Inflammation-induced lymphangiogenesis in the cornea arises from CD11b-positive macrophages

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation

Published Version
doi:10.1172/JCI23874

Citable link
http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:35013979

Terms of Use
This article was downloaded from Harvard University’s DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA
Inflammation-induced lymphangiogenesis in the cornea arises from CD11b-positive macrophages
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In the inflamed cornea, there is a parallel outgrowth of blood and lymphatic vessels into the normally avascular cornea. We tested whether adaptive and/or innate immune cells were actively involved in the genesis of new lymphatic vessels. Our results indicate that innate immune cells (CD11b+ macrophages, but not CD11c- dendritic cells) physically contributed to lymphangiogenesis under pathological conditions and that bone marrow–derived CD11b+ macrophages expressed lymphatic endothelial markers such as LYVE-1 and Prox-1 under inflamed conditions in the corneal stromata of mice. Furthermore, blood vascular endothelial cells that expressed the Tie2 promoter did not contribute to newly formed lymphatic vessels under inflamed conditions. Our in vitro experiments demonstrated that CD11b+ macrophages alone were capable of forming tube-like structures that expressed markers of lymphatic endothelium such as LYVE-1 and podoplanin. The novel finding that CD11b+ macrophages are critical for the development of inflammation-dependent lymphangiogenesis in the eye suggests a new mechanism of lymphangiogenesis.

Introduction
Lymphangiogenesis is an important milestone in tumor progression, and the extravasation of tumor cells via lymphatic vessels is thought to play a role in tumor dissemination. The lymphatic system also plays an essential role in the immune response to infectious agents. Afferent lymphatic vessels are the route by which APCs migrate to the regional lymph nodes and lymphoid organs.

The cornea is normally devoid of blood vessels and lymphatics; however, during inflammatory conditions such as penetrating keratoplasty (PKP), lymphatic vessels appear in the corneal stroma. We have previously suggested that lymphangiogenesis correlates with the corneal rejection reaction that occurs after PKP (1–3). Although the mechanisms that induce hemangiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis in the cornea after inflammation are not yet completely understood, newly recruited bone marrow–derived cells, such as macrophages, are known to produce angiogenic factors and therefore may play an important role (4).

Lymphatic vessels are often identified by electron microscopy. Ultrastructure characteristics of lymphatic vessels include the absence of luminal erythrocytes and a lack of abluminal pericytes. The lumens of lymphatic vessels appear collapsed and therefore are wide and thin and partly overlap the endothelial lining (5–7). While these features distinguish the structure of lymphatic vessels from blood vessels, a definitive method by which to identify lymphatic vessels based on their ultrastructure has yet to be developed (8). The lack of markers specific for the lymphatic endothelium has hampered the study of lymphangiogenesis. Recently, lymphatic markers such as VEGFR-3, podoplanin, prospero-related homeobox 1 (Prox-1), and lymphatic endothelium–specific hyaluronic acid receptor 1 (LYVE-1) were identified. Although VEGFR-3 is expressed by immature blood vessels and not by mature ones, it is constitutively expressed by the lymphatic endothelium (9–17). Bone marrow–derived CD11b+ macrophages and APCs such as CD11c- dendritic cells have been documented to be present in the corneal stroma (18). It is known that CD11b+ macrophages produce VEGF-C and -D (4) and that the action of these cytokines on conjunctival lymphatic vessels via VEGFR-3 leads to the induction of lymphangiogenesis in the injured cornea (19). Moreover, some CD11b+ macrophages express LYVE-1 in the conjunctiva (20). Both VEGF-C and its receptor VEGFR-3 have been reported to be produced and expressed by activated macrophages (21, 22). Ligation of VEGFR-3 monoclonal antibodies results in an immediate release of chemokines, an increase in the number of macrophages, and induction of hemangiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. Based on these previous results, we postulated that activated macrophages have a critical role in the inflammation and pathogenesis that occurs after corneal transplantation.

We therefore examined the relationship between inflammation-induced pathological lymphangiogenesis and the immune cells’ response in mice subjected to corneal transplantation or suturing. Since the healthy cornea is normally avascular but allows the growth of blood and lymphatic vessels during inflamm-
Initial and late phases of lymphangiogenesis in the corneal stroma. On day 3 after inflammation was induced in the cornea by PKP, we observed lymphatic vessels arising from the limbal area (Figure 1A). Unlike hemangiogenesis, in which lymphatic vessels can sprout from limbal vessels, the new lymphatic vessels appeared de novo to form from cell aggregates (Figure 1, A–C). LYVE-1+ cells in the vicinity of lymphatic vessels (Figure 1C) appeared to coalesce with lymphatic vessels, raising the question as to how the lymphatic vessels are formed. Some of the lymphatic vessels did not have connections with limbal lymphatic vessels (Figure 1, D and E).

Seven days after corneal transplantation, we observed these lymphatic vessels in the central area of the cornea (Figure 1F). Figure 1G illustrates the increased corneal hemangiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis observed after corneal transplantation. We determined that the lymphatic vessels that formed in corneal explant cultures after inflammatory stimulation were formed by endogenous corneal and/or recruited cells. A corneal explant that consisted of the central 2-mm portion of cornea (not including the limbal area) was stimulated with IL-1β. Small structures (resembling lymphatic vessels) positive for CD31 and LYVE-1 were detected in central corneal explants cultured for 2–3 days. The development of these lymphatic-like structures was not continuous with that of limbal lymphatic vessels; rather, the new structures appeared to form de novo in the corneal tissue with limbal lymphatic vessels but appeared to form de novo in the corneal tissue (Figure 1H).

During the late phase of inflammation (approximately 56 days after PKP in syngeneic transplantation), lymphangiogenesis appeared to cease, and the lymphatic vessels disassembled. The remaining LYVE-1+ cells (Figure 1I) appeared dendritic in nature (Figure 1, J and K). In contrast to lymphatic vessels, some of the blood vessels induced under inflamed conditions were covered by dendrites and matured after the inflammation subsided (data not shown). We therefore determined that the inflammation-induced lymphangiogenesis process (subsided) differs from inflammation-induced hemangiogenesis (matured). At this point in the study, there was no evidence of post-transplant inflammation. These observations suggest that the mechanisms underlying the formation of lymphatic vessels are very different from those involved in hemangiogenesis during corneal inflammation, wherein the new blood vessels originate from the limbal circulation, and that the noninflamed cornea contains cells that are capable of participating in the formation of lymphatic vessels during inflammation.

**Contribution of adaptive immune cells to hemangiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis after PKP.** The rejection reaction after PKP is associated with an antigen-specific, delayed-type hypersensitivity response. Therefore, we examined whether adaptive immune cells (i.e., T and B lymphocytes) play a role in hemangiogenesis and/or lymphangiogenesis after PKP (Figure 2, A–E). We sensitized BALB/c mice to C57BL/6 alloantigens and compared the corneal graft–induced lymphangiogenesis in immunized versus immunoincompetent (SCID) mice. The levels of hemangiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis (23, 24), it is well suited for the study of hemangiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. Lymphangiogenesis and hemangiogenesis have a critical role for antigen presentation and recruitment of the activated T cell to the site of attaching alloantigen. We found that inflammation-induced lymphangiogenesis sprouted from clusters of CD11b+ cells in the center of the cornea rather than from the vessels in the limbus (periphery). These findings may be useful not only for studying rejection reactions after organ transplantation, but may also lead to a better understanding of tumor dissemination.

**Results**

**Initial and late phases of lymphatic vessels in the corneal stroma.** On day 3 after inflammation was induced in the cornea by PKP, we observed lymphatic vessels arising from the limbal area (Figure 1A). Unlike hemangiogenesis, in which lymphatic vessels can sprout from limbal vessels, the new lymphatic vessels appeared de novo to form from cell aggregates (Figure 1, A–C). LYVE-1+ cells in the vicinity of lymphatic vessels (Figure 1C) appeared to coalesce with lymphatic vessels, raising the question as to how the lymphatic vessels are formed. Some of the lymphatic vessels did not have connections with limbal lymphatic vessels (Figure 1, D and E).
genesis did not differ significantly between sensitized and SCID mice on day 3 (data not shown) or even day 7 after transplantation (Figure 2, F–H). These findings indicate that after PKP, hemangiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis are not mediated by an adaptive immune response involving T or B lymphocytes. Thus, we concluded that hemangiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis were induced by innate immune cells during acute inflammation, as is seen following corneal grafting.

**Corneal lymphatic endothelium express CD11b but not CD11c.** After ruling out a role for antigen-specific lymphocytes, we examined the ability of innate immune cells to establish lymphatic vessels. Both lymphatic endothelial cells and some of the cells within and around lymphatic vessels expressed LYVE-1. Morphologically, these cells resembled dendritic cells. Immunostaining for the presence of CD11b, CD11c, and LYVE-1 revealed that CD11b+ and LYVE-1+ cells, but not CD11c+ cells, contributed to the formation of the lymphatic vessels (Figure 3, A–F). Moreover, 3 days after suture placement, cells positive for both CD11b and Prox-1 were observed in the corneal stroma (Figure 3, G–I). As observed in the central cornea, the presence of CD11b+ cells in the new vessel structures was not continuous with those lymphatic vessels originating from the limbal area (Figure 3, J–M). These observations support the notion that CD11b+ cells, but not CD11c+ cells, are involved in the formation of the pathologic lymphatic vessels and are consistent with the macrophagic origin of these lymphatic endothelial cells.

**Presence of bone marrow–derived cells in the walls of lymphatic vessels.** Since it has been reported that CD11b+ cells in the cornea are derived from the bone marrow (25), we postulated that bone marrow–derived cells were involved in the assembly of the lymphatic vessels. To assess the role of bone marrow–derived cells in lymphangiogenesis, we adoptively transferred enhanced green fluorescent protein–positive (EGFP+) bone marrow–derived cells to lethally irradiated mice and determined whether the transplanted cells integrated into newly formed inflammation-induced lymphatic vessels. Three sutures were placed on the cornea of EGFP+ chimera mice that had received EGFP+ bone marrow cells 2 months earlier. Seven days after suturing, the cornea was removed and stained for LYVE-1. Cell aggregates containing LYVE-1 and EGFP double-positive cells were identified in the cornea (Figure 3, N–T). Though the transplanted EGFP+ cells seemed to be intimately involved with the lymphatic vessel wall, we cannot rule out a role for the resident macrophages since it is possible that cells in tissues may survive after irradiation (26).

**Effect of macrophage depletion on lymphangiogenesis.** It has been previously shown that local depletion of macrophages by administration of clodronate liposomes suppresses corneal lymphangiogenesis induced by suture placement (4). Similarly, we observed that systemic elimination of macrophages using clodronate liposomes was also effective in suppressing both corneal lymphangiogenesis and CD11b+ macrophage infiltration in this model (Figure 4). From these results, we concluded that macrophages have an important role in the induction of lymphangiogenesis.

**Contribution of blood vascular endothelial progenitor cells to lymphangiogenesis.** Previous studies have demonstrated that the circulating blood vascular endothelial progenitor cells (BVEPCs) contribute to corneal hemangiogenesis (27). During the induction of corneal inflammation, BVEPCs are found in the new blood vessels; at this time, they could potentially contribute to lymphangiogenesis.
vessels that arise from the limbus area (27). Endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) often appear under hypoxic or inflammatory conditions and may share a precursor cell with APCs since they express both CD11b and CD11c (28). We examined whether the lymphatic EPC we observed in our model was a CD11b+ macrophage. For these studies we used C57BL/6 Tie2-LacZ mice in which the LacZ reporter gene is regulated by the endothelium-specific Tie2 promoter. Inflammation was induced in the corneas of Tie2-LacZ mice and bone marrow chimera mice with 3 corneal sutures. Seven days later, the corneas were removed, stained for β-gal and LYVE-1, and examined by confocal microscopy. No β-gal and LYVE-1 double-positive cells were detected in the lymphatic vessel walls of Tie2-LacZ mice (Figure 5, A–F). Even in the chimeric mice, β-gal and LYVE-1 double-positive cells were not detected in the newly formed lymphatic vessel at the same corneal layer (Figure 5, G–L). Similar results were obtained using a Tie2-GFP mouse (data not shown). Thus, we conclude that BVEPCs do not play a role in the formation of the lymphatic vessel wall in the cornea.


Ability of CD11b+ macrophages to form lymphatic-like tubes. Our in vivo experiments indicated that both bone marrow–derived cells and CD11b+ macrophages play a role in the formation of pathological lymphatic vessels. Therefore, we performed in vitro studies to investigate whether CD11b+ macrophages were able to form lymphatic vessel–like structures. CD11b+ macrophages were collected from the peritoneal cavity of mice using thioglycollate and cultured in RPMI-1640. After 24 hours, the adherent cells were harvested and characterized by flow cytometry. Results revealed that more than 99.67% of peritoneal exudates cells (PECs) were positive for CD11b+ (Figure 6A). By RT-PCR, we observed that thioglycollate-stimulated CD11b+ macrophages expressed VEGFR-3 and VEGF-C, but not VEGFR-2 (Figure 6B). Of the CD11b+ cells, 30–50% were also positive for LYVE-1, podoplanin, or Prox-1 (Figure 6C). Immunocytochemical analysis revealed that the PECs (cultured for 24 hours) expressed CD11b and Prox-1 (Figure 6D–F) as well as podoplanin (Figure 6, G–I) and LYVE-1 protein (Figure 6, J–L). Resting macrophages collected without thioglycollate stimulation showed weak staining for lymphatic endothelial markers compared to thioglycollate-stimulated cells (data not shown). From these results, we concluded that activated, but not resting, CD11b+ macrophages display markers of lymphatic vessels.

In vitro expression of lymphatic endothelial markers by CD11b+ macrophages. We next investigated whether thioglycollate-stimulated CD11b+ macrophages could form tube-like structures and express lymphatic endothelial markers. CD11b+ cells assembled into vessel-like structures, and the ability of the cells to form vessel–like structures varied with cell density. At 10⁵ cells/ml, CD11b+ macrophages appeared to become aligned with one another and
formed a vascular-like network at around day 1 (Figure 7A). At $10^6$ cells/ml, CD11b$^+$ macrophages associated in clumps between days 1 and 2 and then formed tube-like structures (Figure 7B). Some clumps formed into tube-like structures earlier. We counted both clumps (containing a minimum of 100 cells) and tube-like structures (Figure 7C). At $2 \times 10^6$ cells/ml, the CD11b$^+$ macrophages survived for at least 31 days, and nearly all the cells were associated with vessel-like structures (Figure 7D and E). Some of the cells assembled into a structure reminiscent of an oil drum (Figure 7, F–J). The tube-like structures (Figure 7, K, M, and O) stained positively for lymphatic endothelial markers: podoplanin (Figure 7, L and N) and LYVE-1 (Figure 7, P and R). These observations suggest that CD11b$^+$ macrophages have the ability to assemble into tube-like structures in vitro.

Transmission electron microscope analysis for the tube-like structure in the Matrigel assay. To evaluate the ultrastructure of the tubes formed by CD11b$^+$ macrophages, the tube-like structures were investigated by transmission electron microscopy. Seven-day Matrigel cultures were prepared as described in Methods and examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM; Figure 8, A and B). The tube-like structures had lumens (Figure 8C), and their walls were composed of loosely associated macrophages lacking continuous basal lamina. The margins of the endothelial cells appeared to be joined to adjacent cells (Figure 8, D and E) by interdigitating or overlapping contacts (Figure 8, F and G) and resembled filament-like tentacles.

Discussion

We show here that monocytic cells—especially CD11b$^+$ CD11c$^-$ macrophages, but not CD11b$^+$ CD11c$^+$ dendritic cells or BVEPCs—contributed to the formation of new lymphatic vessels in the inflamed cornea and had the ability to form lymphatic vessel-like structures in vitro. The lymphatic nature of the cells was demonstrated by their expression of not only LYVE-1 but also Prox-1 and podoplanin. Prox-1 is a key regulator in the molecular pathway that forms the lymphatic vasculature and has been identified as a master switch in the mechanism specifying lymphatic endothelial cell fate (29). Moreover, systematic elimination of macrophages using clodronate liposomes led to a near-complete inhibition of lymphatic vessel formation in the inflamed cornea.

We were therefore interested in determining whether the lymphatic vessels in the inflamed cornea in vivo were formed from indigenous or recruited cells. We used EGFP chimeric mice to test the possibility that bone marrow–derived cells were responsible for the generation of new lymphatic vessels. Our results demonstrated the presence of EGFP-labeled cells in discrete patches in the newly formed corneal lymphatic vessel wall, indicating that bone marrow–derived cells were involved in the establishment of the lymphatic vasculature following suture-induced inflammation. Another possible explanation was that bone marrow–derived BVEPCs were contributing to the formation of lymphatic vessels. However, we did not detect any Tie2-positive cells in the nascent lymphatic vessels following transplantation of bone marrow cells from Tie2-LacZ (or Tie2-GFP) mice. Therefore BVEPCs, which are well documented for their role in angiogenesis, do not appear to have a role in the development of lymphatic vasculature in the inflamed cornea. The observation that BVEPCs did not contribute to the formation of corneal lymphatics is consistent with previous reports demonstrating that endothelial cells sprouting from new blood vessels do not undergo lymphatic differentiation (16).

Since we had ruled out a role for adaptive immunity in the development of inflammation-mediated lymphangiogenesis, we postulated that innate immune cells and their responses triggered corneal hemangiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis immediately following PKP. LYVE-1$^+$ cells clearly exhibited a macrophage/dendritic cell morphology. This conclusion was supported by a previous report showing that lymphangiogenesis is eliminated in vivo by local treatment with clodronate liposomes (4) and our present observation that when systemic administration of clodronate liposomes depleted CD11b$^+$ macrophages, infiltration and formation of lymphatic vessels were suppressed. It is known that the clodronate liposomes are taken up selectively by phagocytic cells, which indicates that dendritic cells do not contribute to the lymphatic endothelium (30–32). Thus, we concluded that CD11b$^+$ macrophages have an important role in the induction of lymphangiogenesis in the inflamed cornea.

Our studies also revealed a mechanism for the development of corneal lymphatic vessels that differs from previously known mechanisms of corneal hemangiogenesis or postnatal lymphangiogenesis in any tissue to our knowledge. It is known that during hemangiogenesis, the vessels sprout from preexisting limbal
Vessels (4). Here we observed that during corneal inflammation, some of the lymphatic vessels appeared to arise de novo within the corneal stroma at a distance from the limbus, with no apparent connection to the limbal lymphatic structures. These nascent lymphatic structures then connected to the limbal lymphatic vessels. Interestingly, this phenomenon appeared to be recapitulated in an in vitro tube-formation assay wherein thioglycollate-activated CD11b+ macrophages cultured in Matrigel initially formed aggregates before forming tube-like structures. These vessel-like structures expressed lymphatic endothelial markers, including LYVE-1, Prox-1, and podoplanin. Additionally, results of ultrastructural analysis of tubes formed in vitro reveal that, like our lymphatic vessels in vivo, the structures lack a continuous basal lamina (33). Thus, CD11b+ macrophages form tube-like structures, which express both the lymphatic endothelial markers and architecture of lymphatic vessels in situ.

It has been shown that VEGF-C participates in the development of the lymphatic vasculature through activation of VEGFR-3. VEGF-C also plays a role in lymphangiogenesis in tumor development and inflammatory conditions (34). Consistent with these data, we previously observed that CD11b+ macrophages within the inflamed corneal stroma express VEGF-C, and that bone marrow–derived macrophages express both Vegfc and Vegfd mRNA (4). However, lymphangiogenesis may be induced under pathological conditions by not only VEGF-C stimulation, but by other inflammatory mediators such as IL-1β, LPS, and PDGF-BB as well (35).

Our present in vitro data showed that exogenous VEGF-C stimulation did not accelerate the formation of clusters and tube-like structures, nor did it affect LYVE-1 expression (as measured by flow cytometric analysis; data not shown). We suspect that the exogenous addition of VEGF-C had no effect because the activated macrophages already secrete sufficient VEGF-C to induce maximal phenotypic effect. In addition, we speculate that tube formation in the Matrigel assay was density dependent simply because a threshold number of cells is needed to form the structures. This hypothesis is supported by our in vivo observation that CD11b+ macrophages in the control corneal stroma, which were few in number, formed fewer lymphatic structures than after suture placement, when the number of macrophages increased dramatically (Figure 4).

This report provides strong evidence that a subpopulation of CD11b+ macrophages has lymphatic endothelial characteristics and may be involved in the induction of pathological lymphangiogenesis. Moreover, the critical role for inflammation in corneal lymphangiogenesis raises significant questions about the role of inflammatory macrophages in the development of lymphatic vessels in other tissues and organs. While we cannot rule out the possibility that a rare cell type or a cell with an unusual pattern of marker expression exists in the population of cells that produce lymphatic vessels, we feel that therapeutic prevention of macrophage activation might prove to successfully prolong corneal lymphangiogenesis.
Corneal graft survival. It is possible that macrophages surrounding tumors and other sites of inflammation may be involved in the orchestration of lymphatic vessels; therefore, understanding this novel mechanism for lymphangiogenesis within the cornea may have important ramifications for antilymphangiogenesis therapies in the treatment of a variety of diseases.

Methods

Animals. For corneal transplant experiments, male BALB/c and CB17 SCID mice (aged 8–10 weeks) were used as hosts, and age-matched male BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice were the donors (Taconic). Male BALB/c mice (Taconic) were used in the suture-placement model. All animal protocols were approved by the Schepens Eye Research Institute Animal Care and Use Committee in accordance with NIH guidelines and the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology’s Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmologic and Vision Research.

Mouse corneal transplantation and corneal suture placement. Prior to PRK, each animal was deeply anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (3 mg) and xylazine (0.007 mg) before the central 2-mm portion of the donor cornea was excised with vannas scissors and secured in recipient graft beds with 8 interrupted 11-0 nylon sutures (36). Using stromal incursions that encompassed more than 120° of the corneal circumference, 3 11-0 nylon sutures were placed intrastromally. To obtain a standardized angiogenic response, the outer edge of the suture was placed halfway between the limbus and the line outlined by the 2-mm trephine; the inner edge was equidistant from the 2-mm trephine. Sutures were left in place for 7 days.

Whole-mount corneal staining and morphological determination of hemangiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. Mice were euthanized on day 3 or 7 after PKP. The corneas were excised, rinsed 3 times in PBS, and fixed in acetone for 1 hour. They were then rinsed once in PBS, blocked with 2% BSA-PBS, incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-mouse LYVE-1 antibody (1:500; a kind gift of D.G. Jackson, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom) stained with Cy3-conjugated secondary antibody (1:100; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories). For isotype control of CD11b, rat (DA) IgGκ (BD Biosciences — Pharmingen) was used. Double-stained whole-mount sections were analyzed under a Zeiss Axiophot microscope and a Leica TSC SP2 inverted and upright confocal laser-scanning microscope. Digital pictures of the flat mounts were taken using a spot image analysis system (SPOT Advanced version 3.2, Diagnostic Instruments Inc.), and the area covered by blood and lymphatic vessels positive for CD31/LYVE-1 (8, 37) was measured using NIH Imagej version 1.33u (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). The total corneal area was outlined using the innermost vessel of the limbal arcade as the border; the area of blood and lymphatic neovascularization within the cornea was calculated and normalized to the total corneal area (expressed as the percentage of the cornea covered by vessels).

Corneal explant cultures. An area 2 mm in diameter was excised from the mouse central cornea, rinsed 3 times with PBS, placed epithelium side-up...
in the well of 96-well dishes containing 10% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich), 20 ng IL-1β (BD Biosciences—Pharmingen), and 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg streptomycin (Biowhitaker) in DMEM medium (Biowhitaker), and incubated for 48–72 hours at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The cornea was stained with LYVE-1 and CD31 using the method described for whole-mount corneal staining.

Irradiation and bone marrow transplantation. Male C57BL/6 mice, aged 7–8 weeks, were transplanted with bone marrow from transgenic mice expressing various promoters, including EGFP and Tie2-LacZ (38).

Bone marrow cells were obtained by flushing the tibias and femurs of age-matched donor EGFP transgenic mice (C57BL/6-TgN [ACT-bEGFP] 10s; The Jackson Laboratory) and Tie2-LacZ transgenic mice (C57BL/6-TgN [TIE2LacZ] 182Sato; The Jackson Laboratory). Low-density mononuclear bone marrow cells were isolated by density gradient centrifugation over Histopaque-1083 (Sigma-Aldrich). Eight weeks after bone marrow transplantation, when the bone marrow of the recipients was considered to be completely reconstituted (27), the mice underwent corneal suturing.

Detection of bone marrow cells positive for EGFP and double-positive for LYVE-1 and β-gal expression in corneal tissue. The mice were euthanized, and their corneas were excised along the limbus area. A modified flatmount double immunohistochemistry technique was used in which corneal flat mounts were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and then blocked and stained with LYVE-1 (1:500) (8, 37) visualized with Cy3-conjugated secondary antibody (1:100), and blocked and stained with anti-rabbit polyclonal β-galactosidase antibody (1:300; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) (39) visualized with Cy2-conjugated secondary antibody (1:100) and protected with Vectorshields (Vector Laboratories) for fluorescence detection. Tissues were examined with a Leica TSC-SP2 inverted and upright confocal laser scanning microscope and a Zeiss Axioshot microscope.

Systemic deprecation of macrophages using clodronate liposomes. Systemic depletion of monocytes/macrophages was accomplished as described previously (40). CL2MDP (clodronate) liposomes, a gift of Roche Diagnostics GmbH, was injected via the tail vein 3 days before suture placement, at the time of suture placement, and 3 and 7 days after surgery. Control mice received liposomes containing PBS at the same time points.

Collection and culture of macrophages from the peritoneal cavity. Thioglycollate-induced macrophages (PECs) were collected from the peritoneal cavity of normal 8-week-old male C57BL/6 and EGFP mice (41). PECs were washed, resuspended, and cultured (10⁶ cells/plate, 24 hours at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere) in 35-mm culture plates in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 × 10⁻³ M 2-ME (mercaptoethanol; Sigma-Aldrich), 10 mM HEPES, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acid, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Biowhitaker).

Flow-cytometry, immunocytochemistry, and RT-PCR analysis of PECs. Nonadherent cells were removed, and adherent cells were harvested by scraping from the culture dish. The cells were rinsed in PBS, incubated for 10 minutes on ice with CD16/CD32 Fc block (BD Biosciences—Pharmingen) in 2% bovine serum—PBS, and stained for 30 minutes on ice with FITC-conjugated CD11b, nonfluorescence-conjugated LYVE-1, podoplanin (Research Diagnostics Inc.), Prox-1, and isotype controls (FITC-conjugated nonspecific rat IgG2b, PE-conjugated hamster IgG, nonconjugated rabbit IgG; BD Biosciences—Pharmingen). For the LYVE-1, Prox-1, and rabbit isotype controls, Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary anti-
body was used; for podoplanin, Cy3-conjugated goat anti-Syrian hamster secondary antibody was used. Labeled cells were analyzed on an EPIC XL flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). For multicolor analysis, the analysis gate was only set to detect FITC–CD11b+ cells.

For immunocytochemistry, CD11b+ PECs in the tissue culture dishes were rinsed with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 7 minutes, rinsed with PBS, blocked in 2% BSA containing 0.1% Triton-X–PBS, stained with LyVE-1 (1:500), podoplanin (1:500), or Prox-1 (1:500), rinsed, and blocked as described above, stained with FITC-conjugated CD11b (1:250) and Cy3-conjugated (1:500) secondary antibodies, washed with PBS, and covered with a Vectorshield with DAPI applied for fluorescence. Analysis was performed under a Zeiss Axioshot microscope. For RT-PCR analysis, total RNA from PECs was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen Corp.). First-strand cDNA was prepared from total RNA by reverse transcriptase using oligo (dT) primers. For the detection of VEGF-C, primers expressing VEGF-C, VEGFR-3 and VEGFR-2 were used in the RT-PCR (4).

Assay of tube formation using CD11b+ PECs. Only adherent cells that expressed CD11b were used in the tube-formation assay. Growth factor–reduced Matrigel (100 μl; BD) prepared from an Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm tumor was added to 4-chamber slides (Lab-Tek; Nunc) with 100 μl endothelial cell medium (EBM-2; Cambrex Corp.) and allowed to gel for 30 minutes at 37°C. PECs were seeded at densities of 104, 2 × 104, 5 × 104, 105, and 2 × 106 cells/ml in 500 μl of EBM-2 containing 3% FBS. Tube formation was monitored over 31 days.

Digital pictures were taken using a spot image analysis system, and the area covered by both aggregate and tube-like structures were measured using NIH Image software at 48 hours. The total area covered by the Matrigel was outlined (18 mm2); the area of the aggregate and the tube-like structures within the Matrigel was calculated and expressed as a percentage of the Matrigel area. Exogenous VEGF-C (100 ng/ml; Research Diagnostics Inc.) was added to the gel assay, and 24 hours later the clusters and tube-like structures were assayed as described above.

Detection of LyVE-1 and podoplanin expression in tube-like structures. CD11b+ PECs were stained for the presence of LyVE-1 and podoplanin at D7 and D31 after the establishment of Matrigel cultures. CD11b+ PECs in Matrigel were rinsed in PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 7 minutes, rinsed in PBS, blocked in 2% BSA containing 0.1% Triton-X–PBS, stained with LyVE-1 (1:500) and podoplanin (1:500), washed, blocked, stained with Cy3-conjugated secondary antibody (1:500), washed with PBS, and covered with Vectashield for fluorescence detection. Analysis was performed with a Zeiss Axioshot microscope and a Leica TSC-SP2 inverted and upright confocal laser scanning microscope.

Transmission electron microscopy. The Matrigel samples were fixed with 1:1 Karnovsky fixative (4% paraformaldehyde, 2.5% glutaraldehyde) and post-fixed in osmium tetroxide. The samples then were dehydrated with 50%, 70%, 95%, and 100% ethanol and propylene oxide prior to embedding in epoxy (embed 812). The grids were stained with lead citrate and uranyl acetate. Samples were cut and observed under a Philips 410 TEM.

Statistical analysis. Statistical significance was determined using the Mann Whitney U test. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05. Each experiment was performed at least twice with similar results. Graphs were drawn using StatView version 5.0 (SAS Institute Inc.).
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