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Global longitudinal strain predicts incident atrial fibrillation and stroke occurrence after acute myocardial infarction

Flemming Javier Olsen, MBa,∗ Sune Pedersen, MD, PhDa, Jan Skov Jensen, MD, PhD, DMSOb,c, Tor Biering-Sørensen, MD, PhDa,b

Abstract
Patients with acute myocardial infarction are at increased risk of developing atrial fibrillation. We aimed to evaluate whether speckle tracking echocardiography improves risk stratification for atrial fibrillation in these patients.

The study comprised of 373 patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Patients had an echocardiogram performed at a median of 2 days after their STEMI. The echocardiograms consisted of conventional measurements and myocardial strain analysis by speckle tracking from 3 apical projections. The endpoint was a composite of new-onset atrial fibrillation and ischemic stroke. At a median follow-up time of 5.5 years (interquartile range 4.9, 6.1 years), 44 patients developed the endpoint (atrial fibrillation: n = 24, ischemic stroke: n = 24, both: n = 4). Patients who reached the endpoint had significantly reduced systolic function by the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) (43% vs 46%; P = 0.042) and global longitudinal strain (10.9% vs 12.6%; P = 0.004), both being univariable predictors. However, only global longitudinal strain remained a significantly independent predictor (hazard ratio 1.12, 95% confidence interval 1.00; 1.25, P = 0.042, per 1% decrease) after multivariable adjustment for baseline predictors (age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, diastolic dysfunction, and LVEF) using Cox regression. Furthermore, global longitudinal strain resulted in significantly higher c-statistics for prediction of outcome compared with LVEF <45% (0.63 vs 0.52; P = 0.026). When stratified into tertiles of global longitudinal strain, it became evident that patients in the lowest tertile mediated this signal with a 2-fold increased risk compared with the highest tertile (hazard ratio 2.10, 95% confidence interval 1.04; 4.25).

Global longitudinal strain predicts atrial fibrillation after STEMI and may add valuable information which can help facilitate arrhythmia detection in these patients.

Abbreviations: AF = atrial fibrillation, CARISMA = Cardiac Arrhythmias and Risk Stratification After Acute Myocardial Infarction study, CHA2DS2-VASc = Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age ≥ 75, Diabetes, Stroke-Vascular disease, Age: 65–74, Sex (female), GLS = global peak longitudinal strain, ICD-10 = International Classification of Diseases, ICM = insertable cardiac monitor, IQR = interquartile range, LA = left atrium, LAVI = indexed left atrial end-systolic volume, LV = left ventricle, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, MI = myocardial infarction, PA-TDI = total atrial conduction time by tissue Doppler imaging, pPCI = primary percutaneous coronary intervention, STEMI = ST-elevation myocardial infarction, TRACE = TRAntolapril Cardiac Evaluation study.
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1. Introduction
Acute myocardial infarctions (MIs) are often complicated by cardiac arrhythmias. Although ventricular arrhythmias represent the acute and dangerous complications to MI, the most common cardiac arrhythmia in the subacute phase is atrial fibrillation (AF).[1] This arrhythmia is associated with progressive heart failure, recurrent MIs, ventricular arrhythmias, stroke, and death.[2–4] Such associated outcomes most often afflict post-MI patients with left ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction.[5] This emphasizes a need for AF detection as we are able to manage the arrhythmia by cardioversion, frequency regulation, stroke prevention, and search of an underlying cause.[6] The approach for detecting AF currently relies on discrentional electrocardiograms, telemetry, and Holter monitoring with limited diagnostic capabilities.[7] The application of insertable cardiac monitors (ICMs) with an AF algorithm has unveiled that 27% of MI patients with LV dysfunction develop AF.[11] This may be a consequence of an elevated filling pressure after the MI, which creates a pressure gradient on the LA, eventually causing LA remodeling, which increases the risk of AF.[8] Hence, prolonged monitoring may facilitate arrhythmia detection and possibly guide treatment in the future.
incidence of MIs, the use of ICMs or extended external devices for this purpose would require vast amounts of resources, stressing the need for selecting those MI patients at highest risk of AF development. Echocardiography may aid this risk-stratification process since many patients will have an echocardiogram performed either in the acute phase or in the aftermath of their MI. Measures of the cardiac deformation may reveal systolic impairment missed by the LV ejection fraction (LVEF). The most promising technique for evaluating myocardial deformation is speckle tracking echocardiography, which analyzes speckle motion in gray scale images by the use of dedicated algorithms. Several parameters are obtained by LV speckle tracking, but the most widely investigated is the global peak longitudinal strain (GLS), which is a measure of systolic function (Fig. 1). As such, strain imaging by GLS is gradually being implemented to complement the LVEF for the early recognition of compromised systolic function in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity. This measure may additionally serve as a sensitive marker of adverse events, including AF development, and thus assist the selection of patients in need of more vigilant arrhythmia surveillance. We sought to assess whether GLS predicts AF and/or stroke in patients with ST-segment elevation MI (STEMI) treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention (pPCI).

2. Methods

2.1. Population

The study population has previously been described. Patients admitted with STEMI and treated with pPCI were prospectively enrolled between September 2006 and December 2008 at Gentofte Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark. Patients had an extensive echocardiographic examination performed at a median of 2 days after their STEMI. A total of 391 patients were initially included, with 18 patients subsequently excluded due to either poor image quality or known history of AF, leaving 373 for final analysis. The diagnosis of STEMI was based on chest pain persisting for more than 30 minutes, but shorter than 12 hours along with either ST-elevation >2 mm in at least 2 contiguous precordial leads, ST-elevation >1 mm in at least 2 contiguous limb leads, or a newly developed left bundle branch block. Inclusion to the study also required a concomitant Troponin I increase of >0.5 μg/L. Troponin I was measured on admission, 6 hours after admission, and 12 hours after admission. Baseline data were collected upon inclusion to the study. Hypercholesterolemia was defined as the use of cholesterol-lowering medication. Hypertension was defined as the use of blood pressure-lowering medication. Diabetes was defined as the use of glucose-lowering medication, fasting plasma glucose levels >7 mmol/L, or non-fasting plasma glucose levels >11.1 mmol/L. The study was approved by the regional scientific ethics committee and the Danish Data Protection Agency, and was in line with the ethical policy set by the second Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Reperfusion and management

All patients were treated with pPCI in accordance with contemporary guidelines. Initial treatment before intervention reperfusion included: 300 mg acetylsalicylic acid, 600 mg clopidogrel, and 10,000 international units of unfractionated heparin. The use of additional treatment, that is, glycoprotein inhibitors, was left to the discretion of the operator. Patients were started in relevant post-MI treatment (antithrombotic, cholesterol-lowering, and β-antagonists) that complied with contemporary guidelines.
2.3. Outcomes and endpoint
The primary endpoint was a composite of new-onset AF and ischemic stroke. Endpoints were obtained by International Classification of Disease (ICD-10) codes from the Danish Board of Health’s National Patient Registry.

2.4. Echocardiograms
All echocardiograms were performed by experienced clinicians or sonographers on GE Vivid 7 machines using a 3.5-MHz probe. Echocardiographic investigations were stored on a digital image vault and analyzed offline (EchoPac, GE Healthcare, Horten, Norway) by an investigator experienced in echocardiographic postprocessing analysis, who was blinded to clinical baseline data and endpoints.

2.5. Conventional 2-dimensional echocardiography
Left ventricular dimensions, comprising of interventricular septal wall thickness, LV internal diameter, and LV posterior wall thickness, were measured from the parasternal long-axis view at end diastole. LV filling pressures expressed by mitral valve inflow patterns were measured using Doppler pulsed-wave at the tips of the mitral valve leaflets in the apical 4-chamber view. This was supplemented with pulsed-wave Doppler imaging sampling at the mitral annulus in the lateral and septal walls to obtain early diastolic myocardial velocities (‘e’). Diastolic dysfunction grade 1 was defined as: e’ < 9cm/s, E/A < 0.8, and/or E-wave deceleration time > 200 ms and E/e’ ≤ 8. Diastolic dysfunction grade 2 was defined as: e’ < 9cm/s, E/A 0.8 to 1.5, and/or E wave deceleration time 160 to 200 ms, and E/e’ ≥ 9 to 12. Diastolic dysfunction grade 3 was defined as: e’ < 9cm/s, E/A ≥ 2, and/or E wave deceleration time < 160 ms and E/e’ ≥ 13. LVEF was measured by the modified Simpson biplane method. The end-systolic left atrial (LA) volume was measured by the biplane area-length method and indexed with body surface area.

2.6. Speckle tracking echocardiography
Longitudinal ventricular myocardial strain analysis was performed by speckle tracking from the 3 apical windows: 4-chamber, 2-chamber, and the apical longitudinal long-axis view. The ventricular endocardium was traced by a semiautomated function and manually adjusted by a point-and-click approach if the tracing proved inaccurate. The width of the region of interest was adjusted to encompass the endocardium, myocardium, and epicardium. Each projection covered 6 segments, thereby including 18 segments in total for calculation of the Gls. Segments could be excluded at discretion of the analyst based on obscuresness caused by rib artifacts, lung tissue, and so on.

2.7. Statistical analysis
STATA, data analysis, and statistical software, SE 12.0 (StataCorp, TX) was used for statistical calculations. Continuous variables exhibiting Gaussian distribution were compared between the groups by Student t test and expressed as means ± SD. Those not showing Gaussian distribution were compared by Mann–Whitney U test and expressed as medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs). The chi-square test was applied for binary and categorical variables, and expressed as total numbers and percentages. A P value ≤ 0.05 in 2-tailed tests was considered statistically significant. Univariable Cox regression was conducted to correlate clinical, biochemical, and echocardiographic findings to the combined endpoint of AF and/or stroke. Univariable predictors were incorporated into multivariable Cox regression models to adjust for potential confounders and for calculation of adjusted hazard ratios (HRs). Patients who died during follow-up were censored from the analyses. Harrell c-statistic was calculated from univariable Cox regression for all measures included in the multivariable Cox regression to compare the predictive potential of baseline predictors. Kaplan–Meier curves were constructed for the population stratified into tertiles of Gls.

3. Results
3.1. Endpoint and follow-up
Of the 373 patients included in this study, 44 (12%) of them developed the primary endpoint of new-onset AF or ischemic stroke, 24 (6%) of whom developed new-onset AF, 24 (6%) developed ischemic strokes, with 4 (1%) patients developing both outcomes. The outcome occurring first was used as the index outcome. Follow-up was 100% during a median follow-up period of 5.5 years (IQR 4.9; 6.1 years). Of the patients who developed the outcome of stroke and/or PAF, the median time to outcome was 1.45 years (IQR 0.38; 3.27 years). For the individual endpoints, the median time to AF event was 1.47 years (IQR 0.42; 3.46 years) and the median time to stroke event was 1.38 years (IQR 0.35; 3.26 years).

3.2. Baseline findings
Baseline clinical, biochemical, and echocardiographic characteristics for the population grouped by the primary endpoint are displayed in Table 1. Baseline characteristics for the population stratified by development of new-onset AF and ischemic stroke as separate endpoints are portrayed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The majority of patients included in the study were men (75%). Patients who developed the primary endpoint were significantly older than those who did not (67 vs 62 years; P = 0.005). Significantly more in this group had hypertension (50% vs 30%; P = 0.006) and diabetes (18% vs 7%; P = 0.015). Systolic function in the outcome group was significantly reduced by both the LVEF (43% vs 46%; P = 0.042) and GLS (–10.9% vs –12.6%; P = 0.004). They also presented with impaired diastolic function by the e’ (0.07 vs 0.08 m/s; P = 0.006). This diastolic dysfunction was also reflected, although not significantly, in the other diastolic measures [E/A, E-wave deceleration time, indexed LA volume (LAVI), and diastolic dysfunction grading].

3.3. Prediction of outcome
Univariable Cox regression revealed the following parameters to be univariable predictors of the primary endpoint: age, male sex, diabetes, hypertension, diastolic dysfunction, depressed LVEF, and GLS. These were selected for multivariable Cox regression (Table 4), where only reduced GLS and age were left as significantly independent predictors of outcome [GLS: 1.12 (1.00;1.25), P = 0.042 per 1% decrease, and age: 1.04 (1.01;1.07), P = 0.007 per increasing year]. Kaplan–Meier curves for the study population stratified into tertiles of GLS (Fig. 2) showed that the patients in the lowest tertile mediated this increased risk. These had a 2-fold increased risk of developing the primary endpoint compared with the patients in the highest tertile [HR 2.10, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.04; 4.25]. There was
only a marginal difference in prognosis between the patients in the intermediate tertile and those in the highest tertile of GLS. Harrell c-statistics revealed that GLS contributed with a higher c-statistics (0.63 vs 0.52; P=0.026) compared with conventional systolic dysfunction (LVEF <45%), and along with age provided the highest c-statistics among all baseline predictors (Table 4). In subgroup analyses with new-onset AF and ischemic stroke as separate endpoints, GLS was a significantly univariable predictor of both outcomes (HR 1.16, 95% CI 1.03; 1.30, P=0.013 with AF as outcome; and HR 1.18, 95% CI 1.05; 1.33, P=0.005 with ischemic stroke as outcome). In the multivariable Cox regression GLS remained a significantly independent predictor of new-onset AF, and was a borderline significant predictor of ischemic stroke (Tables 5 and 6).

4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective study to look at the utility of GLS for predicting AF and ischemic stroke
may provide insight into echocardiographic risk stratification for AF development after MIs since other studies have focused primarily on conventional and advanced measures of diastolic function (see below). This study further validates the general prognostic potential of GLS in MI patients that has been established throughout recent years.[17]

### 4.1. Baseline findings

In this study, AF was more common in patients with hypertension, diabetes, and older age, which is consistent with the literature. All of these variables are included in the CHADS2 and CHA2DS2-VASc scores, which in recent years have been found to be useful prediction models for the development of AF.[18] Originally, these prediction models were constructed for predicting ischemic stroke in patients with AF, but given the shared risk factors for developing stroke and AF, it seems equally capable of predicting AF. For some risk factors, that is, diabetes, the increased risk of AF is largely well-understood. Diabetic neuropathy may explain this increased risk as diabetic patients develop autonomic neuropathy, which predisposes to proarrhythmic conditions and thus AF.[19] Studies have shown that no matter how AF presents in diabetic patients, as asymptomatic or symptomatic, there is an increased likelihood of ischemic strokes,[20] which again stresses the need for increased AF detection and thereby medication for stroke prevention.

### 4.2. Related studies and clinical relevance

Our findings differ in many ways from existing echocardiographic evidence in that we examined an advanced systolic marker. Even though impaired systolic function by LVEF has been found to correlate with new-onset AF,[18] no investigations of GLS predictive ability have been reported for this purpose in MI patients specifically. However, a recent study by Russo et al.[21] found that GLS was a strong predictor of incident AF in a community cohort. Furthermore, they found that GLS and LAVI complemented each other well and provided incremental predictive information for the prognosis of AF. This synergy of GLS and LAVI further stresses the need for an integrative assessment of both systolic and diastolic function, when estimating the patient’s risk of AF. The application of continuous monitoring has gained increasing attention in several patient groups, and for patients with MI, the Cardiac Arrhythmia and Risk Stratification After Acute Myocardial Infarction (CARISMA) trial has revealed potential for arrhythmia detection. In related patient groups, specifically heart failure patients with reduced ejection fraction, the use of continuous monitoring has shown to translate into a reductions in hospitalization and may improve clinical management in these patients.[22] Thus, continuous monitoring may play a vital role in the future, and detecting patients with systolic dysfunction who develop AF is particularly important, as the TRAndolapril Cardiac Evaluation (TRACE) trial showed that these patients have a worse outcome than those with preserved systolic function and AF.[5] Even though AF is primarily related to diastolic function, this implies that the risk stratification should be devised from a broader perspective to include measures of systolic function. This could be done as a stepwise approach by initially screening MI patients for systolic dysfunction either by LVEF or GLS, and subsequently supplement with an extensive diastolic evaluation, that is, by the below-mentioned measures. As presented by Russo et al.[21] several possible explanations exist for the link between GLS and

### Table 3

Baseline variables for stroke outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No stroke (n=349)</th>
<th>Stroke (n=24)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>62 ± 11</td>
<td>67 ± 11</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>263 (75%)</td>
<td>17 (71%)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior MI</td>
<td>16 (5%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>106 (30%)</td>
<td>13 (54%)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesteremia</td>
<td>57 (16%)</td>
<td>5 (21%)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>28 (8%)</td>
<td>4 (17%)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>180 (52%)</td>
<td>13 (54%)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.8 ± 4.4</td>
<td>25.4 ± 4.8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom to balloon time, min</td>
<td>180 (125–305)</td>
<td>212 (150–394)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiographic findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMI flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>11 (3%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>15 (4%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>29 (8%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>289 (84%)</td>
<td>18 (75%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivessel occlusion</td>
<td>95 (27%)</td>
<td>8 (33%)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culprit lesion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>166 (48%)</td>
<td>12 (50%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA</td>
<td>142 (41%)</td>
<td>10 (42%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX</td>
<td>40 (12%)</td>
<td>7 (28%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Troponin I, µg/L</td>
<td>110 (32–230)</td>
<td>86 (18–370)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR, ml/min</td>
<td>74.6 ± 21.4</td>
<td>67.1 ± 23.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, mg/L</td>
<td>10.5 ± 26.1</td>
<td>16.5 ± 43.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Continuous variables exhibiting Gaussian distribution are expressed as means ±SD. Those not showing Gaussian distribution are expressed as medians with interquartile ranges.**

- **BMI** = body mass index, **OP** = C-reactive protein, **G** = circumflex artery. **DecTime** = E-wave deceleration time, **eGFR** = estimated glomerular filtration rate, **GLS** = global peak longitudinal strain, **IVSd** = interventricular septal wall thickness in end diastole, **LAD** = left anterior descending artery, **LVMI** = left ventricular mass index, **LVPWd** = left posterior wall thickness in end diastole, **LVMI** = left ventricular mass index, **LAPWd** = left ventricular internal diameter in end-diastole, **LVEF** = left ventricular ejection fraction, **LVEF** = left ventricular ejection fraction, **LVEF** = left ventricular internal diameter in end-diastole, **LVEF** = left ventricular mass index, **LAPWd** = left posterior wall thickness in end diastole, **MII** = myocardial infarction, **RCA** = right coronary artery, **WSMS** = wall motion score index. *P value <0.05 is considered significant.*
AF development. One likely explanation is that GLS largely reflects LA reservoir function, and a decrease in GLS is hence a reflection of an impaired reservoir function, which has been shown to be associated with AF development.[23] Other measures of cardiac deformation could be of clinical value for the prediction of AF, and such measures include color tissue Doppler velocities and strain rate measures. Some studies indicate that strain rate measures may be superior to tissue Doppler velocities[24] and the fact that myocardial speckle tracking is now being implemented clinically makes it more feasible that strain rate will play a bigger part in the echocardiographic assessment in the future compared with tissue Doppler velocities.

Our study group recently published a related study, which investigated the value of myocardial deformation for predicting AF in patients with ischemic stroke.[26] In this study, we found that a decrease in atrial contraction, defined as global ventricular strain rate ‘a’, was associated with an increased risk of AF, which highlights the potential for strain rate measures in the setting of predicting of AF as well. However, in the present study, GLS was the strongest predictor of AF, and the fact that GLS has higher reproducibility and is already implemented clinically favors this parameter over other deformation parameters such as strain rate.

Studies looking into echocardiographic predictors of AF complicating MIs are widespread. LA structure and function have been most widely investigated. Partly due to the natural development of AF,[6] but also because LA structure may be less impacted than LV filling pressures by acute hemodynamic alterations instigated by the MI.[31] A recently published study by Galvão Braga et al[26] found systolic dysfunction by LVEF <40% and LA diameter to be independent predictors of new-onset AF in patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome. However, no other measures of LA structure (ie, LA volume) or LA function were reported in this study, and LA diameter is gradually being considered an outdated measure. The LA has on several occasions been found to undergo echocardiographic visible remodeling after MIs,[27–29] Such remodeling expressed as LAVI enlargement may be correlated with AF development and related adverse events after STEMI treated with pPCI.[28] LAVI has itself been shown predictive potential in some studies; however, these findings are ambiguous and were not reproduced in our study. The fact that LAVI was not an independent predictor of AF in our population may rely on the fact that LAVI is a marker of chronic pressure overload and remodeling of the LA (expressed by the LAVI) does not appear in the acute setting of MI, but rather as a consequence over a chronic period. Since our patients had their echocardiogram performed in the immediate days after their MI, our findings may suggest that GLS is more sensitive in the acute phase than LAVI, and therefore be a superior marker of incident AF in our study. Some other promising parameters deserves mentioning, and particularly atrial functional parameters, specifically as total atrial conduction time by tissue Doppler imaging (PA-TDI), may convey some relevant knowledge due to the high temporal resolution provided by this modality and has also been subject to investigation. PA-TDI has been shown to predict AF after MI[30] and after revascularization by coronary artery bypass grafting,[31] supposedly because scar tissue will extend the LA electromechanical coupling. However, in the few studies where PA-TDI has been found to be of predictive value of AF after MI, the LA maximal volume has also been found to provide similar prognostic information.[30,31] Arguably, the electromechanical coupling may be prolonged simply because of atrial enlargement, why LA volume may largely influence the predictive capability of PA-TDI. However, this remains to be elucidated when this measure is extended into larger studies. Another hypothesis is that changes in LV filling pressures after MIs may produce a backwards pressure gradient on the LA that could induce LA remodeling and eventually AF. Restrictive LV filling patterns have accordingly been examined in MI patients both by transmitral flow measures[32,33] and by the speckle tracking derived early diastolic strain rate.[34] Jons et al

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hazard ratio [95% CI]</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C-statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global peak longitudinal strain (per 1% decrease)</td>
<td>1.12 [1.00; 1.25]</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (per 1-y increase)</td>
<td>1.04 [1.01; 1.07]</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>0.89 [0.44; 1.78]</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1.77 [0.78; 4.03]</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1.64 [0.87; 3.11]</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic dysfunction (per increase in grade)</td>
<td>0.82 [0.57; 1.20]</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular ejection fraction (per 1% decrease)</td>
<td>0.18 [0.00; 7.69]</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = confidence interval.

P value <0.05 is considered significant.

Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier curves for the population stratified by tertiles of global longitudinal strain. The study population was split into 3 groups based on tertiles of global longitudinal strain. The horizontal axis represents time from ST-elevation myocardial infarction expressed in days. The vertical axis represents the cumulative probability of staying event free of the primary endpoint, being AF and/or stroke. The first tertile corresponds to those with lowest values of GLS (> −10.9%), second tertile represents those with intermediate values (−10.9% to −13.7%), and third tertile includes those with highest values (< −13.7%). Patients in the first tertile have a 2-fold increased risk of developing the primary endpoint compared with the third tertile. There is no discernable difference between patients in the second and third tertiles. GLS = global peak longitudinal strain.
elaborated on the findings made by the CARISMA study[11] and found that different degrees of diastolic dysfunction correlated with AF development diagnosed by ICMs in MI patients with depressed systolic function (LVEF <40%).[32] Aronson et al[33] achieved similar findings in a large prospective cohort, where a restrictive filling pattern was found to be associated with new-onset AF independent of LV systolic function by the LVEF.

4.3. Perspective

Advanced echocardiography may indeed become a valuable tool for the risk stratification of AF in the future. However, it is important to recognize that echocardiography constitutes one part of a large set of paraclinical parameters at our disposal. These other paraclinical parameters include electrocardiographic measures,[34] biomarkers of epigenetic modulation (ie, microRNA)[35] and cardiac biomarkers (pro-atrial natriuretic peptide and pro-brain natriuretic peptide).[36] Finally, the patients’ clinical characteristics are a major determinant of the risk of AF, and clinical risk schemes such as CHADS2 and CHA2DS2-VASC have shown promising for the prediction of AF.[18] Therefore, the optimal risk stratification scheme will have to be devised from a larger perspective which takes all of these parameters into consideration, but echocardiography could play an integral part in this risk stratification.

4.4. Limitations

As we only included patients treated with pPCI who had an echocardiogram performed, we cannot extrapolate our results to all STEMI patients. We obtained the endpoints from ICD-10 codes, meaning that we cannot state how rigorously these patients were monitored for AF, but patients have likely received different strategies for arrhythmia detection. Since we do not have data on the patients cardiac function before admission for acute MI, we cannot exclude the possibility that pre-existing cardiac dysfunction could influence our findings.

5. Conclusions

Global peak longitudinal strain is a significantly independent predictor of AF after STEMI treated with pPCI. This measure could assist in the risk stratification process for AF, although larger studies are needed to validate these findings and elucidate the potential role of this measure.
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