Nernst effect in the electron-doped cuprate superconductors
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Accessibility
We calculate the normal state Nernst signal in the cuprates resulting from a reconstruction of the Fermi surface due to spin density wave order. An order parameter consistent with the reconstruction of the Fermi surface detected in electron-doped materials is shown to sharply enhance the Nernst signal close to optimal doping. Within a semiclassical treatment, the obtained magnitude and position of the enhanced Nernst signal agrees with Nernst measurements in electron-doped cuprates. Our result is mainly caused by the role of Fermi surface geometry under influence of a spin density wave gap. We discuss also possible roles of short-ranged magnetic order in the normal state Nernst effect and the Fermi surface reconstruction observed by photoemission spectroscopy.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Nernst effect has emerged as one of the key probes of the enigmatic underdoped phase of the cuprate high temperature superconductors. In the hole-doped case, observations of a strongly enhanced Nernst signal at temperatures $T$ well above the superconducting $T_c$ have been interpreted using a picture of a liquid of vortices in the superconducting order. However, there have also been suggestions that spin/charge density wave correlations of the vortex liquid are important. In particular, a model of fluctuations associated with the quantum phase transition (QPT) to the ordered stripe state at hole doping $\delta = 1/8$ has been argued to have a Nernst response qualitatively similar to the observations.

In this paper, we focus on the electron-doped cuprates, where the situation appears simpler. The only observed order (apart from superconductivity) is a spin density wave (SDW) which remains commensurate at the $(\pi, \pi)$ wavevector (in the Brillouin zone of a square lattice of unit lattice spacing). The Fermi effect, being unmeasurable small in nearly all metals, has also been found to be anomalously large near optimal doping in the normal state of electron-doped cuprates. We will show here that this large Nernst signal can be understood in a theory of Fermi surface reconstruction associated with the QPT involving onset of SDW order.

The large normal state Nernst signals found in Pr$_{2-x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_{4-\delta}$ (PCCO) upon Ce doping, and in Nd$_{2-x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_{4-\delta}$ (NCCO) upon oxygen doping have been attributed to the existence of two types of carriers, which avoid the Sondheimer cancellation of the Nernst effect and the Fermi surface reconstruction observed by photoemission spectroscopy. Our main result is that the related Nernst effect measurements on PCCO and NCCO can be explained by the emergence of hole-like carriers near optimal doping. These aspects will be quantified within a simple semiclassical Boltzmann approach.

II. MODEL

We consider electrons moving on a square lattice with dispersion

$$\varepsilon_k = -2t_1(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + 4t_2 \cos k_x \cos k_y - 2t_3(\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y)$$

and parameters $t_1 = 0.38$ eV, $t_2 = 0.32t_1$ and $t_3 = 0.5t_1$, chosen to reproduce the Fermi surface shape measured in photoemission experiments. We will focus on a carrier density corresponding to the electron-doped case, with a two-dimensional density $n = 1 + x > 1$ per unit cell. Below critical doping $x_c = 0.165$, we assume commensurate SDW order at wavevector $Q = (\pi, \pi)$. 


with scattering amplitude $\Delta^{\text{el-el}}$. Microscopically, this order can be understood as a consequence of electron-electron interactions \( \Delta^{\text{el-el}} \), which are minimally described by the Hubbard interaction

$$H_{\text{el-el}} = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q},\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}',-\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}',-\sigma} .$$  

(2)

The spin density wave instability is described by the complex order parameter

$$\Delta^{\phi} = \frac{U}{N V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q},\uparrow} \rangle = \frac{U}{N V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q},\downarrow} \rangle,$$  

(3)

which can be determined self-consistently by employing the Hartree-Fock decoupling $c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q},\sigma} \to \langle c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q},\sigma} \rangle \delta_{\mathbf{Q},\mathbf{q}}$ in Eq. (2)\( \Delta \).

In the doubled unit cell and at mean field level, this dispersion changes to

$$E_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}} + \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}\right)^2 + 4\Delta^2} \right),$$  

(4)

where now the reduced antiferromagnetic Brillouin zone has to be considered. The quasiparticles resulting from the reconstructed bands have the velocities

$$v_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} / \hbar,$$  

(5)

where we will omit an explicit band label from the velocities in the following in order to compactify our notation. Consistent with the Hartree-Fock treatment of the effective Hamiltonian, we choose a mean field dependence $\Delta(x) [eV] = 0.7 \sqrt{1 - x / 0.165}$. The gap opens rapidly on depleting the carrier concentration below $x_c = 0.165$ and the Fermi surface reconstructs in qualitative agreement with ARPES data\( \Delta \), see Fig. 1.

A gap of $\Delta = 0.7$ eV yields also consistent results for the Hall coefficient\( \Delta \). Our results are not sensitive to precise parameter choices, and slight variations of parameters lead only to minor modifications of our results. We will show that the opening of a hole pocket will strongly influence the Nernst effect. Moreover, our modeling agrees with Hall measurements by Onose et al\( \Delta \), which indicate that the hole pockets are present for $x_1 < x < x_c$ with $x_1 = 0.1$.

### III. SEMICLASSICAL APPROACH

Several parameter scales have to be set to justify our Boltzmann approach. Backscattering of the SDW amplitude sets a momentum scale $p_{\Delta} = \Delta / v_F$ ($v_F$ is the Fermi velocity), while the inverse mean free path $l^{-1}$ defines another momentum scale. To neglect interference effects between scattering events, the momentum scale $p_0$ set by the size of the Brillouin zone has to fulfill $p_0 \gg p_{\Delta}, l^{-1}$. At low $T$, we assume that impurity scattering dominates the relaxation time $\tau$. In general, cuprate materials show a normal state quasiparticle scattering rate which is linear in temperature, with a small part of the antinodal region where there is no temperature dependence observed\( \Delta \). We will neglect effects of anisotropy and temperature on the scattering rate by assuming pure s-wave impurity scattering, as is appropriate for randomly distributed impurities with weak and short-ranged scattering potential. Lateron, we will return to possible modifications due to scattering anisotropy and thermal fluctuations.

Disorder is expected to modify the SDW backscattering if the mean free path $l$ drops below the characteristic scattering length on the SDW order parameter. This situation is expected to occur if $p_{\Delta} l \sim 1$, and we will consider only $\Delta > v_F l$. Finally, weak magnetic fields make it possible to expand transport coefficients in magnetic field strength, so that off-diagonal transport coefficients become linear in $B$, while the diagonal coefficients are independent of $B$. The applicability of this expansion is related to the momentum scale set by $a = \pi B / \phi_0$ with the flux quantum $\phi_0 = hc / 2e$, which defines the \textit{weak-field regime} $a \ll p_{\Delta}^{\text{el}}$, where the Zener-Jones expansion is applicable. Magnetic fields also have to be weak enough to neglect magnetic breakdown. Neglecting modifications of magnetic field on the band structure, magnetic breakdown is analogous to Zener breakdown and has a transmission amplitude\( \Delta \)

$$\alpha = \exp\left( -\frac{\Delta^2}{2 e h B |v_x v_y|} \right)$$  

(6)

with the Fermi velocities $v_x, v_y \approx v_F$ of the linearized dispersion at its crossing point obtained by setting $\Delta = 0$. Therefore, magnetic breakdown can be neglected as long as $p_{\Delta} > p_B$, where the inverse magnetic length $p_B = 2\pi (\pi B / \phi_0)^{-1/2}$ appears.

In mean-field approximation, the transport processes are determined by the current operator

$$j = -e \sum_{\sigma} \int_{RBZ} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} v_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \left( \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma} / \hbar - v_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{inter}} / \hbar \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma} / \hbar \right) \psi_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} ,$$  

(7)
From experimental data at optimal doping, the relax-
T
regime defined above. From the linearized Boltzmann
equation, we obtain the transport coefficients
\[ \alpha_{xx} = \frac{2e}{T} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \sigma} \frac{\partial F_0^l}{\partial E_k^\alpha} (E_k^\alpha - \mu) \gamma_k (v_k^\sigma)^2 \]
\[ \alpha_{xy} = \frac{2e^2 B}{Thc} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \pm} \frac{\partial F_0^l}{\partial E_k^\sigma} (E_k^\sigma - \mu)^2 \mp \tau_{xy} \left[ v_k^x \frac{\partial v_k^y}{\partial k_x} - v_k^y \frac{\partial v_k^x}{\partial k_y} \right] \]
\[ \sigma_{xx} = -2e^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \pm} \frac{\partial F_0^l}{\partial E_k^\sigma} \gamma_k (v_k^\sigma)^2 \]
\[ \sigma_{xy} = -\frac{2eB}{hc} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \pm} \frac{\partial F_0^l}{\partial E_k^\sigma} \gamma_k \left[ v_k^x \frac{\partial v_k^y}{\partial k_x} - v_k^y \frac{\partial v_k^x}{\partial k_y} \right], \]
where \( \alpha = \pm \) denotes summation over the quasiparticle bands of Eq. (4). For brevity, we have dropped the band index from the quasiparticle velocities, which have been properly defined in Eq. (5). It will be of interest to study Eq. (12) in dependence of electron doping in order to analyze the influences of Fermi surface changes on transport properties. At low \( T \), the thermoelectric conductivities \( \alpha_{ij} \) are related to the electrical conductivities \( \sigma_{ij} \) by the Mott relation
\[ \alpha_{ij} = -\frac{\pi^2 k_B^2 T \partial \sigma_{ij}}{3e} \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial f} \right)_{E_F}. \]
As long as the relaxation time depends on energy, the expression \( \left. \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial \mu} \right|_{E_F} \) contains a contribution
\[ \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \mu} (2 - \delta_{ij}) \sigma_{ij} . \]

A. Comparison with experiment

Due to Eq. (13), the energy dependence \( \partial \tau / \partial \mu \) enters thermoelectric quantities. We rule these contributions out by using a constant \( \tau \), in order to focus on the role of Fermi surface geometry in the Nernst effect. Usually, the energy dependence of \( \tau \) is expected to behave as \( \tau \propto E^p \), with \( p \in [-1/2, 3/2] \). In the low temperature regime, according to Fermi’s golden rule \( \tau \propto 1/N(e) \), with \( p = 0 \) for the two dimensional Fermi gas. Phonon contributions become only of importance for \( T \gg \Theta_D \), for which \( p=3/2 \). Thus, phonons lead to a positive contribution in Eq. (14).

In two dimensions, energy dependence of the relaxation time due to impurities yields corrections to the Nernst signal which vanish in the free electron case, making them sensitively dependent on details of the band structure.

We estimated these effects numerically by setting \( \tau' = (\partial \tau / \partial \mu)_{E_F} = \tau/E_F \), which yields a negligible correction to the peak signal, see Fig. 2. On the other hand, if \( \partial \tau / \partial \mu \) would contribute considerably to the Nernst signal, employing Eq. (13) in Eq. (11) shows that \( \theta_{xx} = C \theta_{xx} \tan(\Theta_H) \) with \( \tan(\Theta_H) = \sigma_{xy}/\alpha_{xx} \). However, Nernst measurements on PCCO clearly show
We solved Eq. (12) numerically in the regime where \( \partial_{yx} \) and \( \partial_{xx} \) depend linearly on \( T \), as shown in Fig. 2. The experimental peak height near optimal doping is reproduced in order of magnitude by the experimental value \( \tau = 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{s}^{-1} \) at optimal doping, which is obtained from the residual ab-plane resistivity \( \rho = 57 \mu \Omega \cdot \text{cm} \) and the plasma frequency \( \omega_p = 13000 \text{cm}^{-1} \). In a range above optimal doping, the peak structure of the experimental signal is comparable with our theory. The experimental Nernst signal seems to be shifted by \( \Delta x \approx 0.02 \) on the doping axis, suggesting that the carrier concentration of the sample differs from nominal doping by the same amount. A deviation of 2% carrier concentration is quantitatively also found in a comparison of the Fermi volume found from ARPES and the Fermi volume calculated from Eq. (1)\(^{24}\). In addition, a calculation of the Hall coefficient in dependence of electron doping using the dispersion of Eq. (1)\(^{24}\) shows also a shift of about 2% carrier concentration with respect to experimental results in the underdoped regime, which also fail to reproduce the expected \( R_H \propto 1/x \) behavior if \( x \) is set equal to the Ce concentration\(^{23}\). The deviation could be caused by high \( T \) oxygen annealing, which leads to doping inhomogeneity/uncertainty in large crystals\(^{23}\).

We therefore interpret the peak in the Nernst measurements near optimal doping as a result of an emerging hole pocket. A related enhancement of the Nernst signal near van Hove singularities has been described by Livano\(^{29}\). The Nernst signal further away from optimal doping is not accurately reproduced by our model; anisotropy of the scattering rate\(^{23}\) is a possible origin of the sizable signal, and scattering off order parameter fluctuations should also be considered\(^{13}\).

The relaxation time approximation (RTA) is a doubtful method to reproduce the influence of antiferromagnetic fluctuations on transport properties. In RTA, the quasiparticle current is given by \( J_k = \tau_k v_k \), thereby neglecting current vertex corrections \( \Delta J_k \) caused by the interaction-induced drag of surrounding quasiparticles. These corrections are important to maintain a conserving approximation in the sense of Kadanoff and Baym\(^{22}\). The influence of antiferromagnetic fluctuations on the Nernst signal is more accurately treated within the FLEX+t-matrix approximation\(^{21}\), which is beyond the scope of this paper. We will analyze corrections due to antiferromagnetic fluctuations at low temperatures more detailed in section IV.

B. Nernst effect near singular doping

The behavior of the Nernst coefficient near the singular dopings in Fig. 2 can be obtained from analytical considerations. First, we consider the singularity at doping \( x_1 \), where hole like carriers emerge in the Fermi volume, see Fig. 1. The hole pocket corresponds to a local minimum of the dispersions Eq. (4), whose distance from the chemical potential can be expanded as \( \Delta E = (d\mu/dx)_{x_1} (x - x_1) + \mathcal{O}(x - x_1)^2 \), as we confirmed numerically. Analogous to the discussion in the context of the SDW gap, the gap energy \( \Delta E \) has to be large enough in order to neglect magnetic breakdown and thermal excitations across the gap. These effects tend to smear out the discontinuity in the Nernst signal over a finite range of doping, while the order of magnitude in change in the signal is not expected to change considerably.

The asymptotic low temperature limit of the Sommerfeld expansion is valid as long as \( k_B T \ll \Delta E \), and thus thermal excitations change the behavior near the hole pocket in a finite range \( \Delta x \approx (k_B T)/(d\mu/dx)_{x_1} \) of doping. Considering the numerical value \( d\mu/dx)_{x_1} \approx 1.52 \text{eV} \), \( \Delta x < 0.01 \) at \( T < 100K \). An estimate of the doping range where magnetic breakdown according to formula (6) can occur is given by

\[
\Delta x \approx \frac{\nu_F}{\frac{d\mu}{dx}_{|x_1}} \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar e}{\pi} B} \approx 5 \times 10^{-3} \sqrt{B},
\]

where the universal Fermi velocity \( \nu_F = 2.3 \times 10^7 \text{cm/s} \) and the numerical value \( \frac{d\mu}{dx}_{|x_1} \approx 1.52 \text{ eV} \) have been used. For experimentally relevant magnetic field strengths of \( B \approx 10T \), the Nernst signal is therefore expected to become sharply enhanced already for dopings of about...
1 - 2% below \( x_1 \), consistent with the experimental result shown in Fig. 2.

Near the opening of the hole pocket at \( x = x_1 \), the hole dispersion is approximated by \( \varepsilon_{\text{h}}(k) = \sum_{i} \delta k_i^2 / m_i - \mu_h \), and the \( T = 0 \) hole contributions to electrical transport become

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{xx}^h(\mu_h) &= \frac{2}{3} \mu_h^2 \tau_h(\mu_h) \epsilon^2 \frac{N_h}{m_h} \\
\sigma_{xy}^h(\mu_h) &= \frac{2}{3} \mu_h \tau_h^2(\mu_h) \frac{e^3 B N_h}{c} \frac{1}{m_h} 
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

for \( \mu_h > 0 \) and vanish otherwise. In the following, we formally distinguish electron and hole scattering rates. The hole DOS \( N_h \) and the reduced hole mass \( m_h = (m_1 m_2) / (m_1 + m_2) \) are taken to be constant. For weak dilute disorder, the scattering rate follows \( 1 / \tau(\mu_h) \propto N_h \) and is energy independent. According to Eqs \( [13] \) and \( [16] \), the Nernst signal and the thermopower have discontinuities at \( \mu_h = 0 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \theta_{yx} &= \left[ \frac{\sigma_{xx}^e - \sigma_{xx}^h}{\sigma_{xx}^e \sigma_{xy}^e} \right]_{\mu_h=0^+} \\
\Delta \theta_{xx} &= \left[ \frac{\sigma_{xx}^h}{\sigma_{xx}^e} \right]_{\mu_h=0^+} . 
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

Expanding the electron dispersion as \( \varepsilon(k) = \sum_{i} \delta k_i^2 / m_i - \mu \), the relative changes are

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Delta \theta_{yx}}{\theta_{yx}|_{\mu_h=0^-}} &= -\frac{N_h \bar{m}_e \tau_h}{N_e \bar{m}_h \tau_e} \left[ \tau_h + \tau_e \right] \\
\frac{\Delta \theta_{xx}}{\theta_{xx}|_{\mu_h=0^-}} &= -\frac{\tau_h N_h \bar{m}_e}{\tau_e N_e \bar{m}_h} . 
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

Sizable contributions from the discontinuity can therefore be expected, and \( \tau' < 0 \) would explain why the Nernst signal shows no sign change in experiments on PCCO\(^{[32]} \). Moreover, a sign change near \( x = 0.15 \) has been found in the thermoelectric power\(^{[13]} \) as predicted by Eq. \( [15] \). Assuming \( \tau_h N_h \bar{m}_e \approx \tau_e N_e \bar{m}_h \), the magnitude of the discontinuity in the thermopower is about twice the magnitude of the thermoelectric power in the overdoped region. This relative change in thermopower is quantitatively equivalent to the change observed from \( x = 0.15 \) to \( x = 0.16 \) in the thermopower measurements from Ref. \( [11] \). We briefly extend this analysis to a general two-carrier system with carrier types 1 and 2, where \( \Delta \theta_{yx} = (\alpha_{yx}^{(1)} \alpha_{xy}^{(2)} - \alpha_{xy}^{(1)} \alpha_{yx}^{(2)}) / (\sigma_{xx}^{(1)} \sigma_{xx}^{(2)}) \) right at the opening of carrier type 2, since Eq. \( (16) \) leads to \( \hat{\sigma}^{(2)} = 0 \) at the opening of a carrier pocket. According to Eq. \( (13) \) and considering positive magnetic field strengths \( B \) in the following, \( \alpha_{yx}^{(2)} \) is always positive and \( \alpha_{xx}^{(2)} \) has always the sign of \( \sigma_{xy}^{(2)} \) due to Eq. \( (13) \). This means that \( \Delta \theta_{yx} \) is always positive if the carriers 1 and 2 have opposite charge, while \( \Delta \theta_{yy} \) might both be negative or positive if carrier type 1 and 2 have the same charge. To decide on the charges of carriers 1 and 2, in addition the sign of the second contribution in \( \Delta \theta_{yx} \) can be determined from a measurement of \( \Delta \theta_{xx} \tan(\Theta_H) \).

\[\text{C. Behavior near quantum critical point}\]

We now analyze the onset of the Nernst signal at the \( x = x_c \) QCP where \( \Delta \) first becomes non-zero with decreasing \( x \). A calculation analogous to Refs \( [13,32] \) can be employed to calculate the change \( \delta \theta = \theta(\Delta) - \theta(\Delta = 0) \) to linear order in the gap \( \Delta \). The changes of the dispersion to leading order in \( \Delta \) occur around momenta \( p \) with \( \varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_{p+Q} = \mu \), which are given by the crossing points in Fig. \( (1) \) and their symmetry related counterparts. It is useful to parametrize \( p \) by \( \varepsilon_p \) and \( \varepsilon_{p+Q} \), what is possible in the vicinity of any crossing point \( p^* \). This can be achieved by expanding the dispersions

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_p - \mu &= v^* \cdot \delta p + \frac{m_i}{2} \delta p_i \delta p_j + \frac{y_{ijk}}{6} \delta p_i \delta p_j \delta p_k + O(\delta p^4) \\
\varepsilon_{p+Q} - \mu &= v_Q^* \cdot \delta p + \frac{n_i}{2} \delta p_i \delta p_j + \frac{z_{ijk}}{6} \delta p_i \delta p_j \delta p_k + O(\delta p^4) ,
\end{align*}
\]

(19)
where
\[
\delta \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}^* \\
\mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{p}^*) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{Q}) \\
m_{ij} = (\partial^2 \varepsilon_p / \partial p_i \partial p_j)|_{\mathbf{p}^*} \\
n_{ij} = (\partial^2 \varepsilon_p / \partial p_i \partial p_j)|_{\mathbf{p}^* + \mathbf{Q}} \\
y_{ijk} = (\partial^2 \varepsilon_p / \partial p_i \partial p_j \partial p_k)|_{\mathbf{p}^*} \\
z_{ijk} = (\partial^2 \varepsilon_p / \partial p_i \partial p_j \partial p_k)|_{\mathbf{p}^* + \mathbf{Q}}.
\]

Equation (19) can be inverted to yield
\[
\delta \mathbf{p} = u_1\varepsilon_p + u_2\varepsilon_p + Q = \left( u_1 + \frac{\Delta^2}{\varepsilon_p^2} u_2 \right) \varepsilon_p
\]

with
\[
u_1 = \frac{\mathbf{v}_Q^* \times [\mathbf{v}^* \times \mathbf{v}_Q^*]}{(\mathbf{v}^* \times \mathbf{v}_Q^*)^2} \\
u_2 = \frac{\mathbf{v}^* \times [\mathbf{v}_Q^* \times \mathbf{v}^*]}{(\mathbf{v}^* \times \mathbf{v}_Q^*)^2}.
\]

Differentiating Eq. (19), substituting Eq. (21) into it and using the result in Eq. (12) for the electrical conductivities, we obtain the linearized $T = 0$ change in the electrical conductivity tensor $\delta \sigma = \tilde{\sigma}(\Delta) - \tilde{\sigma}(\Delta = 0)$ in multiples of the conductance quantum $\sigma_Q = e^2/h$ as
\[
\delta \sigma_{xy} = \sigma_Q \hat{\tau} \frac{B\Delta}{Q_0} \langle [\eta_1^p + \eta_2^{qp} + 3\eta_1^p + 3\eta_2^{qp}] \mathbf{v}_Q^* \times (\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v}_Q^*) \rangle \\
\delta \sigma_{xx} = -\sigma_Q \hat{\tau} \left( \frac{\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v}_Q^*}{\mathbf{v}_Q^* \times \mathbf{v}_Q^*} \right)^2 \Delta.
\]

Here, the vectors
\[
\eta_1^p = (m_{11}u_{1x} + m_{12}u_{1y})\hat{x} + (m_{21}u_{1x} + m_{22}u_{1y})\hat{y} \\
\eta_2^p = (m_{11}u_{2x} + m_{12}u_{2y})\hat{x} + (m_{21}u_{2x} + m_{22}u_{2y})\hat{y} \\
\eta_1^{p+q} = (m_{11}u_{1x} + m_{12}u_{1y})\hat{x} + (m_{21}u_{1x} + m_{22}u_{1y})\hat{y} + \Delta \hat{z} \\
\eta_2^{p+q} = (m_{11}u_{2x} + m_{12}u_{2y})\hat{x} + (m_{21}u_{2x} + m_{22}u_{2y})\hat{y} + \Delta \hat{z}
\]

have been defined. Parenthetically, we note that the linearized change $\delta \sigma_{xx}$ in the electrical conductivity has been treated in great detail previously for the three dimensional SDW transition in Cr, with essentially the same result. Via Eq. (13), changes in the thermoelectric conductivities are obtained from $\partial \sigma_{xy} / \partial \mu$. These derivatives of Eq. (23) are obtained from the relations
\[
\frac{d\sigma^*_{xy}}{d\mu} = \sum_j m_{ij} (u_j^1 + u_j^2) \\
\frac{d\sigma_{xx}}{d\mu} = \sum_k v_{ijk} (u_i^1 + u_i^2),
\]

Linearizing Eq. (11) in $\Delta$ in this way yields $\delta \phi_{xx}$ and $\delta \phi_{yx}$ to linear order in $\Delta$. From a numerical calculation of $\phi_{xx}$ and $\phi_{yx}$, we obtain the values $\delta \phi_{xx}/\phi_{xx} = 47.4\Delta$ and $\delta \phi_{yx}/\phi_{yx} = -39.8\Delta$, see also Fig. 2. Very close to $x_c = 0.165$ it might be difficult to measure the quantum critical contributions $\delta \phi_{xx}$ and $\delta \phi_{yx}$ experimentally due to other contributions to the signal which we could not specify.

D. Finite temperatures

At finite temperatures the Fermi surface as well as the quasiparticle scattering rate is expected to change. These effects will influence the temperature dependence of the Nernst signal which is sketched in Fig. 4. First of all, in cuprate materials it has to be considered that the quasiparticle scattering rate is linear in temperature in most parts of the Brillouin zone. Important changes in the Fermi surface have to be considered at temperatures above $T_{SDW}$. Below this temperature, fluctuations of the SDW order parameter remain gapped and can be neglected. Once the SDW gap closes, the Fermi surface reconstructions and fluctuations of the SDW order parameter provide an important scattering mechanism. In the far underdoped region of electron doped cuprates, $T_{SDW}$ is of order the Debye temperature and scattering off phonons has to be considered as well.

In a range of temperatures above $T_{SDW}$, a sizable Nernst effect is still observed in experiment. Our present mean-field theory for Fermi surface reconstruction cannot account for the size of the signals. However, there is no good theory for transport in this fluctuation regime. It would be interesting to examine the behavior in a recent theory of thermal fluctuations in the orientation of the SDW order. The experimental observations at finite
temperatures are not in contrast with our assumptions, which are only valid at temperatures below $T_{SDW}$, where fluctuations of the SDW order parameter are negligible. In fact, it was shown that antiferromagnetic fluctuations enhance the Nernst signal at finite temperatures above the magnetic ordering temperature $T_{SDW}$ and reproduce the peak structure in the normal state Nernst signal observed in experiment. The relaxation time approximation (RTA) certainly fails to reproduce this effect, because it would predict a small Nernst signal above $T_{SDW}$. Above this temperature, the normal state quasiparticles are gapless and form a single carrier system. Within the relaxation time approximation, the Nernst signal is thus diminished by the Sondheimer cancellation in contrast to experimental results.

IV. ANTIFERROMAGNETIC FLUCTUATIONS

Within our assumptions, the SDW gap vanishes at a quantum critical point upon doping with electron carriers. There has been substantial disagreement over the position of this quantum critical point. Elastic neutron scattering measurements on NCCO suggest short ranged antiferromagnetic order between $x = 0.145$ and $x = 0.15$, and it has been proposed that short ranged order might even occur at $x = 0.13$. Transport measurements on PCCO show rapidly changing transport properties at dopings below $x = 0.165$, suggesting that Fermi surface properties change drastically already slightly above optimal doping. Part of the confusion might originate from the uncertainty about the oxygen content of the samples, which makes it difficult to compare the effective carrier concentration of different samples.

Experimental results show a strong doping dependence of Hall and Nernst effect way above optimal doping, where clearly no spin density wave gap exists. This suggests that the band structure parameters change upon electron doping. One way to understand this behavior is to analyze self-energy corrections originating from antiferromagnetic spin-fluctuations. This analysis also helps to clarify whether short-ranged magnetic order can account for the observed Fermi surface reconstruction and enhancement of the normal state Nernst signal for electron dopings below $x = 0.16$.

The effect of spin fluctuations on the Fermi surface can be obtained from the real part of the electronic self energy. We neglect the imaginary part of the self energy by assuming again that impurity scattering dominates transport at lowest temperatures. As discussed in section, scattering on spin fluctuations would be beyond the scope of our approach due to the failure of the relaxation time approximation to treat this effect. The leading approximation to the electronic self energy due to spin fluctuations is

$$\Sigma(k, i\omega) = -g^2 T \int d^2 q \sum_{i\Omega} G(k + q, i\omega + i\Omega) D(q, i\Omega),$$

where $G$ and $D$ are electron and spin fluctuation Matsubara Green’s functions, respectively. We will use:

$$G(p, i\omega) = (i\omega - \epsilon_p)^{-1}$$

$$D(q, i\Omega) = -(\Gamma_q + |\Omega|^2)^{-1},$$

with $\epsilon_p = \epsilon_p - \mu$ and $\Gamma_q = \Gamma(\Gamma + \xi^2(q - Q)^2)$, where $\Gamma$ is the energy scale characteristic of spin fluctuations and $\xi$ the correlation length of magnetic order. The distance to the SDW quantum critical point is controlled by the parameter $r$, and the ordering wavevector is again $Q = (\pi, \pi)$.

As has been discussed in Ref. the self energy at $T = 0$ and $i\omega = 0$ can be integrated as

$$\Sigma(k, i\omega = 0) = 0.5\lambda\zeta_{k+Q} \ln(r^2 + (\zeta_{k+Q}/E_0)^4).$$

Using this new dispersion in Eqs. and , the resultant Nernst signal (not shown) is enhanced but negative for the renormalized Fermi surfaces shown in Fig. We obtained a negative Nernst signal for a wide range of parameters $r \in [0.001, 0.1]$ and $\lambda \in [0.1, 0.4]$, including a regime of very weak spin fluctuation effects where we believe Eq. is an accurate approximation.

We conclude that the renormalization of the Fermi surface due to spin fluctuations (states without long-range SDW order) fails to reproduce the Nernst signal observed in experiment. At optimal doping and below, this means that the observed Fermi surface reconstruction is more likely to originate from long-range SDW order. This interpretation is also supported by a comparison of the renormalized Fermi surfaces in Fig. with ARPES measurements on NCCO. At electron dopings of $x = 0.15$ and below, photoemission intensity is significantly suppressed near $(0.65\pi, 0.3\pi)$ (and its symmetry related points) at the intersection of the Fermi surface with the antiferromagnetic Brillouin zone boundary. This change in photoemission intensity cannot be explained from Eq., since leading order self energy corrections are cancelled at any crossing point where $\epsilon(p+Q) = \epsilon(p) = \mu$. Thus again, the opening of a SDW gap slightly above optimal doping $x = 0.15$ seems more plausible to explain the Fermi surface reconstruction seen in experiment.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Our results show that SDW order in the electron-doped cuprates has fundamental implications for the Nernst signal and the thermopower. As the SDW gap becomes
stronger, the hole-like carriers will eventually vanish and
the Nernst signal will have a large discontinuous change
at the lowest T. This behavior is also obtained for the
thermopower, where the discontinuity in addition should
cause an observable sign change in the signal. At finite
T, the discontinuities will be smeared out by thermally
excited carriers and magnetic breakdown. To obtain our
results, the presence of oppositely charged carriers repres-
ents a necessary, but not a sufficient condition in order
to obtain an enhanced Nernst signal. The fundamental
origin of the maximal Nernst signal within our calcula-
tion is a singularity in the quasiparticle density of states,
while the Nernst signal gets weaker if the Fermi surface
moves away from this singularity, although two types of
carriers are still present in the Fermi surface. We note
that the existence of oppositely charged and current car-
vying quasiparticles is a widespread argument to explain
an enhanced normal state Nernst signal, but our results
require a more subtle physical origin than the require-
tion of two types of carriers would represent.

In this sense, our results are also in contrast with the
analysis of the ambipolar Nernst effect in Ref. 35, which
predicts a maximal Nernst signal when hole and electron-
like carrier densities exactly compensate each other. This
explanation had been used previously to account for the
large normal state Nernst signal in PCCO. Within our
analysis, the ambipolar signal is instead largest when the
hole pockets just touch the Fermi surface, and decreases
rapidly until the carriers compensate most.

Our findings are also likely of relevance to the hole-
doped cuprates. Recent explanations of a large normal
state Nernst signal in these materials were based on the
proposal of d-density wave order.35,36 A large normal
state Nernst signal has recently been reported in the
stripe-ordered phase of La16−xNd0.4SrxCu4, which van-
ished in the non-ordered state. These findings suggest
that stripe order enhances the normal state Nernst ef-
effect, and it would be interesting to extend our results to
spin/charge density wave orders.

The onset of “stripe” order, and the evolution from “large” to “small” Fermi surfaces with decreasing doped
could lead to a large Nernst signal by the opening/closing of hole or electron pockets. The connection
of such normal state features to those associated with
the superconductor-insulator QPT computed earlier37 re-
 mains an important open problem, and some ideas have
appeared in Ref. 39.

In summary, we have presented a theory for the anoma-
lously large normal state Nernst signal in the electron-
doped cuprates. We established a direct relation between
SDW order and the peak of the normal state Nernst sig-
nal at optimal doping. Finally, while the energy depen-
dence of the scattering rate is unlikely to modify our
result, a more detailed understanding of the scattering
mechanism is necessary for a quantitative understanding
of the large Nernst signal in the underdoped and over-
doped regions.
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In extended Hubbard models, several incommensurate order parameters can occur in different mean field theories. These can be associated with additional possibilities like charge density wave order or d-density wave order. Such orders could possibly be realized in the hole-doped cuprates, while in the electron-doped cuprates, commensurate SDW order is the exclusive type of density wave order under consideration.


The identification of short-ranged antiferromagnetism (corresponding to a vanishing spin stiffness) at \( x = 0 \) is only one possibility. As far as interpreted in Ref. [14], a non-zero spin stiffness at \( x = 0 \) might fit the related experimental data as well. This way of fitting the experimental data leads to the interpretation that a vanishing spin-stiffness is observed only at dopings of \( x = 0 \) or higher.


