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CCR5 Chemokine Receptor Mediates Recruitment of MHC Class II-Positive Langerhans Cells in the Mouse Corneal Epithelium

Satoru Yamagami, Pedram Hamrah, Kazubisa Miyamoto, Dai Miyazaki, Iva Dekaris, Tracey Dawson, Bao Lu, Craig Gerard, and M. Reza Dana

PURPOSE. To characterize the chemokines and chemokine receptors that mediate the effect of proinflammatory cytokines, interleukin (IL)-1 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, on the recruitment of MHC class II+ Langerhans cells (LCs) in the corneal epithelium.

METHODS. A standard model for corneal LC recruitment, application of cautery to the central corneal surface was used, and the differential gene expression levels of a panel of chemokines and chemokine receptors were determined by RNase protection assay. Chemokine receptor-knockout mice were used to evaluate the recruitment of MHC class II+ LCs to the corneal epithelium. To determine the sensitivity of selected chemokines to IL-1 and TNF-α stimulation, the chemokine gene expression pattern was analyzed after blockade of IL-1 and TNF receptors.

RESULTS. CCR1−, -2, and -5 were overexpressed in corneae after cautery. Topical administration of soluble TNF receptor I and II-1 receptor antagonist, which abrogated corneal LC recruitment, significantly suppressed the gene transcription levels of the ligands of CCR1 and/or -5, regulated on activation normal T-cell expressed and secreted (RANTES), macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1α, and MIP-1β. The recruitment of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II+ LC was significantly suppressed in CCR5+/− mice and blockade of RANTES and MIP-1β, but not in CCR1−/−, CCR2−/−/MIP-1α−/−, or MIP-1α−/−/− mice. The evaluation of epithelial CD11c+ LC cells by confocal microscopy revealed coexpression for CCR5 primarily among B7+ (CD80+/CD86+) subsets of these LCs but not among the mature B7− subsets of CD11c+ LCs.

CONCLUSIONS. These data suggest that CCR5 plays a critical role in mediating recruitment and mobilization of MHC class II+ LCs into the corneal epithelium. Targeting CCR5 and its ligands may be a new strategy for modulating immunity. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2005;46:1201–1207) DOI:10.1167/iovs.04-0658

Langerhans cells (LCs) are a specialized subpopulation of bone marrow–derived dendritic cells (DCs), which, in addition to their well-characterized function in the skin, are part of the professional antigen-presenting cell (APC) population of the ocular surface. Recent data from our laboratory have revealed that the epithelium of the normal mouse cornea contains both resident CD11c+ major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II-negative LCs as well as CD11c+ MHC class II-positive LCs. These MHC class II+ LCs exist, not only in the corneal periphery and limbus, but also exclusively in the central and paracentral corneal epithelium, where MHC class II+ LCs are totally absent. Although the immunologic role of MHC class II+ LCs remains unknown, the critical role of MHC class II+ LCs in initiating immunogenic and specifically T-cell-mediated inflammation in the cornea and ocular surface is well established.

In the corneal epithelium, several inflammatory stimuli and corneal diseases (e.g., alkali or thermal burns and infection) have been shown to induce recruitment of MHC class II+ LCs into the central cornea, where they may initiate Ag processing. For example, in herpet keratitis, migration of MHC class II+ LCs into the cornea is associated with significant exacerbation of disease. Further, the existence of MHC class II+ LCs in the center of the cornea is critical in the innate immune response to Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Moreover, the microanatomic distribution of MHC class II+ ocular surface LCs, specifically the fact that MHC class II+ cells are absent from the central cornea, has been related to the immune privilege of the cornea and the generally favorable prognosis of corneal allografts. Conversely, the poor prognosis of “high-risk” corneal allografts, where the donor tissue is engrafted onto inflamed and vascularized host beds, has been associated with the significant endowment of MHC class II+ corneal LCs in the transplant bed, which can facilitate allosensitization. These findings suggest that the mobilization and distribution of MHC class II+ LCs in the central areas of the cornea can alter the clinical course of a variety of corneal diseases.

Despite the critical role of LCs as “professional” APCs, the molecular mechanisms that mediate their recruitment into ocular tissues are only partially understood. IL-1 has been reported to play a critical role in the recruitment of MHC class II+ LCs in not only the cornea but also the skin. Conversely, topical administration of an IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), a natural and potent antagonist of IL-1RI, has been shown to suppress significantly the recruitment of MHC class II+ LCs into the central cornea. In addition to IL-1, TNF-α has been implicated in the migration of skin LCs. Similarly, we have shown that TNF-α (through the activity of its receptors p55 [TNFR-I] and p75 [TNFR-II]) is a critical mediator of MHC...
class II+ LC recruitment into the cornea and that it can partially mediate the activity of IL-1 in recruiting corneal LCs. However, although animals with gene-targeted deficiency of both p55 and p75 exhibited impaired corneal LC recruitment, we could not uniformly detect TNF expression by all corneal LCs that migrated into the cornea in response to inflammation. Therefore, we have hypothesized, but thus far have not provided proof, that the effect of proinflammatory cytokines on the recruitment of MHC class II+ LCs is not direct, but rather is mediated through select inducible chemokines that are generated in response to local inflammation. Herein, we provide data, using an established experimental model of inflammatory keratitis associated with the expression of MHC class II+ LCs, that differential overexpression of select chemokines and chemokine receptors, notably CCR5 and its ligands, mediates the IL-1 and TNF-α-induced recruitment of ocular surface MHC class II+ LCs in the central corneal epithelium.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Unless otherwise noted, BALB/c (H-2b) mice (Taconic Farm, Germantown, NY) were used for chemokine and chemokine receptor mRNA detection studies. Gene knockout (KO) strains tested, included mice with homozygous deficiency of CCR5 (CCR5−/−), CCL5/129 background from Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME), CCR2−/− MIP-1α−/− (C57BL/129 background), MIP-1α−/− (C57BL/6; Jackson Laboratory), and CCR1 (CCR1−/−, C57BL/129 background). The wild-type control animals (C57BL/129 and C57BL/6) were procured originally from Jackson Laboratory and were subsequently bred at the Schepens Eye Research Institute animal colony. All experiments used male mice that were 6 to 12 weeks of age. All animals were treated in accordance with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

**Antibodies**

The primary antibodies (Abs), their specificity, and their respective control Abs are summarized in Table 1. CCR1 and CCR5 Abs were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Regulated expression, normal T-cell expressed and secreted (RANTES)/CCL5, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1β/CCL4 Abs, and their isotype control (rat IgG2a) were obtained from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). The other primary Abs were from BD Pharmingen (San Jose, CA). The secondary antibodies were Cy5-conjugated goat anti-Armenian hamster IgG (BD Pharmingen), and FITC- and rhodamine-conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG and rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

**Induction of MHC Class II+ LC Recruitment and Enumeration**

Mice were deeply anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of 3 to 4 mg ketamine and 0.1 mg xylazine and placed under the operating microscope. Unless noted otherwise, ocular major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II+ LC recruitment into the central and paracorneal cornea was induced by application of thermal cautery. With the tip of a hand-held cautery (Aaron Medical Industries Inc., St. Petersburg, FL), seven to nine burns were applied to the surface of the central area of the cornea to induce MHC class II+ LC recruitment into the corneal epithelium, and previously described. Antibiotic ophthalmic ointment was applied immediately after all eye surgeries. At select time points after corneal cauteration (n = 6–10 mice per time point), corneas were harvested, and LC enumeration was performed in whole corneal epithelial sheets by use of indirect immunofluorescence, as described elsewhere. Briefly, corneas were removed and placed in 20 mL EDTA buffer for 30 minutes at 37°C. The epithelium was detached and washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at room temperature. After fixation with 95% alcohol, epithelial sheets were incubated with primary anti-mouse Iaα Ab for BALB/c mice, or with anti-mouse Iaα Ab for C57BL/6 or C57BL/129 mice for 30 minutes at 37°C to detect respective strain-specific MHC class II+ LCs. Isotype-matched control antibodies and secondary antibodies alone were used as negative controls. Epithelial sheets were washed twice in PBS and incubated with FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary Ab for 30 minutes at 37°C. Samples were mounted on slides and examined under the fluorescence microscope. MHC class II+ LCs in the central and paracentral (central 2 mm) of the cornea were enumerated using a square ocular grid and quantified as LCs per square millimeter.

**RNA Preparation and RNase Protection Assay**

At select time points after corneal surgery (n = 6–10 corneas per time point per experiment), total RNA was extracted by the single-step method (RNA-STAT-60; Tel-Test Inc., Friendswood, TX). Briefly, whole corneas were homogenized and centrifuged to remove cellular debris. The RNA pellet was resuspended in nuclease-free water and processed method (RNA-STAT-60; Tel-Test Inc., Friendswood, TX). Briefly, whole corneas were homogenized and centrifuged to remove cellular debris. The RNA pellet was resuspended in nuclease-free water and processed together as a group. Detection and quantification of murine chemokine mRNAs were performed with a multiprobe RPA system (BD Bioscience, Franklin Lake, NJ), as recommended by the supplier and as we have previously described. A mixture of [α-32P] UTP-labeled antisense riboprobe was generated from the chemokine template set mCK-5 and mCR-5 (BD Bioscience). Ten micrograms of total RNA were used in each sample. Total RNA was hybridized overnight at 56°C with 300 pg of the 32P-antisense riboprobe mixture. After purification by ethanol precipitation, the samples were resolved on 5% polyacrylamide sequencing gels. The gels were dried and subjected to autoradiography. Protected bands were observed after exposure of gels to x-ray film. The bands were quantitated by densitometric analysis and were normalized to averaged density of L32 and GAPDH.

**Suppression of MHC Class II+ LC Recruitment with Topical IL-1Ra and Soluble TNFR-I Administration**

To determine alterations in chemokine and chemokine receptor expression as a result of local immunotherapies that suppress ocular LC migration, we topically applied IL-1ra (2 mg/mL, kindly provided by...
Ulrich Feige; Amgen Corp., Thousand Oaks, CA) and soluble (s) TNFR-I (15 mg/mL, kindly provided by Carl Edwards, Amgen Corp.) eye drops four times daily after cautery until tissues were harvested. Eye drops containing the vehicle (0.2% hyaluronic acid) were used in the same manner as in the control mice. On days 3 and 6 after cautery, corneas (n = 10 per time point per experiment) were removed in both groups and homogenized (RNA-STAT-60; Tel-Test) and stored at 70°C for RNA extraction and RPA analysis. All experiments were repeated at least twice; representative data are presented.

**Effect of MHC Class II+ LC Recruitment with Subconjunctival Injection of Anti-RANTES and MIP-1β Abs**

After cautery, subconjunctival injection was performed with a 100-μL glass syringe (Hamilton Co., Reno, NV) with a 30-gauge needle. A total of 5 μL (5 μg each) of rat anti-mouse RANTES and anti-mouse MIP-1β neutralized Abs was injected on the day of the cautery and 2 and 4 days after surgery. The same amount of rat IgG2a was used as a control. MHC class II+ LCs in the central and paracentral areas of the cornea were enumerated on day 6.

**Evaluation for CCR1+ and CCR5+ Cells in Corneal Epithelial Sheets**

Mice were killed on days 3 and 6 after cautery, and corneas were excised from BALB/c mice. Corneal epithelial sheets were removed as described earlier and fixed in acetone for 15 minutes at room temperature (RT). To block nonspecific staining, epithelial sheets were incubated in 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) diluted in PBS (PBS-BSA) for 15 minutes before addition of primary and secondary antibodies. Purified primary antibodies or isotype-matched control antibodies were applied to the samples for 2 hours, followed by a 60-minute incubation of a second FITC- or PE-conjugated primary antibody or by incubation of the secondary antibodies for 60 minutes (all diluted for optimal concentrations in PBS-BSA). Epithelial sheets were further stained with secondary antibodies only, as additional controls. All staining procedures were performed at RT, and each step was followed by three thorough washings in PBS for 5 minutes each. Finally, epithelial sheets were covered with mounting medium (Vector, Burlingame, CA) and examined with a confocal microscope (Leica TCS 4D; Laser-technik, Heidelberg, Germany). Epithelial sheets were examined at 160- and 400-fold magnifications using a ×10 eyepiece and ×16 or ×40 objective lens. At least three different corneas were examined per each double-staining experiment. In addition to detection of MHC class II+ cells, corneal LCs were identified by use of anti-mouse CD11c Ab and anti-mouse CD45 Ab.

**Statistical Analysis**

The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the number of LCs in the corneal epithelium under different experimental conditions. All experiments were repeated at least twice unless noted. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Differential Chemokine Gene Expressions Associated with Corneal LC Recruitment and Mobilization**

Experimental induction of MHC class II+ corneal LC migration was followed by RPA analysis to determine the upregulation of involved chemokine and chemokine receptor genes in this model. The results of MHC class II+ LC enumeration in the central and paracentral areas of the corneal epithelium on day 6 after cautery showed a profound increase in the number of LCs (data not shown). Figure 1 shows the results of the chemokine RPA autoradiography, demonstrating the mRNA quantities of chemokines normalized to averaged density of L32 (a ribosomal protein) and GAPDH. The chemokines RANTES, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and MIP-2 genes were overexpressed in cauterized corneas on both days 3 and 6 after cautery, compared with expression in normal uninflamed corneas. Further, minimal levels of eotaxin were detectable on day 3 after cautery. Moreover, there was no gene expression for lymphotactin, interferon-γ inducible protein (IP)-10, monocyte chemotactant protein (MCP)-1, and T-cell activation gene (TCA)-3 in corneas at any of the time points studied. The striking overexpression of CC (β) chemokine ligand genes, led us to analyze further the differential gene expression of the CC chemokine receptors. Overexpression was observed for the chemokine receptors CCR1, -2, and -5 (Fig. 2). In contrast, CCR1b, -3, and -4 were not expressed in normal or cauterized corneas at any time point.
Suppression of LC Migration by IL-1R Antagonist and Soluble TNF Receptor (R) I

We have shown that the blockade of IL-1 or TNF-α function (through receptor blockade or gene knockout strategies) can suppress the recruitment of MHC class II⁺ LCs to the central corneal epithelium. In this set of experiments we applied topical sTNFR-I and/or IL-1ra to corneas, to suppress the local IL-1 and TNF-α activity. The application of sTNFR-I alone to cauterized corneas led to a 40% and 47% reduction in the number of MHC class II⁺ LCs in the central cornea, by 1 and 2 weeks after cautery, respectively, compared with vehicle controls (P < 0.01; data not shown). However, the simultaneous blockade of both TNF-α and IL-1 through the combined application of IL-1ra and sTNFR-I led to an undetectable number of MHC class II⁺ LCs in the central cornea, suggesting the abrogation of LC migration into the cornea (P < 0.001; data not shown). To evaluate further the effect of the topical immunomodulatory strategies used in the study on chemokine expression, treated corneas were harvested, and chemokine gene expression was assayed on days 3 and 6 after administration of IL-1ra and sTNFR-I (or control vehicle) subsequent to tissue cautery. Figure 3 shows the results of the chemokine RPA autoradiography and their densitometric analyses normalized to averaged density of L32 and GAPDH. RANTES, eotaxin, and MIP-1α, -1β, and -2 mRNAs were all expressed abundantly on day 3 in both the treated and the placebo groups. However, whereas the genes of RANTES, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β were still expressed at day 6 in the placebo group, corneas treated with IL-1ra and sTNFR-I demonstrated no or minimal levels of gene expression for these chemokines. Treatment with IL-1ra and sTNFR-I however, did not affect the expression levels of eotaxin and MIP-2 genes at day 6.

Recruitment of MHC Class II⁺ Corneal LCs in CCR5⁻ and CCR1-KO Mice

To differentiate further which CCR system is more closely associated with the recruitment of MHC class II⁺ LCs, KO mice lacking the genes for CCR1 (CCR1⁻/⁻), CCR2/MIP-1α (CCR2⁻/⁻ MIP-1α⁻/⁻), MIP-1α (MIP-1α⁻/⁻), or CCR5 (CCR5⁻/⁻) were used to analyze the ability of ocular surface LCs to migrate into the cornea, by enumeration of MHC class II⁺ LCs in the epithelium after cautery. No significant differences in the number of MHC class II⁺ LCs was observed between CCR1-KO, CCR2/MIP-1α double-KO, MIP-1α-KO, and respective wild-type control animals (Fig. 4A–C) after cautery. In contrast, the number of MHC class II⁺ LCs in the central cornea, was significantly reduced (>50%) in CCR5-KO mice compared with wild-type control mice (P < 0.005) on day 6 after cautery (Fig. 4D).

Effect of MHC Class II⁺ LC Recruitment with Subconjunctival Injection of Anti-RANTES and MIP-1β Abs

Although RANTES, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β are the ligands of CCR5 and are upregulated after cautery, MIP-1α-KO mice did not show MHC class II⁺ LCs migration (Fig. 4C). Therefore, blocking experiments were performed with subconjunctival injection of RANTES and MIP-1β neutralizing Abs. As shown in Figure 5, the number of MHC class II⁺ LCs significantly decreased in corneal epithelia of anti-RANTES/MIP-1β Abs treatment group compared with those of the isotype control group.

Expression of CD80, CD86, and MHC Class II Antigens in CCR5⁺ Corneal LCs

The data herein suggest that CCR5 activity is functionally associated with an increase in MHC class II⁺ LCs and their migration into inflamed corneas. To determine whether this effect was mediated directly through CCR5 (i.e., at the level of epithelial LCs) or indirectly (i.e., through a CCR5-dependent recruitment of another leukocyte population that could in turn lead to LC migration), we performed immunohistochemistry on normal and inflamed corneas to evaluate the expression of CCR5 and -1. In the normal uninflamed cornea, CD45⁺ CD11c⁺ DCs were uniformly B7⁺ (CD80⁺/CD86⁺), both in the central epithelium and stroma, indicating their immature phenotype, as recently described. In addition, analysis of normal corneas revealed all epithelial LCs to be uniformly CCR5⁺ and -1⁺, regardless of location. However, by day 6 after cautery, CCR5⁺ corneal LCs were observed in significant numbers in the limbal and to a lesser degree in the peripheral corneal
epithelium (Figs. 6A–C). MHC class II+ LCs, present in large numbers in the limbal and peripheral epithelium, were mostly CCR5 on both days 3 (Figs. 6D, 6E) and 6 after cautery. In inflamed corneas, LCs expressing CD80 (Fig. 6F) and CD86 (data not shown) were observed immediately adjacent to the maximally inflamed areas around cautery sites. These B7+ LCs, however, most of which also expressed MHC class II, failed to express CCR5, suggesting that the acquisition of maturation markers (Ia and costimulatory factors) is associated with the downregulation of CCR5 gene expression. Finally, epithelial LCs in inflamed corneas were uniformly CCR1+ at any of the time points studied, although CCR1+ DCs were detected in the corneal stroma after cautery (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Chemokines have been subdivided into different families based on the relative position of their cysteine residues. In the CC (β) chemokine group, which is primarily involved in recruitment of immune cells such as APCs and T cells, the first two cysteine residues are adjacent to each other. RANTES, eotaxin, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and MIP-2 mRNA were expressed on day 3 in both the IL-1ra- and TNFRI-treated and the placebo groups. Although RANTES, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β gene expression levels were maintained on day 6 in the placebo group, chemokine gene expressions were suppressed in the IL-1ra- and TNFRI-treated group. In addition, treatment with IL-1ra and TNFRI did not affect the expression levels of eotaxin and MIP-2 genes. Two sets of results on separate experiments were analyzed, and averaged density was shown as the results of densitometric analysis.
What we cannot determine as yet is whether the CCR5 ligands RANTES and MIP-1α is being downregulated once CCR5 is therefore mediating the initial migration of immature LCs in the cornea fail to express this receptor.

The finding that blockade of the CCR5 gene and blockade of its ligands RANTES and MIP-1β led to significant suppression in LC recruitment. We observed that the expression of CCR5, which is associated with LC migration, is inversely related to the maturation stage of LCs. This observation is indeed well confirmed for a variety of APC populations and chemokine receptors responsible for their migration.31–34 For example, it is known that the chemokine receptor CCR6 can initiate recruitment of immune DC subsets to inflamed peripheral sites31,35–36 whereas other chemokine systems (CCR7, ELC/MIP-3β) can direct the migration of mature Ag-bearing DCs from the periphery to lymphoid organs, where they stimulate T cells.52,34,40–42 Although we do not have any data at this time that demonstrate definitively similar switching of differential chemokine receptors in corneal APCs, including LCs, it is tempting to speculate that other chemokine systems distinct from CCR5 (and its ligands RANTES and MIP-1β) mediate the APC emigration from the cornea to lymphoid organs.

It is important to underscore the limitations of this study. First, we were not able to demonstrate complete suppression of the recruitment of MHC class II+ LCs in CCR5-KO mice, suggesting that other molecular pathways may also be involved in mediating LC recruitment to the cornea. Other candidates include CCR6 and its ligand MIP-3α, which have been shown to be involved in the recruitment of LCs into the epithelium.36,41 In our preliminary study, CCR6 are expressed in the normal mouse corneal epithelium under a confocal microscope and blockade of CCR6 neutralized Ab with subconjunctival injection partially suppressed the recruitment of MHC class II+ LCs (Yamagami S, unpublished observation, 2004). Further functional study of the corneal epithelium, using the CCR6-KO mouse and a neutralizing Ab to MIP-3α should be undertaken. Second, given the complex redundancy of the chemokine receptor-ligand systems, we were unable to identify specifically whether both RANTES and MIP-1β or a ligand alone are involved in the recruitment of corneal LCs. Finally, we have recently identified a highly immature/precursor LC population in the corneal epithelium.2,43 Although our data do not differentiate between the role of the CCR5 chemokine system in the mobilization of these resident immature LCs (none of the highly immature Ia-negative LCs express CCR5) and the novel recruitment of LCs into the epithelium, we cannot rule out that CCR5, or its associated ligands, may impact these resident cells indirectly. This is highly unlikely, because the role of CCR5, so far, has been limited to the chemotaxis of APCs and not to their maturation or sensitization.37–38 Herein we provide data, for the first time that specifically identify the role of CCR5 in initiating the mobilization of LCs to the cornea. Our data suggest that CCR5 and its associated ligands play a critical role in mediating the recruitment and mobilization of MHC class II+ LCs into the corneal epithelium. Targeting the CCR5 chemokine system may serve as an appro-

**TABLE 6.** Immunohistochemical studies by confocal microscopy. (A–C) On day 6 after cautery, CD45+ dendritiform LCs (red) expressed CCR5 (green) in the corneal epithelium. (A) CD45+ (red), (B) CCR5+ (green), (C) merger of (A) and (B), costained (yellow). (D) MHC class II+ LCs (green) as shown in (D) did not express CCR5 (red; E). On day 6 after cautery, LCs expressing CD80 (red; F) did not express CCR5 (green) in the corneal epithelium.

Figure 6. Immunohistochemical studies by confocal microscopy. (A–C) On day 6 after cautery, CD45+ dendritiform LCs (red) expressed CCR5 (green) in the corneal epithelium. (A) CD45+ (red), (B) CCR5+ (green), (C) merger of (A) and (B), costained (yellow). (D) MHC class II+ LCs (green) as shown in (D) did not express CCR5 (red; E). On day 6 after cautery, LCs expressing CD80 (red; F) did not express CCR5 (green) in the corneal epithelium.

tines separated by one amino acid residue. Chemokines form a complex functional network in a variety of inflammatory, infectious, and immune-mediated diseases, both locally and systematically. Whereas CXC chemokines (e.g., MIP-2 and Gro-α) have been shown to mediate the recruitment of neutrophils60 and CC chemokines, and their receptors have been demonstrated to be critical in regulating the recruitment of DCs, including LCs.51–53

In the present study, thermal cautery, which led to an increase in MHC class II+ LCs in the central areas of the corneal epithelium, was associated with an overexpression of the chemokines RANTES, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, eotaxin, and MIP-2, but not IP-10, MCP-1, and lymphotactin. In addition, CCR1 (e.g., RANTES, MIP-1α, MCP-3), CCR2 (MCP-1-2, and -3), and CCR5 (e.g., RANTES, MIP-1α, MIP-1β)36,39 receptor genes, but not CCR3 (eotaxin) or -4 (MDC) receptor genes are overexpressed at the same time points after cautery. Because the initial data primarily implicated the CCR1, -2, and -5 to be associated with the increase of MHC class II+ LCs in the central cornea, we further assessed the functional relevance of these CC receptors by studying the recruitment of MHC class II+ LCs in CCR1-, CCR2/MIP-1α-, MIP-1α-, and CCR5-KO mice compared with respective wild-type mice. Our results revealed that MHC class II+ LCs in the corneal epithelium are significantly, but not entirely, suppressed in CCR5−−/− mice compared with wild-type control animals, whereas no significant differences in CCR1-, CCR2/MIP-1α-, and MIP-1α-KO are appreciated compared with controls. The finding that blockade of the CCR5 ligands RANTES and MIP-1β decreased the number of MHC class II+ LCs also strongly supports the functional relevance of CCR5 in the recruitment of MHC class II+ LCs.

Our data further demonstrate that the resident limbal CD11c+ LCs were uniformly CCR5−. After corneal stimulation with cautery, however, CCR5+ LCs could be observed infiltrating the corneal periphery, indicating the direct role of CCR5 in the recruitment of corneal LCs, extending the previously shown role of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-1 and TNF-α in this process. These CCR5+ LCs are mostly immature, whereas most mature LCs in the cornea fail to express this receptor. CCR5 is therefore mediating the initial migration of immature LCs into the epithelium, and is being downregulated once these migrated LCs reach a more mature stage in the cornea. What we cannot determine as yet is whether the CCR5+ LCs are derived from the normally CCR5+ limbal LCs that upregulate CCR5 in response to proinflammatory cytokines and are then chemotactically drawn to the cornea before acquiring a high maturation state and MHC class II expression, or whether they represent a distinct subset of LCs or LC precursors that express CCR5 and are recruited from the limbal intravascular compartment in response to local inflammation in the cornea.

The conclusion that CCR5 function is associated with the mobilization of LCs into the corneal epithelium is based on several lines of investigation. First, promotion of LC recruitment was associated with upregulation of both CCR5 and its associated ligands. Second, the suppression of LC mobilization by cytokine modulation was associated with suppression in gene expression for CCR5-associated ligands; and third, deletion of the CCR5 gene and blockade of its ligands RANTES and MIP-1β led to significant suppression in LC recruitment.
priate model for the prevention or treatment of corneal inflammation like in microbial keratitis or allograft rejection.
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