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ABSTRACT: Molding the wavefront of light is a basic principle of any optical design. In conventional optical components such as lenses and waveplates, the wavefront is controlled via propagation phases in a medium much thicker than the wavelength. Metasurfaces instead typically produce the required phase changes using subwavelength-sized resonators as phase shift elements patterned across a surface. This “flat optics” approach promises miniaturization and improved performance. Here we introduce metasurfaces which use dielectric ridge waveguides (DRWs) as phase shift elements in which the required phase accumulation is achieved via propagation over a subwavelength distance. By engineering the dispersive response of DRWs, we experimentally realize high resolving power meta-gratings with broadband ($\lambda = 1.2–1.7 \ \mu m$) and efficient routing (splitting and bending) into a single diffraction order, thus overcoming the limits of blazed gratings. In addition, we demonstrate polarization beam splitting capabilities with large suppression ratios.
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Metamaterials open new opportunities in optics by overcoming the limits set by natural material properties.1,2 Phenomena such as perfect lensing,3 optical cloaking,4 and negative index of refraction5 are some examples of these novel properties. In recent years, metasurfaces have emerged and added optical functionalities with the advantage of simple fabrication compared to 3D-metamaterials.6,7 A metasurface consists of an array of subwavelength-spaced phase shift elements laid out in a pattern on a flat surface to control the wavefront of light. Based on this approach, various optical functionalities have been demonstrated in very compact and flat configurations including beam shaping,8,9 lensing,10–14 beam bending,14,15 and polarization splitting.15–20 The phase shift elements of a metasurface typically satisfy two criteria, namely, they (i) have subwavelength size and spacing and (ii) create a phase shift in the whole $2\pi$ range to achieve full control of the wavefront. These criteria are met by metallic and dielectric resonators.6,7

In this work, we experimentally demonstrate a new type of subwavelength-sized phase shifter based on dielectric ridge waveguides (DRWs) with the ability to efficiently route (split/bend) the light over a broad range of wavelengths in the near-infrared (NIR). In addition, our approach does not face issues of high absorption losses, polarization conversion, scattering efficiency and fabrication complexity, which are unavoidable for various metasurface designs.6,7,21–23

A ubiquitous functionality in free space and integrated optics is wavelength demultiplexing with applications ranging from spectroscopy to communication.24 This requires the development of blazed diffraction gratings in which the majority of transmitted or reflected light is funneled into a single order. Generally, blazed gratings are designed so that the incident beam experiences a gradual $2\pi$ phase shift over a grating period, which is achieved through either a continuous profile or a staircase approximation. The former can be implemented by triangular grooves,25 and the latter is achieved using graded-index material available by recent progress of nanofabrication techniques.26–28 Regardless of the grating configuration, chromatic dispersion of the material/elements of the blazed grating makes it very challenging to maintain high efficiency over a broad range of wavelengths.25–27,29 In other words, by operating at a wavelength away from the design wavelength, the light will be diffracted to undesired orders, thus reducing the grating efficiency. Ribot et al.28 used a combination of pillars and holes as artificial phase elements to create a relatively achromatic phase variation over the period of the grating. An absolute grating efficiency of $\sim$50% was achieved over a broad range of wavelengths around 10 $\mu m$. A grating period of $\Lambda = 140 \ \mu m$ and a center wavelength of $\lambda \approx 10 \ \mu m$ resulted in a deflection angle of $\sim 4^\circ (\theta = \sin^{-1}(\lambda/\Lambda))$. The small deflection angle deteriorates the resolving power of the grating and limits its ability to separate nearby wavelengths. However, keeping the grating blazed is challenging particularly when the grating operates at a large deflection angle. This is a long-standing obstacle, called the shadow effect, to obtain highly efficient blazed gratings.30 The shadow effect significantly degrades the
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performance of the grating when the period is in the order of a few wavelengths or less. A smaller period demands a higher phase gradient, which is harder to preserve over a broad range of wavelengths. Here, we overcome the above limits by a conceptually new design where we engineer the dispersive response of phase shifting waveguide elements.

Figure 1a shows our proposed DRW, phase shift element, made of amorphous silicon (a-Si). The DRW acts as a slab waveguide with the propagation direction along the +z. Figure 1b shows that by adjusting the DRW width, the effective index of its fundamental mode can vary anywhere from \( n_{\text{eff}} \approx 1 \) (when the light is mostly in air) to \( n_{\text{eff}} \approx n_{\text{a-Si}} \) (when the light is mostly in a-Si). If we have two DRWs side-by-side, with different widths and negligible optical coupling between the DRWs, then light traveling down the different DRWs will accumulate a phase shift \( \Delta \phi \) proportional to their length \( L \):

\[
\Delta \phi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \Delta n_{\text{eff}} L
\]

where \( \Delta n_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective index difference between the two DRWs. A phase difference of \( \Delta \phi = 2\pi \) can be obtained over a subwavelength propagation length of:

\[
L = \frac{\lambda}{\Delta n_{\text{eff}}}
\]

Figure 1c depicts the emergence of the phase difference between two waveguides of different widths. For these simulations, we consider semi-infinitely long DRWs and set perfectly matched layer (PML) at the \( z \)-boundaries, so that end-facet reflections are eliminated. This assumption helps visualize mode propagation and the appearance of the phase difference between two optical modes; and despite neglecting end-facet reflections, our model is quantitatively predictive as discussed next.

Owing to its high index-contrast, the a-Si waveguide can confine light in a subwavelength region. Figure S1a,b of Supporting Information (SI) shows the optical modes of 70 and 170 nm-wide slab waveguides at a wavelength of 1425 nm. The full width at half maxima (fwhm) of the modes’ field profiles of both DRWs are \( \sim 240 \) nm, confirming deep subwavelength (fwhm \( \approx \lambda/6 \)) confinement of the optical modes. Consequently, when the beam reaches the DRW’s end-facet, it couples out into free space uniformly in the \( xz \)-plane as shown in Figure 1d. This is expected from the multipole expansion: A radiator much smaller than a wavelength tends to emit approximately a dipole radiation pattern. It is notable that due to high mode confinement (fwhm \( \sim 240 \) nm) and large
index difference together with the short propagation distance (500 nm \(\approx \lambda/3\)) waveguide coupling is negligible (Figure S1c of SI).

While 70 and 170 nm-wide DRWs can have a distinctive phase difference (Figure 1b,c), they generate similar free space propagation patterns (see Figure S2 of SI). This is expected due to the simplicity of waveguides with nearly equal fwhm of the DRWs' optical modes. The ability to control DRWs' propagation phase (by adjusting the DRWs' width) without corresponding changes in their radiation patterns makes them ideal metasurface phase shift elements (DRWs) within an aperture. By placing two DRWs with phase difference \(\Delta \phi\) at a subwavelength distance \(D\), one can direct the beam to an angle \(\theta\) as illustrated in Figure 1e. The value of \(\theta\) depends on \(\Delta \phi\), \(\lambda\), and \(D\), and is governed by the condition of constructive interference along the direction defined by \(\theta\):

\[
\Delta \phi = \frac{2\pi D}{\lambda} \sin(\theta)
\]

(3)

Now consider two DRWs with the same length \(L = 500\) nm, and different widths (70 and 170 nm), placed at a center-to-center distance \(D = 450\) nm. These DRWs have the phase difference \(\Delta \phi = 95^\circ (0.52\pi)\) at wavelength \(\lambda = 1425\) nm. This phase difference is very close to \(\Delta \phi = 100^\circ\), that of semi-ininitely long DRWs (see Figure 1c). Based on eq 3 the beam should be redirected by the DRWs to \(\theta = 55^\circ\), which is also obtained by the finite difference time domain (FDTD) simulation shown in Figures 1f and S3 of SL. We note the importance of the DRWs' subwavelength distance (here, \(D = \lambda/3\)) to avoid multiple diffraction orders. This bypasses the fundamental limitation of conventional diffractive elements in which the presence of spurious diffraction orders is unavoidable. For instance the latter accounts for the origin of multiple real and virtual focal points in Fresnel zone plates.31

A similar approach can be adapted to split light rather than to bend it. This light-splitting is conceptually illustrated in Figure 2a where the DRW is located in the middle of an aperture in an opaque screen. The required phase difference is achieved through light propagating in the 100 nm-wide DRW (orange arrow in Figure 2a) and air adjacent to it (green arrow in Figure 2a). We choose the length of the DRW to create a \(\Delta \phi = \pi\) phase difference compared to propagation in air, based on its waveguide effective index as described above. This ensures destructive interference in the forward direction shown in Figure 2b. Figure 2c shows that a single DWR has a limited scattering cross section. For a 1.2 \(\mu m\)-wide aperture and an individual 100 nm-wide DRW the majority of the incident light is split (Figure 2c). By expanding the aperture width to 2.7 \(\mu m\),
the scattering cross section dramatically decreases and the far-field is dominated by diffraction from the aperture. Note that the large forward scattering ($\theta = 0^\circ$) implies a negligible scattering cross section of the DRW. To increase the cross section, we increase the number of DRWs to three. The far-field response of this case is also shown in Figure 2c where three 100 nm-wide DRWs increase the cross section approximately to the full aperture width and split almost 100% of the transmitted light.

We also simulated the phase shift induced by DRWs as shown in Figure 2d. The phase is recorded at a distance of 10 nm above the tip of DRWs. A phase difference (between air and DRWs) of $\sim \pi$ is achieved, which results in destructive interference at $\theta = 0^\circ$. Based on eq 3, the constructive interference should occur at an angle $\theta = 32^\circ$, which is close to
the value $\theta = 33^\circ$ predicted by far-field calculation (Figure 2c). We repeated the simulation in which the DRWs are semi-infinitely long to eliminate any Fabry–Perot effects and recorded the phase at the same place. As shown in Figure 2d, the phase distribution is very similar to that of the previous case confirming that the phase accumulation of DRWs is due to the waveguiding effect. Although the reflection at the facet is inevitable because of index-mismatching between the DRW and air, this reflection does not have a significant effect on the phase.

As shown in Figure S4 of SI, our meta-grating of period $\Lambda$ consists of unit cell of the same size comprising three DRWs. For broadband operation, the DRWs are designed to diffract normally incident light into the first order of the grating for all wavelengths. Our simulation shows that this broadband blazed grating condition can be achieved with very good approximation design of Figure 3a. The corresponding designed effective index of three isolated DRWs is shown in Figure S5 of SI. Figure 3b,c theoretically and experimentally confirms that our design is effective in achieving a highly efficient meta-grating for a broad range of wavelengths. The measured absolute efficiency of meta-grating is illustrated in Figure 3d showing high efficiency at most wavelengths and good agreement with simulations.

This meta-grating behaves quite differently for polarization perpendicular to the ridge axis as compared to parallel polarization. As shown in Figure 4a for this polarization more than 95% of light is transmitted undisturbed for wavelengths ranging from 1100 nm to 1700 nm. These results are also consistent with calculations shown in Figure S1 of SI in which the effective index of DRW for widths of interest ($W < 150$ nm) is close to one (air) for the perpendicular polarization. In other words, the meta-grating acts as a broadband polarization beam splitter (PBS). This polarization management is more efficient than that of conventional wire grid polarizers in which the undesired polarization is discarded via absorption or reflection process. Therefore, the overall efficiency of the PBS (more than 95% and 70% for perpendicular and parallel polarization, respectively) is well beyond the theoretical limits of 50% for wire grid polarizers. In addition, the meta-gratings with ultrathin and compact architectures facilitate their integration into compact systems which otherwise would not be possible with conventional bulky PBS. The extinction ratio of the PBS is measured and illustrated in Figure 4b. The high extinction ratio (more than 45 dB) and efficiency of this PBS is potentially important for various applications such as polarization resolved imaging and microscopy.

Highly efficient light bending also can be achieved by modifying the design to suppress the $-1$-order and funnel the light to the $+1$-order. Figure 5a shows the scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of the fabricated meta-grating consisting of two DRWs with 50 and 150 nm widths, $L = 500$ nm, and $D = 450$ nm (Figure 5b). The DRW meta-grating period is $\Lambda = 1700$ nm. The main aim of this design is to increase the resolving power of the meta-grating by increasing diffraction angle (Figure 5c of SI). As shown in Figure 5c, absolute efficiency of more than 80% is achieved at wavelength $\lambda = 1300$ nm with a large deflection angle $\theta = 50^\circ$ ($\sin^{-1}(1300/1700) = 50^\circ$). Moreover, highly efficient light-bending (50%) is maintained at a very large deflection angle $\theta = 65^\circ$ (wavelength $\lambda = 1550$ nm). Similar to the previous case (splitting), this meta-grating also allows the majority of the perpendicularly polarized beam to pass through undisturbed thereby behaving as a PBS. The extinction ratio of the PBS is measured and shown in Figure 5c.

In summary, we have presented a new concept for wavefront shaping using DRWs. The DRWs’ capability to control the phase via subwavelength propagation with minimal loss highlights their promise as building blocks to realize versatile optical functionalities in a compact and efficient configuration. We experimentally demonstrated meta-gratings with broadband and efficient routing (splitting and bending) into a single diffraction order and additional PBS capabilities. Note that broadband and efficient operation is not possible with either conventional blazed gratings or light bending components based on metamaterials and metasurfaces. Our approach has also the attractive features of straightforward fabrication, design flexibility, and reproducibility on large scales. When integrated with an image sensor, the proposed meta-grating could enable high efficiency spectroscopy and imaging.

![Figure 5. Meta-grating for efficient and broadband light bending. (a) False-colored side-view SEM of meta-grating. (b) Schematic diagram of meta-grating showing the dimensions of meta-grating’s elements, dielectric ridge waveguides (DRWs), and period. Meta-grating elements are two DRWs with different widths $W_L$ (left waveguide) and $W_R$ (right waveguide). DRWs have $W_L = 50$ nm and $W_R = 150$ nm, $D = 450$ nm, and $L = 500$ nm. The period of meta-grating is $\Lambda = 1700$ nm. (c) Experimental results for absolute efficiency (ratio of transmitted power, which is funneled into the $+1$-order to the input power) of the meta-grating as a function of wavelength. The measured extinction ratio of the meta-grating is also shown when used as polarization beam splitter.](image-url)
In addition, there is the obvious potential for extending the concept to more spectral bands, especially into the mid/far-infrared where a-Si maintains its low loss.

It is interesting to relate this work to the extensive research carried out by the group of Chang–Hasnain34 on subwavelength dielectric structures.35 In that work the main goal was to achieve high reflectivity broadband mirrors; this was accomplished by suppression of forward scattering via destructive interference between the two grating modes.

Methods. DRWs Fabrication. First, the substrate (fused silica) is cleaned via acetone sonication followed by an oxygen plasma. Plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) is then used to deposit 500 nm a-Si. The sample is spin-coated with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA, 950 K A2) at a speed of 6000 rpm and baked on a hot plate at 180 °C for 5 min. Next, 5 nm chromium (Cr) is evaporated on PMMA to avoid effects in the electron beam lithography (EBL) step because of poor conductivity of the glass substrate (Cr is removed right after EBL). EBL is consequently carried out by Elionix ELS-F125 at 125 kV. The exposed resist is then developed in a mixture of methyl isobutyl ketone and isopropyl alcohol (MIBK/IPA 1:3) for 60 s, dipped in IPA for 30 s, and blown dry using nitrogen. Aluminum (Al, 20 nm) is then thermally deposited. Thus, the lift-off process is accomplished by soaking the sample overnight in a solvent stripper (Remover PG from Microchem). Inductively coupled plasma-reactive ion etching (ICP-RIE) is performed to etch the DRWs.33 The Al mask is removed by soaking in developer (MF319 from Microchem). Inductively coupled plasma-reactive ion etching (ICP-RIE) is performed to etch the DRWs.33 The Al mask is removed by soaking in developer (MF319 from Shipley) for 5 min. The overall dimension of the fabricated meta-gratings is 125 μm×125 μm for splitting and 600 μm×600 μm bending case. As the incident beam size (4 mm×4 mm) used for measurement is larger than the size of meta-grating, the area around meta-grating is blocked by depositing 150 nm thick Al. The latter is done through optical lithography and lift-off process.

Measurements and Simulations. The measurement setup includes a supercontinuum laser (NKT “SuperK”) equipped with a set of acousto-optic tunable filters (NKT “Select”) with a fiber output. The fiber is connected to a fiber coupled collimator creating a collimated beam with size of 4 mm×4 mm. The collimated beam then passes through a linear polarizer, which is adjusted appropriately for each measurement. Light transmitted through the meta-grating is measured by an InGaAs photodetector (Thorlabs DET10D) mounted on a rotation stage. All measurements are normalized to the power passing through an aperture (with the same size of meta-grating) fabricated on a glass substrate.

All simulations are performed in 2D due to the large extension of the meta-gratings using the FDTD method (FDTD solution package from Lumerical Inc.). For meta-grating simulations, we apply periodic boundary conditions at the x- and PML at z-boundaries. For isolated DRWs cases (non-periodic DRWs) we assume PML conditions for both x- and z-boundaries.
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