **Table 1.** Characteristics of randomized controlled trials studying drug interventions for
 2 ischemic heart disease by presence of an upper age limit

Variable		Upper Age Limit		Total (N=839)	P-Value
		Yes (N=446)	No (N=393)		
Primary industry funding, N (%)	No	349 (78.3)	275 (70.0)	624 (74.4)	0.006
	Yes	97 (21.7)	118 (30.0)	215 (25.6)	
Study phase, N (%)	Phase 1	27 (6.1)	6 (1.5)	33 (3.9)	< 0.001
	Phase 2	84 (18.8)	73 (18.6)	157 (18.7)	
	Phase 3	77 (17.3)	123 (31.3)	200 (23.8)	
	Phase 4	211 (47.3)	159 (40.5)	370 (44.1)	
	Unknown	47 (10.5)	32 (8.1)	79 (9.4)	
Sample size, Median (IQR) ¹		100.0 (55.75-222.75)	200.5 (82.0-910.0)	120 (60.0-.0 431.75)	< 0.001
Active comparator, N (%)	No active comparator	322 (72.2)	273 (69.5)	595 (70.9)	0.385
	Active comparator	124 (27.8)	120 (30.5)	244 (29.1)	
Blinding, N (%)	Double Blinding	222 (49.8)	201 (51.1)	423 (50.4)	0.069
	Single Blinding	74 (16.6)	44 (11.2)	118 (14.1)	
	No Blinding	150 (33.6)	148 (37.7)	298 (35.5)	
Multicenter design ² , N (%)	No	306 (69.1)	194 (49.9)	500 (60.1)	< 0.001
	Yes	137 (30.9)	195 (50.1)	332 (39.9)	
Registration year ³ , N (%)	2006	27 (6.1)	28 (7.2)	55 (6.6)	0.015
	2007	32 (7.2)	37 (9.5)	69 (8.3)	
	2008	45 (10.1)	41 (10.5)	86 (10.3)	
	2009	32 (7.2)	39 (10.0)	71 (8.5)	
	2010	46 (10.3)	42 (10.7)	88 (10.5)	
	2011	49 (11.0)	54 (13.8)	103 (12.3)	
	2012	60 (13.5)	44 (11.3)	104 (12.4)	
	2013	56 (12.6)	32 (8.2)	88 (10.5)	
	2014	45 (10.1)	42 (10.7)	87 (10.4)	
	2015	53 (11.9)	32 (8.2)	85 (10.2)	
Trial includes any safety outcome, N (%)	No	205 (46.0)	173 (44.0)	378 (45.1)	0.572
	Yes	241 (54.0)	220 (56.0)	461 (54.9)	
Study duration (Years) ⁴ , Median (IQR)		1.6 (1.0-2.4)	2.2 (1.3-3.1)	1.8 (1.0-2.8)	< 0.001

Anti-thrombotic agents, N (%)	No	257 (57.6)	227 (57.8)	484 (57.7)	0.968
	Yes	189 (42.4)	166 (42.2)	355 (42.3)	
Lipid modifying agents, N (%)	No	372 (83.4)	335 (85.2)	707 (84.3)	0.467
	Yes	74 (16.6)	58 (14.8)	132 (15.7)	
Antihypertensive drugs, N (%)	No	413 (92.6)	359 (91.3)	772 (92.0)	0.504
	Yes	33 (7.4)	34 (8.7)	67 (8.0)	

- 1
2 ¹ One trial with missing data on sample size
3 ² Seven trials with missing data on multicenter design status
4 ³ Three trials registered during 2004-2005 exclude
5 ⁴ For 9 trials we were unable to calculate study duration, due to missing completion dates.
6
7

8 **Table 2. Trial characteristics associated with the exclusion of elderly persons in**
9 **multivariate analysis**
10

Variable		OR	95% CI	P-Value
Study phase	Phase 1	-	-	0.023
	Phase 2	0.38	(0.14, 0.98)	
	Phase 3	0.25	(0.10, 0.66)	
	Phase 4	0.40	(0.16, 1.01)	
	Unknown	0.43	(0.16, 1.18)	
Sample size		0.9998	(0.9997, 1.0000)	0.006
Multicenter design	No	-	-	0.001
	Yes	0.59	(0.43, 0.82)	
Study duration (Years)		0.86	(0.77, 0.97)	0.010

- 26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

1 **LEGENDS**

2 **Figure 1.** Flow chart for trial inclusion. Age data was collected on the mean age and
3 standard deviation (or median and interquartile range) for the study population or for
4 each treatment arm and on the number of participants above specific age thresholds.

5
6 **Figure 2.** Explicit exclusion of elderly persons according to study registration year.
7 Percent of trials with an upper age limit was calculated from the 836 trials with
8 registration date between 2006 and 2015 (3 trials registered during 2004-2005 were
9 excluded). The proportion of trials with an upper age limit increased over time (odds
10 ratio 1.06 per year, 95% confidence interval 1.01 to 1.12; P=0.016).

11
12
13 **Figure 3.** Distribution of proportion of patients who are 65 years and older and 75 years
14 and older for each trial. There were 340 trials with data on proportion of patients 65
15 years and older and 334 trials with data for patients 75 years and older (6 trials gave
16 proportion for age 65 years and older, but did not give proportion for age 75 and older or
17 mean age and SD that could be used to calculate this proportion). The median
18 proportion of patients 65 years and older was 38% (IQR 26%-48%, range 0%-100%)
19 and the median proportion of patients 75 years and older was 9% (IQR 2%- 14%, range
20 0%-47%).

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32