

DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD DASH.HARVARD.EDU



Adaptation of the health literacy universal precautions toolkit for rheumatology and cardiology – Applications for pharmacy professionals to improve self-management and outcomes in patients with chronic disease

Citation

Callahan, Leigh F., Victoria Hawk, Rima Rudd, Betsy Hackney, Sonia Bhandari, Lindsay P. Prizer, Thomas K. Bauer, Beth Jonas, Philip Mendys, and Darren DeWalt. 2013. "Adaptation of the Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit for Rheumatology and Cardiology – Applications for Pharmacy Professionals to Improve Self-Management and Outcomes in Patients with Chronic Disease." Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy 9 (5) (September): 597–608. doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2013.04.016.

Published Version

10.1016/j.sapharm.2013.04.016

Permanent link

http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:33973671

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. <u>Submit a story</u>.

Accessibility



HHS Public Access

Res Social Adm Pharm. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 March 27.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Res Social Adm Pharm. 2013; 9(5): 597-608. doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2013.04.016.

Adaptation of the health literacy universal precautions toolkit for rheumatology and cardiology – applications for pharmacy professionals to improve self-management and outcomes in patients with chronic disease

Leigh F. Callahan, PhD^a, Victoria Hawk, MPH, RD, CDE^b, Rima Rudd, ScD^c, Betsy Hackney, BA^a, Sonia Bhandari, BS^d, Lindsay P. Prizer, MSW, LCSW^a, Thomas K. Bauer, MBA, RT, HFA^e, Beth Jonas, MD^a, Phil Mendys, PharmD^f, and Darren DeWalt, MD, MPH^b

а		
b		
с		
d		
е		
f		

Abstract

Over a decade of research in health literacy has provided evidence of strong links between literacy skills of patients and health outcomes. At the same time, numerous studies have yielded insight into efficacious action that health providers can take to mitigate the negative effects of limited literacy. This small study focuses on the adaptation, review and use of two new health literacy toolkits for health professionals who work with patients with two of the most prevalent chronic conditions, arthritis and cardiovascular disease. Pharmacists have a key role in communicating with patients and caregivers about various aspects of disease self-management, which frequently includes appropriate use of medications. Participating pharmacists and staff offered suggestions that helped shape revisions and reported positive experiences with brown bag events, suggestions for approaches with patients managing chronic diseases, and with concrete examples related to several medicines [such as Warfarin©] as well as to common problems [such as inability to afford needed medicine]. Although not yet tested in community pharmacy sites, these publically available toolkits can inform professionals and staff and offer insights for communication improvement.

Keywords

Health Literacy; Brown Bag Review; Medication Adherence; Teach-Back Method

Corresponding Author: Leigh F. Callahan, PhD, Thurston Arthritis Research Center, 3300 Thurston Building CB 7280, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, 919 966-0564 (P) 919 966-1739 (F), leigh_callahan@med.unc.edu.

Introduction

Research has demonstrated links between health literacy skills of patients and health outcomes^{1,2}. Consequently, health literacy is increasingly considered in health policy development. The National Action Plan to Improve Health Literacy (NAPHL) articulates seven goals and priorities for a wide swath of stakeholders (including organizations, professionals, policy makers, and communities) in order to create a more health-literate environment³. The plan is based on two principles: that everyone has the right to health information and that health services should be delivered in ways that are understandable and beneficial. This emphasis on accurate and actionable health information is a result of findings from over a decade of health literacy studies. Research indicates a profound mismatch between the structure and content of health information (delivered in writing or in talk) and the average skills of high school graduates⁴⁻⁷. Indeed, as adult literacy surveys and health literacy studies indicate, a majority of US adults have difficulty using everyday materials with accuracy and consistency as they try to accomplish mundane tasks⁸⁻¹⁰. Unfortunately, it is not always clear who struggling to understand and use health information^{11,12}. As a result, a clear action plan for health improvement is a call for literacyrelated universal precautions: treating everyone as though they have difficulty accessing and understanding health information¹³.

The mismatch between average literacy skills of U.S. adults and complex health information is of particular concern for all health professionals who work with patients with chronic health conditions, such as arthritis, asthma, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease ^{10,14}. A recent project studying the domains of communication between patients with heart disease and their physicians showed that physicians were unaware of medication adherence barriers in 38 out of 57 visits (65%) and of psychosocial barriers in 61 out of 88 visits (69%)¹⁵. Low health literacy is related to mortality and hospitalization among patients with heart failure, and its affects may be mutable by practicing appropriate interventions including "appropriate teaching methods, reinforcement of education over time and checking for understanding."¹⁶ Furthermore, limited health literacy has been found among patients in many rheumatology clinics and proved to be predictive of disease severity¹⁷.

A number of efforts are underway to mitigate the effects of low health literacy on patient health outcomes. Some programs aim to enhance patient education materials to make them more understandable,^{18,19} using well designed, easy-to-read materials focused on treatment, medications, and general information about particular diseases. In one case, the program included a patient educator to review information with patients. These efforts have been shown to improve clinical outcomes and disease-specific knowledge for patients.²⁰ Other initiatives have focused on the role of the practitioner in overcoming barriers associated with low health literacy through the development of manuals and protocols to improve clinical interactions and practice re-design. The tools for training health care workers differ from program to program, with some offering educational manuals for clinicians, others providing instructional toolkits for teams to implement in clinics, and still others offering one-time in-person training seminars.²¹

There are several substantial specific efforts to address the concerns of low health literacy that are targeted to pharmacy professionals in both the academic and community settings. The Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE) recognizes communication as an essential professional responsibility of pharmacists by requiring that all schools of pharmacy adequately prepare and document competency in communication with patients for pharmacy graduates.²² Furthermore, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) acknowledges that pharmacists play a key role in "making sure that patients obtain the maximum positive health outcomes from their medications," and offers the Pharmacy Health Literacy Center. This online site offers free tools, curriculum modules and resources to promote health literacy for pharmacists in the academic and community setting²³. One such resource available to address health literacy universal precautions in a comprehensive way is the Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit for primary care practices that was commissioned by AHRQ (HLUPTK-PC) and released in 2010^{24,25} The toolkit provides a structured approach for all clinic practitioners and staff, including pharmacy professionals, to improve care for all patients regardless of their literacy level. The Toolkit includes 20 tools with practical guidance for conducting a health literacy assessment of the practice, improving written and verbal communication as well as improving self-management and supportive services.

Study of Toolkits for Specialized Practice and Pharmacy Applications

This small study is focused on the adaptation, testing, and refinement of two new subspecialty Health Literacy Toolkits and their application for related medical specialists and in pharmacy practice. These toolkits, focused on issues related to rheumatology (HLUPT-R) and cardiology (HLUPT-C) practices, were adapted from the Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit for primary care practices (HLUPT-PC).^{24,25} Heart disease and arthritis are two of the most prevalent chronic conditions and often require patients to adhere to complicated medication regimens.²⁶ Our Toolkit adaptation team included health educators, a dietitian, pharmacist, internist, rheumatologist, cardiologist, and social scientists. The HLUPT-PC was reviewed to identify possible opportunities for specialty customization. An environmental scan of health literacy literature for rheumatology and cardiology was conducted. Experts associated with rheumatology and cardiology practices and individuals at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Institutes of Health (NIH), and voluntary health agencies such as the Arthritis Foundation and American Heart Association were contacted for their advice and input. Gaps in rheumatology and cardiology specific materials were identified and relevant resources, materials, references, and examples were selected.

Based on the results of the scans and input from experts, two new Toolkits were drafted for testing. HLUPT-R additions included references to health literacy in rheumatology, a video using the teach-back method in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis, a rheumatology specific plain language guide, medication aids and handouts for rheumatic disease therapies, and links and examples of easy to understand arthritis and rheumatic disease patient education materials. HLUPT-C additions included cardiology-specific health literacy studies, a teachback video with hypertension and heart failure examples, medication aids for cardiac disease therapies, and links to cardiology patient education materials that are appropriate for patients

with all levels of health literacy. An additional tool was added to each subspecialty Toolkit, "Communicating Care with Other Physicians", which provides guidance for a subspecialty provider to communicate with the patient's primary care physician.

Pharmacists have a key role in communicating with patients and caregivers about various aspects of disease self-management, which frequently includes the appropriate use of medications. Health literacy studies indicate that, regardless of literacy levels, patients have particular difficulty with medication dosing when more than five medications are taken.²⁷ Patients with chronic disease and especially those with multiple chronic conditions, such as those followed in rheumatology and cardiology practices, frequently take five or more medications, and a recent study identified that patients with low health literacy and coronary heart disease were less likely to identify all of their medications.²⁸ Therefore, the subspecialty Toolkits were examined for applications for pharmacists.

Methods

The study was conducted in two phases. Phase 1 focused on the review, use, and revision of the Toolkits, as modified for specialty customization in rheumatology and cardiology. Phase 2 focused on a qualitative examination of Phase 1 findings to select specific tools and applicable examples of specific interest to pharmacists in clinical settings.

HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C Testing in Practice Settings

Eight sites (4 rheumatology and 4 cardiology) in North Carolina were recruited to test and/or review the information and 21 tools in the adapted toolkit designed for their subspecialty. One of the cardiology sites was a cardiac rehabilitation center. The practices varied in size (health system, group, and solo practices), patient population served, and setting (urban versus rural). The Toolkits were designed for use by all staff at a practice, including physicians, nurses, laboratory staff, pharmacists, rehabilitation specialists, receptionists, and administrative personnel. Approval was granted by the Office of Human Research and Ethics at the University of North Carolina to engage the practices in the testing of the Toolkits. The practice profiles of the rheumatology and cardiology sites are presented in Tables 1 and 2. All practices were reimbursed \$3000 for their participation in the testing.

A detailed testing plan was prepared to guide our practices in the use of the toolkit. A member of the research team visited each practice in the fall of 2010 to discuss health literacy and provide a brief review of the toolkit prior to the testing. The testing occurred from February through May 2011 with each practice participating for a two-month period. The testing plan was divided into three milestones with each culminating in telephone contact or a conference call with the development team: Milestone 1 – Form and Train your Health Literacy Team; Milestone 2 – Conduct Health Literacy Assessment of Your Practice; Milestone 3 – Implementation of 2 Tools. After completing the milestones, the practices completed forms and returned them to the research team one week prior to the calls. Each practice completed a practice profile and pre and post review questionnaires. The testing was guided by the Plan/Do/Study/Act (PDSA) model.²⁹ The practices also provided their PDSA worksheets and completed a tool implementation feedback form after milestone 3. Information and feedback from the practices were collected, submitted and reviewed by

team members prior to conference calls. All calls were guided by a structured interview and notes were taken throughout the calls. Calls were also recorded in case team members needed to clarify call notes. The quantitative and qualitative data from the questionnaires and calls were summarized and reviewed by team members. The tools and adapted Toolkits

were revised and updated based on the practice testing and recommendations. All results presented are descriptive.

Identification of pharmacy-specific tools and examples

The study team (including a pharmacist) reviewed the tools and all input provided from the practices to determine and select the tools with the most relevance to pharmacists in the clinical setting. Quotes and examples given from the practices were examined and grouped under the tools selected for most relevance. These qualitative findings were summarized.

Results

Phase 1: HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C Testing and Refinement based on Practice Input

All eight practices completed the testing and provided written and oral feedback. Overall, 14 tools were formally tested or reviewed by the practices (Table 3). As part of the testing milestones, all practices completed Tools 1-3. In Tool 1, forming a health literacy team, practices are encouraged to incorporate a patient into the team. One of the practices included a patient on the team. As with the testing of the HLUPT-PC²⁵ the Teach-Back Method and Brown Bag Medication Review were the most frequently tested tools that were not required. Seven practices tested the Teach-Back Method and three the Brown Bag Medication Review. One of the practices testing Teach-Back noted that "using teach-back could be spread to all the nurses in this clinic and maybe throughout the practice with the doctors." Designing Easy-to-Read Material was tested by four practices (Table 3). After re-designing and simplifying a new patient letter, one practice noticed that nearly all of the patients receiving the new letter came prepared and on time for appointments. Telephone Considerations and Communicating Care with Other Physicians were tested or reviewed by two practices and Use Health Education Material Effectively, Welcome Patients, and Medication Resources were each tested or reviewed by one practice (Table 3). Practices were encouraged to informally review all tools in addition to their formal testing/reviewing of two tools. The cardiac rehabilitation site continued to work through all of the tools in the Toolkit after the testing period and systematically focused on implementing one additional tool each month.

This testing format allowed us to have rapid evaluation and feedback on the Toolkits. Overall, the Toolkits were reported to be useful to the practices with one practice stating that "these tools will be a benefit to both staff and patient, and the more I use them the more comfortable I feel with each tool." Some practices used the toolkits electronically and other practices worked with printed copies. A variety of staff members (physicians, nurses, pharmacists, nursing assistants, receptionists and business managers) participated in the testing process. We asked specifics regarding usage and usefulness about the tools that were tested. The following results were reported: 80% reported reading the entire tool during testing, 20% read parts of the tool; 80% reported that the tool was completely

understandable, 20% somewhat understandable; 50% felt the tools tested were very useful for addressing health literacy issues in their practice, 50% somewhat useful; 100% accessed some of the internet resources in the tools and 30% found the resources very useful and 70% somewhat useful. All practices felt the tools somewhat or very much improved patient care and that the time invested in the tool was worth the benefit. Lastly, all practices said they would sustain the changes made as a result of tool testing over time and planned to use other tools.

Although the practices found the tools useful, many staff members commented that the length of the tools and size of the toolkits was a bit onerous. Based on feedback from the practices, all of the individual tools within the toolkits were shortened and improved design elements, such as more white space, graphics, and specialty specific education materials and links, were added. Furthermore, rheumatology and cardiology specific examples contributed by test sites were added. For example, the rheumatology practices noted that rheumatoid arthritis patients often have difficulty understanding how to take methotrexate properly and the cardiology practices identified problems with adherence to Warfarin© regimens. As a result, handouts were created and added to the resources targeting these issues. Several of the practices participating in the testing did not have experience planning and implementing change ideas. As a result, another tool was added to the subspecialty Toolkits after testing, "Plan Your Changes", which offers specific guidance for this process. A list of all of the final tools included in the HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C Toolkits after the testing and revision is provided in Table 4.

The revised and refined Toolkits were sent back to all of the practices for final review and were well received. All practices provided either written or oral communication that the refinements based on their input had resulted in more "user-friendly" toolkits. HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C are available free electronically on the NC Health Literacy and Thurston Arthritis Research Center websites^{30,31}. The online PDFs of the Toolkits provide the user with documents that can be easily navigated and contain visual cues and links to additional resources. For users who prefer to work from a printed document, the option to print all or part of the contents of the Toolkits is available.

Phase 2: Identification of pharmacy-specific tools and examples

Five tools in HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C focus on promoting patient safety and medication adherence and may be of particular interest to pharmacists working in the clinical setting (Table 4). These tools are useful for improving the management of all chronic conditions and include:

- **1.** The Teach-Back Method (Tool 6);
- 2. Brown Bag Medication Review (Tool 9);
- 3. Improve Medication Adherence and Accuracy (Tool 17);
- 4. Medication Resources (Tool 20);
- 5. Encourage Questions (Tool 15).

The following are examples of Tool use in rheumatology and cardiology settings that illustrate how and why these tools may be helpful pharmacy professionals:

The Teach-back Method

The teach-back or tell-me method entails asking patients to state in their own words what they need to know or need to do (Figure 1). This is an effective method for all health care providers to confirm that they were clear while explaining medical information and to confirm that a patient knows what they need to do when they get home. The teach-back method is not meant to be a test of the patient's knowledge, but instead focuses on testing how well the concept was explained by the health care professional. This method can be used with all patients, including those who appear to understand the information that was discussed during the clinic visit. Practices testing the tool found teach-back especially effective for the following:

- Warfarin[©] dosing schedule Cardiology staff used a well-designed handout and teach-back to confirm that patients understood their Warfarin[©] doses, and subsequently received fewer call backs with questions.
- Methotrexate dosing Rheumatology staff recognized the importance of using teach-back for all patients starting methotrexate and when patients were dissatisfied with pain relief provided with this medication. After patients explained how they were taking their medications, the staff realized they were not taking the medication correctly because they had not understood dosing instructions.

Brown bag medication review

The Brown bag review is the practice of asking patients to bring all of their medications, supplements and vitamins to a clinic visit for review and assessment. Patients are asked several questions about each medication, including when they need to take it, how they take it, and what they take it for. At that time, health care professionals clarify medication instructions, update the medications in the patient's medical record, and provide patients with an updated list of their medications. All of the practices that conducted brown bag reviews found errors, such as:

- Out of 5 reviews conducted in one practice: three patients had duplicate medication bottles and were taking double doses, and one patient was taking a discontinued medication.
- A cardiology patient had stopped her cholesterol medication because she thought it was a second bottle of her potassium supplement.
- A younger patient that a rheumatologist thought would understand how to take her medications was actually taking her methotrexate daily instead of weekly.
- A rheumatology patient was taking the wrong medication to gain additional pain relief.

Improve medication adherence and accuracy

When patients have multiple medications prescribed to them, taking the wrong dose or taking them at the wrong time can be very common. Some patients have difficulty remembering to take their medications, or they have difficulty following complex medication regimens. Providers can use various approaches to help patients manage their medications including MedCard, a printable form that lists the medications and other health information that can be folded and carried in a wallet. Pill charts and pill cards can also be used, which provide pictures of medicines, the purpose for taking them, and instructions on how to take them (Figure 2).

Practices testing the tool reported that:

- 'It was helpful for the patients if my staff and I wrote precise instructions and gave them reasons for taking the medicine whenever a new medication was prescribed.'
- 'When a patient switched from a brand name to a generic medicine, it was important to tell them that the color, shape, and size of the pill may change.'
- 'Patients really appreciated it when the staff made MedCards for them, and it was absolutely worth the time. It was helpful that our Electronic Medical Record could be set up to supply the same information in an efficient way.'

Medication resources

Critical conversations are not always focused on medicine instructions and use. Sometimes patients cannot afford to purchase medications. Thus, the toolkit suggests that it may be helpful to ask patients directly if they are having trouble getting their medicines and if so, to offer information about ways that they can be helped. Providers can begin the conversations by saying:

- 'In these times it is sometimes hard to afford all the things we need. Are you having trouble paying for your medicines?'
- 'Taking medicines is important. If you ever have problems affording your pills, please let me know before you run out, and we can try to help you get them.'

Informing uninsured patients about Medicaid, Medicare, and other subsidized insurance options is important. Other patient assistance programs such as Select Care Benefits Network, a patient advocate agency, and RXAssist, a comprehensive database of patient assistance programs, can also help patients afford their medications.

Encourage questions

One of the lessons learned from health literacy and from communication studies is the value of question asking. Creating an environment where patients are comfortable asking questions is an important part of empowering patients to take an active role in their health care and is essential for patient safety. "Ask Questions if you have doubts or concerns" is listed as the first step in the "Five Steps to Safer Health Care," a patient fact sheet developed by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.³² All members of the health care team can invite questions by changing their approach to patient communication:

• Ask the patient "What questions do you have?" instead of "Do you have any questions?" A small change in wording opens the door to questions much more effectively. Staff testing this tool found that this was a helpful way to close a patient encounter, and were pleased and not burdened by the questions patients asked.

Discussion and Implications

Although most health care professionals agree that improving safety and satisfaction with health care services is important for all patients, finding practical strategies to accomplish these goals can be challenging. HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C provide tested and effective strategies that clinical and administrative staff can use to mitigate the effects of low health literacy in patients with chronic disease^{30,31}. These Toolkits are designed for the clinical or rehabilitation setting and were tested by a range of practice staff and healthcare providers, including pharmacists, physicians, nurses, and administrative personnel. The Toolkits contain links to videos, health education materials, medication adherence handouts, and references applicable to two of the most common chronic conditions, arthritis and cardiovascular disease.

Many patients have difficulty managing their medications as well as their health care⁹. Pharmacists have a key role in communicating with patients and their caregivers about important aspects of disease self-management, and thus are essential partners in achieving the goals of the NAPHL released in 2010.³ The HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C discussed in this article and especially the five strategies and tools from the Toolkits that were highlighted, help address two goals identified in the Plan: 1. "Promote changes in the health care delivery system that will improve health information, communication, informed decision-making, and access to health services." and 2. "Increase the dissemination and use of evidence-based health literacy practices and interventions."³

An ideal in rheumatology and cardiology practices is to have a pharmacist on the multidisciplinary team. Because pharmacists have frequent contact with patients, they are in an optimal position to help persons with low health literacy levels³³. Research indicates that medication instructions and warnings can be complex and may be difficult for patients (especially those with average or below average literacy skills)³⁴. Incorrect adherence with prescribed medication regimens may compromise patient safety, lead to unnecessary hospitalizations and reduce patient satisfaction. Unfortunately, it is not always clear who is struggling to understand and use health information. Application of strategies to promote health literacy serves as a vital link between the pharmacist and the patient to improve medication adherence. It is the responsibility of everyone who interacts with patients to communicate in a way that the patient can understand. If patients do not understand what they need to do to manage their health, they cannot actively participate in their care.

In addition, several initiatives have aimed to address the health literacy challenge through the creation of pharmacist-specific health literacy toolkits³⁵⁻³⁷. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) offers an online health literacy toolkit, "Is our Pharmacy Meeting Patients' Needs?", to guide outpatient pharmacies in the self-assessment of their practices and the implementation of health literacy universal precautions.³⁵ The American

Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) offers a toolkit that provides guidance on educating patients on medication usage, and although the toolkit is targeted towards physicians, the information is applicable for pharmacists as well.³⁶ These manuals contain a variety of helpful strategies and suggestions, however, they also require health professionals to be self-motivated to read the content and implement suggestions without additional guidance or support.

This study is limited by the small number of test sites, primarily qualitative and descriptive findings, and the geographical boundaries. In addition, one of the study limitations is the omission of community based pharmacy sites. However, the strategies in these two new toolkits could also be applicable to community pharmacy settings. Communication is a central component of pharmacy practice, regardless of the setting. Thus, employing strategies discussed in this article, including confirming understanding of information, performing medication reviews, improving medication adherence and accuracy, and encouraging questions offers pharmacy professionals practical guidance for structuring encounters to improve outcomes and promote patient satisfaction. Pharmacists may also use the tools in these toolkits to promote health literacy awareness throughout their organization. HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C, along with the initial HLUPT-PC, offer structured approaches for increasing awareness, assessing current practices, and addressing specific concerns as needed.

Acknowledgments

Funding for the HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C was provided by Novartis. We thank the following for supporting the HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C development: Thurston Arthritis Research Center, Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services, and the North Carolina Program on Health Literacy. We thank the following practices for testing the HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C: Appalachian Regional Rheumatology Healthcare, Boone, NC; Arthritis & Osteoporosis Consultants of the Carolinas, Charlotte, NC; Mid Carolina Cardiology, Charlotte NC; Mid Carolina Cardiology, Salisbury, NC; Mountain Medical Associates, Clyde, NC; UNC Center for Heart and Vascular Care, Chapel Hill, NC; UNC Health Care Cardiac Rehabilitation, Chapel Hill, NC; UNC Rheumatology, Chapel Hill, NC. We thank the following UNC colleagues for their assistance with the development of the HLUPT-R and HLUPT-C: Kimberly Broucksou, Deborah MacDonald, Carla Sueta, as well as Cindy Brach from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

References

- DeWalt DA, Berkman ND, Sheridan S, Lohr KN, Pignone MP. Literacy and health outcomes: a systematic review of the literature. J Gen Intern Med. 2004; 19(12):1228–1239. [PubMed: 15610334]
- Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donohue KE, Halpern DJ, Crotty K. Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review. Ann Intern Med. 2011; 155(2):97–107. [PubMed: 21768583]
- Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. [Accessed 07/10/2012] National Action Plan to Improve Health Literacy. http://www.health.gov/communication/hlactionplan/pdf/ Health_Literacy_Action_Plan.pdf
- 4. Rudd RE. Needed action in health literacy. J Health Psychol. 2013 Epub ahead of print.
- 5. Rudd RE, Rosenfeld L, Simonds VW. Health literacy: a new field of research with links to communication. Atlantic J of Communica. 2012; 20(1):16–30.
- Rudd RE, Keller DB. Health literacy: new developments and research. J Communica Healthcare. 2009; 2(3):240–257.
- 7. Rudd, RE.; Anderson, JE.; Oppenheimer, S.; Nath, C. Chapter 6: Health literacy: an update of public health and medical literature. In: Comings, JP.; Garner, B.; Smith, C., editors. Review of

Adult Learning and Literacy. Vol. 7. Mahway, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2007. p. 175-204.

- 8. Sum, A.; Kirsch, I.; Taggart, R. The Twin Challenges of Mediocrity and Inequality: Literacy in the U S from an International Perspective. Princeton, N.J.: Educational Testing Services; 2002.
- Kutner, M.; Greenberg, E.; Jin, Y.; Paulsen, C., et al. The Health Literacy of America's Adults: Results from the 2003 National Assessment of Adult Literacy. 2006. http://nces.ed.gov/ pubs2006/2006483.pdf
- 10. Rudd RE. Health literacy skills of U.S. adults. J Health Behav. 2007; 31(Suppl 1):S8-S18.
- 11. Bass PF III, W JF, Griffith CH, B DR. Residents' ability to identify patients with poor literacy skills. Acad Med 10/2002. 2002; 77(10):1039–1041.
- Praska JL, Kriplani S, Seright AL, J TA. Identifying and assisting low-literacy patients with medication use: a survey of community pharmacies. The Annals of Pharmacotherapy. 2005; 29:1441–1445. [PubMed: 16046489]
- Brown DR, Ludwig R, Buck GA, Durham D, Shumard T, Graham SS. Health literacy: universal precautions needed. J Allied Health. 2004; 33(2):150–155. [PubMed: 15239414]
- 14. Rudd RE. Improving Americans' health literacy. NEJM. 2010; 363(24):2283–2285. [PubMed: 21142532]
- Urmimala S, Schillinger D, Bibbins-Domingo K. Patient-physicians' information exchange in outpatient cardiac care: Time for a heart to heart? Patient Education & Counseling. 2011; 85(2): 173–179. [PubMed: 21035298]
- Peterson PN, Shetterly SM, Clarke CL, Bekelman DB, Chan PS, et al. Health literacy and outcomes among patients with heart failure. JAMA. 2011; 305(16):1695–1701. [PubMed: 21521851]
- Hirsh JM, Boyle DJ, Collier D, et al. Limited health literacy is a common finding in a public health hospital's rheumatology clinic and is predictive of disease severity. J Clin Rheumatol. 2011; 17(5): 236–241. [PubMed: 21778910]
- Kandula NR, Nsia-Kumi PA, Makoul SJ, Zei CP, Glass S. The relationship between health literacy and knowledge improvement after a multimedia type 2 education program. Patient Education & Counseling. 2009; 75:321–327. [PubMed: 19395223]
- Rudd RE, Blanch DC, Gall V, Chibnik LB, Wright EA, R W. A randomized controlled trial of an intervention to reduce low literacy barriers in inflammatory arthritis management. Patient Education & Counseling. 2009; 75:334–339. [PubMed: 19345053]
- DeWalt DA, Schillinger D, Ruo B, et al. Multisite randomized trial of a single-session versus multisession literacy-sensitive self-care intervention for patients with heart failure. Circulation. Jun 12; 2012 125(23):2854–2862. [PubMed: 22572916]
- 21. Weiss, BD. [Accessed June 6, 2012] Health literacy and patient safety: Help patients understand. 2001. http://www.ama-assn.org/ama1/pub/upload/mm/367/healthlitclinicians.pdf
- 22. Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education. Accreditation Standards and Guidelines for the Professional Program in Pharmacy Leading to the Doctor of Pharmacy Degree. https://www.acpe-accredit.org/pdf/FinalS2007Guidelines.2.0.pdf
- 23. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. [Accessed 02/18/2013] AHRQ health literacy tools for use in pharmacies. http://www.ahrq.gov/pharmhealthlit/tools.htm
- 24. DeWalt, DA.; Callahan, LF.; Hawk, VH.; Broucksou, KA.; Hink, A. Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit. 2010. http://www.ahrq.gov/qual/literacy/healthliteracytoolkit.pdf
- 25. DeWalt DA, Broucksou KA, Hawk V, et al. Developing and testing the health literacy universal precautions toolkit. Nurs Outlook. Mar-Apr;2011 59(2):85–94. [PubMed: 21402204]
- 26. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. [Accessed 02/20/2013] Medical Expenditure Panel Survey. 2006. http://meps.ahrq.gov/mepsweb/
- 27. Davis TC, Wolf MS, Bass PF III, et al. Literacy and misunderstanding prescription drug labels. Ann Intern Med. 2006; 145(12):887–894. [PubMed: 17135578]
- Kripalani S, Henderson LE, Chiu EY, Robertson R, Kolm P, Jacobson TA. Predictors of medication self-management skill in a low-literacy population. J Gen Intern Med. 2006; 21(8): 852–856. [PubMed: 16881946]

- Langley, GJ.; Moen, R.; Nolan, KM.; Nolan, TW.; Norman, CL.; Provost, LP. The Improvement Guide: A Practice Approach to Enhancing Organizational Performance. 2nd. San Francisco: Jossey Bass; 2009.
- 30. Callahan, LF.; Hawk, V.; Hackney, B.; Bauer, TK.; Broucksou, K., et al. [Accessed 02/20/2013] Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit for Cardiology. 2012. http:// www.nchealthliteracy.org/
- 31. Callahan, LF.; Hawk, V.; Hackney, B.; Broucksou, K.; Prizer, LP., et al. [Accessed 02/20/2013] Health Literacy Universal Precautuions Toolkit for Rheumatology. 2012. http:// www.nchealthliteracy.org/
- 32. [Accessed 07/20/2012] Five Steps to Safer Health Care. http://www.ahrq.gov/consumer/5steps.htm
- 33. Baur C. Calling the nation to act: Implementing the national action plan to improve health literacy. Nursing Outlook. 2011; 59(2):63–69. [PubMed: 21402201]
- Wolf MS, King JB, Wilson E, Curtis LM, Bailey SC. Usability of FDA-Approved Medication Guides. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2012
- 35. Jacobson, KL.; Gazmararian, JA.; Kripalani, S.; McMorris, KJ. [Accessed June 15, 2012] Is Our Pharmacy Meeting Patients' Needs?. Pharmacy Health Literacy Assessment Tool User's Guide. 2007. http://www.ahrq.gov/qual/pharmlit/
- American Academy of Family Physicians. [Accessed June 15, 2012] Toolkit Helps Ensure Patients Understand Medication Use. 2006. http://www.aafp.org/online/en/home/publications/news/newsnow/inside-aafp/20061122playitsafe.html
- 37. Kripalani, S.; Jacobson, KL. [Accessed June 15, 2012] Strategies to Improve Communication Between Pharmacy Staff and Patients Training Program for Pharmacy Staff. 2007. http:// www.ahrq.gov/qual/pharmlit/pharmtrain.htm

Overview	
Teach-back is an extremely valuable skill for all staff in a medical practice. Teach-back is asking the patient to state in their own words what they need to know or do in a non-shaming way.	Studies have shown that 40-80 percent of the medical information patients receive is forgotten immediately ¹ and nearly half of the information retained is incorrect.
Using teach-back may help you: 1. Improve patient understanding and com 2. Decrease call backs and cancelled appo 3. Improve patient satisfaction and outcon	intments.
Actions	
How do you do teach-back?	
How do you do teach-back? • After a plan or a concept is discussed, teach- to explain the information back to you in the Suggested approaches to soli	tir own words.
 After a plan or a concept is discussed, teach- to explain the information back to you in the 	rir own words. cit teach-back. remembering how to take
After a plan or a concept is discussed, teach- to explain the information back to you in the Suggested approaches to soli "I have noticed that many people have trouble	tir own words. cit teach-back. remembering how to take are going to take it?"
After a plan or a concept is discussed, teach- to explain the information back to you in the Suggested approaches to soli "I have noticed that many people have trouble their methotrexate. Can you tell me how you	ir own words. cit teach-back. remembering how to take are going to take it?" home?"
After a plan or a concept is discussed, teach- to explain the information back to you in the Suggested approaches to soli "T have noticed that many people have trouble their methotrexate. Can you tell me how you "Tell me what you going to do when you get "What are you going to tell your family when discussed today?" <u>Tips for using teach-back.</u>	ir own words. cit teach-back. remembering how to take are going to take it?" home?" you get home about what we
After a plan or a concept is discussed, teach- to explain the information back to you in the Suggested approaches to soli "I have noticed that many people have trouble their methotrexate. Can you tell me how you "Tell me what you going to do when you get "What are you going to tell your family when discussed today?"	ir own words. cit teach-back. remembering how to take are going to take it?" home?" you get home about what we sed to feel consulted, not insulted.

Fig 1.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

	ion Adherence and A	ceuracy		_	- 24	Fool 1
Improve I Accuracy	Medication Adh	erence a	nc	ł		
Overview						
symptoms. One sp atypical dosing scl	a correctly is often an importan pecific challenge for cardiolog hedule such as Coumadin. The jing their medications and offe	y patients is med erefore, understa	dicat	ion ng h	IS W	ith an your
	le increasing patient satisfaction	on, compliance a	nd is	mpr	rovi	ing
health outcomes.	Research shows that low	health				
	literacy is associated wi	Sector Sector				
	ability to identify media					
	and take them appropri					
Actions	and the chem appropri-					
• "Everyone for	how they remember to a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine from	ar medicines?" m time to time. V				
 "Everyone forgotime you forgotime 	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine from t to take any of your medicine	ar medicines?" m time to time. V ?"	When			
 "Everyone for time you forgo 	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fron t to take any of your medicine patients manage thei	ar medicines?" m time to time. V ?"	When			
"Everyone for time you forgo <u>Tools to help</u> <u>MyMedSched</u> <u>MedActionPl</u>	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fron t to take any of your medicine patients manage thei <u>ule.com</u> a and <u>an.com</u> a are free services	ar medicines?" m time to time. V ?"	When ns.			
"Everyone for time you forgo Tools to help <u>MvMedSched</u> <u>MedActionPl</u> available onlin	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fron t to take any of your medicine <u>patients manage their</u> <u>ule.com</u> ⁴ and <u>an.com</u> ⁴ are free services e that provide an easy	ur medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medicatio	Nher		as t	
"Everyone for time you forgo Tools to help <u>MvMedSched</u> <u>MedActionPl</u> available onlin method to offe	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fron t to take any of your medicine <u>patients manage their</u> <u>ule.com</u> ⁴ and <u>an.com</u> ⁴ are free services e that provide an easy	ur medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medicatio	Nher	1 W	as t	the last
"Everyone for time you forgo Tools to help <u>MvMedSched MedActionPl</u> available onlin method to offe 0 A pill 1	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fron t to take any of your medicine patients manage their ule.com and an.com are free services e that provide an easy r patients:	ur medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medicatio	When ns.	1 W	as t	the last
"Everyone for time you forgo Tools to help MyMedSched <u>MedActionPI</u> available onlin method to offe 0 A pill I and tim	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fron t to take any of your medicine patients manage their <u>ule.com</u> and <u>an.com</u> are free services e that provide an easy r patients: ist with pictures of the pill	r medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medication 	When ns.	1 W	as t	the last
 "Everyone for time you forgo Tools to help MvMedSched MedActionPl available onlin method to offe A pill and tim size an 	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine from t to take any of your medicine patients manage thei ule.com ⁺ and an.com ⁺ are free services e that provide an easy r patients: ist with pictures of the pill ses they take them (wallet	r medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medication 	When ms. 1 1 1 1 1	1 W	as t	the last
"Everyone for time you forgo Tools to help MyMedSched MedActionP1 available onlin method to offe 0 A pill 1 and tim size an 0 A hand 0 A hand	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fron t to take any of your medicine patients manage their ule.com and an.com are free services e that provide an easy r patients: ist with pictures of the pill use they take them (wallet d large print).	r medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medication 	When <u>ns.</u> <u>*</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u>	1 W	ness t	the last
 "Everyone for time you forgo <u>Tools to help</u> <u>MvMedSched</u> <u>MedActionPl</u> available online method to offe 0 A pill and tim size an 0 A hand 0 A hand mediciii of what 	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fron t to take any of your medicine patients manage their ule.com and an.com are free services e that provide an easy r patients: ist with pictures of the pill est they take them (wallet d large print). I out of a list of their nes and a simple explanation t each pill is for.	r medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medication 	When ms. 1 1 1 1 1	1 W	ness t	the last
"Everyone for time you forgo Tools to help MyMedScheel MedActionPI available onlin method to offe 0 A pill II and tim size an 0 A hand medicii of what 0 A heal	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fron t to take any of your medicine patients manage their ule.com and an.com are are free services e that provide an easy r patients: ist with pictures of the pill use they take them (wallet d large print). I out of a list of their nes and a simple explanation t each pill is for. th recording form for	r medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medication 	When ms. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 W	ness t	the last
"Everyone for time you forgo Tools to help MyMedSched MedAction21 available onlin method to offe 0 A pill 1 and tim size an 0 A hand medicit of what 0 A heal patient	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fron t to take any of your medicine patients manage their ule.com [•] and an.com [•] and an.com [•] and an.com [•] are free services e that provide an easy r patients: ist with pictures of the pill tes they take them (wallet d large print). I out of a list of their nes and a simple explanation t each pill is for. to document things like	r medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medication 	When ms. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 W	ness t	the last
 "Everyone for time you forgo Tools to help MvMedSched MedActionPl available onlin method to offe A pill 1 and tim size an A hane medici of what A hane and im patient daily bi 	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fror it to take any of your medicine patients manage their ule.com.* and an.com.* are free services e that provide an easy r patients: ist with pictures of the pill es they take them (wallet d large print). I out of a list of their nes and a simple explanation t each pill is for. th recording form for s to document things like lood pressure, weight, blood	r medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medication 	When ms. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	trans f	ness t	the last
"Everyone for time you forgo Tools to help MyMedSched MedAction21 available onlin method to offe 0 A pill 1 and tim size an 0 A hand medicit of what 0 A heal patient	a way to remember to take you gets to take their medicine fror it to take any of your medicine patients manage their ule.com.* and an.com.* are free services e that provide an easy r patients: ist with pictures of the pill es they take them (wallet d large print). I out of a list of their nes and a simple explanation t each pill is for. th recording form for s to document things like lood pressure, weight, blood	r medicines?" m time to time. V ?" r medication 	When ms. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	n W	nees from	the last see output the last

Fig 2.

Author Manuscript

Table 1

ractice Sites
Rheumatology
5
Four
the
of
Profile

	Pc	opulatic	Population Served	p	Pract	ice Type	Affiliation	Free	Practice Type Affiliation Free Location	Number of Providers Number of Staff	Number of Staff
No.	AA	NA	Hisp	M	Adult	Pediatric	Yes/No	N/X	No. A A NA Hisp W Adult Pediatric Yes/No Y/N (Urban/Rural)	(MD, NP, PA)	# Emp / # Vols
1	Х				Х		N	N	Urban	6	29
2				Х	Х		Z	N	Rural	12	25
3				Х	Х		Υ	N	Rural	2	L
4	Х		Х	X X	Х		Υ	Z	Urban	7	16
Donulo	Ponulation Served:										

Population Served:

X 20% of practice population

 $\mathbf{AA} = \mathbf{African} \ \mathbf{American}$

NA = Native American

Hisp = Hispanic

 $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}$ hite

Affiliation:

 $\mathbf{Y} = Affiliated$ with Health Care Organization

N = Independent/private practice

Table 2

Profile of the Four Cardiology Practice Sites

	\mathbf{P}_{0}	opulatic	Population Served	p	Practi	ice Type	Affiliation	Free	Practice Type Affiliation Free Location	Number of Providers Number of Staff	Number of Staff	
No.	Ψ¥	NA	Hisp	w	Adult	Pediatric	Yes/No	N/λ	(Urban / Rural)	No. AA NA Hisp W Adult Pediatric Yes/No Y/N (Urban / Rural) (MD, NP, PA, PharmD) # Emp / # Vols	# Emp / # Vols	
1	х			Х	Х		Υ	Ν	Rural	4	12	
2	х			Х	Х		Υ	Ν	Urban	20	78	
3				Х	Х		Υ	N	Urban	9*	L/6	
4	х			Х	Х		Y	Ν	Urban	4		

* (Cardiac Rehab Clinic included 1 MD, 2 RN's, 3 Clinical Exercise Specialists, 1 RN Mental Health Specialist, 2 Dieticians)

Population Served:

X 20% of practice population

AA = African American

NA = Native American

Hisp = Hispanic

 $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}$ hite

Affiliation:

 $\mathbf{Y} = Affiliated$ with Health Care Organization

N = Independent/private practice

Table 3

List of Tools

Tools	
Tool Number	Tool Name
Tools to Start or	n the Path To Improvement
1	Form a Team
2	Assess Your Practice
3	Raise Awareness
4	Plan Your Changes
Tools to Improv	e Spoken Communication
5	Tips for Communicating Clearly
6	The Teach-Back Method
7	Follow-up with Patients
8	Telephone Considerations
9	Brown Bag Medication Review
10	How to Address Cultural and Language Differences
11	Culture and Other Considerations
Tools to Improv	e Written Communication
12	Design Easy-to-Read Material
13	Use Health Education Material Effectively
14	Welcome Patients: Helpful Attitudes, Signs & More
Tools to Improv	e Self-Management and Empowerment
15	Encourage Questions
16	Make Action Plans
17	Improve Medication Adherence and Accuracy
18	Get Patient Feedback
Tools to Improv	e Supportive Systems
19	Link Patients to Non-Medical Support
20	Medication Resources
21	Use Health and Literacy Resources in Your Community
22	Communicating Care with Other Physicians

Т	able 4
List of Tools in HLUPT-R and HLU	UPT-C

Tool Number	Tool Name
Tools to Start of	n the Path To Improvement
1	Form a Team
2	Assess Your Practice
3	Raise Awareness
4	Plan Your Changes
Tools to Improv	e Spoken Communication
5	Tips for Communicating Clearly
6	The Teach-Back Method
7	Follow-up with Patients
8	Telephone Considerations
9	Brown Bag Medication Review
10	How to Address Cultural and Language Differences
11	Culture and Other Considerations
Tools to Improv	e Written Communication
12	Design Easy-to-Read Material
13	Use Health Education Material Effectively
14	Welcome Patients: Helpful Attitudes, Signs & More
Tools to Improv	e Self-Management and Empowerment
15	Encourage Questions
16	Make Action Plans
17	Improve Medication Adherence and Accuracy
18	Get Patient Feedback
Tools to Improv	ve Supportive Systems
19	Link Patients to Non-Medical Support
20	Medication Resources
21	Use Health and Literacy Resources in Your Communit
22	Communicating Care with Other Physicians