Altered resting state connectivity of the insular cortex in individuals with fibromyalgia
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Abstract

The insular (IC) and cingulate cortices (CC) are critically involved in pain perception. Previously we demonstrated that fibromyalgia (FM) patients have greater connectivity between the insula and Default Mode Network at rest, and that changes in the degree of this connectivity were associated with changes in the intensity of ongoing clinical pain. Here we more thoroughly evaluate the degree of resting state connectivity to multiple regions of the IC in individuals with FM and healthy controls (HC). We also investigated the relationship between connectivity, experimental pain and current clinical chronic pain. Functional connectivity was assessed using resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging in 18 FM patients and 18 age- and sex-matched HC using...
pre-defined seed regions in the anterior, middle and posterior IC. FM patients exhibited greater
cconnectivity between: (1) right mid IC and right mid/posterior CC and right mid IC; (2) right
posterior IC and the left CC; and (3) right anterior IC and left superior temporal gyrus. HCs
displayed greater connectivity between: left anterior IC and the bilateral medial frontal gyrus/
ACC; and left posterior IC and the right superior frontal gyrus. Within the FM group, greater
connectivity between the IC and CC was associated with decreased pressure-pain thresholds.

**Perspective**—These data provide further support for altered resting-state connectivity between
the IC and other brain regions known to participate in pain perception/modulation playing a
pathogenic role in conditions such as FM. We speculate that altered IC connectivity is associated
with the experience of chronic pain in individuals with fibromyalgia.
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**Introduction**

The insular (IC) and cingulate cortices (CC) play pivotal roles in integrating multimodal
information involved in sensorimotor, emotional, and homeostatic functions\(^9, 11, 46\). Functional brain imaging studies in humans often show a co-activation of IC and CC in a
variety of tasks, including attention, decision making, self-recognition and time
perception\(^10, 13, 26\). Both regions are critically involved in pain perception as evidence by
human brain imaging studies\(^36\).

Fibromyalgia (FM) is a chronic pain state characterized by widespread non-articular pain,
stiffness, sleep and mood disturbances, and fatigue\(^50\). Impaired antinociception and
augmented central processing of nociceptive input have been considered to be partially
responsible for pain in FM\(^48\). Support for FM being a predominantly centrally mediated pain
state comes from quantitative sensory testing and functional neuroimaging studies using
experimentally induced pain stimuli, indicating both diffuse hyperalgesia and allodynia\(^8, 17\).
Despite their heuristic value, these earlier studies examined primarily experimentally
induced pain rather than ongoing clinical pain.

With the emergence of advanced neuroimaging methods, new approaches to neurobiological
correlates of ongoing clinical pain have been developed. One such method is functional
connectivity MRI (fcMRI), a non-invasive technique applied in awake humans either at rest
(i.e. resting state connectivity) or during task. With resting state analyses, low frequency
(<0.1Hz) temporal correlations in the MRI signal are assessed across various brain regions.
These low-frequency fluctuations are thought to be functionally relevant indices of
connectivity between brain regions subserving similar or related brain functions\(^2\).

Currently, fcMRI has been investigated in few chronic pain states\(^6\). When investigating
fcMRI in patients with temporomandibular disorders, we found greater resting state fcMRI
between the anterior IC and the pregenual ACC in patients when compared to healthy
controls (HC)\(^22\). Our recent studies in FM have suggested that resting connectivity between
the IC and DMN, a constellation of brain regions activated during self-referential thinking.
was correlated to current clinical pain at the time of the scan\textsuperscript{30, 31}. Additionally, we reported in two longitudinal trials decreases in IC to DMN connectivity in FM patients were associated with reductions in clinical pain\textsuperscript{20, 30}. Although whole brain searches were performed, our findings were largely restricted to connectivity between the DMN and the IC in FM patients. In support of our model, greater DMN-IC connectivity, and strong association between this connectivity and clinical pain, was also noted in chronic low back pain patients\textsuperscript{27}.

Taylor and colleagues recently investigated IC connectivity in a sample of HCs to systematically explore IC connectivity to other brain regions\textsuperscript{43}. Predefined seed regions were placed in the IC and functional connectivity analyses showed: (1) the anterior IC was connected to both posterior ACC, and anterior mid cingulate cortex (MCC) regions; (2) the mid/posterior IC was connected to the posterior MCC and PCC.

Here we investigate whether these IC-CC connectivity patterns are also seen in FM patients and whether these relationships are related to the hyperalgesia/allodynia these patients experience. We used an approach similar to Taylor et al., looking specifically at seed based IC-CC and IC-IC connectivity in a sample of FM patients compared to HCs. This analysis expands upon our previously published connectivity results by applying the Taylor et al. seeds\textsuperscript{30, 31} to FM and linking connectivity to evoked pain data. Given previous findings, we hypothesized that differences in IC-CC, and IC-IC, connectivity would be detected in FM and that this might provide further insights into the central neural correlates of chronic pain.

Results from this manuscript were previously presented at the 2012 Annual Scientific Meeting of the American Pain Society\textsuperscript{23}.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

This study was approved by the medical institution review board of the University of Michigan and all subjects read and signed an informed consent form prior to participation. Using the fcmRI protocol described in detail (see below), we investigated 18 female FM patients (mean ± SD age 35.8 ± 12.0 years) and 18 age- and sex-matched healthy controls (mean ± SD 32.3 ± 11.3 years). A subset of these FM patients and HCs had been part of a previously reported study using different methodologies to investigate different hypotheses than included in the present study\textsuperscript{30, 31}. Inclusion criteria for FM patients were: 1) having met the 1990 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria for FM\textsuperscript{50}, 2) had a disease duration of at least 1 year, and reported the continued presence of pain for more than 50% of each day, 3) were older than age 18 years and younger than age 75 years, 4) were right-handed, and 5) were capable of giving their informed written consent. Participants were excluded from analysis if any new treatments were introduced between consenting and imaging time points. Exclusion criteria for FM patients included: 1) current use or history of taking opioid or narcotic analgesics, 2) a history of substance abuse, 3) concurrent autoimmune or inflammatory disease that cause pain, such as rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus, erythematous, or inflammatory bowel disease, 4) concurrent participation in other therapeutic trials, 5) pregnant or currently a nursing mother, and 6) had a psychiatric illness.
(e.g., current schizophrenia, major depression with suicidal ideation, or substance abuse within the past 2 years), or currently had major depression.

Healthy control (HC) subjects were age- and sex-matched to the FM patients. Inclusion criteria for HCs were: 1) between the ages of 18 and 75, 2) were right-handed, 3) were capable of giving their written informed consent, and 4) were willing to complete all study procedures. Exclusion criteria for the healthy control subjects were: 1) having met the 1990 ACR criteria for FM, 2) having any chronic medical illness, including a psychiatric disorder (e.g., psychosis, schizophrenia, or delusional disorder), 3) diagnosed to have a chronic pain disorder, and 4) current pregnancy. All FM patients and HCs had no contraindications for participating in an fMRI scan.

Behavioral data

The following clinical features were assessed: demographics (i.e., age, duration of FM, and life-time illness burden), clinical pain (i.e., current pain at the time of study visit), experimental pain (random noxious thumbnail pressure stimuli), and allied mood and illness attributions (i.e., depression and anxiety).

Demographics—A standardized demographics form was used to record age and sex (i.e., all female in this study) as well as medical status (e.g., duration of FM in years). Lifetime illness burden was assessed using the Complex Medical Symptom Inventory (CMSI) a 48-symptom checklist of co-morbid symptoms common to FM.

Clinical Pain—The clinical pain experience of patients with FM was assessed using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and the Pain Rating Index (PRI) from the Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ). When completing the SF-MPQ, participants were asked to answer questions within each section pertaining to their current pain. The VAS consists of a 10-cm line anchored on the left with “No Pain” and on the right with “Worst Possible Pain”. Participants in the study were asked to rate their present FM pain by placing a tick along this line. The PRI component of the SF-MPQ consisted of 15 word descriptors (11 sensory and 4 affective). Participants rated these descriptors as either “none”, “mild”, “moderate” or “severe”, giving a score of 0, 1, 2 or 3, respectively, for each descriptor. The measures were added to yield sensory, affective and total scores.

Mood and illness attributions—Depression in the FM cohort was assessed with either the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (n = 7) or the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) (n = 11). FM patients were categorized to a low versus high level of depression by defining a high level as ≥ 8 symptoms on the HADS and ≥16 on the CES-D. State Anxiety was assessed using the State-Trait Personality Inventory (STPI Form Y), a refinement of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory.

Experimental pain assessment—Prior to scanning pressure-pain values eliciting “faint” pain (0.5 on the Gracely Box Scale [GBS], see below and Figure S1), “mild” pain (7.5 on the GBS), and “slightly intense” pain (13.5 on GBS) were determined for every subject using the multiple random staircase (MRS) method as described previously. The GBS is a numerical scale that is used to evaluate present pain intensity. This scale is
comprised of 21 boxes, sequentially numbered beginning with 0 and ending with 20. Descriptive words are arranged next to the numbers corresponding with varying levels of pain. To elicit the GBS ratings, discrete pressure stimuli were applied to the subject’s right thumbnail using a hydraulic system connected to a hard 1 cm² hard, rubber, circular probe.

**Data Acquisition**

Resting state fMRI data were acquired using a custom T2*-weighted spiral-in sequence [TR = 2.0 s, TE = 30 ms, FA = 90°, matrix size 64×64 with 43 slices, FOV = 20 cm and 3.13×3.13×3 mm voxels], using a General Electric (GE) 3.0 T Signa scanner 9.0, VH3 with quadrature birdcage transmit-receive radio frequency coil. During the 6 min resting state fMRI acquisition period (180 scans) the subjects were asked to remain awake with their eyes open. A fixation cross was presented on the screen. Subjects were told to lie still and fixate on the cross throughout the scan. Minimal cognitive tasks such as staring at a cross typically do not disrupt resting state networks. Physiologic data was collected simultaneously with fMRI data because cardiorespiratory fluctuations are known to influence fMRI intrinsic connectivity within several brain networks. Respiratory volume data were collected by securing a GE magnetic resonance-compatible chest plethysmograph around each subject’s abdomen. Cardiac data were collected using an infrared pulse oximeter (GE) attached to the subject’s right middle finger. Participants’ motion was minimized using foam pads placed around the head along with a forehead strap. In addition high resolution structural images were acquired [TR = 10.5ms, TE = 3.4ms, TI= 200ms, FA = 25°, 24 cm FOV, 256×256 matrix, 0.94×0.94×1.5 mm voxels, yielding 106 slices] using a spoiled gradient echo (SPGR) inversion recovery sequence. Inspection of individual T1 MR-images revealed no gross morphological abnormalities for any patient or subject.

**Data Analysis**

Data were pre-processed and analyzed using FSL (www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) and SPM (Statistical Parametric Mapping) software packages, version 5 (Functional Imaging Laboratories, London, UK), as well as the functional connectivity toolbox Conn (Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA) running on Matlab 7.5b (Mathworks, Sherborn, MA, USA). Upon collection of the functional data, cardiorespiratory artifacts were corrected for using the RETROICOR algorithm in FSL. Pre-processing steps included motion correction (realignment to the first image of the time series), normalization to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) average brain included in the SPM software (generating 2×2×2 mm resolution images) and smoothing (convolution with a 6 mm FWHM Gaussian Kernel). Subject head motion was assessed by evaluating three translations and three rotations for each scan. Translational thresholds were set to ± 2 mm, while rotational thresholds were limited to ± 1°. A subject was to be excluded from the analysis if head motion exceeded either of the thresholds in one of the six dimensions.

Based on the approach by Taylor et al., six seed regions were defined within the anterior, middle and posterior IC bilaterally; seed regions were created as spheres (6 mm diameter) using MarsBaR-software (http://marsbar.sourceforge.net). For details on center coordinates...
and volumes see Figure 1. Seed regions’ time-series were extracted; white matter and CSF signal, as well as realignment parameters were entered into the analysis as covariates of no interest. A band pass filter (frequency window: 0.01 – 0.1 Hz) was applied, thus removing linear drift artifacts and high frequency noise. First level analyses were performed correlating seed region signal with voxel signal throughout the whole brain, thereby creating seed region to voxel Fisher transformed r to z connectivity maps (six maps for each individual). Connectivity maps were then used for second level (random effects) analyses.

**Analysis 1**—In a first step, main effects were calculated for each group separately, by performing one sample t-tests. Due to the expectancy for highly correlated connectivity maps, results were thresholded at an uncorrected voxel-level threshold of p < 0.00001, and deemed significant based on a corrected value of p < 0.05 on the cluster level.

**Analysis 2**—We were then interested whether there were differences in connectivity between groups. To this end, two sample t-tests (controlling for age) for each seed region were performed. Since we were specifically looking for differences between groups within the pain system and in brain areas involved in pain modulation we allowed for these regions a less stringent threshold; differences were deemed significant, on the cluster level corrected for multiple comparisons (p < 0.05, derived from an uncorrected p < 0.001 on the voxel level, with a cluster extent of 69 contiguous voxels (552 mm³) as estimated by the 3dClustSim application). 3dClustSim was implemented in the Analysis of Functional Neuroimages (AFNI) software (http://afni.nimh.nih.gov/afni/), based on a Monte Carlo simulation (1000 simulations) applied to a whole brain mask. Since we were specifically interested in IC – CC connectivity, a second mask, just covering the cingulum (anterior, middle and posterior, bilaterally) was created using the WFU_PickAtlas (http://www.nitrc.org/projects/wfu_pickatlas). Monte Carlo simulation using that mask resulted in a lower extent threshold: 28 contiguous voxels (224 mm³) (p < 0.001, uncorrected, on the voxel level), yielding correction for multiple comparisons on the cluster level (within that mask).

**Analysis 3a**—Resultant significant connectivity maps from Analysis 2 were further evaluated with correlation analyses to assess the behavioral and clinical relevance to the group differences. Parameter estimates were extracted (yielding one parameter estimate per subject) and were correlated with pain measures (VAS, McGill Pain Questionnaire affective, sensory, and total measures) and MRS pressure pain thresholds (faint, mild and slightly intense) by means of a correlation analysis in SPSS, version 19. Significant results were found using a threshold of p < 0.05, but were deemed significant at p < 0.025 after a Bonferroni correction accounting for the two domains of pain (clinical pain and experimental pain).

**Analysis 3b**—To further evaluate the behavioral/clinical relevance of altered IC connectivity, we performed in a third step, regression analyses with pain measures (VAS, McGill Pain Questionnaire affective, sensory, and total measures) and MRS pressure pain thresholds as predictors and fcMRI as the outcome variable within the FM group (controlling for age). Similarly, regression analyses with experimental pain measures were
performed including experimental pressure pain thresholds within the HC group (controlling for age). Again a threshold on the cluster level corrected for multiple comparisons (p < 0.05, derived from an uncorrected p < 0.001 on the voxel level, with a cluster extent of 69 contiguous voxels) was applied. One subject was omitted from correlational analyses with the SF-MPQ AFF measure because of the classification of an outlier greater than 2 standard deviations from the mean. The resulting clusters were further analyzed by extracting parameter estimates from group level results (yielding one parameter estimate per subject) and performing the regression analyses with the pain measures in SPSS, version 19. During this analysis step, we evaluated the parameter estimates to assess for outliers which could be driving the significant results. The Automated Anatomical Labeling (aal) atlas and xjView viewing program for SPM (http://www.alivelearn.net/xjview8/) were used to label anatomical regions for all analyses.

Results

Patients and behavioral data

All 36 subjects enrolled in this study were included in the analyses. Of the 18 FM patients, 7 were categorized to have a high level of depression (6 of the 7 FM patients had CES-D scores > 16, and the seventh scored > 8 on the HADS questionnaire). All 18 of the HC subjects were assessed for depressive symptoms using the CES-D measure – none of which were categorized to have a high level of depressive symptoms.

As expected the FM cohort was found to have significantly higher clinical pain report scores compared to the HC group. However, the two groups did not differ statistically with respect to experimental pressure pain thresholds. Demographics and pain ratings for both patients and controls are displayed in Table 1.

Functional connectivity during resting state

Analysis 1—The location and size of each IC seed region is shown in Figure 1. Supplementary Table S1 shows the fcMRI main effect clusters for the six IC seed regions in the FM and HC groups separately. Connectivity maps were thresholded using a corrected cluster-level Family Wise Error value of p < 0.05. From this analysis, we were able to partially replicate the findings by Taylor et. al.: namely anterior to posterior topographical connectivity between the IC and the CC. In both patients and controls the anterior IC was connected to the anterior CC and the mid IC was connected to the mid CC, however, we were not able to replicate the posterior IC to posterior CC connectivity in either group.

Analysis 2—For between group comparisons FM patients had: greater connectivity compared to HCs between the right anterior IC seed and the right superior temporal gyrus (peak voxel: x = −46, y = −26, z = −2; z-score = 4.69; Table 2), greater connectivity between the right mid IC seed and both the right mid insular cortex (peak voxel: x = 38, y = 2, z = 0; z-score = 4.27, Table 2 and Figure 2) and right mid/posterior CC (peak voxel: x = 2, y = −16, z = 44; z-score = 3.85, Table 2, and Figure 2). Patients also displayed greater connectivity between the right posterior IC seed and both the left mid CC (peak voxel: x =
Decreased connections in FM patients compared to HCs were also found. FM patients were found to have less connectivity between the left anterior IC seed and both the right medial frontal gyrus (peak voxel: x = 10, y = 40, z = 40; z-score = 4.48, Table 2 and Figure 3) and the left medial frontal gyrus (peak voxel: x = −12, y = 46, z =22; z-score = 4.25; Table 2 and Figure 3), and the left posterior IC seed and the right superior frontal gyrus (STG) peak voxel: x = 26, y = 20, z = 50; z-score = 4.43; Table 2 and Figure 3).

Analysis 3a—Ic to CC connectivity within the FM patients was found to be related to experimental pressure-pain thresholds. The right posterior IC, which showed increased connectivity to the posterior CC in FM s compared to HCs, was found to have a strong negative correlational trend to “mild pain” MRS pressure threshold values (r = −0.50, p = 0.04; Table 3a). Furthermore, FM patients were found to have correlational trends between this same right posterior IC – posterior CC connectivity with the two other experimental pressure-pain thresholds (“faint pain”; r = −0.34, p = 0.16 and “slightly intense pain”; r = −0.38, p = 0.12). Additionally, IC-STG connectivity within the FM patients was found to be related to clinical pain. The right anterior IC, found to have increased connectivity within the FM group compared to HCs, was significantly positively correlated to clinical pain: greater IC-STG connectivity was associated with greater affective scores from the SF-MPQ (r = 0.61, p = 0.01; Table 3a) and the total score of the SF-MPQ resulted in a strong trend (r = 0.51, p = 0.03, Table 3a). No significant correlations to clinical and experimental pain report were found amongst the HC group within these regions.

Analysis 3b—Within the FM group, the functional connectivity of the right mid IC seed and both the left precuneus and right precuneus were positively correlated with the sensory component of the SF-MPQ (left precuneus: z = 3.79, p < 0.001; right precuneus: z = 4.48, p < 0.001; Table 3b and Figure 4); i.e. FM patients with higher sensory pain component scores had higher connectivity between the right mid IC and both the left and right precuneus regions.

FM patients were found to have several negative correlations between experimental pain thresholds and IC connectivity: greater functional connectivity was associated with lower pressure pain thresholds. The left mid IC seed and the left mid CC connectivity was negatively correlated with slightly intense pressure thresholds (z = 3.67, p = 0.001; Table 3b and Figure 5); the left mid IC seed and the right mid CC connectivity was negatively correlated with faint pressure thresholds z = 3.58; p < 0.001, Table 3b and Figure 5); and the right posterior IC seed and the left mid/anterior CC was negatively correlated with faint pressure thresholds (z = 4.42, p < 0.001; Table 3b and Figure 5).

HC subjects were only found to have one significant correlation between connectivity and experimental pressure-pain measures. Within this group, connectivity between the right anterior IC seed and the right posterior CC was negatively correlated with mild pressure thresholds (r = −0.53, p = 0.024; Table 3b).
Discussion

The main objective of this work was to systematically test whether FM patients displayed regional differences within the IC with respect to their connectivity to other brain regions compared to pain free HCs. In a first step, we were able to comparatively reproduce the results by Taylor et al. showing topographically distributed and highly correlated low frequency oscillations between specific IC and CC subdivisions (i.e. IC–CC connectivity was detected along the anterior - middle axis) in both HCs and FM patients. These findings are also in line with a study by Kong et al. reporting resting state fcMRI between the anterior IC and the ACC/MCC in healthy subjects. Interestingly, our group comparison between the FM and HC groups yielded significantly increased connectivity between the IC and CC regions within the FM patients, whereas other regions showed increased connectivity in the controls. Largely these findings showed that patients had increased connectivity of the right insula whereas controls had increased connectivity of the left insula. Previous studies have shown that there is lateralized cerebral function with regards to the processing of pain, where the right hemisphere has been shown to have more involvement in pain perception and sensitivity compared to the left hemisphere. Furthermore, Kucyi et al. reported right hemisphere lateralization in intrinsic functional connectivity between the temporoparietal junction, a brain region involved in salient stimulus detection, and the right mid IC. In this study we found augmented right hemisphere lateralization resting state connectivity including the IC in FM patients compared to HCs. The chronification process within these patients very well could have strengthened this relationship in the right hemisphere and caused this increased connectivity of pronociceptive regions while at rest. Additionally, when comparing correlation measures between the FM and HC groups, it appears that FM patients have formed significant new connections between the right IC and CC regions (Figure 2), and added deficits between the left IC and MFG regions (Figure 3) when compared to controls seemingly no significant connection exists.

When comparing our correlational findings with experimental pain reports, we found strong negative associations between posterior IC-posterior CC connectivity and experimental pain thresholds in pain patients. Since pain thresholds are effectively the inverse of pain sensitivity, these findings are showing that increased pain sensitivity (i.e. hyperalgesia/allodynia) is associated with increased connectivity between these regions. Moreover, we found additional negative correlations between experimental pain and IC connectivity to nociceptive regions. These results included increased connectivity between the bilateral mid IC seed regions and the mid CC and contralateral posterior IC target regions (i.e. IC-CC and IC-IC connectivity), which corresponded with decreased experimental pressure-pain thresholds within FM patients.

Insular involvement in processing and modulation of pain has been widely reported as it is one of the most commonly activated pain regions in neuroimaging studies of pain. Previously, FM patients were reported to have increased IC connectivity when compared to HC subjects, and FM patients were found to have positive correlations between current clinical pain intensities and intrinsic connectivity between the IC and both Default Mode Network and Executive Attention Network. It has been suggested that bilateral IC
activation is essential for pain perception, as opposed to non-painful somatosensory perception. In 2007, Seifert and Maihöfner displayed bilateral IC activation in a sample of HC subjects when undergoing an fMRI scan with a cold allodynia stimulus application. A more recent study of HC subjects that used arterial spin labeling (ASL) reported results of increased cerebral blood flow to the bilateral IC in response to increased intramuscular infusion of hypertonic saline into the brachioradialis muscle. Within HCs, high connectivity has been displayed between the IC and the contralateral insula during a resting state. Here, FM patients were found to have increased resting state fMRI compared to HC volunteers. This amplified connectivity between the insular regions in these FM patients could contribute to the widespread non-articular pain that is symptomatic of this disorder.

It has been suggested that the anterior IC – ACC system integrates interoceptive input with its emotional salience in contrast to the mid-/posterior IC – MCC system, which is thought to be more related to environmental monitoring and response selection. With respect to pain perception there is strong evidence that the posterior IC, as part of the lateral pain pathway, together with the primary and secondary somatosensory cortex, encodes pain intensity, laterality and somatotopy, while the anterior IC, as part of the medial pathway, together with the ACC, has a unique role in affective pain processing and learning.

Although there is an increasing body of evidence that suggests that the IC flexibly connects attention and emotional brain areas, and that these connections are in fact an important determinant of pain experience, the literature on the capability of the mid CC to modulate IC activity in pain conditions, or vice versa, is sparse. In this study, we observed augmented mid/posterior IC – mid/posterior CC connectivity within FM patients compared to HCs. Moreover, these regions with increased IC-CC connectivity displayed correlations with experimental pressure-pain thresholds. FM patients were found to have decreased pressure-pain tolerances (slightly intense pain) and thresholds (faint pain) when displaying increased mid IC – mid CC connectivity as well as posterior IC – mid IC connectivity. When this comparison was assessed in HC subjects, no such correlation existed. While we did not see significant behavioral differences in experimental pressure-pain between groups in this study, this correlation result falls in line with differences in experimental pressure-pain thresholds between HC and FM patients seen in other studies, and associates the sensation of pain with the underlying neurobiological mapping within these FM patients.

Another intriguing result from an hypothesis, was that FM patients were found to have IC to default mode network (DMN) region connectivity correlated with clinical pain report. Within the FM cohort, increased connectivity between the right mid IC and bilateral precuneus regions were both positively associated with the sensory aspect of pain (i.e. the stronger the connectivity between these two regions, the higher the level of pain reported). The precuneus is a region that contributes to the DMN, a network of regions throughout the brain that has been found to be engaged in self-referential thinking, which is disengaged during a variety of task conditions. Previously, our group reported that patients with FM have increased connectivity between the IC and the DMN at rest, and that this connectivity is associated with increased current clinical pain. The current finding appears to support this finding that patients with FM have hyperactive IC – DMN connectivity and increased associated clinical pain as previously reported by our group.
Interestingly, while not an *a priori* hypothesis, we noted that FM patients were found to have increased connectivity between the right anterior insula and the contralateral superior temporal gyrus (STG). This heightened connectivity result for the FM patients was found to be positively correlated with clinical pain (increased connectivity was associated with increased pain scores); both with the affective (significant) and total (trend) scores from the SF-MPQ. The role of the STG in pain is not well established, but this region has been shown to be active during evoked painful stimuli in both HC and FM patients. While the mechanism of the STG in pain processing may not be fully understood, it is possible that this region could be contributing to the augmented affective experience of pain in FM patients, as we have found this increased anterior IC to STG connectivity to be positively correlated with affective pain report scores. Furthermore, FM patients were found to have a negative correlation displaying increased connectivity between the posterior IC and STG, with decreases in experimental pressure-pain thresholds (faint pain).

**Limitations**

Although our trial found significant differences in IC – CC connectivity in FM, there are certain limitations that need to be highlighted. One possible confounder in our study was age. Although not statistically different, the FM group was slightly older than the HCs. To control for this potential confound, age was added as a covariate to all second level analyses. Another limitation in our study was the cross-sectional design and its inability to resolve conclusively the pre-existing versus acquired nature of the observed alterations. From our results it is unclear whether chronic widespread pain leads to the changes in connectivity or if changes in IC connectivity predispose someone to developing chronic pain. Another potential weakness to our design is that we used standardized seed regions. Subtle albeit natural differences in functional anatomy across subjects and differences in brain size and subsequent normalization might have had an influence on connectivity maps. However we would assume that variation in functional anatomy is equally distributed between groups and the fact that images had been smoothed prior to analysis helped to control for such differences. Indeed we were able to partially replicate the findings of Taylor et al. suggesting consistent findings. A minor limitation for this study was that when confirming handedness, a validated tool like the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory was not included. While all participants reported they were right-handed, an instrument to confirm this measure would prove useful for validation in an fcMRI study. Finally, fcMRI allows no assumptions on causality, or on directedness of influence: namely we cannot tell if the IC is sending pain information to the CC or vice versa. Against this background it is conceivable that fcMRI between two regions may also be driven by a third region, not identified in the analysis. More sophisticated approaches exploring effective connectivity and the relationship between functional and structural connectivity will help to overcome such methodological shortcomings in future studies.

**Conclusions and outlook**

The investigation of resting-state networks is a promising approach and might in fact turn out to be a stronger tool than approaches using evoked pain paradigms, when it comes to the exploration of subjective states, such as clinical pain. Our main goal was to explore regional differences in IC connectivity to the rest of the brain in individuals with FM as compared to
HCs. Our analyses reveal increased IC-CC and IC-IC connectivity in FM and in addition we find both positive and negative relationships between IC connectivity to other brain regions and multiple pain domains. These findings are indicative of a disturbed IC connectivity in FM patients which may be related to the subjective enhanced pain that they experience.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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**Abbreviations**

- **ACC**: anterior cingulate cortex
- **ACR**: American College of Rheumatology
- **BA**: Brodmann Area
- **BOLD**: blood oxygenation level dependent
- **CC**: cingulate cortex
- **DLPFC**: dorsolateral prefrontal cortex
- **DMN**: default mode network
- **fcMRI**: functional connectivity
- **FM**: fibromyalgia
- **FWHM**: full width at half maximum
- **HC**: healthy controls
- **IC**: insular cortex
- **ICA**: independent component analysis
- **IPL**: inferior parietal lobule
- **MCC**: mid cingulate cortex
- **MFG**: medial frontal gyrus
- **MNI**: Montreal Neurological Institute
- **PRI**: pain rating index
- **SI**: primary somatosensory cortex
- **SF-MPQ**: Short-Form McGill Pain Questionnaire
- **SFG**: superior frontal gyrus
- **SMA**: supplementary motor area
- **STG**: superior temporal gyrus
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Figure 1. IC seeds used for functional connectivity analysis
Figure 1 displays the six seed regions used for functional connectivity analyses. Seed regions were spheres of 6 mm surrounding a peak voxel. MNI coordinates for each voxel include: left anterior IC (red): x = −32, y = 16, z = 6; left mid IC (dark blue): x = −38, y = 2, z = 8; left posterior IC (green): x = −39, y = −15, z = 1; right anterior IC (purple): x = 32, y = 16, z = 6; right mid IC (orange): x = 38, y = 2, z = 8; right posterior IC (light blue): x = 39, y = −15, z = 8. IC = insular cortex.
Figure 2. Greater IC connectivity in FM compared to HC

Figure 2A displays increased IC connectivity in FM patients compared to HCs. From left to right, increased connectivity in FM compared to HC subjects was found between the R mid IC seed and the right mid/post CC (far left), the R mid IC seed and the R mid IC (middle left), the R posterior IC seed and the L mid CC (middle left), and the R post IC seed and the posterior CC (far right). Scatter plots of connectivity r-value correlations for each cohort are displayed below brain figures. Figure 2B depicts two graphs of the fMRI BOLD signal time series for both seed (light blue) and connected region (yellow) from a representative FM subject and a HC subject. FM = fibromyalgia, HC = healthy controls, IC = insula cortex, CC = cingulate cortex, BOLD = Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent.
Figure 3. Regions of decreased connectivity in FM patients as compared to controls

Figure 3 depicts decreased connectivity for FM patients when compared to HC between: the L anterior IC seed and the L medial frontal gyrus (left), the L anterior IC seed and the R medial frontal gyrus (center), and the L posterior IC seed and the R superior frontal gyrus (right). Beneath each brain image is the corresponding scatter plot of the connectivity correlations for each cohort. FM = fibromyalgia, HC = healthy controls, IC = insula cortex, MFG = medial frontal cortex, SFG = superior frontal gyrus.
Figure 4. FM IC connectivity is associated with increased clinical pain
Figure 4 displays connectivity in FM patients between the IC and precuneus. Connectivity between the R mid IC seed and the L precuneus was positively associated with SF-MPQ sensory pain report (top). Also, connectivity between the R mid IC seed and the R precuneus was positively associated with SF-MPQ sensory pain report (bottom). In both cases, this result shows that FM patients display increased pain report as IC-precuneus connectivity increases. FM = fibromyalgia, IC = insula cortex, SF-MPQ = Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire, L = left, R = right.
Figure 5. IC-CC connectivity is associated with decreased experimental pain thresholds in FM patients

Figure 5 displays connectivity in FM patients between the IC and mid CC and IC. Connectivity between the L mid IC seed and the L MCC was negatively associated with “slightly intense” pressure thresholds (top). Also, connectivity between the L mid IC seed and the R MCC was negatively associated with “faint” pressure thresholds (middle). Finally, connectivity between the R posterior IC seed and the left mid IC was negatively correlated with “faint” pressure thresholds (bottom). In all cases, this result shows that FM patients display decreased experimental pain thresholds as IC-CC and IC-IC connectivity increases.

CC = cingulate cortex, FM = fibromyalgia, IC = insula cortex, L = left, R = right.
## Table 1

Demographics and Behavioral Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FM</th>
<th>HC</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>35.8 ± 12.0</td>
<td>32.3 ± 11.3</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF-MPQ TOT</strong></td>
<td>9.7 ± 7.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF-MPQ SEN</strong></td>
<td>7.2 ± 5.9</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF-MPQ AFF</strong></td>
<td>2.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF-MPQ VAS</strong></td>
<td>4.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRS low (kg/cm²)</strong></td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRS med (kg/cm²)</strong></td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRS high (kg/cm²)</strong></td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease Duration (yrs)</strong></td>
<td>3.9 ± 3.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 includes behavioral data for all 36 subjects enrolled in this study (18 FM versus 18 HC). FM = fibromyalgia, HC = healthy control. SF-MPQ AFF = Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire affective component, SF-MPQ SEN = Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire sensory component, SF-MPQ TOT = Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire total score, SF-MPQ VAS = Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire Visual Analogue Scale. MRS = multiple random staircase.
Table 2

Differences in resting state functional connectivity between FM and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seed region</th>
<th>Connectivity region</th>
<th>Brodmann Area</th>
<th>Cluster size (# of voxels)</th>
<th>z-score (peak value)</th>
<th>Coordinates (MNI) x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FM &gt; HC (ANCOVA with age as covariate of no interest)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R ant IC</td>
<td>L superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>−46</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R mid IC</td>
<td>R mid IC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R mid/post CC</td>
<td>24/31</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R post IC</td>
<td>L mid CC</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posterior CC</td>
<td>31/24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HC &gt; FM (ANCOVA with age as covariate of no interest)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L ant IC</td>
<td>R medial frontal gyrus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L post IC</td>
<td>R superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 details group differences between FM and HC subjects. FM = fibromyalgia, HC = healthy control, CC = cingulate cortex, IC = insular cortex, S1 = primary somatosensory cortex, ANCOVA = analysis of covariance, MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute, L = left, R = right.
Table 3a displays correlations between fcMRI group differences (identified in Table 2) and behavioral measures within the FM group. Correlations were deemed significant at a threshold of $p < 0.025$ based on a Bonferroni correction. Strong trends with $p < 0.05$ are also reported. No significant correlations to experimental pain report were found amongst the HC group within these same regions. FM = fibromyalgia, HC = healthy controls, IC = insula cortex, CC = cingulate cortex, MRS = multiple random staircase, R = right, L = left, SF-MPQ AFF = Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire affective component, SF-MPQ TOT = Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire total score.

* One subject was removed due to a response being an outlier > 2 standard deviations from the mean.
Table 3b

Results of behavioral correlations with whole brain resting state functional connectivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seed region</th>
<th>Connectivity Region</th>
<th>Behavioral Correlate</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>Cluster size (# of voxels)</th>
<th>z-score</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FM – Positive Correlations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R mid IC</td>
<td>R precuneus</td>
<td>SF-MPQ SEN</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>−78</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R SMA</td>
<td>SF-MPQ AFF*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L precuneus</td>
<td>SF-MPQ SEN</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−54</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM – Negative Correlations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L mid IC</td>
<td>L mid CC</td>
<td>MRS high</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R mid CC</td>
<td>MRS low</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R mid IC</td>
<td>Mid CC</td>
<td>SF-MPQ AFF*</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L posterior IC</td>
<td>SF-MPQ AFF*</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>−42</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R post IC</td>
<td>L precuneus</td>
<td>MRS low</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−76</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L mid/anterior IC</td>
<td>MRS low</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R STG</td>
<td>MRS low</td>
<td>13/22</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>−42</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC – Positive Correlations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R ant IC</td>
<td>R posterior CC</td>
<td>MRS med</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3b includes correlation results between whole brain resting state fMRI and experimental and clinical pain measures. BA = Brodmann area, FM = fibromyalgia, HC = healthy control, IC = insular cortex, CC = cingulate cortex, STG = superior temporal gyrus, MRS = multiple random staircase, SF-MPQ AFF = Short Form McGill Questionnaire affective component, SF-MPQ SEN = Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire sensory component, L = left, R = right.

*One subject was removed due to a response being an outlier > 2 standard deviations from the mean.