



Amenable Coverings of Complex Manifolds and Holomorphic Probability Measures

The Harvard community has made this
article openly available. [Please share](#) how
this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	McMullen, Curtis T. 1992. Amenable coverings of complex manifolds and holomorphic probability measures. <i>Inventiones mathematicae</i> 110(1): 29–37. Revised 2003.
Published Version	doi:10.1007/BF01231322
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:3446031
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

Amenable coverings of complex manifolds and holomorphic probability measures

Curt McMullen *

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

2 September, 1991

1 Introduction.

Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a covering map between complex manifolds X and Y . For many holomorphic objects (such as functions or forms) one can define a pushforward operator π_* carrying objects on Y to objects on X by summing over the fiber. The pushforward is *efficient* if there is little cancellation in the sum. To achieve efficiency, there must be coherence in phase on different sheets of the covering.

In this paper we will demonstrate a direct connection between *efficiency* of the push-forward π_* and *amenability* of the covering π . The latter is a purely combinatorial property of the pair of groups $\pi_1(Y) \subset \pi_1(X)$; the covering is amenable if there exists a $\pi_1(X)$ -invariant finitely additive probability measure on the coset space $\pi_1(X)/\pi_1(Y)$. Amenability is discussed in more detail in §3.

The connection will be established by studying complex-valued measures on the fibers of the covering, varying holomorphically with respect to the base.

Motivating Example. To any Riemann surface X we can associate the Banach space $Q(X)$ of holomorphic quadratic differentials $\phi(z)dz^2$ such that

$$\|\phi\| = \int_X |\phi| < \infty.$$

*Research partially supported by the NSF.

Then associated to a covering $Y \rightarrow X$ there is an operator

$$\Theta_{Y/X} : Q(Y) \rightarrow Q(X)$$

given by $\phi \mapsto \pi_*(\phi)$. It is easy to see that $\|\Theta_{Y/X}\| \leq 1$.

Let B_X and B_Y denote the open unit balls in $Q(X)$ and $Q(Y)$ respectively.

Theorem 1.1 ([Mc1]) *Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a covering of a hyperbolic Riemann surface X . Either:*

1. *The covering is amenable, and $\Theta(B_Y) = B_X$, or*
2. *The covering is nonamenable, and $\overline{\Theta(B_Y)}$ is contained in the interior of B_X .*

Corollary 1.2 (Kra's Theta conjecture) *For the universal cover $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ of a hyperbolic surface of finite area,*

$$\|\Theta_{\Delta/X}\| < 1.$$

In other words, there is always a definite inefficiency in the representation of ϕ as a pushforward $\pi_*(\psi)$.

Remark. Let Γ be the Fuchsian group of deck transformations for the universal covering $\Delta \rightarrow X$. Then given $\psi \in Q(\Delta)$, its pushforward can be expressed by the classical Poincaré series [Poin]

$$\Theta(\psi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma^*(\psi).$$

This sum is clearly Γ -invariant, so it defines an element of $Q(X)$.

Proof of the corollary. For a surface of finite hyperbolic area, it is easy to see that the universal cover is nonamenable (cf. [Mc1]), and it is well-known that $Q(X)$ is finite dimensional. Thus $\overline{\Theta(B_\Delta)}$ is a compact subset of B_X , so $\|\Theta\| < 1$. ■

These results have implications for Teichmüller theory and for the construction of hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds; see [Mc4], [Mc3] for an expository account and [Mc1], [Mc2] for details.

Recently Barrett and Diller gave an elegant and surprising new proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case of the universal covering (and thus a new proof of the Theta conjecture), as part of their work on affine bundles and holomorphic

averaging [BD]. Here we present an understanding of their argument which applies to all coverings, not just the universal covering, and which leads to a short proof of the full Theorem 1.1.

Outline of the paper. §2 states the main result of this paper (Theorem 2.1, Efficiency implies amenability) and applies it to give a new proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorem 2.1 is proved in §3. We conclude in §4 with a geometric sketch of the Barrett-Diller proof of the Theta conjecture, to help indicate the connection between their ideas and ours.

Remark. Theorem 1.1 is not quite sufficient for the applications of [Mc2]. For example, we need a uniform bound on $|\Theta_{Y_n/X}|$ for a certainly countable collection of covering spaces Y_n . The proof in [Mc1] has the advantage of yielding an effective bound on $|\Theta|$.

2 Statement of results

To set up the main theorem we require:

- $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$: a covering map between (connected) complex manifolds;
- $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$: a holomorphic line-bundle over X ;
- $\mathcal{L}' \rightarrow Y$: the pull-back of \mathcal{L} to Y ;
- $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$: a holomorphic section of \mathcal{L} , *not identically zero*; and
- $\psi_n : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$: a sequence of holomorphic sections such that $\pi_*(\psi_n) = \phi$.

Pushforward. Here is a more precise explanation of what we mean by $\pi_*(\psi) = \phi$. Let $U \subset X$ be any open ball. Then $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is a collection V_i of disjoint balls in Y , and π admits a holomorphic inverse $\rho_i : U \rightarrow V_i$ for each i . If $\sum \rho_i^*(\psi)$ converges to ϕ uniformly on compact subsets of U , for every such U , then we say $\pi_*(\psi) = \phi$.

Efficiency. The sequence ψ_n is *efficient* if $\pi_*|\psi_n|$ converges to $|\phi|$ uniformly on compact subsets of X .

Here $|\phi|$ is a section of the oriented real line-bundle $|\mathcal{L}|$ naturally attached to \mathcal{L} . In down-to-earth terms, one can trivialize \mathcal{L} over a ball $U \subset X$, so the ψ and ϕ become functions; then efficiency means $\sum |\rho_i^*(\psi_n)|$ is close to $|\phi|$ when n is large.

Theorem 2.1 (Efficiency implies amenability) *If $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ admits an efficient sequence $\psi_n : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ with $\pi_*(\psi_n) = \phi$, then the covering π is amenable.*

We record the following complementary result:

Theorem 2.2 *If $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is amenable and $\phi = \pi_*(\psi)$ for some $\psi : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$, then there is an efficient sequence ψ_n with $\pi_*(\psi_n) = \phi$ and $\pi_*|\psi_n| \leq \pi_*|\psi|$.*

When the covering is regular with deck group \mathbb{Z} generated by g , the ψ_n can be given by

$$\psi_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (g^k)^* \psi.$$

For a general amenable covering, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is a straightforward generalization of [Mc1, Theorem 9.1]; we omit the details.

Remark. Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 also hold for finite dimensional affine bundles; for simplicity we stick with the versions above. The versions for line bundles have applications to bounded symmetric domains (see the Appendix to [Mc1]).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assuming the results above, we present a new proof of the main facts concerning $|\Theta|$.

Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a covering of Riemann surfaces. Quadratic differentials on X and Y are sections of bundles \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' obtained by squaring the canonical bundles. Note that a section of $|\mathcal{L}|$ is naturally an area form on X (since $|\phi| = |\phi(z)||dz|^2$) and that for $\psi \in Q(Y)$,

$$|\psi| = \int_X \pi_*|\psi_n|.$$

Now suppose the covering is amenable. Let ϕ be a member of B_X , so $|\phi| = 1 - \epsilon < 1$. By a result of Ahlfors and Bers, $\phi = \pi_*(\psi)$ for some ψ in $Q(Y)$ (see [Kra]). By Theorem 2.2, there is an efficient sequence ψ_n with $\pi_*(\psi_n) = \phi$ and $\pi_*|\psi_n| \leq \pi_*|\psi|$. Since the latter is integrable, there is compact set $K \subset X$ such that $\int_{X-K} \pi_*|\psi_n| < \epsilon/2$ for every n . By efficiency, $\pi_*|\psi_n|$ tends uniformly to $|\phi|$ on K . Since $\int_K |\phi| < 1 - \epsilon$, for n large enough $|\psi_n| = \int_X \pi_*|\psi_n| < 1$ and thus $\Theta(B_Y) = B_X$. This is the first part of Theorem 1.1.

We will now prove the contrapositive of the second part. If $\overline{\Theta(B_Y)}$ meets the unit sphere in $Q(X)$, then there is a $\phi \in Q(X)$ with $|\phi| = 1$, and a sequence $\psi_n \in Q(Y)$ such that

$$\Theta(\psi_n) = \pi_*(\psi_n) = \phi$$

and $\|\psi_n\| \rightarrow 1$. Since $\pi_*|\psi_n| \geq |\phi|$ and

$$\|\psi_n\| - \|\phi\| = \int_X \pi_*|\psi_n| - |\phi| \rightarrow 0,$$

we have that $\pi_*|\psi_n|$ tends to $|\phi|$ in the L^1 -sense. By Cauchy's integral formula, the L^1 norm of an analytic function controls its modulus of continuity, so $\pi_*|\psi_n| \rightarrow |\phi|$ uniformly on compact subsets of X . Therefore ψ_n is an efficient sequence. By Theorem 2.1, the covering is amenable. \blacksquare

3 Holomorphic families of measures

This section provides the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Complex probability measures. Let A be a set and let $L^\infty(A)$ denote the Banach space of bounded, complex-valued functions $f(a)$ on A with the norm $\|f\| = \sup |f(a)|$.

A *complex probability measure* on A is a map $\mu : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|\mu\| = \sum_A |\mu(a)| < \infty$ and $\sum \mu(a) = 1$. The measure $\mu(B)$ of a set $B \subset A$ is $\sum_B \mu(a)$. The measure μ determines an element of the dual space $L^\infty(A)^*$ by

$$\mu(f) = \sum_A \mu(a)f(a).$$

Amenability. A *mean* on A is a complex-linear map

$$m : L^\infty(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that $m(f)$ is real if f is real-valued, $m(f) \geq 0$ if $f \geq 0$ and the mean of the constant function $f = 1$ is one. It follows that m is continuous, so m belongs to $L^\infty(A)^*$.

Remark. Elements of $L^\infty(A)^*$ can be interpreted as finitely additive measures on A . From this point of view, a mean is simply a positive finitely additive probability measure.

Let G be a group acting transitively on the set A . The action of G is *amenable* if there exists a G -invariant mean on A . Note that amenability is a property of the pair (G, H) where H is the stabilizer of a point on A , since we may identify A and G/H .

Example. The action of $G = \mathbb{Z}$ on itself by translation is amenable. However it seems impossible to constructively exhibit a translation invariant

mean that is defined for *all* bounded functions. Thus it is useful to have a more concrete criterion for amenability, and this is provided by the Følner-Rosenblatt condition [Ros]:

An action is amenable if and only if there is a net A_α of finite subsets of A such that for every g in G ,

$$|gA_\alpha \Delta A_\alpha|/|A_\alpha| \rightarrow 0$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$.

Here $B\Delta C = (B-C)\cup(C-B)$ denotes the symmetric difference. Frequently the net can be replaced by a sequence. When $G = A = \mathbb{Z}$ we can take $A_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

Remark. In [Mc1], the proof of Theorem 1.1 employs the Følner-Rosenblatt criterion; the present proof works directly with linear functionals on $L^\infty(A)$.

A more complete discussion of amenability can be found in [Mc1], [Gre] and [Pier].

Amenable coverings. We now return to the setting of the introduction, and define a *covering* $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ to be amenable if the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on the coset space $\pi_1(X)/\pi_1(Y)$ is amenable.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Starting with an efficient sequence $\pi_*(\psi_n) = \phi$, we will show that the covering $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is amenable.

Let $E \subset X$ be the analytic subvariety on which ϕ vanishes. To simplify notation, let

$$A(x) = \pi^{-1}(x)$$

denote the fiber of the covering over x .

We begin by defining, for each x in $X - E$, a sequence of complex probability measures $\mu_n(x)$ on $A(x)$. Let

$$\mu_n(x)(y) = \frac{\psi_n(y)}{\pi^*\phi(x)}.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}' = \pi^*\mathcal{L}$, ψ_n and $\pi^*\phi$ are sections of the same line bundle and their ratio is a complex number (finite because x is not in E). Note that

$$\frac{1}{\phi(x)} \sum_{y \in A(x)} \psi_n(y) = \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi(x)} = 1$$

by the definition of pushforward, so these are indeed probability measures. The measures $\mu_n(x)$ are sections of the flat bundle of Banach spaces $L^\infty(A(x))^*$.

Test functions. A *test function* (f, U) is a bounded locally constant function on $\pi^{-1}(U)$, where U is an open subset of $X - E$. The function f can be thought of as a constant section of $L^\infty(A(x))$. A family of functionals $\mu(x) \in L^\infty(A(x))$ determines a map $\langle \mu, f \rangle: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $x \mapsto \mu(x)(f)$.

Since $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a covering map, any bounded function on a fiber of π can be prolonged to a test function. Thus $\mu(x)$ is determined by its values on test functions.

Proposition 3.1 1. *The measures $\mu_n(x)$ depend holomorphically on x in $X - E$. That is, $\langle \mu_n, f \rangle$ is holomorphic on U for any test function (f, U) .*

2. *For any compact $K \subset X - E$, $\|\mu_n(z)\| \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on K as n tends to infinity.*

Proof. Let (f, U) be a test function. Then

$$\mu_n(x)(f) = \frac{1}{\phi(x)} \sum_{\pi(y)=x} \psi_n(y)f(y).$$

The sum converges uniformly on compact sets by the definition of pushforward, so $\mu_n(x)$ is holomorphic.

As for the second statement,

$$\|\mu_n(x)\| = \sum_{y \in A(x)} |\mu_n(x)(y)| = \frac{\pi_* |\psi_n|(x)}{|\phi(x)|},$$

and by the definition of efficiency this quantity tends to one uniformly on K as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ■

Proposition 3.2 *There exists a subnet μ_α of μ_n which converges weak*-uniformly on compact sets to a holomorphic functional $\nu(x) \in L^\infty(A(x))^*$.*

This means that $\langle \mu_\alpha, f \rangle$ converges to $\langle \nu, f \rangle$ uniformly on compact sets for any test function (f, U) .

Proof. Let $L^\infty(A)_1^*$ denote the unit ball endowed with the weak* topology. By theorems of Tychonoff and Alaoglu [Roy], the space

$$\prod_X L^\infty(A(x))_1^*$$

is compact. Since $\|\mu_n\| \rightarrow 1$, the μ_n are locally bounded, so there is a subnet $\mu_\alpha(x)$ which converges pointwise to $\nu(x)$. Now for each test function (f, U) , and each compact $K \subset U$, $\langle \mu_\alpha, f \rangle$ is a pointwise convergent net of uniformly bounded holomorphic functions. Since bounded holomorphic functions are equicontinuous, the convergence is uniform and the limit is holomorphic. ■

Proposition 3.3 *The functional ν is a locally constant mean on $A(x)$.*

Here locally constant means that $\langle \nu, f \rangle$ is constant on U for any test function (f, U) defined over a connected set U .

Proof. Since μ_α is a net of complex probability measures, $\langle \mu_\alpha, 1 \rangle = 1$, so the same is true for ν .

We now appeal to the following easy fact: if a_i is a sequence of complex numbers, with $\sum a_i = 1$ and $\sum |a_i| = 1 + \epsilon$, then $\sum_{\operatorname{Re} a_i < 0} |a_i| \leq \epsilon$ and $\sum |\operatorname{Im} a_i| < \delta(\epsilon)$ where $\delta \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since μ_α is a subnet of μ_n , we have

$$\pi_* |\mu_\alpha(x)| = \sum_{y \in A(x)} |\mu_\alpha(y)| \rightarrow 1,$$

and we have just noted that $\sum_{A(x)} \mu_\alpha(y) = 1$. It follows that the limiting functional $\nu(x)$ is real and $\nu(x)(f) \geq 0$ if $f \geq 0$. Thus ν is a mean.

A real-valued holomorphic function is constant. Since $\langle \nu, f \rangle$ is holomorphic for any test function f , and real-valued if f is real-valued, it follows that ν is locally constant. ■

Completion of the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let x_0 be a basepoint in $X - E$, y_0 a point in Y lying over x_0 . The choice of y_0 determines an action of $G = \pi_1(X, x_0)$ on $A(x_0)$, with stabilizer H of y_0 equal to $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$. Thus $\nu(x_0)$ determines a mean on $L^\infty(G/H)$.

We claim this mean is G -invariant. Since E has real codimension two, any loop in $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ has a representative γ which avoids E . The monodromy of the locally constant family of sets $A(x)$ around γ is exactly the action of γ on the fiber $A(x_0) \cong G/H$. But $\nu(x)$ is a locally constant functional on $L^\infty(A(x))$, so it is invariant under the action of γ .

Thus ν is an invariant mean on $L^\infty(G/H)$ and the covering $Y \rightarrow X$ is amenable. ■

4 A bridge to affine bundles

To conclude, we give an interpretation of the Barrett-Diller proof of the Theta conjecture [BD], which may illuminate the connection of their work with the argument above.

Definitions. An *affine automorphism* of \mathbb{C} is simply a transformation of the form $z \mapsto az + b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \neq 0$. A *complex affine line* L is a space equipped with a bijection $L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ well-defined up to composition with affine automorphisms.

Given a countable bounded set $A \subset L$ and a complex probability measure μ on A , define the *barycenter* β of μ by

$$\beta = \sum_{a \in A} \mu(a)a.$$

Since $\sum_A \mu(a) = 1$, the point $\beta \in L$ is well-defined: it is independent of the particular identification of L with \mathbb{C} needed to form the sum.

Unlike the barycenter of a positive measure, the barycenter of a complex measure can lie outside the convex hull of its support. But it is easy to see:

Proposition 4.1 *If $\sum_A |\mu(a)| = 1 + \epsilon$, and A is contained in a round disk $D(p, r)$ in L , then the barycenter of μ lies in $D(p, r(1 + \epsilon))$.*

Now let $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ denote the Riemann sphere. For any point $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, there is an automorphism (Möbius transformation) $\delta : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\delta(z) = \infty$. This δ is well-defined up to composition with an affine automorphism. Consequently:

The complement $L = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} - \{z\}$ of any point $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ carries the structure of an affine line.

For μ a complex probability measure on a countable set $A \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, let $\text{bary}(\mu, z)$ denote the barycenter of μ with respect to the natural affine structure on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} - \{z\}$. This point is defined so long as \overline{A} does not meet z .

The barycenter is *natural*, in the sense that $\text{bary}(\delta_*\mu, \delta z) = \delta \text{bary}(\mu, z)$ for any automorphism δ of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Barycenter approach to the Theta conjecture. With these preliminaries in place, we now sketch the Barrett-Diller proof of the Theta conjecture.

Let $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ denote the unit disk, and let $\Sigma = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} - \overline{\Delta}$ denote the complementary disk about infinity.

Let X be a hyperbolic Riemann surface of finite volume. Then we can find a covering map $\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ which presents X as the quotient of the disk Σ by the action of a Fuchsian group Γ .

The group Γ acts on the whole Riemann sphere, and $\overline{X} = \Delta/\Gamma$ is also a Riemann surface, the ‘‘complex conjugate’’ of X . More precisely, reflection through the unit circle commutes with the action of Γ , and so it determines an antiholomorphic homeomorphism $\rho : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$.

Now suppose $\|\Theta_{\Delta/X}\| = 1$. Since the unit ball in $Q(X)$ is compact, we can find a $\phi \in Q(X)$ and a sequence $\psi_n \in Q(\Delta)$ such that $\Theta_{\Delta/X}(\psi_n) = \phi$ and $\lim \|\psi_n\| = \|\phi\| = 1$. From this we will deduce a contradiction.

Let $\psi = \pi^*\phi$ denote the pullback of ϕ to Σ , and let $E \subset \Sigma$ be the discrete set of zeros of ψ .

Pick a point p in Δ , and let A denote the orbit Γp . For $z \in \Sigma - E$, define a complex probability measure $\mu_n(z)$ on A by

$$\mu_n(z)(\gamma p) = \frac{\psi_n(\gamma^{-1}z)}{\psi(z)}.$$

We claim that for any $\delta \in \Gamma$, the pushforward $\delta_*\mu_n(z) = \mu_n(\delta z)$. Indeed,

$$(\delta_*\mu_n(z))(\gamma p) = \mu_n(z)(\delta^{-1}\gamma p) = \frac{\psi_n(\gamma^{-1}\delta z)}{\psi(z)} = \frac{\psi_n(\gamma^{-1}\delta z)}{\psi(\delta z)} = \mu_n(\delta z)(\gamma p),$$

where we have used the fact that ψ is Γ -invariant.

Define $f_n : \Sigma - E \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$f_n(z) = \text{bary}(\mu_n(z), z);$$

i.e. $f_n(z)$ is the barycenter of $\mu_n(z)$ with respect to z . It is not hard to verify that f_n is holomorphic. Moreover, for any $\delta \in \Gamma$,

$$f_n(\delta z) = \text{bary}(\mu_n(\delta z), \delta z) = \text{bary}(\delta_*\mu_n(z), \delta z) = \delta \text{bary}(\mu_n(z), z) = \delta f_n(z)$$

by naturality of the barycenter.

To conclude the proof, use the fact that $\|\psi_n\| \rightarrow \|\phi\| = 1$ to construct a subsequence f_{n_k} converging to $g(z)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\Sigma - E$. By Proposition 4.1 above, one may show that in the limit, $g(z)$ lies within the convex hull of A with respect to the affine structure determined by z . In particular, g maps $\Sigma - E$ into the closure of the unit disk Δ . Since bounded analytic functions have no isolated singularities, g can be extended to a map $\Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}$.

The key property of this map is that it inherits equivariance from the f_n : that is, $g(\delta z) = \delta g(z)$ for all δ in Γ .

It is easy to see that no such g exists. If g assumes a value in $\partial\Delta$ it must be constant. The constant must be a common fixed point for all elements of Γ , which is absurd for a cofinite volume Fuchsian group.

Otherwise g maps Σ into Δ . Composing with the reflection ρ through the unit circle, we obtain a map $\rho \circ g : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that $\rho \circ g(\delta z) = \delta(\rho \circ g(z))$ for δ in Γ . This map descends to an antiholomorphic map $h : X \rightarrow X$ which induces the identity on $\pi_1(X)$.

By the Schwarz lemma, h must be an orientation reversing isometry, since it cannot shrink the length of a closed geodesic. Thus the original map g is a Möbius transformation exchanging Σ and Δ . But since g commutes with Γ , it preserves the attracting fixed points of hyperbolic elements of Γ ; these are dense in the circle, so g is the identity. This contradicts the fact that g maps Σ to Δ . ■

Remark. It is hard to imagine an analogue of the orientation-reversing map h for a general covering $Y \rightarrow X$. The proof of Theorem 2.1 was obtained by studying the measures μ_n .

References

- [BD] D. Barrett and J. Diller. Poincaré series and holomorphic averaging. *Preprint*.
- [Gre] F. P. Greenleaf. *Invariant Means on Topological Groups*. Van Nostrand, 1969.
- [Kra] I. Kra. *Automorphic Forms and Kleinian Groups*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1972.
- [Mc1] C. McMullen. Amenability, Poincaré series and quasiconformal maps. *Invent. math.* **97**(1989), 95–127.
- [Mc2] C. McMullen. Iteration on Teichmüller space. *Invent. math.* **99**(1990), 425–454.
- [Mc3] C. McMullen. Rational maps and Kleinian groups. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Kyoto 1990*, pages 889–900. Springer-Verlag, 1991.

- [Mc4] C. McMullen. Riemann surfaces and the geometrization of 3-manifolds. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27**(1992), 207–216.
- [Pier] J. P. Pier. *Amenable Locally Compact Groups*. Wiley Interscience, 1984.
- [Poin] H. Poincaré. Mémoire sur les fonctions Fuchsiennes. *Acta Math.* **1**(1882/3), 193–294.
- [Ros] J. M. Rosenblatt. A generalization of Følner’s condition. *Math. Scand.* **33**(1973), 153–170.
- [Roy] H. L. Royden. *Real Analysis*. The Macmillan Co., 1963.