Health care–associated infections (HAIs) and drug-resistant pathogens have become a major health care issue with millions of reported cases every year. Advanced diagnostics would allow clinicians to more quickly determine the most effective treatment, reduce the nonspecific use of broad-spectrum antimicrobials, and facilitate enrollment in new antibiotic treatments. We present a new integrated system, polarization anisotropy diagnostics (PAD), for rapid detection of HAI pathogens. The PAD uses changes of fluorescence anisotropy when detection probes recognize target bacterial nucleic acids. The technology is inherently robust against environmental noise and economically scalable for parallel measurements. The assay is fast (2 hours) and performed on-site in a single-tube format. When applied to clinical samples obtained from interventional procedures, the PAD determined the overall bacterial burden, differentiated HAI bacterial species, and identified drug resistance and virulence status. The PAD system holds promise as a powerful tool for near-patient, rapid HAI testing.

INTRODUCTION

Health care–associated infections (HAIs) and the emergence of drug-resistant pathogens are major health care issues. On any given day, 1 of 25 hospitalized patients becomes infected and as many as 1 of 9 succumb to death (1). HAIs incur a significant socioeconomic burden arising from prolonged hospital stays, lasting disability, and demand for new antimicrobials. In the United States, it is estimated that more than 600,000 patients develop HAIs every year (1), and HAI-related costs amount to $100 billion to $150 billion per year (2). Rapid, sensitive detection of pathogenic bacteria is a key to initiating timely treatment with proper antibiotics, preventing disease spread, and identifying infection sources in hospitals, homes, and other field settings (3–5).

Although bacterial culture is the clinical gold standard, it has drawbacks including long process times (up to several days), personnel cost, and the need for specialized equipment and species-specific protocols. As an alternative, nucleic acid (NA) testing has been increasingly adopted in clinical laboratories. On the basis of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of bacterial nucleotides, NA tests allow for comprehensive pathogen identification. The target sequence library is also rapidly expanding to empower these tests, aided by advances in bacterial whole-genome sequencing (6). Technical challenges, however, still remain in translating NA tests into routine clinical workflows. First, system operation can be complex, requiring trained operators. Although fully automated systems are available, they tend to be bulky and expensive. Second, assay costs are often higher than those for bacterial culture; however, the PAD technology is inherently robust against environmental noise and economically scalable for parallel measurements. The assay is fast (2 hours) and performed on-site in a single-tube format. When applied to clinical samples obtained from interventional procedures, the PAD determined the overall bacterial burden, differentiated HAI bacterial species, and identified drug resistance and virulence status. The PAD system holds promise as a powerful tool for near-patient, rapid HAI testing.

RESULTS

Polarization anisotropy diagnostics

Figure 1A shows the assay scheme. Following bacterial lysis, target NAs [for example, regions in 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) or mRNA] are...
amplified via asymmetric reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR). Next, an all-in-one PAD mix is added. The mix consists of two reagents: (i) a detection key that is derived from an aptamer (specific to DNA polymerase) adjointed with a complementary sequence to target NAs (7) and (ii) a reporter DNA with a fluorophore. The detection key, stabilized through hybridization with the target NA, locks into DNA polymerase and deactivates its enzymatic activity. The reporter DNA then retains its structure and assumes high fluorescence anisotropy ($r$) due to slow diffusional motion. Conversely, the anisotropy is low in the absence of the NA targets, because unlocked DNA polymerase cleaves the reporter’s fluorophore during the extension reaction. The assay is fast (2 hours for completion) and is performed without any washing steps.

**PAD system**

To enable on-site HAI detection, we implemented a compact PAD system (Fig. 1A, B and C). The system had two major parts: a disposable sample-processing cartridge and a compact reader for fluorescence anisotropy detection. The cartridge was used to extract bacterial NAs (see fig. S1 for the device structure). It had a fluidic chamber packed with glass beads (diameter, 30 μm) to create an on-chip filter (fig. S1A) (10): negatively charged NAs could be adsorbed to the positively charged bead surface under high-salt condition and then be eluted by changing the salt concentration. We implemented the device in poly(methylmethacrylate) via injection molding (see Materials and Methods for details). When compared to a commercial column filter, the fluidic cartridge showed comparable performance, extracting RNA with good quality (fig. S2). The RNA integrity numbers (RINs), ranging from 1 (poor) to 10 (best), were 9.6 ± 0.3 (fluidic cartridge) and 9.5 ± 0.2 (column filter). By using the on-chip cartridge, however, we could remove centrifugal washing steps.

The detection system was designed for portable, parallel PAD assays. It had a modular structure consisting of a base unit for signal processing and four plug-in optical cubes (Fig. 1C and fig. S3). Each optical cube could be customized to accommodate differently sized sample containers and fluorescence optics. The packaged PAD system had a small form factor ($8 \times 8 \times 8$ cm$^3$) and weighed ~400 g. The system wirelessly communicated with a computer or a smartphone through Bluetooth connection, which further improved system portability and simplified the assay setup. We designed a smartphone application for system operation as well as for data logging with geographic information (Fig. 1D and fig. S4).

**PAD optics**

Figure 2A shows the schematic of an optical cube in the PAD system. We used a light-emitting diode (LED) as an affordable light source. The illumination light passed through a linear polarizer and focused onto a sample to excite fluorophore (Fig. 2A, inset). The intensity of emission light was then measured by a pair of photodiodes. We measured both the parallel ($I_x$) and perpendicular ($I_y$) components relative to the polarized excitation light and calculated the fluorescence anisotropy ($r$) as $r = (I_x - I_y)/(I_x + 2I_y)^{-1}$. The optics was encased into an opaque plastic body to minimize the interference from adjacent modules (fig. S3B).

For robust signal detection, we adopted the lock-in measurement technique. Exploiting the fact that $r$ is intensity-independent, we modulated the intensity of the excitation light at the carrier frequency of 1 kHz. The emission signals were then frequency-locked through the homodyne signal path (Fig. 2B). The scheme significantly improved the signal-to-noise ratio (>28 dB; Fig. 2C) and allowed for reliable system operation under ambient light. Data acquisition was directed by a microcontroller unit (MCU; Arduino). A multichannel digital-to-analog converter (DAC) was used to deliver a tunable, modulating signal to the driver in each optical cube. The signal from a photodetector was amplified by a current amplifier, passed through an analog lock-in circuit,
and digitized by a multichannel analog-to-digital converter (ADC). The MCU was programmed to serially poll the optical cubes, control all peripheral components, and communicate with external devices via Bluetooth (fig. S5).

We benchmarked the PAD system against a commercial plate reader (Sapphire 2, Tecan). To prepare samples of different fluorescence anisotropy, we varied the ratio between free fluorescein-labeled DNA (FAM-DNA; high fluorescence anisotropy) and template-bound FAM-DNA (low anisotropy; see Materials and Methods). The observed $r$ values showed agreement ($R^2 > 99\%$) between the plate reader and the PAD, which served to confirm the accuracy of the PAD system (Fig. 2D).

**Universal key to measure bacterial load**

We first used the PAD to detect the overall bacterial burden. We designed a single, universal key (UNI key) that targets a conserved region of 16S rRNA in different bacterial species (Fig. 3A and Table 1). We also prepared a common reporter probe that was composed of FAM-DNA, its primer, and its template (Fig. 3A). The PAD output was defined as $\Delta r = r - r_0$, where $r_0$ is the fluorescence anisotropy of control samples containing DNA polymerase and the reporter only. We applied the designed assay (UNI-PAD) to detect representative HAI pathogens (E. coli, K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, and S. aureus). Across different bacterial species, we observed consistent $\Delta r$ values in concentration-matched samples [Fig. 3B; $P = 0.8857$, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)]; this result supported the use of the UNI-PAD in estimating total bacterial load. We next performed titration experiments with serially diluted bacterial samples (Fig. 3C; see Materials and Methods for details). The PAD assay achieved the dynamic range spanning $>10^4$ colony-forming units (CFU); the limit of detection was down to single-digit CFU.

**Assay optimization for point-of-care operation**

We optimized the PAD system for its point-of-care (POC) applications. On one major issue in POC NA testing is to control false positives caused by sample contamination with PCR products (that is, carryover contamination) (11, 12). To minimize such effects, we adopted...
All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the data are displayed as mean ± SD. RT, room temperature.

To maximize system portability, we further combined the PAD with a miniaturized thermocycler (miniPCR, Amplyus) (15). The performance of the POC system matched that of conventional benchtop equipment (fig. S7). We also lyophilized all chemical reagents (for example, detection keys and reporters) to facilitate their transport and storage (see Materials and Methods for details). The reagents retained their activity after >2 weeks of storage in ambient conditions; we observed statistically identical Δr values (P > 0.64, two-tailed t test) with fresh and stored agents (Fig. 4C and fig. S8).

**Differential keys for pathogen classification**

To differentiate HAI-causing pathogens, we designed a set of detection keys (HAI keys) in which each key targets the hypervariable region of 16S rRNA in different bacterial species (Table 1; see table S1 for extended targets). The sequence homology among genus types was kept <50% to minimize nonspecific binding. When tested, the HAI keys assumed high specificity. For example, the *Escherichia* key (Fig. 5A) showed high signal (Δr) only with its intended target, whereas off-target signals were negligible even in high biological background (10^6 CFU of other bacterial species; Fig. 5B). Similarly, other HAI keys displayed excellent specificity with minimal crosstalk (Fig. 5C). Electrophoretic band-shift analysis confirmed that the detection keys, in the presence of complementary target amplicon, bound to DNA polymerase and inhibited its catalytic activity (fig. S9).

We next prepared probes for antibiotic resistance and virulence (ARV keys) for further bacterial phenotyping. These keys targeted bacterial genes that make pathogens antibiotic-resistant or highly virulent. As a model system, we profiled samples for mecA, PVL, nuc, and femB genes. mecA is the determining factor conferring MRSA, a common multidrug-resistant HAI pathogen (16). PVL, nuc, and femB are virulence factors that contribute to the pathogenicity of *S. aureus*. We used two representative MRSA strains that have the following known genotypes: health care–associated MRSA (*mecA^r^, PVL^+^) and community-acquired MRSA

---

**Table 1. Sequence of detection keys designed for the PAD (see table S1 for other targets)**. PVL, Panton-Valentine leukocidin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>DNA sequence (5’ to 3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNI</td>
<td>Universal</td>
<td>CAATGTACAGTATTGTGGACATGTTAAATCCGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAI</td>
<td><em>Escherichia</em></td>
<td>CAATGTACAGTATTGTGGAGGAAGGGAGTAAAGTTAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Klebsiella</em></td>
<td>CAATGTACAGTATTGTGGAGGCAAGCGATAGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Acinetobacter</em></td>
<td>CAATGTACAGTATTGTGTTCAGGAAAGCATATGTAAGTAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em></td>
<td>CAATGTACAGTATTGTGGAGGAAGGGAGTAAAGTTAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus</em></td>
<td>CAATGTACAGTATTGTGGAGGAAGCATATGTAAGTAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARV</td>
<td>nuc</td>
<td>CAATGTACAGTATTGTGGCTTGCAGACATATTCTCTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>femB</td>
<td>CAATGTACAGTATTGTCCAGAAGCAAGGTTTAGAATTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mecA</td>
<td>CAATGTACAGTATTGTGTGTGCTTCCACCCCTAAACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PVL</td>
<td>CAATGTACAGTATTGTGGGCTAGGTTATCCTATGATAAGGAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 4. Assay optimization for POC operation. (A) Schematic illustration of UDG-mediated control on carryover contamination. Uracil-containing carryover contaminant was specifically broken down by UDG, which allows for the amplification of the true target DNA only. (B) Uracil-containing contaminants (10^7 copies) were added to all samples. Contaminated samples produced high signal even in the absence of target bacteria. When samples were treated with UDG, the false-positive signal was eliminated. (C) The PAD reagents were lyophilized to facilitate their transport and extend their shelf life. After 4 weeks of storage in ambient condition, the reagents were used for bacterial detection. No difference was observed between fresh and lyophilized reagents. Bacterial samples in (B) and (C) contained *E. coli* (10^6 CFU/ml).

All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the data are displayed as mean ± SD. RT, room temperature.

the uracil-DNA glycosylase (UDG) method (13, 14). By substituting deoxythymidine triphosphate (dTTP) with deoxyuridine triphosphate (dUTP) during PCR, we rendered all amplicons to have a uracil-containing DNA backbone. Applying UDG cleaved these amplicons, which selectively destroyed carryover contaminants from PCRs while keeping bona fide DNA templates (Fig. 4A). We confirmed that the method was compatible with the PAD assay. The signal level remained the same when dUTP replaced dTTP in DNA targets (fig. S6). We next tested the efficacy of this contamination control. As a carryover contaminant, dUTP-containing PCR products (10^7 amplicons) were spiked into samples. Without UDG treatment, the negative control with no bacterial targets showed false positives (Fig. 4B). This signal was eliminated with the addition of UDG, and only samples containing true bacterial targets yielded high Δr (Fig. 4B).
Control samples were MSSA (mecA−), E. coli (mecA−, PVL−, nuc−, femB−), and P. aeruginosa (mecA−, PVL−, nuc−, femB−) (table S2) (17–20). The ARV-PAD correctly genotyped bacteria, agreeing with a quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) (Fig. 5D and fig. S10).

Clinical application

Finally, we applied the PAD for clinical HAI diagnostics. The assay started with bacterial lysis, followed by the NA collection using the plastic cartridge. Following the NA amplification, the samples were analyzed in parallel for total bacterial burden (UNI key), HAI pathogens (HAI keys), and antibiotic resistance and virulence status (ARV keys) (fig. S11). The total assay time was ~2 hours, and the required sample volume was ~40 μl.

We acquired patient samples and aliquoted them for the PAD test (2 hours) and conventional culture (3 to 5 days) in a clinical microbiology laboratory. Test results for all detection keys (UNI, HAI, and ARV) are shown in Fig. 6A and fig. S12. Samples negative with UNI-PAD were also negative with HAI-PAD, suggesting the potential use of universal detection for sample triaging. Among six UNI-PAD–positive samples, the HAI-PAD detected HAI pathogens in five samples, and the differentiation results matched the bacterial culture readouts (Fig. 6B). One patient (no. 6) was positive with bacterial load but was negative with HAI keys; the patient was later found to be infected with Providencia rettgeri (nontargeted in the current HAI-PAD). For the sample positive for S. aureus (patient no. 2), the ARV-PAD showed mecA− status (that is, MSSA); this result matched the MRSA-negative pathology report and qPCR (Fig. 6 and fig. S13).

DISCUSSION

HAIs have become a ubiquitous, recalcitrant, and costly problem in modern health care. They increase the emergence of antibiotic resistance, cause significant morbidity and mortality, and prolong hospital stays. One of the key mandates to control this endemic is to equip local hospitals and community centers with more effective surveillance systems. The PAD platform presented here could enable rapid HAI detection in those settings. The detection system is compact and user-friendly with minimal operation complexity. The assay is comprehensive, assessing for overall bacterial burden, pathogen types, antibiotic resistance, and virulence factors.

Several features make the PAD ideal for field operation. First, the sensing scheme (fluorescence anisotropy) is inherently robust against environmental noise. We further incorporated the optical lock-in technique to significantly enhance the sensitivity. Second, the assay flow involves minimal complexity and hands-on time. A disposable plastic chip is used...
to collect NAs, and the remaining processes are performed in a single tube without washing steps. The assay protocol is also refined to automatically dissolve carryover contaminants, thereby minimizing false positives. Third, the platform is highly affordable. The PAD device has simple electronics and can readily be expanded for parallel detection. Making an injection-molded cartridge brings advantages including high performance reproducibility, less cross-contamination between samples, and lower cost. Finally, the PAD is scalable for comprehensive screening. Decoupling detection and signaling probes enables such assays to be cost-effective, because a common fluorescence reporter can be used for all detection targets. We have already designed detection probes for >35 targets (table S1); the incremental assay cost for additional targets is ~$0.01. In a pilot clinical test, PAD accuracy was comparable to that of bacterial culture. In contrast to the culture, the PAD assay was fast (~2 hours), multiplexed, and cost-effective (<$2 per assay). However, we note the following limitations in the current study. First, no clinical samples were found to contain drug-resistant strains. Further studies with larger cohorts are needed to verify PAD’s capacity for drug resistance screening. Second, the PAD may present ambiguous results when target NAs overlap. For example, the current ARV keys would fail to discern community-acquired MRSA (mecA+, PVL+) from the mixture of health care–associated MRSA (mecA+, PVL+) and MSSA (mecA−, PVL+). Such incomplete classification is an inherent issue with NA-based tests. We expect that this issue would be overcome as more bacteria genomic data are accrued from whole-genome sequencing efforts. The current prototype system could be further improved. First, we envision a self-contained, closed system in which sample preparation, thermocycling, and detection functions are all housed in a single device. Such a system would effectively eliminate erroneous results from sample contamination, user interference, or both and proffer “sample-in and answer-out” tests. Second, the current assay time could be shortened, particularly for DNA amplification. One promising direction is to adopt a photonic thermocycling system, which can complete the entire PCR in <5 min (21). Isothermal amplification is also an alternative; some isothermal reactions can be completed in 20 min, which could bring down the total assay time to <1 hour (22). Using isothermal amplification would simplify the hardware requirement and make a battery-powered device feasible. In the future, we plan to expand the test library for broader pathogen and antibiotic resistance screening. The modular nature of PAD probes should facilitate incorporating new targets such as host-response factors (for example, interleukin-4, platelet-derived growth factor B chain, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, and C-X-C motif chemokine 10) (23), as well as other viral, fungal, and parasitic markers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fabrication of the plastic cartridge

The device was made in plastic via injection molding. A metal block of the mold was first machined to have surface structures such as channels, chambers, and ports negatively shaped to those on the top and bottom parts of the plastic cartridge. To confine microbeads in the RNA capture chamber, a weir-shaped physical barrier was designed at the outlet side of the chamber. The top and bottom parts of the device were injection-molded in a foundry (Korea Institute of Machinery and Materials). More than two devices were produced per minute. The top and bottom parts were glued together and the three-way valve was inserted. Fluidic connection was made by inserting polyethylene tubes in the fluidic ports.

Device preparation

The fluidic cartridge was filled with glass beads (diameter, ~30 μm; Polysciences). Beads were suspended in 75% ethanol (Sigma) and introduced through the inlet. The beads were retained in the RNA capture chamber due to the weir-style physical barrier designed at the outlet side of the chamber (fig. S1A). Following bead capture, excess ethanol was collected and removed. The entire device was then flushed with cycles of RNaseZap (Life Technologies), ribonuclease (RNase)–free water (Life Technologies), and ethanol, and dried. All fluidic flow was generated by manually operating syringes.
Detection system
The illumination source in the optical cube consisted of an LED (λ = 470 nm; Thorlabs), a dichroic film polarizer (polarization efficiency, >99%; Thorlabs), and a convex lens [focal length (f) = 8 mm]. The detection part had a convex lens (f = 8 mm), a long-pass filter (EL0500, Thorlabs), a dichroic film polarizer, and a photodiode (S1223, Hamamatsu). A 16-bit DAC (LTC1597, Linear Technology) was used to deliver the modulated control signal to a custom-designed LED driver (LF356, Texas Instrument). The signal from the photodiode was amplified by a custom-designed current amplifier (AD549, Analog Devices). For the lock-in detection, the amplified signal was passed through a band-pass filter (center frequency, 1 kHz; bandwidth, 100 Hz), mixed with a carrier signal, and passed through a low-pass filter (time constant, 1 ms). The conditioned signal was digitized by a 16-bit ADC (LTC1867, Linear Technology). A microcontroller (Arduino MEGA 2560) was programmed to control the light sources for multiplexing, to perform real-time data recording, and to communicate with an external device (for example, computers and smartphones) via a USB 2.0 or a Bluetooth interface. The entire system was powered by a 9-V battery housed inside the base station. A typical power consumption during a single optical measurement (one cube) was ~400 mW, and each test took ~30 s.

Smartphone application
We created a custom-designed Android application to facilitate system operation and data recording. Control software was designed using MIT App Inventor 2. The application connected the smartphone to the PAD system and sent the triggering signal for the fluorescence anisotropy detection. The measured data were sent back to the phone and combined with a time stamp and Global Positioning System coordinates.

Signal detection
Fluorescence anisotropy values were measured with the excitation and emission wavelengths of 470 and 525 nm, respectively. Fluorescence anisotropy (r) was calculated using the following equation: \( r = \frac{I_x - I_y}{I_x + 2I_y} \), where \( I_x \) and \( I_y \) are emission intensities when the emission polarizers are in parallel with and perpendicular to the excitation polarizer, respectively. The LOD was estimated by setting the threshold at 3× SD above the background signal of samples without bacteria. For comparison with the benchtop equipment (Sapphire 2, Tecan), we measured \( \Delta r = r_{\text{FAM}} - r_{\text{FAM}} \) where \( r_{\text{FAM}} \) is the fluorescence anisotropy only in the presence of FAM-DNA (62.5 nM) and \( r \) is the fluorescence anisotropy in the presence of FAM-DNA (62.5 nM) along with its template. We varied the template concentrations (10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 nM) to produce different amounts of hybridized FAM-DNA.

Probe design
DNA oligonucleotides were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies. The list of DNA sequences is summarized in Table 1 and tables S1 and S3. For the universal and species-specific detection of pathogenic bacteria, individual 16S rRNA sequences of different bacterial genera [from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nucleotide database] were aligned using MegAlign software (DNASTAR), and both conserved and variable regions were selected as target sequences (24). To detect ARV factors, the specific regions of \( nuc, femB, mecA, \) and PVL (from the NCBI nucleotide database) were selected as target sequences (17–20). The detection keys were designed to have a hairpin structure joined by a single-stranded capture sequence (~20 to 25 nucleotides in length) (7, 25).

Lyophilization of master mix
An all-in-one PAD mix (20 μl) was concocted by mixing a detection key (400 nM), a reporter (150 nM), a primer (150 nM), and FAM-labeled DNA (125 nM) in 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 20 mM KCl, 5 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, and 6 mM MgCl₂. The mixture was first frozen in liquid nitrogen and then dried in VirTis Freezemobile 25L Freeze Dryer (SP Scientific). The lyophilized reagents were stored at room temperature and reconstituted before use by adding 20 μl of UltraPure DNase/RNase-free distilled water (Life Technologies).

Experiment with cultured bacteria
All bacteria were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Bacterial cultures were grown to mid-log phase in vendor-recommended medium: E. coli (#25922) in LB medium (BD Biosciences); P. aeruginosa (#142), K. pneumoniae (#43816), and MRSA (#BAA-1720 and #BAA-1707) in tryptic soy broth (BD Biosciences); A. baumannii (#15149) in nutrient broth (BD Biosciences); and S. aureus (#25923) in Staphylococcus broth (BD Biosciences). Bacteria were collected via centrifugation (6000g, 10 min), and pellets were resuspended with the preheated TRIZol (Life Technologies). The resuspended cells were transferred to 2-ml Safe-Lock tubes (Eppendorf) containing sterilized disruptor beads (0.1 mm; Scientific Industries) and lysed using a vortex mixer. After centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred to a new tube.

RNA extraction
Bacterial lysate mixed with an equal volume of ethanol was flown through the RNA extraction chamber, in which RNA was captured by packed glass beads. Subsequent flushing with Direct-zol RNA Pre-Wash (Zymo Research) and RNA Wash Buffer (Zymo Research) was done to remove traces of impurities and chaotropic salts. Finally, RNA was eluted in RNase-free water. For comparison of the fluidic cartridge with a commercial column (Zymo-Spin column, Zymo Research), bacterial lysate was divided into two aliquots. One sample was processed by the commercial column and the other by the fluidic cartridge. We checked the quality of the extracted RNA through an electrophoretic assay (2100 Bioanalyzer, Agilent). RNA molecular weight ladder provided in the kit (RNA 6000 Nano Chip, Agilent) was used as reference, and electrophoretic runs were performed per the manufacturer’s protocol. The analysis assigned RINs to samples, ranging from 1 to 10, where 1 indicates highly degraded RNA and 10 completely intact RNA.

PAD assay
The single-stranded complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using random priming with Promega’s Reverse Transcription System as per the manufacturer’s protocol. The asymmetric PCR amplification was then carried out in a total reaction volume of 25 μl containing 2.5 μl of cDNA, 0.8 μM excess primer, 0.08 μM limiting primer (table S3), 1× PCR buffer [20 mM tris-HCl, 20 mM KCl, 5 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, and 2 or 3 mM MgCl₂], 0.2 mM deoxyadenosine triphosphate (dATP), deoxyguanosine triphosphate (dGTP), and deoxycytidine triphosphate (dTTP), 0.4 mM dUTP (Thermo Scientific), 2 U of Antarctic Thermolabile UDG (New England Biolabs), and 2.5 U of Maxima Hot Start Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific). For the asymmetric PCR on a miniaturized thermocycler (miniPCR, Amplyus), we used the following cycling conditions: 25°C for 10 min and 94°C for 4 min; 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 56°C, and 30 s at 72°C; and an extension step of 10 min at 72°C. With a benchtop thermocycler (MasterCycler, Eppendorf), the reaction conditions were 25°C for 10 min and 94°C for 4 min;
35 cycles of 5 s at 94°C, 15 s at 56°C, and 15 s at 72°C; and a final 10 min at 72°C. The PCR solution (20 µl) was mixed with an all-in-one PAD mix composed of a detection key (200 nM) and a reporter that was preformed with a template (75 nM), a primer (75 nM), and FAM-labeled DNA (62.5 nM) at room temperature for 15 min, making a total volume of 40 µl in 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 20 mM KCl, 5 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, and 4 mM MgCl₂.

**UDG-mediated control of carryover contamination**

To mimic the carryover contamination, we spiked dUTP-containing amplification products (carryover contaminants) into new reaction samples. The copy number of carryover contaminants was ~10⁷; a single aerosol after PCR typically contains as many as 10⁶ amplification products (26). The dUTP-containing amplicons were prepared following the same procedures outlined above except that equal amounts of limiting and excess primers were used. The obtained amplicons were purified using the Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery Kit (Zymo Research) and quantified by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm with NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Scientific). The copy number was estimated on the basis of the conversion factor (26 kD per ampiclon).

**Clinical samples**

This study was approved by the Partners Institutional Review Board. Excess and discarded samples were collected from nine subjects with clinical suspicion for infected bodily fluid or abscess and referred for drainage. Specimens were collected using routine image-guided approaches for clinical suspicion for infected bodily fluid or abscess and referred for drainages. This study was approved by the Partners Institutional Review Board. Excess and discarded samples were collected from nine subjects with clinical suspicion for infected bodily fluid or abscess and referred for drainage. Specimens were collected using routine image-guided approaches.

**Electrophoretic band-shift experiment**

Solution containing 100 or 200 nM detection key, PCR products, and 12.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) in 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 20 mM KCl, 5 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, and 4 mM MgCl₂ was incubated at room temperature for 20 min. The solution was mixed with 6x loading buffer and subjected to electrophoresis on a 20% polyacrylamide gel (Life Technologies). The analysis was carried out in 1× TBE (100 mM tris, 90 mM borate, 1 mM EDTA) at 150 V for 160 min at 4°C. After GelRed (Biotium) staining, gels were scanned using an ultraviolet transilluminator. DNA polymerase was neither fluorescent nor stained by the dye (27).

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

The cDNA derived from in vitro cultured bacteria or clinical samples was mixed with 1× PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Life Technologies) and 0.4 µM specific primers used in the PAD assay. Thermal cycling was then carried out on the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Life Technologies) with the following conditions: UDG activation (50°C, 2 min), initiation (95°C, 2 min); 40 cycles of denaturation (95°C, 5 s); annealing (56°C, 15 s); extension (72°C, 30 s). The 7500 Fast software automatically calculates the C_T value, which represents the first PCR cycle at which the fluorescence signal exceeds the signal of a given uniform threshold. No-template control (NTC) remained undetected, not crossing the established threshold for 40 cycles, and was arbitrarily given a C_T value of 41. The ΔC_T was generated by subtracting the C_T value of the specimen from the C_T value of NTC (28).
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