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Abstract

The pineal gland produces the hormone melatonin and its volume may influence melatonin levels. We describe an innovative method for estimating pineal volume in humans and present the association of pineal parenchyma volume with levels of the primary melatonin metabolite, 6-sulfatoxymelatonin. We selected a random sample of 122 older Icelandic men nested within the AGES-Reykjavik cohort and measured their total pineal volume, parenchyma volume, and the extent of calcification and cysts. For volume estimations we used manual segmentation of MR images in the axial plane with simultaneous side-by-side view of the sagittal and coronal plane. We used multivariable adjusted linear regression models to estimate the association of pineal parenchyma volume and baseline characteristics, including 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels. We used logistic regression to test for differences in first morning urinary 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels among men with or without cystic or calcified glands. The pineal glands varied in volume, shape and composition. Cysts were present in 59% of the glands and calcifications in 21%. The mean total pineal volume measured 207 mm$^3$ (range 65–536 mm$^3$) and parenchyma volume 178 mm$^3$
In multivariable-adjusted models pineal parenchyma volume was positively correlated with 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels ($\beta=0.52, p<0.001$). 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels did not differ significantly by presence of cysts or calcification. By using an innovative method for pineal assessment we found pineal parenchyma volume to be positively correlated with 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels, in line with other recent studies.

**Introduction**

The pineal gland secretes the hormone melatonin in response to signaling from the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN), which controls the release and generates the circadian rhythm of melatonin. Melatonin is normally secreted during darkness in a 24-h pattern, peaking in the middle of the night under natural light-dark conditions (Wright & Lack, 2001). The volume of the pineal gland is highly variable with up to a 20-fold difference between individuals (Hasegawa et al., 1987; Schmidt et al., 1995). Pineal volume may reflect melatonin production since approximately 80% of the mammalian gland is composed of pinealocytes that secrete melatonin (Chemineau et al., 2002) (Reiter, 1981). Parallel to the variation reported in pineal volume, a considerable range across individuals has been seen in the amount of melatonin secretion (Arendt, 2006; Bergiannaki et al., 1995).

The pineal volume is determined at an early age and melatonin secretion appears to decrease with age (Kunz et al., 1999; Waldhauser et al., 1988; Wetterberg et al., 1999). In the short-term, melatonin levels can be modified by various exposures among which inhibition of its secretion by light has the strongest effect (Gooley et al., 2011; Lockley et al., 1998). Other factors that can inhibit its secretion are benzodiazepines (McIntyre et al., 1988), beta-blockers (Cowen et al., 1985; Rommel & Demisch, 1994), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (Murphy et al., 1994; Surrall et al., 1987), and alcohol consumption (Cocco et al., 2005; Kuhlwein et al., 2003; Rupp et al., 2007). On the contrary, antidepressants (SSRIs) can elevate melatonin levels (Skene et al., 1994) and other factors that may affect the amplitude of the rhythm include body mass index (BMI), sleep, relative daytime background illumination (Mishima et al., 2001) and menstrual phase (Parry et al., 2010).

To our knowledge, none of the methods for pineal volume measurements described so far have measured each composition of the gland separately: parenchyma, cyst and calcification. Here we describe a pilot study of a volumetric assessment of the pineal gland using Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of 122 men and address association of baseline characteristics with pineal parenchyma volume, secretion capacity of the gland and presence of cysts or calcification. As a proof of concept, we examined the cross-sectional association between pineal parenchyma volume with morning urinary void 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels, the primary metabolite of melatonin, under the hypothesis that men with larger parenchymal volume have higher 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels (Arendt, 1995).
Methods

Study participants

Participants were sampled from men enrolled in a prior study of melatonin and prostate cancer risk (Sigurdardottir et al., 2014) among participants in the AGES-Reykjavik cohort (Harris et al., 2007; Saczynski et al., 2009). Cohort members (2337 men and 3145 women) attended a detailed 3-day clinical assessment between September 2002 and February 2006, including completion of self-report questionnaires, biospecimens collection (including a first morning void urine sample), and MRI. MRI of the brain was completed for 2,020 men of whom 1,770 fulfilled eligibility criteria, which included the following: providing informed consent; providing complete responses to questions about sleep; having a recorded time of urine sample delivery to the lab; and having first morning urine void 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels measured at study entry. We randomly selected a pilot sample of 150 men for analysis. Because cancer patients may have altered melatonin secretion (Bartsch & Bartsch, 1999; Sigurdardottir et al., 2014) we excluded 27 individuals who had been diagnosed previously with any cancer at time of MRI assessment. In addition, we excluded one subject with extremely large pineal gland volume (670 mm³). Therefore, 122 men remained in our pilot analysis.

MR brain image acquisition

The MRI acquisition protocol has been described in detail elsewhere (Sigurdsson et al., 2012). Briefly, participants were offered brain MRI scans with a 1.5 T Signa Twinspeed EXCITE system (General Electric Medical System, Waukesha, WI) using a multi-channel phased array head cap coil. The structural image protocol consisted of T1-weighted three dimensional spoiled gradient echo (3D-SPGR) sequence (Time to echo, 8 ms; Time repetition, 21 ms; Flip angle, 30°; Field of view, 240 mm; matrix, 256×256). Additionally, a proton density/T2-weighted fast spin-echo sequence and a fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence were acquired. All MR images (MRI) were acquired in the axial plane.

Pineal anatomy and image analysis

The pineal gland develops as an outgrowth from the third ventricle of the brain and remains attached to its posterior wall via two habenula (Gray, 1918). Floating in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) outside the blood-brain barrier, it is located in the midline and at the base of the brain (Figure 1) (Osborn, 1994).

Visual inspection of MR images and labeling of three pineal components (parenchyma, calcification, and cyst) was done using the T1-weighted 3D SPGR images and a workstation with customized software developed in-house. The axial images were reconstructed into coronal and sagittal views. The slice thickness was 1.5 mm and in-plane pixel size 0.94 mm × 0.94 mm; thus each voxel, which represents a single sample of volume unit, was equivalent to 1.33 mm³. To obtain the pineal volume in mm³, we manually calculated the number of voxels for each pineal composition and multiplied by 1.33 mm³/voxel. To avoid labeling error since distinguishing the boundaries of the pineal and adjacent structures is
subjective, the pineal glands were labeled using the axial sections with simultaneous adjacent views of the sagittal and coronal images (Sun et al., 2008).

Each voxel was labeled by means of a consensus with one of three colors representing the composition of the pineal gland: pink for parenchyma, green for calcifications, and blue for cysts (Figure 1). The composition of the glands was estimated by a comparison of the MRI signal with CSF: lower signal being equivalent to calcification, equal signal to cysts, and higher signal to pineal parenchyma. If it was not clear whether a gland with calcification also included a cyst, we considered it to include only the calcification, and not a cyst. For transition zones between parenchyma and calcification/cyst/CSF/vein, half of the voxels were marked as parenchyma. Two reviewers - a radiographer and a physician - blinded to clinical information about the study sample, analyzed all images separately and by means of the above consensus.

**Reliability and level of agreement for the pineal measurements**

To assess the reliability and the level of agreement for our method of labeling, we randomly selected 30 subjects from the total sample that one reviewer labeled twice with at least two-week “wash-out” delay. One outlier (670 mm$^3$) was excluded; therefore, 29 measures were used and intra-class correlation was calculated. We also used the Bland-Altman (BA) method to demonstrate the agreement between the first and second reading.

The reliability and level of agreement for the total pineal and parenchyma volumes was high. The intra-class correlation was 0.91 (95% CI 0.83–0.96) for total pineal volume and 0.88 (95% CI 0.77–0.94) for parenchyma volumes. As can be seen on the BA plot, all measurements were within a 2.00 SD limit (Figure 2).

**Covariates and outcomes**

We assessed several factors that may correlate with pineal gland volume. From the questionnaire completed at study baseline, we obtained information on age; season at MRI acquisition (summer/winter); highest educational level attained (elementary school/secondary school/college/university); smoking status (never smoked/past smoker of at least 100 cigarettes or 20 cigars in lifetime/current smoker); alcohol use (g/week); current beta-blocker medication (yes/no), and; sleep problems (not falling asleep within 30 minutes/waking up during the night with difficulty falling back asleep/waking up early in the morning with difficulty falling back asleep/taking medication for sleep aid.) We combined the sleep problem questions (affirmative responses to all four sleep questions, once a week or more often) into a ‘very severe sleep problem’ construct, as addressed in our previous study (Sigurdardottir et al., 2013).

Information on height (meters), weight (kilograms), and body mass index (BMI, m/kg$^2$) was obtained from clinical examination.

We also obtained information on 6-sulfatoxymelatonin and creatinine levels that were measured from first morning void urine collected at baseline and described in our previous study (Sigurdardottir et al., 2014).
**Statistical analyses**

Basic descriptive statistics (mean, median, range) were calculated for total pineal volume and for each of the three components separately (parenchyma, cyst and calcification). To correct for hormonally inactive pineal parenchyma, cystic and calcified volumes were excluded from the main analyses (Mahlberg et al., 2009). We assessed the correlation of pineal parenchyma volume and 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels using Pearson’s correlation and created a scatterplot. Using linear regression models, we compared pineal parenchyma volume with 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels adjusting for potential confounders based on their known or suspected association with melatonin and pineal volume: age, BMI, very severe sleep disruption, smoking, alcohol, beta-blocker medication and creatinine. Further, the pineal parenchyma volume was compared with baseline characteristics of the participants and their pineal cystic and calcified volume, using multivariable-adjusted linear regression models.

Given the large variability of pineal volume and melatonin levels, we then evaluated pineal secretion capacity, calculated as 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels (ng/mL) per mm$^3$ of pineal parenchyma. We used multivariable linear regression to compare pineal secretion capacity with respect to selected characteristics.

Finally, we evaluated the association between presence of pineal cysts or calcifications with 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels and the selected baseline characteristics using logistic regression models.

Sensitivity analyses for the regression results were made by applying a cube root, square root, and logarithmic transformation of the response variable (volume) to achieve better approximation to normally distributed errors. This did not have an effect on the conclusion drawn from the results.

All analyses were conducted using SPSS Software version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., 2010, IBM Chicago; www.spss.com) and all p-values were two-sided with significance set at $p<0.05$.

**Ethical approval**

The Icelandic Ethical Review Board and the Icelandic Data Protection Authority approved the study protocol. The AGES-Reykjavik Study has been approved by the Icelandic National Bioethics Committee, which acts as the Institutional Review Board for the Icelandic Heart Association, and by the Institutional Review Board for the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, USA. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. All MR brain images were screened by a neuroradiologist for evidence of brain pathology that warrants medical intervention.

**Results**

**Pineal gland volumes, shape and composition**

The pineal glands varied substantially in volume, shape and composition. The mean total pineal volume for the 122 participants was 207 mm$^3$ and mean parenchyma volume 178
More than half of the glands included cysts (n=72, 59%) and about 20% included calcifications (n=26).

**Baseline characteristics according to pineal parenchyma volume and secretion capacity**

The mean age of the men was 75 years and mean BMI was 27 kg/m$^2$. Nine percent reported very severe sleep problems and 34% took beta-blocker drugs (Table 2).

Neither pineal volume nor secretion capacity was associated with height or weight alone (data not shown). The pineal size did not vary between times of year (summer vs. winter). Men with higher levels of attained education had lower pineal parenchyma volumes (age-adjusted $\beta=-0.18$, p-value = 0.02) and marginally significant lower secretion capacity (age-adjusted $\beta=-0.18$, p-value = 0.06) No other characteristics were statistically significantly associated with parenchyma volume, but BMI was marginally positively associated with parenchyma volume ($\beta=0.22$, p-value 0.07) (Table 2). In a multivariable adjusted model, pineal secretion capacity was inversely associated with current use of beta-blocker medication ($\beta=-0.37$, p-value 0.01) and marginally associated with very severe sleep disruption ($\beta=-0.22$, p-value 0.09). In an unadjusted model calcified volume of the pineal gland was positively associated with pineal secretion capacity ($\beta=0.28$, p-value 0.002) but not independently with pineal parenchyma or 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels (not shown). Excluding 41 men taking beta-blocker medication did not appreciably alter the association (not shown.)

**Baseline characteristics according to presence of pineal cysts or calcification**

Men with cystic pineal were younger ($\beta=-0.09$, p-value 0.02) and marginally more likely to be current smoker ($\beta=1.11$, p-value 0.06) in comparison with men without cystic pineal glands. No other traits were associated with presence of pineal cysts (not shown). Men with calcified pineal were marginally more likely to be current smoker ($\beta=0.95$, p-value 0.08) but no other traits were associated with pineal calcification. Further, we found no association between levels of 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels and presence of pineal cyst or calcification (Table 3).

**Pineal volume and 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels**

Pineal parenchyma volume and 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels were positively correlated (Figure 3) (Pearson’s r=0.33, p<0.001). After adjusting for age, BMI, very severe sleep problems and urinary creatinine, the association persisted (p<0.001, Table 2).

**Discussion**

We have described a reliable method for quantifying three pineal gland components (parenchyma, calcification, cyst) using T1-weighted 3D SPGR images and a workstation with customized software developed in-house. This method has previously been described for other areas of the brain (Sigurdsson et al., 2012).

We found mean total pineal volume (207 mm$^3$) in the upper range of previously published reports using the same scale. Rajarethinam et al. reported similar pineal volume means from
imaging patients with schizophrenia (208 mm$^3$, n=45, mean age 30 years) and healthy controls (213 mm$^3$, n=86, mean age 27 years); however, they did not describe how they traced the volume (Rajarethinam et al., 1995). In a recent study by Liebrich et al. the mean pineal volume was 256 mm$^3$ among 103 healthy male and female subjects aged 18–23 (Liebrich et al., 2014).

Other studies on pineal volumetric MRIs assessment have used the caliper method, with volume calculated as: $\frac{1}{2} \times$ length × width × height. Using this method in a recent study, Bumb et al. estimated the pineal volume by defining pineal borders manually on transversal reconstructed 3D T1-weighted images (MPRAGE sequence) (Bumb et al., 2014); the mean volume of 27 healthy subjects measured 79 mm$^3$. In this same study the mean pineal volume was found to be smaller among subjects with untreated insomnia (49 mm$^3$). In a study by Sumida et al. the average pineal volume of 57 mm$^3$ among 223 subjects remained stable in the age group 2–20 years old (Sumida et al., 1996) and Sun et al. reported a mean pineal volume of 95 mm$^3$ among 66 healthy males with mean age 24.7 years (Sun et al., 2009). Thus, the caliper method seems to result in overall smaller pineal volumes compared with our and others’ methods.

The prevalence of cysts (59%) and calcification (21%), and mean cystic volume of 43 mm$^3$ among our study participants was similar to a recent report that included much younger participants with mean age of 23 years (Liebrich et al., 2014). In contrast, another study also among young participants found a cyst prevalence of only 25% (Sun et al., 2009). The estimation of cysts may depend on the method used. In our study, the presence of cysts or calcification was not associated with melatonin production.

The differences in pineal volume measurements across studies may be due to the heterogenous structure of the pineal gland that often makes it difficult to distinguish from adjacent structures such as the internal cerebral veins and challenging to estimate parenchyma volume accurately. It is possible that the methods yielding bigger volume may include some of these structures in their reports or the methods giving smaller volumes inappropriately excluded relevant structures. Variability in the different methods used for estimating volume and measurement error cannot be excluded in comparison of the studies. An advantage of our method lies in the direct measure of each pineal volumetric unit (voxel) for each of its three composite. Other advantages of our approach include high reliability of measurers, relatively large sample size compared with previous reports and information on possible confounders.

We found a positive correlation between first morning urinary void 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels and pineal parenchyma volume ($r = 0.33$) in a pilot sample of 122 elderly men who had not been diagnosed with cancer. This finding is consistent with previous studies. Liebrich et al. found a positive correlation ($r = 0.28$) between uncalcified solid pineal volume and saliva melatonin levels collected at four time points within 24-hours in a recent study of 103 healthy individuals (Liebrich et al., 2014). Similarly, Nölte et al. found that pineal parenchyma volume correlated linearly to 24-hour melatonin measured every two hours for 24-hours ($r = 0.64$) among 15 healthy young males and that the correlation was higher for solid rather than cystic glands (Nölte et al., 2009). Further, Kunz et al. reported
that the pineal volume of 24 study participants was significantly correlated ($r = 0.49$) with 24-hour urinary 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels (Kunz et al., 1999). Also there was a positive correlation between pineal parenchyma and cystic volume; therefore, larger glands included larger cysts. Although it is more difficult to measure pineal volume than melatonin levels, it offers an interesting alternative biomarker of the circadian system.

Published reports have suggested that pineal volume varies 20-fold in weight and dimension among the general population (Hasegawa et al., 1987; Schmidt et al., 1995). We found 8-fold range of pineal volume and 10-fold with the outlier included. The evidence has been inconsistent for the age-related decline in melatonin secretion. We did not find an association between volume or secretion capacity and age. Moreover, none of the baseline characteristics, such as age, BMI, beta-blockers or sleep problems, were strongly associated with pineal parenchyma volume and secretion capacity although some were marginally associated. In a recent study, patients with insomnia had smaller pineals compared with healthy controls (Bumb et al., 2014). We found that men with very severe sleep problems had marginally lower pineal secretion capacity although they did not have lower parenchyma volume. Men currently taking beta-blockers had lower pineal secretion capacity. This finding is in line with previous reports that men on beta-blockers have up to 50% reduction of melatonin production (Cowen et al., 1985; Rommel & Demisch, 1994).

Our study is limited by the small sample size although this is among the largest pineal volume studies reported and we may have lacked adequate power to detect true associations of modest magnitude. Also, our population was limited to older Icelandic Caucasian men. Even though our method is reliable there is a possibility that the values do not represent the true values since the pineal gland has the same signal as the adjacent veins and therefore it can be challenging to tell them apart.

In summary, using an innovative method of pineal assessment the pineal glands of 122 older men measured larger in volume when compared qualitatively with the caliper method used in previous studies. Further, with this method for volume quantification, pineal parenchyma volume was directly correlated with first morning urinary void 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels. In future study, we will address this association further in a larger sample and investigate the association of pineal gland composition with prostate cancer risk.
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Figure 1.
Magnetic resonance image of the brain showing pineal gland of one study participant, without (top) and with (bottom) manual labeling. Pink is for parenchyma, blue for cyst and green for calcification: axial (left) and sagittal (right).
Figure 2.
Agreement between manual labeling of the pineal gland volume. Bland-Altman plot demonstrating the agreement between the first and second labeling. Broken red and blue lines are the mean bias and limits of agreement (2.00 standard deviation), respectively. Y-axis displays mean difference between first and second labeling and x-axis the average volume of the first and second labeling.
Figure 3.
Simple scatterplot showing correlation between concentration of first morning urinary void 6-sulfatoxymelatonin and pineal parenchyma volume among the 122 study participants. Pearsons’s r=0.33, p<0.001 (unadjusted).
Table 1

Pineal gland volumes * and secretion capacity ** of random sample of men from the AGES-Reykjavik study (n=122).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total volume</td>
<td>122 (100)</td>
<td>207 (91)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>65–536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenchymal volume</td>
<td>122 (100)</td>
<td>178 (78)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>65–503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion capacity</td>
<td>122 (100)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.01–1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of cysts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic volume</td>
<td>72 (59)</td>
<td>43 (27)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4–109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of calcification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcificated volume</td>
<td>26 (21)</td>
<td>17 (13)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2–49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Volume measurements in mm³

** Secretion capacity is 6-sulfatoxymelatonin (ng/mL) per parenchyma volume (mm³)
Table 2

Association between baseline characteristics and lifestyle factors with pineal parenchyma volume and pineal secretion capacity among 122 men in AGES-Reykjavik cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean ± SD or No. (%)</th>
<th>Pineal parenchyma volume</th>
<th>Pineal secretion capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>75 ± 5.2</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg/m2)</td>
<td>27 ± 3.7</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently smoking</td>
<td>19 (16)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (g/week)</td>
<td>26 (53)</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker medication (yes/no)</td>
<td>41 (34)</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very severe sleep problem (yes/no)</td>
<td>11 (9)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Sulfatoxymelatonin (ng/mL)</td>
<td>21 ± 16</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (μmol/mL)</td>
<td>11 ± 4.4</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***Cystic volume of the pineal (mm3)           | 43 (27)              | 0.27  | 0.04    | 0.11  | 0.22    |

***Calcified volume of the pineal (mm3)        | 17 (13)              | -0.07 | 0.48    | 0.28  | 0.002   |

* Mutually adjusted are age, BMI, very severe sleep problem, 6-sulfatoxymelatonin, creatinine, alcohol and smoking.

** Mutually adjusted are age, BMI, very severe sleep problem, beta-blocker, alcohol consumption and smoking.

*** Cystic and calcified volumes are unadjusted.
Table 3

Association between baseline characteristics and lifestyle factors with presence of pineal cysts or calcification among 122 men in AGES-Reykjavik cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean ± SD or No. (%)</th>
<th>Presence of cysts</th>
<th>Presence of calcification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>75 ± 5.2</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg/m2)</td>
<td>27 ± 3.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very severe sleep problem (yes/no)</td>
<td>11 (9)</td>
<td>−0.99</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Sulfatoxymelatonin, ng/mL</td>
<td>21 ± 16</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker medication (yes/no)</td>
<td>41 (34)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently smoking</td>
<td>19 (16)</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (g/week)</td>
<td>26 (33)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for urinary creatinine level.