



Myc and Dnmt1 Impede the Pluripotent to Totipotent State Transition in Embryonic Stem Cells

Citation

Fu, Xudong, Wu, Xiaoji, Djekidel, Mohamed Nadhir, and Zhang, Yi. "Myc and Dnmt1 Impede the Pluripotent to Totipotent State Transition in Embryonic Stem Cells." *Nature Cell Biology* 21, no. 7 (2019): 835-44.

Permanent link

<https://nrs.harvard.edu/URN-3:HUL.INSTREPOS:37371268>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Fu et al.

Myc and Dnmt1 impede the pluripotent to totipotent state transition in embryonic stem cells

Xudong Fu^{1-4, #}, Xiaoji Wu^{1-4, #}, Mohamed Nadhir Djekidel^{1-4, #}, Yi Zhang^{1-4, *}

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Boston, MA, USA. ²Program in Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ³Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Boston, MA, USA. ⁴Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

These authors contributed equally to the work

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Email: yzhang@genetics.med.harvard.edu

Key words: Dux; 2C-like cell; Single-cell RNA-seq; CRISPR-Cas9 screen; DNA methylation;

Myc

Manuscript information: 22 pages, 6 figures, 6 supplementary figures, 10 supplementary tables

ABSTRACT

Totipotency refers to the ability of a cell to generate all the cell types of an organism. Unlike pluripotency, the establishment of totipotency is poorly understood. In mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs), Dux drives a small percentage of cells into a totipotent state by expressing 2-cell-embryo-specific transcripts. To understand how this transition takes place, we performed single cell RNA-seq which revealed a two-step transcriptional reprogramming process characterized by downregulation of pluripotent genes in the first step and upregulation of the 2-cell embryo-specific elements in the second step. To identify factors controlling the transition, we performed a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated screen which revealed Myc and Dnmt1 as two factors preventing the transition. Mechanistic studies demonstrate that Myc prevents down-regulation of pluripotent genes in the first step, while Dnmt1 impedes 2 cell embryo-specific gene activation in the second step. Collectively, our study reveals insights into establishment and regulation of totipotent state in mESCs.

INTRODUCTION

Following fertilization, the mouse genome starts to be activated at late 1-cell and 2-cell stages. This process is known as zygotic genome activation (ZGA)¹, which coincides with gain of totipotency, the ability of a cell to generate embryonic and extraembryonic cell types². Interestingly, a group of genes (e.g. *Zscan4* genes) and repeats (e.g. MERVL repeats) are transiently activated at this stage^{3,4}, suggesting their role in establishing totipotency². However, the molecular features of totipotency remained elusive partly due to the scarcity of mammalian embryos.

The mESCs derived or cultured under modified conditions exhibit totipotent-like developmental potential⁵⁻⁷, but these cells are transcriptionally distinct from 2-cell embryos. Interestingly, in serum/Lif culture conditions, <1% of mESCs exhibit several features of 2-cell embryos^{4, 8}, including expression of 2-cell-embryo-specific transcripts⁴, downregulation of pluripotency genes⁴, increased histone mobility⁹, dispersed chromocenters¹⁰, and increased developmental capacity⁴. This spontaneous 2-cell-like (2C-like) state is reversible, and nearly all mESCs are capable of cycling between ESC and 2C-like states⁴. Compared with 2-cell embryos which are difficult to obtain in large numbers, 2C-like cells can be readily isolated from ESCs, making them a good model for understanding totipotency and ZGA¹¹. While several factors, such as Tet proteins, were reported to regulate the 2C-like cells formation¹²⁻¹⁴, a detailed mechanistic understanding of 2C-like transition is still lacking, partially due to the low frequency of 2C-like cells in mESCs. The demonstration that Dux can drive ESC to 2C-like cell transition¹⁵⁻¹⁷ makes the generation of 2C-like cells much easier. In this study, we examine the transcriptional dynamics of 2C-like transition using Dux and identify unappreciated factors mediating the transition process.

RESULTS

Establishment and verification of Dux-mediated ESC to 2C-like transition system

We constructed an ES cell line containing MERVL-promoter-driving tdTomato transgene (an indicator of 2C-like state)⁴ and doxycycline-inducible Dux transgene (Fig. 1a). Dux expression induces 2C-like transition (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Depending on the ESC clones, the 2C-like transition rates varied between 10-55%, which is comparable to previous reports¹⁶. The 2C-like transition rate is regulated by the exogenous Dux level as the clones with higher Dux expression exhibited higher rate of 2C-like transition (Supplementary Fig. 1b-c). The fact that not all cells became 2C-like cells after Dux induction suggests cell-to-cell heterogeneity (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Fig. 1a).

To characterize the transcriptomic change of the *Dux*-induced 2C-like transition, we performed RNA-seq analysis of three cell populations: 2C-negative population collected before *Dux*-induction (D0 2C⁻), 2C-negative and 2C-positive populations collected after one-day Dux induction (D1 2C⁻ and D1 2C⁺) (Fig. 1b).

By comparing the transcriptome of ESCs (D0 2C⁻) to 2C-like cells (D1 2C⁺), we identified 2,976 upregulated and 2,726 downregulated genes/repeats in 2C-like cells (FC>2, FDR < 0.001, Fig. 1c; Supplementary Table 1). The 2C⁺-upregulated genes/repeats include 2-cell-embryo-specific transcripts such as MERVL repeats, *Zscan4* genes, *Spz1*, and *Zfp352* (Fig. 1c) and are involved in chromatin and nucleosome assembly (Supplementary Fig. 1d). The downregulated genes include pluripotency-related genes such as *Sox2*, *Klf4*, and *Rest* (Fig. 1c) and are involved in organic anion transport and development (Supplementary Fig. 1d). Analysis of published Dux ChIP-seq in mESC¹⁶ indicated that many of the genes upregulated in 2C⁺ cells are direct targets of Dux (Fig. 1d). Notably, the transcription start sites of upregulated genes are significantly closer

to MERVL repeats than those with unchanged or downregulated genes (Supplementary Fig. 1e). This indicates that 2C⁺-upregulated genes could be activated by nearby MERVL repeats, which is similar to that observed in spontaneous 2C-like cells^{4, 18}.

Importantly, the transcriptome and expression pattern of 2-cell-embryo-specific elements (D1 2C⁺ cells) are highly similar to those of spontaneous 2C-like cells (Pearson $r=0.91$, Fig. 1e; Pearson $r=0.9$, Supplementary Fig. 1f). In addition, the apoptosis-related genes which are induced by Dux in C2C12 are not increased in D1 2C⁺ cells (Supplementary Table 1)¹⁹. Furthermore, similarly to spontaneous 2C-like cells, Dux-induced 2C-like cells can exit 2C-like state spontaneously (Supplementary Fig. 1g-h). Taken together, these results suggest that we established a 2C-like transition system which resembles spontaneous 2C-like transition.

Dux-induced ESC to 2C-like cell transition involves an intermediate state

Since Dux did not induce complete 2C-like transition (Fig. 1b), we characterized the molecular features of D1 2C⁻ cells by comparing their transcriptome to those of other cell populations (D0 2C⁻ and D1 2C⁺). Interestingly, despite negative tdTomato signal, D1 2C⁻ cells displayed partial change in many of the 2C⁺ up-/down-regulated elements. The genes/repeats whose expression are altered in both D1 2C⁻ and D1 2C⁺ cells are designated as “Group 1” elements (Supplementary Table 2, Fig 1f). In Group1, the downregulated genes are enriched for terms of embryonic development and signaling pathways important for pluripotency (Fig. 1f); while the upregulated genes are enriched for terms of RNA modification and protein unfolding (Fig. 1f). Interestingly, another group of genes/repeats (Group 2 elements) are significantly altered only in D1 2C⁺ cells (Fig. 1f; Supplementary Table 2), with the downregulated genes involved in mouse embryonic stem cell pluripotency, and upregulated genes involved in cellular assembly and organization. Interestingly, most of the activated repeats belong to the late altered Group 2 elements

(Supplementary Fig. 1i), which is consistent with activation of MERVL reporter in D1 2C⁺ cells. Taken together, D1 2C⁻ cells exhibited an intermediate-state transcriptome different from the starting ESCs (Supplementary Fig. 1j).

The distinct expression patterns of group 1 and group 2 elements imply that transcriptional reprogramming during the pluripotent to 2C-like transition may follow a sequential order. Group 1 elements might be firstly changed followed by the alteration of Group 2 elements. Consistent with this notion, a majority of Dux-bound 2C⁺-upregulated genes belong to Group 1 genes, while Group 2 genes dominate the 2C⁺-upregulated genes (Supplementary Fig. 1k), suggesting that Dux-bound genes get activated first during the transition.

Single-cell RNA-seq analysis confirmed the existence of an intermediate state

To further confirm the intermediate-state during the transition, we performed single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) at different time points of Dux induction (Fig. 2a). Consistent with the timing of tdTomato reporter activation (Supplementary Fig. 1a), MERVL and Zscan4 are only activated in many cells after one-day Dux induction (Supplementary Fig. 2a).

Due to cellular heterogeneity, we pooled all the cells to perform clustering analysis, which revealed three major cell clusters (Fig. 2b-d). Cluster 3 appears to be 2C-like cells with expression of *Zscan4* and MERVL (Fig. 2b-d, Supplementary Fig. 2b). Cluster 1 represents ESC as they express pluripotency genes such as *Sox2* and *Pou5f1*, but not MERVL and *Zscan4* (Fig. 2b-d). Cluster 2 represents an intermediate cell population as they showed a reduced expression of pluripotency genes such as *Sox2* and *Pou5f1*, and also a partial expression of 2-cell-embryo-specific transcripts such as MERVL and *Gm5662* (Fig. 2b-d, Supplementary Fig. 2b). Interestingly, *Nanog* mRNA is not decreased during the transition (Fig. 2c).

Previous single-cell studies identified a minor formative-state pluripotent population in mESCs²⁰⁻²². We identified a similar minor population (Supplementary Fig. 2c), with low expression of *Zfp42*, *Klf4*, and *Nanog*; but high expression of *Pou3f1*, *Dnmt3b*, and *Krt18* compared to that of the major pluripotent cells (Supplementary Fig. 2d). FACS analysis confirmed the existence of this minor population in mESCs (Supplementary Fig. 2e). Identification of this minor formative-state in mESC validates our scRNA-seq approach.

To determine the relationship between the single-cell populations and the bulk RNA-seq stages (Fig. 1b), we performed clustering and PCA analysis. Indeed, the transcriptional profiles of the three cell clusters respectively correlates with D0 2C⁻, D1 2C⁻, D1 2C⁺ cell populations (Fig. 2e). Thus, scRNA-seq data can be used to analyze the transcriptional dynamics during 2C-like transition. Consistently, analysis of the distribution of the different cell clusters at each time point revealed that the Dux-induced 2C-like transition is recapitulated by scRNA-seq (Fig. 2f, Supplementary Fig. 2f). Taken together, we conclude that single-cell RNA-seq revealed an intermediate cell state during 2C-like transition. Notably, although D1 2C⁻ cells consisted of ~66% cluster 1 cells (Fig. 2f), activation of 2C⁺-upregulated elements in D1 2C⁻ cells (Fig. 1f) dominated the transcriptional variance in PCA analysis leading to a higher correlation of D1 2C⁻ cells with cluster 2 cells rather than cluster 1 cells (Supplementary Fig. 2g).

Single-cell RNA-seq confirmed a two-step transcriptional reprogramming of ESC to 2C-like cell transition

The identification of an intermediate-state indicates that the 2C-like transition follows a step-wise pattern. To dissect the transcriptional dynamics during the 2C-like transition, we performed pseudo-time analysis (Fig. 2g). The projected timeline recapitulated the 2C-like transition as it captured the progressive activation of 2C-like-cell markers such as *Zscan4d* (Figure. 2g). The

pseudotime indicates that cluster 1 (pluripotent ESCs) cells are mainly at the beginning of the projected timeline trajectory. Cluster 2 cells are mainly located in the middle of the timeline; whereas cluster 3 (2C-like) cells are at the end of the timeline (Fig. 2g, Supplementary Fig. 3a). The distribution of cells from different time-points along the pseudotime also captures Dux-induced 2C-like transition (Supplementary Fig. 3b), supporting the validity of the projected timeline. In addition, many Group 1 genes, including *Zscan4d*, *Dppa2*, and *Chd5*, are altered in cluster 2 cells; while Group 2 genes, such as *Slc35e3*, *Fbxo34*, and *Socs2*, tend to be altered in cluster 3 cells, further supporting the validity of the analysis (Supplementary Fig. 3c). Together, the scRNA-seq analysis provided a transcriptomic roadmap for 2C-like transition.

Bulk RNA-seq revealed that 2C-like transition involved the downregulation of pluripotency genes and the expression of 2-cell-embryo-specific elements (Fig. 1c). To dissect the temporal dynamics of these alterations, we analyzed the expression pattern of these elements in scRNA-seq. We found that downregulation of pluripotency-related genes has already occurred in intermediate state; while the activation of 2-cell-specific elements was not evident until in 2C-like state (Fig. 2h, Supplementary Fig. 3d-e). Notably, bulk RNA-seq based on MERVL reporter cannot distinguish intermediate cells from pluripotent cells; thus, failed to reveal the temporal order of downregulation of pluripotent genes and upregulation of 2-cell-specific elements during 2C-like transition (Fig. 1f). Collectively, our results support that pluripotent to totipotent state transition is achieved in two steps: i) pluripotent to intermediate state, characterized by downregulation of pluripotency genes; and ii) intermediate to 2C-like cell state, characterized by the activation of 2-cell-embryo-specific genes and repeats.

Identification of regulators for ESC to 2C-like cell transition by CRISPR-Cas9 screen

The incomplete 2C-like transition after Dux-induction indicates the existence of barriers preventing the transition. To identify these barriers, we performed a screen utilizing a previous-

reported CRISPR/Cas9 library²³. After one-day Dux induction, 2C⁺ and 2C⁻ cells were sorted for sequencing to determine the relative sgRNA enrichment. The sgRNA of positive regulator will be depleted from the 2C⁺ population, and *vice versa* (Fig. 3a). As a control for experimental variation, two independent screens were performed and gene enrichment/depletion was ranked using MAGeCK package²⁴.

The screen identified reproducible negative regulators (positive RRA score < 0.01; Supplementary Table 4), including *Dnmt1*, *Uhrf1*, *Ptpn11*, *Dicer1*, *Smad7*, *Myc*, and *Tsc2* (Fig. 3b, green dots, Supplementary Fig. 4a) and reproducible positive regulators (negative RRA score < 0.01; Supplementary Table 4) of 2C-like-state transition, such as *Eif3h*, *Eif5b*, and *Eif4e2* (Fig. 3b, orange dots, Supplementary Fig. 4b). To identify potential pathways regulating 2C-like transition, we performed a protein interaction analysis on reproducible hits and identified multiple networks for negative regulators, including *Dnmt1/Uhrf1* for DNA methylation, *Grb2/Ptpn11/Sos1* of the MAPK signaling pathway, and *Tsc1/Tsc2* of the TOR signaling pathway (Fig. 3c), supporting the validity of the screen. This analysis also identified *Eif3h/Eif5b/Eif4e2* involved in translation as a network of positive regulators (Fig. 3c).

To validate the roles of these identified regulators in 2C-like-state transition, we picked 11 candidate regulators based on the interaction analysis. For each candidate, we performed CRISPR gene perturbation and quantified 2C-like cells after Dux induction. Perturbation of all 10-negative candidates increased 2C-like cells, while perturbation of *Eif3h* reduced 2C-like cells (Fig. 3d). These results further validate our screen results. Since our study focuses on transcriptional regulation of 2C-like transition, we focus on *Myc* and *Dnmt1* to understand how they negatively regulate the transition process.

Myc prevents gene downregulation during ESC to intermediate state transition

Myc is a transcription factor critical for pluripotent transcriptome²⁵. Interestingly, 2C-like transition involves transcriptomic reprogramming (Fig. 1c) and Myc is one of the top candidates regulating the transition (Fig. 3b). To understand how Myc regulates this process, we designed two sgRNAs targeting Myc and confirmed their efficiency (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Myc-depletion increased the 2C-like cells in three different ESC clones upon Dux induction (Fig. 4a). Notably, sgMyc exhibited no effect on 2C-like-state maintenance (Supplementary Fig. 5b), indicating that Myc-deficiency increased 2C-like cells by facilitating 2C-like transition. The effect of Myc on 2C-like transition is independent of Dux expression, as Myc-depletion did not alter *Dux* expression (Fig. 4b). In addition, Myc-deficiency facilitated spontaneous 2C-like transition (Supplementary Fig. 5c), indicating that the effect of Myc on the transition is not dependent on the Dux transgene.

Myc maintains pluripotent transcriptome by amplifying the transcription of a large set of genes (Supplementary Fig. 5d)^{26, 27}. As ESC transcriptome is reprogrammed during 2C-like transition, we asked whether Myc impedes the transition by preventing transcriptional reprogramming. To this end, we focused on the direct Myc targets in ESCs²⁸ and found 33.7% of 2C⁺-downregulated genes are Myc targets (Fig. 4c). In contrast, only 10.7% of 2C⁺-upregulated genes are Myc targets (Fig. 4c), suggesting that Myc mainly antagonizes gene downregulation during the transition. Indeed, Myc-bound 2C⁺-downregulated genes were further decreased in Myc-depleted cells after Dux induction, while the expression of Myc-bound 2C⁺-upregulated genes was not affected (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Table 5), supporting that Myc inhibits 2C-like transition by preferably maintaining the expression of 2C⁺-downregulated genes in ESCs.

Transcriptome analysis revealed that downregulation of pluripotency genes mainly occurs at ESC to intermediate state transition (Fig. 2h). Since Myc amplifies the transcriptional activity of 2C⁺-downregulated pluripotent genes^{27, 29, 30}, we asked whether Myc mainly prevents ESC to

intermediate state transition. To this end, we analyzed the Myc-bound 2C⁺-downregulated genes (Fig. 4c) and found that the majority of these genes belong to Group 1 genes (Fig. 4e). Downregulation of these genes mainly takes place during the ESC to intermediate state transition (Fig. 4f), suggesting that Myc impedes their downregulation at early stage of 2C-like transition. Consistently, Myc-deficiency in ESCs has a bigger effect on 2C-like transition than that in D1 2C⁻ cells, which displayed an intermediate transcriptome (Fig. 4g). Thus, we conclude that Myc mainly impedes ESC to intermediate state transition.

Dnmt1 impedes activation of 2C⁺-upregulated genes during intermediate to 2C-like cell transition

Dnmt1 is responsible for maintaining DNA methylation pattern during cell division³¹. Interestingly, ESCs undergo global DNA demethylation when they enter 2C-like state^{18, 32}, suggesting a potential negative role of DNA methylation in 2C-like transition. Furthermore, Dnmt1 is identified as a top candidate impeding the 2C-like transition (Fig. 3b). These observations prompted us to investigate the role of Dnmt1 and DNA methylation in 2C-like transition.

To this end, we designed two sgRNAs targeting Dnmt1 and confirmed their efficiency (Supplementary Fig. 6a). After Dux induction, we found Dnmt1-deficiency significantly increased 2C-like-cell population in three ESC clones (Fig. 5a). Notably, sgDnmt1 exhibited no effect on 2C-like-state maintenance, supporting that Dnmt1-deficiency increases 2C-like cells by facilitating 2C-like transition (Supplementary Fig. 6b). Dux expression is not altered in Dnmt1-deficient cells (Fig. 5b), indicating that Dnmt1 mediated 2C-like transition independent of Dux expression. In addition, Dnmt1-deficiency also increased spontaneous 2C-like transition (Supplementary Fig. 6c), suggesting that the effect of Dnmt1 on 2C-like transition is independent of Dux transgene.

The analysis of a publicly available DNA methylomes of ESCs and 2C-like cells¹⁸ indicated that

the promoters of 2C⁺-upregulated genes undergo more significant demethylation compared to that of 2C⁺-downregulated genes in 2C-like cells (Supplementary Fig. 6d). Given that Dnmt1 is critical for maintaining DNA methylation³¹, we hypothesized that Dnmt1-mediated DNA methylation serves as a repressor to prevent gene upregulation during the transition. Indeed, the promoter methylation of 2C⁺-upregulated genes is more significant than that of 2C⁺-downregulated genes in mESCs (Fig. 5c). Importantly, Dnmt1-deficiency significantly decreased the promoter methylation of 2C⁺-upregulated genes (Fig. 5c; Supplementary Table 6), implying that Dnmt1 maintains the promoter methylation of 2C⁺-upregulated genes in mESCs.

If Dnmt1 functions as a barrier for gene activation during the 2C-like transition, the induction of these genes should be more evident in Dnmt1-deficient cells. Indeed, 2C⁺-upregulated elements were further activated in Dnmt1-deficient cells (Fig. 5d, Supplementary Table 7); while 2C⁺-downregulated genes showed no significant increase in Dnmt1-deficient cells (Fig. 5d). Taken together, these results indicate that Dnmt1 preferentially prevents activation of 2C⁺-upregulated genes during the transition.

Activation of 2C-embryo-specific elements occurs mainly during the intermediate to 2C-like state transition (Fig. 2h). Since Dnmt1 impedes the activation of 2C⁺-upregulated genes during the transition, it is likely that Dnmt1 prevents the intermediate to 2C-like cell transition.

To test this possibility, we focused on the elements that are further up-regulated in Dnmt1-deficient cells after Dux activation (FC>2 and p-value < 0.001) (Fig. 5e, Supplementary Table 7). The majority of these elements belong to 2C⁺-upregulated genes (Fig. 5e). Interestingly, these Dnmt1-repressed 2C⁺-upregulated elements mostly belong to Group 2 (Fig. 5f). Single-cell RNA-seq revealed that activation of these genes/repeats mainly takes place during intermediate to 2C-like cell transition (Fig. 5g), suggesting that Dnmt1 majorly impedes the transition at this stage.

Fu et al.

Furthermore, in contrast to Myc, depletion of Dnmt1 caused a more evident increase in the 2C-like population in D1 2C⁻ cells than in ESC cells (Fig. 5h). Collectively, these data support that Dnmt1 serves as a barrier mainly during the intermediate to 2C-like cell transition.

Since Myc and Dnmt1 prevent the 2C-like transition at different stages, we anticipate that removal of both barriers should have an additive effect. Indeed, ESCs infected with Dnmt1 and Myc sgRNAs exhibited further increase in 2C-like transition upon *Dux* induction compared to that of single sgRNA infection (Fig. 6a), supporting that Myc and Dnmt1 function independently during the transition.

DISCUSSION

The spontaneous 2C-like transition is induced by Dux¹⁵⁻¹⁷. It is believed that Dppa2/4 activate Dux in mESCs to initiate the 2C-like transition³³⁻³⁵. However, mechanisms underlying the transcriptomic dynamics during the transition after Dux activation remained elusive. To fill in this knowledge gap, we performed single-cell RNA-seq and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated screen to dissect the transcriptional dynamics and identify relevant regulators.

Unlike previous studies^{13, 18}, our study exploited Dux to drive the transition, and revealed two stages of reprogramming during the transition: an early stage characterized by the downregulation of pluripotent genes and a late stage characterized by the activation of 2-cell-embryo-specific elements (Fig. 6b). This dynamic process indicates that activation of 2-cell-embryo-specific genes/repeats may require the cells to exit the pluripotent state first. Notably, prolonged Dux induction did not induce complete 2C-like transition as Dux induction initiates an unsynchronized 2C-like transition and cannot maintain 2C-like state (Supplementary Fig. 6e).

A recent study of 2C-like transition identified a Zscan4⁺ intermediate state¹³ by analyzing the expression of 93 genes. The expression dynamics of these genes are similar to that of our scRNA-seq (Supplementary Table 3). Importantly, based on unbiased scRNA-seq of Dux-induced 2C-like transition, we were able to dissect the transcriptional dynamics of 2C-like transition and identify an unappreciated intermediate state. Since the intermediate state cells are rare (<5%, Fig. 2f) and cannot be isolated by analyzing limited number of mESCs harboring MERVL or Zscan4 reporter, they were not identified in the previous studies (Supplementary Fig. 6f-g).

Previously-reported factors that inhibit 2C-like transition, such as CAF1, mainly affect the transition through repressing Dux^{17, 36, 37, 4, 10}. However, factors that mediate the transition after Dux activation are largely unknown. To fill in this knowledge gap, we performed a CRISPR/Cas9

screen under Dux-induction conditions and revealed functionally diverse candidates, including Myc and Dnmt1. Importantly, we demonstrated that Myc impedes the early stage of 2C-like transition through its transcriptional amplification on 2C⁺-downregulated genes^{27, 29, 30}; while Dnmt1 impedes the activation of 2C⁺-upregulated genes (Fig. 6b). Notably, Myc and Dnmt1 exhibit unimodal expression in mESCs (Supplementary Fig. 6h-i). Thus, the incomplete 2C-like transition upon Dux expression is unlikely due to the expression heterogeneity of Myc and Dnmt1 in mESCs.

In conclusion, our study not only reveals a two-stage transcriptional reprogramming process during 2C-like transition, but also suggest that the regulatory network governing the transition may involve several distinct and unappreciated machineries that can be explored in the future.

Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. Samuel L. Pfaff for providing the MERVL-tdTomato reporter, Dr. Falong Lu for assistance with the establishment of reporter cell line, and Dr. Zhiyuan Chen for critical reading of the manuscript. This project was supported by NIH (R01HD092465) and HHMI. Y.Z. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Author Contributions

Y.Z. conceived the project; X.F., X.W., and Y.Z. designed the experiments; X.F. and X.W. performed the experiments. M.N.D. performed bioinformatics analyses. All authors were involved in the interpretation of data. X.F., X.W., and Y.Z. wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Lee, M.T., Bonneau, A.R. & Giraldez, A.J. Zygotic genome activation during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **30**, 581-613 (2014).
2. Lu, F. & Zhang, Y. Cell totipotency: molecular features, induction, and maintenance. *Natl Sci Rev* **2**, 217-225 (2015).
3. Falco, G. *et al.* Zscan4: a novel gene expressed exclusively in late 2-cell embryos and embryonic stem cells. *Dev Biol* **307**, 539-550 (2007).
4. Macfarlan, T.S. *et al.* Embryonic stem cell potency fluctuates with endogenous retrovirus activity. *Nature* **487**, 57-63 (2012).
5. Yang, Y. *et al.* Derivation of Pluripotent Stem Cells with In Vivo Embryonic and Extraembryonic Potency. *Cell* **169**, 243-257 e225 (2017).
6. Yang, J. *et al.* Establishment of mouse expanded potential stem cells. *Nature* **550**, 393-397 (2017).
7. Bao, S. *et al.* Derivation of hypermethylated pluripotent embryonic stem cells with high potency. *Cell Res* **28**, 22-34 (2018).
8. Li, M. & Izpisua Belmonte, J.C. Deconstructing the pluripotency gene regulatory network. *Nat Cell Biol* **20**, 382-392 (2018).
9. Boskovic, A. *et al.* Higher chromatin mobility supports totipotency and precedes pluripotency in vivo. *Genes Dev* **28**, 1042-1047 (2014).
10. Ishiuchi, T. *et al.* Early embryonic-like cells are induced by downregulating replication-dependent chromatin assembly. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **22**, 662-671 (2015).
11. Baker, C.L. & Pera, M.F. Capturing Totipotent Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **22**, 25-34 (2018).
12. Lu, F., Liu, Y., Jiang, L., Yamaguchi, S. & Zhang, Y. Role of Tet proteins in enhancer activity and telomere elongation. *Genes Dev* **28**, 2103-2119 (2014).
13. Rodriguez-Terrones, D. *et al.* A molecular roadmap for the emergence of early-embryonic-like cells in culture. *Nat Genet* **50**, 106-119 (2018).
14. Choi, Y.J. *et al.* Deficiency of microRNA miR-34a expands cell fate potential in pluripotent stem cells. *Science* **355** (2017).
15. Whiddon, J.L., Langford, A.T., Wong, C.J., Zhong, J.W. & Tapscott, S.J. Conservation and innovation in the DUX4-family gene network. *Nat Genet* **49**, 935-940 (2017).
16. Hendrickson, P.G. *et al.* Conserved roles of mouse DUX and human DUX4 in activating cleavage-stage genes and MERVL/HERVL retrotransposons. *Nat Genet* **49**, 925-934 (2017).
17. De Iaco, A. *et al.* DUX-family transcription factors regulate zygotic genome activation in placental mammals. *Nat Genet* **49**, 941-945 (2017).
18. Eckersley-Maslin, M.A. *et al.* MERVL/Zscan4 Network Activation Results in Transient Genome-wide DNA Demethylation of mESCs. *Cell Rep* **17**, 179-192 (2016).
19. Eidahl, J.O. *et al.* Mouse Dux is myotoxic and shares partial functional homology with its human paralog DUX4. *Human Molecular Genetics* **25**, 4577-4589 (2016).
20. Kumar, R.M. *et al.* Deconstructing transcriptional heterogeneity in pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* **516**, 56-61 (2014).
21. Kolodziejczyk, A.A. *et al.* Single Cell RNA-Sequencing of Pluripotent States Unlocks Modular Transcriptional Variation. *Cell Stem Cell* **17**, 471-485 (2015).
22. Smith, A. Formative pluripotency: the executive phase in a developmental continuum. *Development* **144**, 365-373 (2017).
23. Doench, J.G. *et al.* Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize off-target effects of CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**, 184-191 (2016).
24. Li, W. *et al.* MAGECK enables robust identification of essential genes from genome-scale CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screens. *Genome Biol* **15**, 554 (2014).
25. Chappell, J. & Dalton, S. Roles for MYC in the establishment and maintenance of

- pluripotency. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* **3**, a014381 (2013).
26. Kim, J. *et al.* A Myc rather than core pluripotency module accounts for the shared signatures of embryonic stem and cancer cells. *Cell* **143**, 313-324 (2010).
 27. Nie, Z. *et al.* c-Myc is a universal amplifier of expressed genes in lymphocytes and embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **151**, 68-79 (2012).
 28. Krepelova, A., Neri, F., Maldotti, M., Rapelli, S. & Oliviero, S. Myc and max genome-wide binding sites analysis links the Myc regulatory network with the polycomb and the core pluripotency networks in mouse embryonic stem cells. *PLoS One* **9**, e88933 (2014).
 29. Percharde, M., Bulut-Karslioglu, A. & Ramalho-Santos, M. Hypertranscription in Development, Stem Cells, and Regeneration. *Developmental cell* **40**, 9-21 (2017).
 30. Lin, C.Y. *et al.* Transcriptional amplification in tumor cells with elevated c-Myc. *Cell* **151**, 56-67 (2012).
 31. Jones, P.A. & Liang, G. Rethinking how DNA Methylation Patterns are Maintained. *Nature reviews. Genetics* **10**, 805-811 (2009).
 32. Dan, J. *et al.* Zscan4 Inhibits Maintenance DNA Methylation to Facilitate Telomere Elongation in Mouse Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell Rep* **20**, 1936-1949 (2017).
 33. De Iaco, A., Coudray, A., Duc, J. & Trono, D. DPPA2 and DPPA4 are necessary to establish a totipotent state in mouse embryonic stem cells. *bioRxiv*, 447755 (2018).
 34. Eckersley-Maslin, M. *et al.* Dppa2 and Dppa4 directly regulate the Dux-driven zygotic transcriptional program. *Genes & development* **33**, 194-208 (2019).
 35. Eckersley-Maslin, M.A. *et al.* Dppa2 and Dppa4 directly regulate the Dux driven zygotic transcriptional programme. *bioRxiv*, 431890 (2018).
 36. Campbell, A.E. *et al.* NuRD and CAF-1-mediated silencing of the D4Z4 array is modulated by DUX4-induced MBD3L proteins. *eLife* **7**, e31023 (2018).
 37. Percharde, M. *et al.* A LINE1-Nucleolin Partnership Regulates Early Development and ESC Identity. *Cell* **174**, 391-405 e319 (2018).
 38. Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J. & Smyth, G.K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139-140 (2010).

Figure legends

Figure 1. RNA-seq indicates a step-wise pattern of transcriptome reprogramming from ESC

to 2C-like cell transition. a, Schematic representation of the constructs in the reporter cell line.

Tdtomato is under the MERVL promoter control. *synDux* refers to codon optimized exogenous

Dux. PBS: primer binding site. LTR: long terminal repeats. **b**, Diagrammatic representation of the

different cell populations and the FACS threshold for cell isolation. **c**, Scatter plot comparing

gene/repeats expression profiles between D0 2C⁻ (ESCs) and D1 2C⁺ (2C-like cells) population

(n=32,831). Criteria for gene changes is FC>2 and FDR < 0.001. FDR were estimated using the

Benjamini-Hochberg method on the two-sided quasi-likelihood F-test p-values calculated using

the edgeR package³⁸. **d**, Venn diagram between Dux bound genes in ESCs and genes

upregulated in D1 2C⁺ cells. **e**, Scatter plot comparing gene expression profile between

spontaneous 2C-like cells and Dux-induced 2C-like cells (Pearson correlation, r=0.91, n=27,221).

f, Heatmap showing the relative expression level of Group1 and Group 2 genes in replicates (n=2

biologically independent samples) of D0 2C⁻, D1 2C⁻, and D1 2C⁺ cell population (left) and the

$-\log_{10}(\text{p-value})$ of the GO terms enriched in each category of genes (right, right-tailed Fisher-exact

test). The number of genes in each category is indicated at the top of each GO enrichment plot

(right). **b-f** were summarized from two independent replicates of RNA-seq experiments.

Figure 2. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals a transcriptional roadmap of ESC to 2C-like cell

transition. a, Workflow of single-cell RNA-seq. 738, 456, 568 and 871 cells at 0h, 12h, 24h and

36h of Dux induction were collected for analysis. **b**, Umap projection of cells sequenced Drop-

seq. Cluster 1 (1,780 cells), Cluster 2 (512 cells), Cluster 3 (341 cells). **c**, Violin plot showing the

\log_2 expression of representative genes in each cluster (n=1,780 cells, 512 cells and 341 cells

respectively for clusters 1, 2, and 3). **d**, Heatmap showing the normalized expression of marker

genes in each cluster. **e**, Hierarchical clustering (complete-linkage) and PCA analysis of the

relationship between the single-cell clusters (n=3) and the FACS isolated cell populations (n=6 biologically independent samples) based on the transcriptional profiles of commonly expressed genes/repeats in all samples (n=18,373 genes). R1, replicate 1; R2, replicate 2. **f**, Bar plot showing the proportion of the different cell clusters at different time point of Dux induction. At 0h, more than 95% cells are in a strictly ESC-state; and upon *Dux* induction, an increased proportion of cells transit into intermediate or 2C-like state to reach a steady cell state equilibrium in about 24 hours as further induction of Dux did not result in further increase in the percentage of intermediate or 2C-like cell population. **g**, Scatter plots showing cells along the projected pseudo-time (top-panel) and *Zscan4d* expression following the dynamics of the ESC to 2C-like cell transition. **h**, Top: Box plot showing the expression of 2C⁺-downregulated pluripotent genes (n=135 genes), 2C⁺-upregulated 2-cell-embryo-specific genes (n=67 genes) and activated repeats (n=501 repeats) in each cell cluster. The black central line is the median, box limits indicate the upper and lower quartiles, whiskers indicate the 1.5 interquartile range, dots represent outliers. P-values (shown as *p*) are calculated by two-tailed Mann-Whitney U-test and effect-sizes (shown as *r*) are calculated as Z/\sqrt{N} where *Z* is the z-value of the p-value test and *N* is the number of samples. Bottom: Violin plot showing the expression of representative genes and repeats in each cell cluster (n=1,780, 512, and 341 cells respectively for clusters 1, 2, and 3). **c**, **h**, Violin plots shown the kernel density estimation of the distribution of the gene expression in each cluster. The width of the plot represents the proportion of data with the corresponding expression value. Statistical source data can be found in Supplementary Table 10.

Figure 3, CRISPR-Cas9 screen identified regulators mediating ESC to 2C-like cell transition.

a, Schematic of CRISPR-Cas9 screen. Two biologically independent screens were performed. **b**, The sgRNA count enrichment from the first screen replicate. Notably, several known negative regulators, such as *Daxx*, *Max*, and *Mga*, were also identified in the screen, supporting the validity of our screen. Green dots indicate inhibitors identified by this screen, orange dots indicate positive

regulators identified by this screen, and blue dots indicate known regulators. FC, fold change. **c**, Interaction network of top candidates identified from the screen. **d**, Fold change of 2C-like cell relative to sgGFP control after one day *Dux* induction. The x in sgX refers to the gene that sgRNA targets to. Shown are mean \pm SD, n=3 biologically independent samples. Experiment was repeated independently twice with similar results. The source data can be found in Supplementary Table 10.

Figure 4, Myc impedes the repression of 2C⁺-downregulated genes at the early stage of ESC to 2C-like cell transition.

a, Percentage of 2C-like cells after one day *Dux* induction of the indicated manipulation in three independent ESC clones and representative FACS from clone 8. Shown are mean \pm SD, n=3 biologically independent samples. **b**, Relative expression (qRT-PCR) of endogenous and exogenous *Dux* normalized to GAPDH after one day induction. Shown are mean \pm SD, n=3 biologically independent samples. **c**, Venn diagram of Myc-bound genes in ESCs overlapped with 2C⁺-upregulated and -downregulated genes, respectively. **d**, Expression level of Myc-bound 2C⁺-downregulated genes (n= 1,059) and 2C⁺-upregulated genes (n=194). D0 refers no *Dux* induction and D1 refers one day *Dux* induction. **e**, Pie chart showing the relative percentage of Group1 and Group2 genes in Myc-bound 2C⁺-downregulated genes. **f**, Box plot showing the log₂ expression in each cell cluster of Myc-bound 2C⁺-downregulated genes detected in single-cell data (n=408). **g**, Fold change of 2C-like cell population after one day *Dux* induction in sgMyc relative to sgGFP control. Shown are mean \pm SD, n=3 biologically independent samples. **a, b, g**, P-values (indicated as numbers in the graphs) are calculated by unpaired *t*-test, two-tailed, two-sample unequal variance. Experiments were repeated independently twice with similar results. **d, f**, The black central line is the median, box limits indicate the upper and lower quartiles, whiskers indicate the 1.5 interquartile range, dots represent outliers. P-values (shown as *p*) are calculated by two-tailed Mann-Whitney U-test and effect-sizes (shown as *r*) are calculated as Z/\sqrt{N} where *Z* is the z-value of the p-value test and *N* is the number of samples. **d-f** were based

from two independent replicates of RNA-seq experiments. Statistical source data can be found in Supplementary Table 10.

Figure 5, Dnmt1 impedes activation of 2C⁺-upregulated genes during late stage of ESC to 2C-like cell transition. **a**, Percentage of 2C-like cells after one day *Dux* induction of the indicated manipulation in three independent ESC clones and representative FACS from clone 8. Shown are mean \pm SD, n=3 biologically independent samples. **b**, Relative expression (qRT-PCR) of endogenous and exogenous *Dux* normalized to GAPDH after one day induction. Shown are mean \pm SD, n=3 biologically independent samples. **c**, Promoter (TSS \pm 1kb) methylation of 2C⁺ upregulated genes (n=751) and downregulated genes (n=1,170) in sgDnmt1 and sgGFP treated cells measured by RRBS. **d**, Expression level of 2C⁺-upregulated (n=2,285) and 2C⁺-downregulated genes (n=2,724). D0 refers no *Dux* induction and D1 refers one day *Dux* induction. **e**, Venn diagram showing overlaps of Dnmt1-repressed genes/repeats and 2C⁺-upregulated genes/repeats (372 out of 397, 96%). Dnmt1-repressed gene/repeats (283 genes and 114 repeats) are defined as elements that are further activated in sgDnmt1 cells compared to sgGFP cells upon *Dux* induction (FC>2 and p-value < 0.001). **f**, Pie chart showing the relative percentage of Group1 and Group2 genes in Dnmt1-repressed genes/repeats. **g**, Box plot showing the log₂ expression in each cell cluster of Dnmt1-repressed genes/repeats detected in single-cell data (n=120). **h**, Fold change of 2C-like cells population after one day *Dux* induction in sgDnmt1 relative to sgGFP control. Shown are mean \pm SD, n=3 biologically independent samples. **a, b, h**, P-values (indicated as numbers in the graphs) are calculated by unpaired *t*-test, two-tailed, two-sample unequal variance. Experiments were repeated independently twice with similar results. **c, d, g**, The black central line is the median, boxes limits indicate the upper and lower quartiles, whiskers indicate the 1.5 interquartile range, dots represent outliers. P-values (shown as *p*) are calculated by two-tailed Mann-Whitney U-test and effect-sizes (shown as *r*) are calculated as Z/\sqrt{N} where *Z* is the z-value of the p-value test and *N* is the number of samples. **c-g** were based

Fu et al.

on two independent replicates of RNA-seq experiments. Statistical source data can be found in Supplementary Table 10.

Figure 6, Dnmt1 and Myc may impede the two-step transcriptional reprogramming of ESC to 2C-like cell transition. **a**, Percentage of 2C-like cell population after one day of Dux induction alone or combined sgMyc and sgDnme1 relative to sgGFP control. Shown are mean \pm SD, n=3 biologically independent samples. Representative FACS results are shown. P-values (indicated as numbers in the graphs) are calculated by unpaired t-test, two-tailed, two-sample unequal variance. Experiment was repeated independently twice with similar results. **b**, model showing that Dnmt1 and Myc impede the transcriptional reprogramming of 2C-like transition at different stages. Statistical source data can be found in Supplementary Table 10.

METHODS

ES cell culture and establishment of cell lines with inducible Dux expression

The ES-E14 cells were cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated plates with standard Lif/serum medium containing 15% FBS (Sigma, Cat. #F6178), 1000 U/ml mouse leukemia inhibitory factor (Millipore, Cat. #ESG1107), 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids (Gibco, Cat. # 11140), 0.055 mM β -mercaptoethanol (Gibco, Cat. # 21985023), 2 mM GlutaMAX (Gibco, Cat. # 35050), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco, Cat. # 11360), and penicillin/streptomycin (100 U/ml) (Gibco, Cat. #15140). For culture of ES cell lines, the medium was changed daily, and cells were routinely passaged every other day. The transcriptome of our mESCs is highly similar to that of a published ES-E14 dataset (Pearson correlation, $r=0.92$)³⁹. The E14 cell line was kindly provided by the laboratory of Beverly Koller. The MERV-L-LTR-tdTomato reporter constructs were kindly provided by the laboratory of Samuel L. Pfaff⁴ and were linearized and transfected into E14 cells by electroporation. Colonies containing tdTomato positive cells were then picked and expanded. Dux sequence was codon-optimized, synthesized by IDT and inserted into pCW57-MCS1-P2A-MCS2 (Neo) (Addgene 89180). ESC with 2C::tdTomato reporter were infected with plasmid expressing Dux and selected with neomycin for one week. Single clones were picked for further experiment. To ensure that Dux-induced 2C-like cells resemble the spontaneous 2C-like cells and to avoid potential side-effects of Dux overexpression, we choose clone 8 for bulk RNA-seq as the Dux induction level in this clone is comparable to the Dux level in spontaneous 2C-like cells (~ 100 fold, Supplementary Fig. 1c)¹⁶. The sequence of codon optimized Dux was included in Supplementary table 8.

FACS

Flow cytometry analysis was performed using the BD FACSCanto II, and cell sorting was performed on the BD FACSAria II cell sorter. Data and image were analyzed and generated using FlowJo software. The following antibodies were used in FACS: Myc (1:50, Proteintech, 10828-1-AP), Dnmt1 (1:50, Cell signaling, 5032T, D63A6), Rex1 (1:200, Novus, NBP2-37357, 5E11A6),

Fu et al.

Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 (1:250, Invitrogen, A-21206), Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 (1:250, Invitrogen, A-21202)

RNA isolation, qPCR, and Bulk RNA-seq

Cellular RNA was harvested using Qiagen Allprep RNA/DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Cat 80204). cDNA was generated using SuperScrip III First-Strand Synthesis System (Thermofisher, Cat 18080051) and qRT-PCR performed using the Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermofisher, Cat 4385612). Relative quantification was performed using the comparative CT method normalized to GAPDH. The bulk RNA-seq library was prepared using NEBNext® Ultra™ Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, Cat E7420S).

CRISPR-Cas9 knockdown and genome-wide CRISPR screen

The gene knockdown by CRISPR-Cas9 was performed as described before⁴⁰. The sgRNA sequences were included in Supplementary table 8.

Mouse CRISPR-Cas9 library based on lentiCRISPRv2 backbone was a gift from David Root and John Doench (Addgene #73633), containing 78637 gRNAs targeting 19674 genes. Plasmid DNA library was amplified according to recommended protocol (<http://www.addgene.org/pooled-library/broadgpp-mouse-knockout-brie/>). Lentivirus was produced using the psPAX2-PMD2.G system in 293T cells and tittered. To construct ESC library, a total of ~40 million ESCs with MERVL reporter and Dux transgene were transduced with lentivirus for 48 hours in the presence of 4 ug/mL polybrene to reach an infection efficiency of ~15%. After 2-day infection, cells were cultured in medium containing 1 ug/mL puromycin for another 8 days to select for infected cells. For the screen, ~30 million puromycin-selected cells were treated by 2 ug/mL doxycycline for one day to induce Dux expression and 2C-like transition in the culture. Around 1 million 2C-positive cells and 10 million 2C-negative cells were then collected through FACS based on tdTomato

Fu et al.

reporter expression. Genomic DNA of 2C-positive and negative cells were extracted through Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Cat. No. 69504). gRNA sequences were then amplified using P5 primer (AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTTTGTGGAAAGGACGAAACACCG) and P7 primer (5'CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATNNNNNNNNGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTCCGATCTCCAATTCCCCTCCTTTCAAGACCT; NNNNNNNN refers to 8bp index) through KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Cat. No. KK2602). For each sample, 4 parallel PCR reactions were set up, and mixed products from 4 reactions were then purified through AMPure XP reagents (1.0x) and sequenced by an Illumina HiSeq 2500 Sequencer. The designed CRISPR/Cas9 library expressed 79,632 gRNAs targeting 19,674 genes. Two screen replicates (independent Dux induction and FACS isolation) were performed. The sequencing results were analyzed by MAGeCK package²⁴, which took into consideration of the magnitude of enrichment/depletion and the consistency of multiple sgRNAs targeting the same gene, to rank positive/negative regulators.

Drop-seq

Drop-seq was performed as described⁴¹. ESCs with MERVL reporter and synDux were induced with doxycycline for designated time and harvested for Drop-seq.

Genomic annotation files preparation

The gtf file corresponding to the mm10 Ensemble GRCm38.85 transcriptome was download from the Ensemble database. Sequences of the synthetic-Dux and TdTomatato were added to the mm10 genomic annotation file. The gtf files were converted to refFlat format using the UCSC gtfToGenePred tool, then, converted the refFlat file to a format compatible with Drop-seq tool using a custom script.

Repeats pseudo-genome preparation

Fu et al.

As repeat elements tend to have multiple highly similar copies along the genome, they are relatively complex to accurately align and estimate their expression. Hence, we create a repeats pseudo-genome. We use a slightly modified version of the RepEnrich⁴² software. Briefly, for each repetitive element subfamily a pseudo-chromosome is created by concatenating all genomic instances of that subfamily along with their flanking genomic 15 bp sequences and a 200 bp spacer sequence (a sequence of Ns). The pseudo-genome was then indexed using STAR⁴³ and the corresponding gtf and refFlat files were created using custom scripts and by considering each pseudo-chromosome as one gene.

Sequencing alignment for coding genes

Raw reads were first trimmed using Trimmomatic (v.0.36). Illumina sequence adaptors were removed, the leading and tailing low-quality base-pairs (less than 3) were trimmed, and a 4-bp sliding window was used to scan the reads and trim when the window mean quality dropped below 15. Only reads having at least 50-bp were kept. The resulting reads were mapped to the mm10 genome using STAR⁴³ (v.2.5.2b) with the following parameters: `--outSAMtype BAM SortedByCoordinate --outSAMunmapped Within --outFilterType BySJout --outSAMattributes NH HI AS NM MD --outFilterMultimapNmax 20 --outFilterMismatchNmax 999 --quantMode TranscriptomeSAM GeneCounts`. The generated gene expression count files generated by STAR were then used for estimating gene expression.

Sequencing alignment for repeats

Multi-mapped reads and reads mapping to intronic or intergenic regions were extracted and then mapped to the repeats pseudo-genome. First, the TagReadWithGeneExon command of the dropseq tools⁴⁴ was used to tag the reads into utr, coding, intergenic and intronic reads using the bam tag "XF". Multi-mapped reads, intergenic and intronic reads were extracted and mapped to

Fu et al.

the repeats pseudo-genome using STAR. The STAR read counts were used as an estimate of repeats expression.

Bulk RNA-seq normalization

For each sample, the genes and repeats expression matrices were merged together and then the “Trimmed Mean of M-values” normalization (TMM) method⁴⁵ from the R/Bioconductor package edgeR package was used to calculate the normalized expression^{38, 46}.

Differential gene expression analysis of bulk RNA-seq data

The R/Bioconductor edgeR package^{38, 46} was used to detect the differentially expressed genes between the different samples using the generalized linear model method (GLM)-based method. Genes showing more than two-fold expression change and an FDR < 0.0001 were considered as differentially expressed.

Functional enrichment analysis

The functional enrichment analysis was performed by using IPA (QIAGEN Inc., <https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuitypathway-analysis>)⁴⁷. The associated GO and pathway enrichment plots were generated using the ggplot2 package (v3.1.0).

Drop-seq expression matrices generation and pre-processing

For gene expression quantification in Drop-seq, the raw Drop-seq data were processed using dropseq tools⁴⁴. Reads were mapped against the mm10 genome (GRCm38) and the Ensemble GRCm38.85 transcriptome was used for gene annotation. Initially, the gene expression of the top 2,000 abundant cell barcodes was generated (DigitalExpression command of dropseq tools with the option NUM_CORE_BARCODES=2000). To estimate the repeats expression, the bam tag

Fu et al.

“XF” added by the dropseq tools’ TagReadWithGeneExon was used to extract non-mapped reads and reads mapping to intronic and intergenic. The extracted reads were mapped to the repeats pseudo-genome using dropseq tools. The expression matrix of the top 2,000 enriched cell barcodes were generated. For each time point, the expression matrix of the cell barcode detected in both the reads and genes were generated. Seurat R package (v2.3.0)⁴⁸ was then used to load and pool all the dataset together. We filtered out cells with <800 detected transcripts and cells in which the mitochondrial transcriptome occupies >10% of the total transcripts. Genes expressed in less than 3 cells were excluded.

Drop-seq normalization

Due to the sparsity of the Drop-seq data and the contribution of Dux-induced genes to most of the reads, a normal read depth normalization will lead to the shrinkage of the expression of many genes. Hence, we used the deconvolution-based normalization method available in the R/Bioconductor scran package⁴⁹. Briefly, cells are ordered by their library size and segregated into pools using a sliding window ranging from 20 to 100. The sum of expression in each pool is then normalized to the average expression of all cells. The pool-based size factors are estimated and deconvolved to their cell-based counterparts.

Drop-seq data clustering and marker gene detection

The Seurat R package⁴⁸ was used for clustering analysis. Briefly, 309 variable genes showing a dispersion (variance/mean) of at least two standard deviation from the expect dispersion were selected (FindVariableGenes function of Seurat R package). The top 30 principal components (PCs) were then calculated using the variable genes. The significant PCs were selected using the Jackstraw method available in the Seurat R package and their coordinates were used for clustering (FindClusters function in Seurat package with resolution = 0.4). The marker genes were then detected by comparing each cluster to all the others using a likelihood ratio (McDavid et al.,

Fu et al.

2013) using the FindAllMarkers function of the Seurat R package). Genes showing at least 1.5 fold enrichment and expressed at least in 60% of the cells in target cluster and <20% of the other cells were selected as marker genes. To low-dimensional projection representation of the data was done using Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP)⁵⁰ implemented in the uwot R package (<https://github.com/jlmelville/uwot>) using the 11 most significant PCs as input.

Pseudo-time construction

The Monocle package (v2.10.0)⁵¹ was used to generate the pseudo-time. Briefly, The normalized expression data was used to create a Monocle object with the “expressionFamily” parameters set to “gaussianff”. Next, variable genes detected by Seurat were used to define the cells progress by calling the function “setOrderingFilter”. Then, the data dimensionality was reduced using Monocle’s DDRTree method using the function “reduceDimension”. Finally, the pseudotime was constructed using the “orderCells” method.

Clustering of Drop-seq clusters and RNA-seq samples

The mean expression of the 309 variable genes/repeats identified from Drop-seq was used to compare the mean expression profile of the clusters identified in Drop-seq to the expression profile of bulk RNA-seq samples. As the expression profiles were generated using two different technologies, the technical batch effect had to be removed. Therefore, we used the “ComBat” function from the R/Bioconductor sva package⁵² to regress-out the technical effect. The first two principle components of the corrected expression were calculated using the “prcomp” R function then a complete-linkage hierarchical clustering was performed.

RRBS and data analysis

DNA (1 ng) with 0.5% nonmethylated λ DNA spike-in was digested by MspI for 3.5 hours. DNA was then end-repaired, dA-tailed, and ligated with methylated adaptors. Bisulfite conversion was

Fu et al.

carried out using an EpiTect fast bisulfite conversion kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Bisulfite-converted DNA was then amplified with five PCR cycles to obtain the final library. The RRBS libraries were subjected to pair-end (2 × 110 bp) sequencing on a HiSeq 2500 (Illumina). Raw reads were first trimmed using TrimGalor with parameters "*--three_prime_clip_R1 2 --length 35*" then mapped the mm10 genome using Bismark and bowtie2. Reads mapping to the positive and negative strand were extracted separately using samtools then the methylation levels were estimated using bismark_methylation_extractor CpG sites overlapping with known SNPs were removed and sites with at least 5x coverage were used for the down-stream analysis. Promoters were defined as TSS ± 1kb and only promoters harboring at least 3CpG sites were considered.

Western-blotting

Protein was purified using M-PER Mammalian Protein Extraction Reagent (Thermo Scientific, Cat 78501) with protease inhibitor (Roche, Cat 4693159001). Western blotting was carried out with 4-12% Bis-Tris gradient gel (Invitrogen, NP0322BOX) with the following antibodies: Myc (1:1000, Proteintech, 10828-1-AP), Dnmt1 (1:1000, Cell signaling, 5032T, D63A6), Gapdh (1:10000, Ambion, AM4300, 6C5), Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, HRP (1:10000, Invitrogen, 31430) Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, HRP, (1:10000, Invitrogen, 31460)

ESC and spontaneous 2C-like methylation data analysis

We used the publicly available ESC and 2C-like (MuERVL+, Zscan4+) PBAT methylation data (Eckersley-Maslin et al., 2016) available under GEO accession numbers (GSM1966777, GSM1966778, GSM1966779, GSM1966780, GSM1966781, GSM1966782). We directly used the mm10 processed data deposited by the authors. Briefly, the stranded CpG methylation profile was first converted into an in stranded profile by combining the positive and negative signal,

Fu et al.

methylation was then estimated as the number of detected Cs compare to the total coverage. Only promoters harboring at least 3CpG sites were considered.

Dux and Myc ChIP-seq data analysis

Dux ChIP-seq data was downloaded from a previous publication¹⁶ under GEO accession number GSE95517. Myc ChIP-seq dataset was from²⁸ with GEO accession number GSM1171648. Raw reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014) then mapped to the mm10 genome using Bowtie2 (Langmead et al., 2009) (v2.2.9). Multi-mapped and unmapped and low-quality reads were removed using samtools (Li et al., 2009) (v1.3.1) and PCR duplicates were removed using the MarkDuplicates command from Picard tools (v2.8.0).

Statistics and Reproducibility

Statistical significance was determined using Student's t-test (two-tailed) or non-parametric Mann–Whitney U-test for datasets with non-normal distribution, as indicated in the corresponding Figure legends. For the t-test, Welch's correction was used for unequal variance. Boxes in all box plots extend from the 25th to 75th percentiles, with a line at the median. Whiskers show minimum and maximum values. Statistical tests were performed using Prism7 (GraphPad Software) or R. All sequencing experiments presented in the manuscript were performed in two biological replicates and the quality information is included in Supplementary Table 9. All other experiments were performed in at least three biological replicates and were repeated at least twice independently with similar results.

Data Availability

RNA-seq, Drop-seq, RRBS, and CRISPR screen related data, including the sgRNA read counts, that support the findings of this study have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession code GSE121459. Previously published sequencing data that were re-

analyzed here are available in the GEO under accession code GSE85766 (Promoter methylation in ESCs and 2C-like cells), GSE95517 (Dux ChIP-seq), GSM1171648 (Myc ChIP-seq), and the samples GSM1966767, GSM1966768 and GSM1966769 (Zscan⁺ and MuERVL⁺ Spontaneous 2C-like cell transcriptome)^{16, 18, 28}. Source data for all figures has been provided as Supplementary Table 10.

Code Availability

All the codes used in this study are available upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

39. Consortium, E.P. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature* 489, 57-74 (2012).
40. Shalem, O. et al. Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screening in human cells. *Science* 343, 84-87 (2014).
41. Chen, R., Wu, X., Jiang, L. & Zhang, Y. Single-Cell RNA-Seq Reveals Hypothalamic Cell Diversity. *Cell Rep* 18, 3227-3241 (2017).
42. Criscione, S.W., Zhang, Y., Thompson, W., Sedivy, J.M. & Neretti, N. Transcriptional landscape of repetitive elements in normal and cancer human cells. *BMC Genomics* 15, 583 (2014).
43. Dobin, A. et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29, 15-21 (2013).
44. Macosko, Evan Z. et al. Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell* 161, 1202-1214 (2015).
45. Robinson, M.D. & Oshlack, A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol* 11, R25 (2010).
46. McCarthy, D.J., Chen, Y. & Smyth, G.K. Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40, 4288-4297 (2012).
47. Kramer, A., Green, J., Pollard, J., Jr. & Tugendreich, S. Causal analysis approaches in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. *Bioinformatics* 30, 523-530 (2014).
48. Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nature Biotechnology* 36, 411 (2018).
49. Lun, A.T., Bach, K. & Marioni, J.C. Pooling across cells to normalize single-cell RNA sequencing data with many zero counts. *Genome Biol* 17, 75 (2016).
50. McInnes, L. & Healy, J. Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03426* (2018).
51. Trapnell, C. et al. The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 32, 381-386 (2014).
52. Leek, J.T., Johnson, W.E., Parker, H.S., Jaffe, A.E. & Storey, J.D. The sva package for removing batch effects and other unwanted variation in high-throughput experiments. *Bioinformatics* 28, 882-883 (2012).