To go on would be to labor the point unduly. It remains a tenable proposition that Aristophon’s political activity in the 390s, 380s, and 370s was less intense than we know it to have been both earlier and, especially, later. But it is equally reasonable to continue to envisage some degree of continuity—which may one day be better attested—between the two well-documented phases of his career.3
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35. My friend Mogens Herman Hansen was kind enough to criticize an early draft of this article. I have ventured to disagree with his specific observations at times, but I can claim his agreement with the general thrust of my argument.

PROTEUS THE SEALHERD (CALLIM. SH FRAG. 254. 6)

Early in the Victoria Berenices Callimachus announces, in characteristically periphrastic manner, that word of his queen’s success has just arrived in Egypt (SH frag. 254. 5–6):

eἰς ’Ελενη[ς νησίδα] καὶ εἰς Παλληνέα μά[ντιν,]
ποιμένα [φωκάων], χρύσεων ἕλθεν ἐπος.

That is, the report has come to the island of Pharos, and to Proteus. H. Lloyd-Jones supports his supplement, φωκάων, by referring to Homer Odyssey 4. 411–13, where the nymph Eidothea informs Menelaus that he will find Proteus among his seals like a shepherd among his sheep, νομεῦς Ὡς πότεσι μῆλον (413). Although this is not quite as bold as the outright designation ποιμένα φωκάων, the conjecture is nevertheless unassailable, for at Georgics 4. 395, in a passage closely modeled on the entire sequence from Odyssey 4, and shortly before he adapts and expands the same Homeric simile (433 uelut stabuli custos . . .), Vergil has Cyrene (the modified Eidothea) describe Proteus’ activities: pascit . . . phocas. In other words he is a pastor phocarum. That Vergil is recalling Callimachus seems beyond doubt, since his lines resemble those of Callimachus and differ from the Homeric archetype in two other ways: he twice (387, 392) calls him uates (cf. μάντιν, frag. 254. 5);¹ and he associates him with Pallene (390–91 patriamque reuisit / Pallenen).²

Vergilius ecce iterum conflat.
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1. The attribution is not made by Homer; indeed, in stressing the prophetic qualities of Proteus at G. 4. 392–93 “nouit namque omnia uates, / quae sint, quae fuerint, quae mox ventura trahantur,” Vergil leaves his Odysseyean model and translates the description of Chalcas, the chief Homeric seer, at II. 1. 70 ὅς ἥδη τὰ τ᾽ ἔδωκεν πρὸ τ᾽ ἔδωκεν.²

2. As only Lycothron (Alex. 126–27) and, as we can now see, Callimachus had done before Vergil, I suspect Lycothron brought the variant with him when he moved from Chalcis to Alexandria; Vergil’s claim that Proteus is visiting his fatherland in Chalicid Pallene (contradicting Lycothron’s claim that the fatherland is Egypt) may provide a vestige of some scholarly dueling between the two Alexandrians, with Vergil naturally supporting Callimachus.