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Common Blood Flow Changes across Visual Tasks: II. Decreases in Cerebral Cortex

Gordon L. Shulman, Julie A. Fiez, Maurizio Corbetta, Randy L. Buckner, Francis M. Miezin, Marcus E. Raichle, and Steven E. Petersen
Washington University School of Medicine

Abstract

Nine previous positron emission tomography (PET) studies of human visual information processing were reanalyzed to determine the consistency across experiments of blood flow decreases during active tasks relative to passive viewing of the same stimulus array. Areas showing consistent decreases during active tasks included posterior cingulate/precuneous (Brodmann area, BA 31/7), left (BAs 40 and 39/19) and right (BA 40) inferior parietal cortex, left dorsolateral frontal cortex (BA 8), left lateral inferior frontal cortex (BA 10/47), left inferior temporal gyrus (BA 20), a strip of medial frontal regions running along a dorsal-ventral axis (BAs 8, 9, 10, and 32), and the right amygdala.

Experiments involving language-related processes tended to show larger decreases than nonlanguage experiments. This trend mainly reflected blood flow increases at certain areas in the passive conditions of the language experiments (relative to a fixation control in which no task stimulus was present) and slight blood flow decreases in the passive conditions of the nonlanguage experiments. When the active tasks were referenced to the fixation condition, the overall size of blood flow decreases in language and nonlanguage tasks were the same.

INTRODUCTION

Task-dependent increases in cerebral blood flow are often demonstrated by comparing an active task to a passive condition in which the same stimulus is presented but the subject is not given a task. Surprisingly, large blood flow decreases are also observed in active minus passive images (Friston, Frith, Liddle, & Frackowiak, 1991; Haxby et al., 1994). Blood flow increases in a cortical region are usually assumed to reflect increases in neural activity at that region because of the importance of local, interneuronal processing within cortex. Correspondingly, blood flow decreases in a cortical area might reflect decreases in neuronal activity because the cells in that area are either more inhibited or less driven by afferent projections.

Explanations of active minus passive decreases in neural activity can be grouped into two general categories. First, decreases may reflect processes related to the active task. Active tasks may produce decreases in neural activity within an area that is tonically active. For example, the tonic activity of neurons in the substantia nigra pars reticulata is decreased during a saccade (Hikosaka & Wurtz, 1983). Similarly, an active task may inhibit an area that would normally respond in the task environment. Performance of a difficult visual discrimination in the presence of distracting auditory transients, for example, might produce inhibition of ongoing activity in auditory areas (Haxby et al., 1994). Friston et al. (1991) suggested that tasks involving the intrinsic generation of words increase activity in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, which then inhibits superior temporal regions involved in extrinsic word generation.

In these examples, different tasks decreased neural activity in different areas (e.g., the substantia nigra in a saccade task and auditory cortex in a visual discrimination task). It is also possible, however, that all active tasks...
inhibit the same areas. The aroused state typical of any active task, for example, may require the inhibition of particular areas.

Second, decreased blood flow during the active tasks may reflect the absence of processes that normally occur during the passive condition. Ongoing processes in the passive condition that are suspended during the active task, for example, might reflect monitoring of the external environment or unconstrained thought processes. This hypothesis implies that the passive condition engages a set of processes that are different from those engaged by most active tasks.

The interpretation of blood flow decreases during active tasks is partly constrained by their consistency across tasks. If each active task inhibits different areas (as in the saccade and visual discrimination examples), consistent decreases should not be found. If each active task inhibits the same area (as in the arousal example), similar decreases should be found across tasks. If decreases represent ongoing processes in the passive state, decreases should also generalize across active tasks, since the passive condition is similar across tasks.

This paper presents a reanalysis of nine PET studies of visual processing in order to determine the generality of blood flow decreases across tasks. Each study involved a set of active conditions, in which subjects performed a variety of tasks upon a stimulus, and a passive condition, in which the same stimulus was presented, but the subject was not given a task. Decreases that generalized across tasks were examined by averaging active minus passive blood flow changes across all studies.

RESULTS

Replication analyses

The reliability of the blood flow decreases in an overall megaimage that averaged active minus passive scan pairs across all experiments was determined (see previous paper, Shulman, Corbetta, Buckner, Fiez, et al., 1997, for details of method). Blood flow decreases were identified in a megaimage based on a hypothesis-generating (generate) group of scan pairs and tested for reliability, via a one-sample t test, in a nonoverlapping hypothesis-testing (test) group of scan pairs. Nineteen foci from the generate megaimage met the two selection criteria: (1) the magnitude of the active minus passive decreases was greater than 15 PET counts1 and (2) the sample size exceeded 50 subjects (Table 1). Sixteen of these foci replicated in the test group at a 0.05 level, Bonferroni corrected for the number of comparisons (p < 0.0026). Of these 16 foci, 14 corresponding foci were found in the generate plus test megaimage that combined the scan pairs from the generate and test groups. These foci (Figure 1) were located in the junction of the posterior cingulate and precuneous (BA 31/7), left (BAs 40 and 39/19) and right (BA 40) inferior parietal cortex, left dorsolateral frontal cortex (BA 8), an extended medial strip running dorsal-ventral in frontal cortex (BAs 8, 9, and 10) and continuing through inferior anterior cingulate (BA 32), left inferior frontal cortex (BA10/47), the left inferior temporal gyrus (BA 20), and the right amygdala.

Analyses of Additional Blood Flow Changes

The generate plus test megaimage was analyzed for all decreases whose magnitude exceeded 10 PET counts. Foci not previously found from the replication analysis generally had smaller z-scores and magnitudes (Table 2). Some foci had coordinates homologous to a region noted in Table 1 in the other hemisphere (e.g., right anterior parietal cortex (BA 40), left amygdala, right inferior temporal gyrus (BA 20), and right prefrontal cortex (BA 10)). Of all foci less than 10 PET counts, only one, in BA 24/31, had a z-score that exceeded 3.08. While some foci in Table 2 may reflect "real" decreases, their reliability is uncertain since they were not tested for replicability. Subsequent analyses are limited to the 14 foci from the replication analysis.

Between-Experiments Analyses

Several analyses examined the consistency across experiments of the decreases at the 14 foci.

Between-Experiments ANOVAs

Figures 2 through 5 show the magnitude for each of the 14 foci across the nine experiments, with parietal lobe foci in Figure 2, frontal lobe foci in Figures 3 and 4, and temporal lobe foci in Figure 5. Decreases were present at most foci for most experiments, but significant variation across experiments was noted for 8 foci (p values for a 1 factor between-subjects ANOVA with Experiment as the factor are shown in Figures 2 through 5). Subsequent analyses partly explore the cause of this variation.

Single-Process Analyses

The consistency of the 14 foci across broadly defined processes (e.g., language-related or nonlanguage processes) was examined. Language, nonlanguage, motor (e.g., motor responses occurred in the active but not passive condition), and matched-motor (e.g., motor responses in the active and passive conditions were identical) megaimages were constructed by combining the appropriate experiments (Tables 3 and 4). Decreases were present at most foci for all four megaimages, but there were clear exceptions. The blood flow decrease at the right inferior parietal focus (BA 40) only occurred very weakly in the nonlanguage and matched-motor megaimages. Weak decreases were also seen in the non-
Table 1. The left columns show the coordinates of foci from the generate megaimage that replicated and the magnitudes and p values for the replication in the test group. The right columns show the coordinates, sample sizes, magnitudes, and z-scores of foci from the generate plus test megaimage that were nearest those generate foci that replicated with a Bonferroni correction. In some cases, a separate generate plus test focus could not be found for a focus from the generate megaimage (e.g., L 40). The first column shows the number of each focus displayed in Figure 1. The superscript a refers to test statistics collected with a 50% sampling criterion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Focus No.</th>
<th>Generate coordinate</th>
<th>Test Magn.</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Generate plus Test</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mag.</th>
<th>z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parietal Lobe</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1 -35 34 -10</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31/7</td>
<td>1 -7 -53 34 -20</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>-5 -49 40 130 -21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 40</td>
<td>2 -57 -35 40 -16</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>-53 -39 42 128 -17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 40</td>
<td>4 -49 -55 36 -17</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 39/19</td>
<td>3 -43 -69 36 -12</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>-45 -67 36 131 -20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 40</td>
<td>4 49 -55 40 -11</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>45 -57 34 131 -16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>L lateral 8</td>
<td>5 -29 29 40 -13</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>-27 27 40 131 -17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 8/9</td>
<td>6 -9 43 42 -10</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.002</td>
<td>-11 41 42 131 -17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 8/9</td>
<td>7 11 43 44 -10</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>5 49 36 132 -17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 9</td>
<td>8 -17 63 18 -11</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.002</td>
<td>-15 55 26 131 -17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 10</td>
<td>9 -1 55 10 -21</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>-19 57 8 125 -20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 (superior)</td>
<td>-1 55 10 -21</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>-1 47 -4 95 -29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1 49 -6 -26</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>-1 47 -4 95 -29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 10/47</td>
<td>11 -35 47 -6 -14</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.005</td>
<td>-33 45 -6 87 -16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12 -3 19 -14 -12a</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
<td>3 31 -10 73 -17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Lobe</td>
<td>L 20</td>
<td>13 -47 -23 -14</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
<td>-49 -19 -18 65 -17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L amygdala</td>
<td>-21 1 -20 -10a</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>14 17 -7 -18 -13a</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>21 -9 -18 55 -20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

language megaimage for the right amygdala and inferior anterior cingulate (BA 32) and in the matched-motor megaimage for left inferior frontal cortex (BA 10/47). Blood flow decreases at all foci were generally larger for experiments involving a language or motor factor. Because of the confounding of variables across experiments (particularly language and motor variables), however, between-experiments comparisons must be interpreted cautiously. Post-hoc contrasts are also only warranted for foci showing differences in the overall ANOVA.

In summary, decreases at most foci were consistent across experiments and were not limited to active tasks involving language, nonlanguage, or simple motor execution, although they may have been modulated by these processes. The strong between-experiments variation for right inferior parietal cortex (BA 40), however, may have reflected a language/motor requirement.

Within-Experiment Analyses

Decreases at the megaimage foci did not generally differ across the conditions within an experiment, but significant differences were found between the verb-generation and read tasks of the Language and Practice Language experiments. Several parietal areas [left (t(19) = 3.11, p < 0.01) and right (t(19) = 3.70, p < 0.005) BA 40 and BA 31/7 (t(19) = 2.46, p < 0.025)] showed larger decreases in the verb-generation than read tasks, while two left frontal areas [BA10/47 (t(19) = 3.77, p < 0.005) and BA 9 (t(19) = 2.41, p = 0.026)]
showed larger decreases in the read than verb-generation task.

These within-experiment differences were consistent with the between-experiments comparisons. For example, right inferior parietal cortex (BA 40), which showed larger decreases in the language-related experiments, also showed larger decreases in the more demanding linguistic task (i.e., verb generation). The correlation over the 14 foci between the verb-generation minus read and the language minus nonlanguage magnitudes (from Table 4) was 0.80 (p < 0.0005; Figure 6). This congruence of the within- and between-experiments analyses suggests that at least some of the significant differences between the language and nonlanguage megaimages were not due to correlated motor factors or stimulus eccentricity, which were both equated in the verb-generation and read tasks.

The above comparison of the verb-generation and read tasks was conducted for those conditions in which subjects viewed new word lists. Raichle et al. (1994) showed that practicing the verb-generation task with the same word list changed the blood flow pattern produced by that task, relative to the read task. A verb-generation minus read subtraction, for example, yielded less activity after practice in left prefrontal cortex. Practice also made the verb generation considerably easier, reflected in a sharp reduction in reaction time (Raichle et al., 1994). An analysis of the data from the Raichle et al. experiment (e.g., Practice Language) yielded an interaction of Task (verb generation, read) and Practice (naive, practiced, novel) at the left inferior frontal (BA 10/47) megaimage focus (F(2, 14) = 3.87, p < 0.05). While decreases were greater for the read than verb-generation task during the novel and naive conditions, equivalent decreases were found in the practiced conditions. This result is consistent with the earlier report. No significant interactions, however, were found at the parietal foci that showed larger decreases during the verb-generation.
Effects of Motor Responses on Blood Flow Decreases

Motor responses were made in some passive conditions, but not others, while responses were never made in the fixation condition. Passive minus fixation magnitudes for conditions that did (unmatched-motor) or did not (matched-motor) involve a response were measured at the foci from the active minus passive megaimage in order to determine whether simple motor responses could produce decreases at those foci. Magnitudes and z-scores were similar in the matched- and unmatched-literature experiments (which involved letter strings) were moderately positive at several left hemisphere foci (Table 5), while magnitudes in the nonlanguage experiments tended to be slightly negative (i.e., blood flow was greater in the fixation than in the passive condition).

Rinspection of the nonlanguage megaimage for local changes (with magnitudes exceeding 15 PET counts) near the active minus passive foci yielded no increases or decreases. The language megaimage, however, yielded increases in left hemisphere regions near those in Table 5 (left BAS 20,9,8/9,10/47, and 40) and sizable decreases in the posterior cingulate and precuneous (coordinate = 9, -49, 44; magnitude = -32, z = -4.2; coordinate = -1, -63, 34; magnitude = -36, z = -4.9; coordinate = 5, -79, 44; magnitude = -30, z = -4.4).

These results indicate that the passive baseline was shifted (relative to fixation) in several areas in the language and nonlanguage experiments. The increased blood flow at certain foci caused by the passive presence of a letter string made the active minus passive decreases at those foci even larger relative to the decreases that would have been obtained if the fixation point condition were used as a control. Conversely, the slightly decreased blood flow in nonlanguage passive minus fixation scan pairs made the active minus passive decreases smaller.

The passive presence of a letter string produced a pattern of changes at the active minus passive foci that was similar to the pattern of changes produced at these foci by the verb-generation task relative to the read task. The correlation across foci between the passive minus fixation magnitudes in the language megaimage and the verb-generation minus read magnitudes was 0.63 (p < 0.02; Figure 6). Therefore, differences between the verb-generation and read tasks in the within-experiment analyses were not solely due to the greater difficulty of the verb-generation task.

Active Minus Fixation Analyses

An active minus fixation megaimage was constructed in order to explore the implications of the shifted baselines in the language and nonlanguage experiments. The magnitudes in this megaimage at the 14 active minus passive foci were well approximated by adding the active minus passive and passive minus fixation magnitudes. Across foci, the average active minus fixation decrease was -16 PET counts for both the language and nonlanguage experiments. This result confirms that the larger active minus passive decreases in the language than nonlanguage studies (Table 4) were caused by shifts in the corresponding passive conditions.

Effects of Stimuli on Blood Flow Decreases

Since the stimulus in most fixation conditions was simply a small fixation cross, an analysis of the passive minus fixation data at the active minus passive foci also indicated whether a stimulus could produce blood flow changes at these foci. Passive minus fixation magnitudes

Table 2. All blood flow decreases exceeding a magnitude criterion of -10 PET counts in the generate plus test overall megaimage that were not listed in the right panel of Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lobe</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mag. z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parietal Lobe</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-43</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>-12, -3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>-11, -4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>-12, -4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-12, -4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 46</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-11, -4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L insula</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-11, -3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R  insula</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-10, -3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-12, -3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 47</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-12, -4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 47</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-15, -3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Lobe</td>
<td>R 20</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-14, -3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 20/21</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-11, -4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 22</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>-11, -4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 35/36</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>-10, -3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L  amygdala</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-19, -3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

than read task. Decreases at these foci were not affected by changes in the difficulty of the verb-generation task.

Passive Minus Fixation Analyses

Effects of Motor Responses on Blood Flow Decreases

Motor responses were made in some passive conditions, but not others, while responses were never made in the fixation condition. Passive minus fixation magnitudes for conditions that did (unmatched-motor) or did not (matched-motor) involve a response were measured at the foci from the active minus passive megaimage in order to determine whether simple motor responses could produce decreases at those foci. Magnitudes and z-scores were similar in the matched- and unmatched-motor megaimages, indicating that motor responses were not sufficient to produce decreases.

Effects of Stimuli on Blood Flow Decreases

Since the stimulus in most fixation conditions was simply a small fixation cross, an analysis of the passive minus fixation data at the active minus passive foci also indicated whether a stimulus could produce blood flow changes at these foci. Passive minus fixation magnitudes in the language experiments (which involved letter strings) were moderately positive at several left hemisphere foci (Table 5), while magnitudes in the nonlanguage experiments tended to be slightly negative (i.e., blood flow was greater in the fixation than in the passive condition).

Rinspection of the nonlanguage megaimage for local changes (with magnitudes exceeding 15 PET counts) near the active minus passive foci yielded no increases or decreases. The language megaimage, however, yielded increases in left hemisphere regions near those in Table 5 (left BAS 20,9,8/9,10/47, and 40) and sizable decreases in the posterior cingulate and precuneous (coordinate = 9, -49, 44; magnitude = -32, z = -4.2; coordinate = -1, -63, 34; magnitude = -36, z = -4.9; coordinate = 5, -79, 44; magnitude = -30, z = -4.4).

These results indicate that the passive baseline was shifted (relative to fixation) in several areas in the language and nonlanguage experiments. The increased blood flow at certain foci caused by the passive presence of a letter string made the active minus passive decreases at those foci even larger relative to the decreases that would have been obtained if the fixation point condition were used as a control. Conversely, the slightly decreased blood flow in nonlanguage passive minus fixation scan pairs made the active minus passive decreases smaller.

The passive presence of a letter string produced a pattern of changes at the active minus passive foci that was similar to the pattern of changes produced at these foci by the verb-generation task relative to the read task. The correlation across foci between the passive minus fixation magnitudes in the language megaimage and the verb-generation minus read magnitudes was 0.63 (p < 0.02; Figure 6). Therefore, differences between the verb-generation and read tasks in the within-experiment analyses were not solely due to the greater difficulty of the verb-generation task.

Active Minus Fixation Analyses

An active minus fixation megaimage was constructed in order to explore the implications of the shifted baselines in the language and nonlanguage experiments. The magnitudes in this megaimage at the 14 active minus passive foci were well approximated by adding the active minus passive and passive minus fixation magnitudes. Across foci, the average active minus fixation decrease was -16 PET counts for both the language and nonlanguage experiments. This result confirms that the larger active minus passive decreases in the language than nonlanguage studies (Table 4) were caused by shifts in the corresponding passive conditions.

Active minus fixation increases were significantly greater for the language than nonlanguage experiments in the right inferior parietal cortex (BA 40) (t(74) = 2.59, p < 0.02) and precuneous/posterior cingulate (BA 31/7) (t(74) = 2.67, p < 0.01) and significantly greater for the
nonlanguage than language experiments in left inferior frontal cortex (BA 10/47) \((t(42) = 2.26, p < 0.05)\). These differences (Figure 7) parallel the differences noted earlier between the verb-generation and read tasks. The correlation between the verb-generation minus read (active minus passive) magnitudes and the language minus nonlanguage (active minus fixation) magnitudes was 0.78 \((p < 0.001)\). If the verb-generation minus read magnitudes are taken from only the Practice Language experiment, which did not contribute any active minus fixation data, the correlation was 0.67 \((p < 0.01)\).

While language-nonlanguage differences in parietal areas were also found in the active minus passive analysis, the left inferior frontal difference was not previously seen. The active minus passive decrease for left inferior frontal cortex (BA 10/47) was similar for the language and nonlanguage experiments (Table 4). Since the passive presence of a letter string produced increases in this area, however, the net active minus fixation decrease was larger for the nonlanguage than language studies.

**DISCUSSION**

Following a summary of the results, this section discusses (1) the effects of several correlated variables on decreases in order to isolate the critical variables, (2) the methodological implications of the results, (3) a nonfunctional explanation of decreases involving the redistribution of the blood supply, (4) a functional explanation related to active task processes, (5) a functional...
Figure 3. Frontal lobe decreases in the overall megaimage. See Figure 2 caption for details.

Results Summary

1. A set of 14 foci in frontal, parietal, and temporal lobes, as well as the amygdala, showed highly reliable active minus passive decreases that were present in most or many experiments. A similar consistency in cerebral cortex was not present for active minus passive increases, aside from the expected motor or sensory effects (Shulman, Corbetta, Buckner, Fiez, et al., 1997).

2. Blood flow decreases did not generally show significant differences across active tasks within an experiment, but the verb-generation task produced larger decreases than the read task in parietal areas (BAs 40 and 31/7) and smaller decreases in left frontal areas (BAs 9 and 10/47).

3. Language tasks produced larger decreases in parietal areas (BAs 40 and 31/7) when tasks were referenced to either a fixation point or passive baseline. Nonlanguage tasks produced larger decreases in a left inferior frontal region (BA 10/47) when tasks were referenced to a fixation baseline. This reciprocal pattern mirrored that shown for the verb-generation and read tasks. Language minus nonlanguage magnitudes were highly correlated across active minus passive foci with verb-generation minus read magnitudes.

4. Production of a simple motor response was not explanation related to ongoing processes in the passive state, and (6) hypotheses concerning why these passive processes may be suspended during active tasks.
sufficient to produce decreases at the foci from the active minus passive megaimage. The presence of a letter string, however, increased blood flow at several left hemisphere foci (BAs 20, 9, 8/9, 10/47, and 40), produced sizable decreases in the precuneous, and showed a pattern of changes at the active minus passive foci similar to that produced by the verb-generation task relative to the read task.

Variables Affecting Blood Flow Decreases

Significant between-experiments differences were found at a number of megaimage foci but were difficult to interpret because the main variables distinguishing the experiments were highly correlated: motor response in the active but not passive condition, language/task difficulty (i.e., the language tasks may have been more difficult than the nonlanguage tasks), and stimulus eccentricity.

Language/Task Difficulty versus Motor

Two results suggested that the language/task difficulty variable rather than the motor variable contributed to the between-experiments differences. First, between-experiments language/nonlanguage differences were paralleled by within-experiment differences in the verb-generation and read tasks, in which the motor response was held constant. Second, the passive minus fixation data indicated that simple motor processes were not sufficient to produce decreases.

Language versus Task Difficulty

Task difficulty cannot explain the language/nonlanguage differences at both the parietal and left frontal foci because they were opposite in sign (i.e., larger parietal decreases in the language experiments and larger frontal decreases in the nonlanguage experiments). Differences

Figure 4. Frontal lobe decreases in the overall megaimage. See Figure 2 caption for details.
Table 3. Sample sizes, magnitudes, and z-scores of decreases at the overall megaimage foci for those experiments in which the active task involved a motor response but no response was made in the passive (unmatched-motor) task and for those experiments in which the motor requirements of the active and passive tasks were the same (matched-motor). The last column shows the two-tailed p value for an unpaired t test comparing the magnitudes of the decreases in the unmatched- and matched-motor experiments. ns refers to p > 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Unmatched-motor</th>
<th>Matched-motor</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mag.</td>
<td>z-score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal Lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/7</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 40</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 39/19</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 40</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal Lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L lateral 8</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 8/9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 8/9</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 9</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 10</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 10/47</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Sample sizes, magnitudes, and z-scores of decreases at the overall megaimage foci for those experiments in which the active task involved language or nonlanguage related processes. The last column shows the two-tailed p value for an unpaired t test comparing the magnitudes of the decreases for the language and nonlanguage experiments. ns refers to p > 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Nonlanguage</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mag.</td>
<td>z-score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal Lobe</td>
<td>31/7</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 40</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 39/19</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 40</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal Lobe</td>
<td>L lateral 8</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 8/9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 8/9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 10</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 10/47</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Lobe</td>
<td>L 20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

between the verb-generation and read tasks at these frontal and parietal foci also varied in sign. The absence of any significant Task by Practice interactions in the Practice Language experiment at the parietal foci raises further problems for a task-difficulty explanation of the larger decreases at those foci during the verb-generation task. Larger decreases were not observed in the more difficult color-form than in the color conditions of Visual Search 1 and 2 (except for BA right 8/9 in Visual Search 2) or in the more difficult conjunction than in the feature conditions of Visual Search 3. Finally, the passive minus fixation language megaimage produced a blood flow pattern similar to that in the verb-generation versus read comparison, again raising problems for a task difficulty explanation.

Language versus Eccentricity

The language experiments involved stimulus displays that were more foveal (e.g., all letter strings were presented 1° below fixation and subtended less than 5°) than the nonlanguage experiments, which involved large field (Successive Same-Different Discrimination), para-foveal (Visual Search 2, Visual Search 3, Spatial Attention), or peripheral (Visual Search 1) displays. These eccentricity differences may explain the larger active minus passive decreases in the precuneous/posterior cingulate (BA 31/7) in the language experiments, since this region also showed prominent passive minus fixation decreases in these experiments (see below).

Methodological Implications

The Passive as a Control Condition

Although many studies have included a passive control in the experimental design, there may be concerns that this condition is too underspecified to provide a reliable control. The present analysis indicates that passive conditions across a wide variety of experiments produce a consistent set of blood flow changes and can serve as one control state.

Task-Specific Blood Flow Decreases

A blood flow decrease during an active task at any focus in Tables 2 or 3 does not reflect the operation of pro-
cesses unique to that task. Conversely, a reliable decrease at a different focus probably reflects a process relatively specific to the active task. The megamage foci therefore provide useful information for interpreting the decreases produced by a task. The present dataset also contained task-specific decreases, although they were not discussed.

Blood Flow Decreases Caused by Redistribution of the Blood Supply

One explanation of the observed blood flow decreases concerns the redistribution of cerebral blood flow. The apparent constancy of the blood supply to the brain has led to suggestions that large blood flow increases in some areas may require decreases in other areas (e.g., see Haxby et al., 1994). Decreases, however, were often not accompanied by increases in neighboring regions (e.g., the right parietal decreases during the language tasks or the left frontal decreases during the nonlanguage tasks). Similarly, the present decreases occurred in the absence of any evidence for corresponding increases in cortex that generalized over tasks (Shulman, Corbetta, Buckner, Fiez, et al., 1997). More generally, this hypothesis fails to take into consideration the enormous reserve capacity of the brain circulation to respond to changes in the metabolic and circulatory demands of the brain. This is dramatically demonstrated during the increased metabolic demands of convulsions. In both experimental animals (Plum, Posner, & Troy, 1968) and humans (Broderson et al., 1973; Posner, Plum, & Van Poznak, 1969) overall brain blood flow and metabolism can increase severalfold. This not only results from the remarkable capacity of the normal brain vasculature to reduce its resistance and, hence, increase flow (Reivich, 1964) but also the capacity of the systemic circulation to support a large change in the circulatory demands of the brain by increasing cardiac output, manyfold if necessary, and increase peripheral resistance (Plum, 1968; Posner, 1969). The changes in brain blood flow during cognitive activation experiments are usually in the range of 10% or less, hardly a serious physiologic challenge to the normal brain vasculature.

Blood Flow Decreases Caused by Active Task Processes

Active minus passive decreases may reflect decreased activity related to active task processes or suspension of activity related to ongoing processes in the passive condition. If the present decreases reflected active task processes, these processes are not task-specific. This would contrast with the result of the preceding paper that active tasks do not produce common cortical increases. Although it seems unlikely that a single inhibitory process would encompass such a divergent set of cortical areas, subsets of these areas might reflect different processes.

Figure 6. Scatterplots of magnitudes at the 14 active minus passive foci showing the relationship between (a) active minus passive decreases in the verb-generation minus read tasks and language minus nonlanguage experiments and (b) active minus passive decreases in the verb-generation minus read tasks and passive minus fixation increases in the language tasks.
Table 5. Passive minus fixation data. Sample sizes, magnitudes, and z-scores for passive minus fixation changes at coordinates for the active minus passive megimage foci. The left panel shows data from experiments involving linguistic stimuli (e.g., letter strings), while the right panel shows data from experiments involving nonlinguistic stimuli. The last column shows the two-tailed p value for an unpaired t test comparing the magnitudes of the passive minus fixation changes for the language and nonlanguage experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Nonlanguage</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mag.</td>
<td>z-score</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mag.</td>
<td>z-score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal Lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/7</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 39/19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal Lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L lateral 8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>= 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 8/9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 8/9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 10/47</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>= 0.051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arousal

Maquet et al. (1996) have measured PET activation during REM sleep, slow-wave sleep, and wakefulness. They report that REM sleep decreased blood flow, relative to the other states, in left and right parietal cortex, the precuneous and posterior cingulate, and several regions in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Since these foci were roughly 10 to 15 mm from the corresponding foci reported here, it is unclear if they represent the same areas. If REM sleep is an “active” state, while slow-wave sleep and wakefulness are low arousal states, a correspondence would suggest that decreases in these regions during the active tasks of the present report reflect changes in arousal. Maquet et al. also report, however, that REM sleep produced increases in the amygdala (9 mm from the present focus) and did not affect other foci from the present work, suggesting that an arousal interpretation may only account for a limited number of foci.

Inhibition of Irrelevant Sensory Modalities

Since all tasks involved visual stimuli, decreases may represent inhibition of task-irrelevant modalities such as somesthesia or audition (Haxby et al., 1994; Shulman, Corbetta, Buchner, Raichle, et al., 1997). Although none of the decreases occurred in primary sensory areas, some occurred in association cortex (e.g., the posterior insula, Table 2).

Suppression of Habitual Response Systems

Drevets and Raichle (in press) suggest that ventromedial frontal cortex and amygdala might show a reciprocal relationship with regions involved in cognitive processing. Based on evidence linking ventromedial frontal cortex to the generation and regulation of emotional states (Carmichael & Price, 1995; Damasio, Tranel, & Damasio, 1990; Drevets et al., 1992), they speculate that ventromedial areas are inhibited during difficult cognitive tasks.
Raichle et al. (1994) have contrasted two verbal response selection pathways, a sylvian/insular pathway that mediates relatively automatic or practiced behavior and a frontal/cingulate pathway that is active during unpracticed tasks requiring attentional involvement. Raichle suggests (personal communication, April 1997) that emotional arousal produces ventromedial frontal activity that favors the automatic pathway (e.g., under stress, the organism is biased toward habitual responses) and interferes with the performance of nonautomatic tasks. If nonautomatic tasks inhibit ventromedial areas to prevent this interference, decreases in ventromedial frontal cortex and the amygdala should be larger during the unpracticed verb-generation task than the read task. Decreases in these areas in the verb-generation task were slightly greater than in the read task, but the differences were not significant.

Blood Flow Decreases Caused by Ongoing Processes in the Passive State

Unconstrained Verbal Thought Processes

During the passive condition, subjects may think verbally about a variety of topics unrelated to the active tasks, producing left-hemisphere changes in superior and inferior frontal cortex and inferior temporal cortex. These regions showed larger decreases in the read than generate task and/or greater increases during the passive presentation of a letter string than a nonlanguage stimulus. Mazoyer et al. (1993) report that listening to prose passages increased blood flow in left inferior and superior frontal cortex, the middle temporal gyrus, and the temporal pole. Studies of single-word processing have also reported left frontal activity (Petersen, Fox, Posner, Mintun, & Raichle, 1989; Price, Wise, & Frackowiak, 1996).
Although increases in left inferior temporal regions have not been consistently observed (temporal lobe increases are usually more posterior and/or superior (Fiez, Raichle, Balota, Tallal, & Petersen, 1996), but see Price et al. (1996)), Bookheimer, Zeffiro, Blaxton, Gaillard, & Theodore, (1995) report that silent, but not overt, word reading produced left inferior temporal increases. They also found increases in left inferior and superior frontal cortex.

**Monitoring of the External Environment**

During the passive condition, subjects may be in an "exploratory state," in which they monitor the external environment for novel or important events. Colby, Gattass, Olson, & Gross (1988) report that area PO in the macaque parietal-occipital sulcus has a relatively greater representation of the peripheral visual field than most visual areas, and projects to a medial parietal area, MDP, which has cells with large receptive fields that habituate quickly. These properties of macaque PO/MDP may correspond to the decreases observed in the precuneous/posterior cingulate (BA 31/7). Decreases were most pronounced in experiments with foveal stimulation and were also marked in the corresponding passive minus fixation megaimages, which contained decreases in both the precuneous/lingulate and more posterior parietal-occipital regions. The fixation condition, in which the processing demands of the foveal stimulus were minor, may particularly free the subject to monitor the visual field for novel stimuli, producing large parietal-occipital changes.

**Monitoring of the Body Image**

During the passive condition, subjects may monitor the state of the body and its orientation in the external environment, constructing a sensory/perceptual representation of the self. These processes may reflect some of the parietal changes observed in the present analysis. Inferior parietal cortex is involved in many aspects of egocentric spatial processing (Andersen, 1987), and some regions are multimodal (Colby & Duhamel, 1991). Right parietal lesions produce stronger neglect phenomena than left parietal lesions, including disturbances of the body image and anosognosia. In the present work, both right and left inferior parietal regions showed larger decreases during the language than non-language experiments, as well as larger decreases during the verb-generation than read task, but these effects appeared larger on the right side. Language-related tasks may have shifted attention to internal/symbolic representations and away from the body image/external environment more than the nonlanguage tasks, which required close attention to external stimuli.

**Monitoring of Emotional State**

It was noted above that ventromedial frontal cortex and amygdala may be inhibited during active tasks in order to prevent biases in cognitive processing produced by emotional arousal. These areas may also show increases in the passive condition from monitoring of the current emotional state, particularly the intermediate class of sensations ("background feelings") described by Damasio (1994) that corresponds to the body state between emotions.

Damasio (1994) has argued that ventromedial prefrontal regions can initiate emotional states associated through experience with particular contexts, by connections with the amygdala that produce body states appropriate to the emotion. He suggests that these body states are represented in primary (SI) and secondary (SII) somatosensory cortex and the insula. Active minus passive decreases were not found in primary somatosensory cortex (Shulman, Corbetta, Buckner, Fiez, et al., 1997), although decreases of moderate consistency were found bilaterally in the posterior insula (Table 2). Representations of body states may occur more posterior than primary somatosensory cortex in superior and inferior parietal areas (see above).

**Causes of Suspension of Passive Processes during Active Tasks**

Ongoing processes in the passive condition may be suspended during active tasks for several reasons. First, these processes may have an antithetical relationship to active task processes. Sleep, for example, is antithetical to an alert state. Similarly, a general exploratory/monitoring state may be antithetical to a task-focused state. Second, subjects may not "bother" to engage in passive processes when performing an active task, although they might engage these processes if this were necessary. Third, these processes may carry a cost and may be suspended during active tasks due to interference from high-to-low priority processes. Interference between tasks or processes may occur because they compete for the use of some general structure or resource that has a limited capacity (Posner, 1978), although interference also has "local" causes that depend on the task pairings being studied.

If suspension reflected competition between active and passive processes for a general structure or resource, the magnitude of the observed decreases should depend on the degree to which the active task involved those structures. More difficult tasks might use these structures to a greater degree, producing a greater suspension. There was little evidence, however, for an effect of task difficulty on decreases (see above). Similarly, asking subjects in the passive conditions to press a key each trial, which converted those conditions to simple detection tasks (see Note 3), did not produce passive minus fixa-
The simplest idea is that interference between two tasks dedicated the relevant neural regions to the active task.

The neural mechanisms of interference are unknown. The simplest idea is that interference between two tasks when both tasks use the same set of neurons. If active and passive processes involved overlapping neural areas, interference would cause an active minus passive decrease in the nonoverlapping areas subserving the passive process and an active minus passive increase in the nonoverlapping areas subserving the active task process. Posner and Petersen (1990) have suggested that the anterior cingulate mediates an attentional function. If ongoing passive processes involved a network of areas that included the anterior cingulate, active visual tasks that heavily involved this region should have interfered more with these passive processes, producing larger decreases in noncingulate areas.

The data did not support this idea. Although the anterior cingulate did not yield consistent increases in the active minus passive megamimage, cingulate increases were found during individual tasks in particular experiments (e.g., divided attention). These tasks did not produce larger decreases than other tasks within the same experiment. Similarly, Visual Search 1 showed robust active minus passive anterior cingulate increases in all active conditions (Corbetta, Shulman, Miezin, Hunton, & Petersen, 1996) but did not show larger decreases relative to nonlanguage experiments that did not show a cingulate increase (e.g., Spatial Attention, Visual Search 2 and 3).

METHOD

The analysis stream from the previous paper (Shulman, Corbetta, Buckner, Raichle, et al., 1997) on blood flow increases was applied to the decreases. This stream involved analyses of (1) reliability, (2) between-experiments variation, (3) within-experiment variation, and (4) passive minus fixation data. The current paper also contains the analysis noted below.

Active Minus Fixation Analyses

Active minus fixation scans were analyzed to gain more information on baseline shifts induced by the presence of a stimulus in the passive condition. An active minus fixation megamimage was constructed from the seven experiments that included fixation scans, in which an impoverished visual stimulus (usually just a fixation cross) was presented and subjects were simply required to fixate. Seventy-six subjects contributed a total of 181 active minus fixation scan pairs. Although scans from a single subject were weighted to sum to one unit, equal weighting was not applied to the conditions within an experiment. There were many fewer active minus fixation scans than active minus passive ones, making equal weighting of conditions inefficient.
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Notes

1. The magnitude criterion for the selection of foci from the generate megamimage was originally set at 15 PET counts for both increases and decreases. The criterion for the increases was lowered to 10 counts to guard against the possibility that the null results in cerebral cortex (see previous paper) resulted from too strict a criterion. If the decreases are also analyzed with a criterion of 10 counts, only one additional focus is included, in left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (L BA 46; Table 2).
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